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1 Overview

Fuel consumption by road vehicles is calculated by the methodology used to estimate total UK emissions for road transport in the latest National Atmospheric Emissions/Greenhouse Gas Inventory (the 2017 NAEI/GHGI) and is consistent with internationally agreed procedures and guidelines for reporting emission inventories. In fact, we are able to go further than required by guidelines because of the availability of transport data in the UK.

The methodology combines traffic activity data (from the Department for Transport’s (DfT) national traffic census) with fleet composition data and fuel consumption/emission factors. The vehicle fleet composition data are based on licensing statistics and evidence from Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) data from DfT; these provide an indication of the vehicle mix by engine size, vehicle size, age, engine and exhaust treatment technology, Euro emission standards, and fuel type as observed on different road types. Fuel consumption factors are based on a combination of published compilations of factors derived from vehicle emission test data from European sources and factors from industry on the fuel efficiency of cars sold in the UK. In the former case, representative samples of vehicles are tested over a range of drive cycles associated with different average speeds on different road conditions: there are many parameters that affect the amount of fuel a vehicle uses and average vehicle speed is one of them, so the NAEI uses functions that relate fuel consumption to average speed.

Factors for all vehicle types are derived from the fuel consumption-speed relationships given in the COPERT 5 source. COPERT 5 “Computer Programme to Calculate Emissions from Road Transport” is a model and database of vehicle emission factors developed on behalf of the European Environment Agency (EEA) and is used widely by other Member States to calculate emissions from road transport. It is a source of factors recommended for national inventory reporting in the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP)/EEA Emissions Inventory Guidebook (2016). This included a method for passenger cars which applies a year-dependent ‘real-world’ correction to the average type-approval carbon dioxide (CO₂) factor weighted by new car sales in the UK from 2005-2017. The new car average type-approval CO₂ factors for cars in different engine size bands were provided by the Society of Motor Manufacturers and Traders (SMMT, 2018). The real-world uplift uses empirically derived equations in the Guidebook that take account of average engine capacity and vehicle mass. Fuel consumption factors for light goods vehicles (LGVs), heavy goods vehicles (HGVs), buses, coaches and motorcycles are used directly from the COPERT source.

Methodologies for calculating fuel consumption and emissions are periodically updated as our understanding of the factors that affect them improves. Also, the input data used to calculate them are updated as DfT revises information, provides more detail in the information gathered and as new information becomes available. Consequently, revisions to the trends in calculated values of road transport fuel consumption and emissions are an inevitable consequence as the science and evidence base improves. The NAEI uses consistent data and approaches to meet the needs of Greenhouse Gas Inventory compilations and those for air quality pollutant emissions.

An overarching requirement in the case of calculating fuel consumption and CO₂ emissions at the national level for international inventory reporting is the need for the total fuel consumption to be consistent with trends in petrol and diesel consumption reported in Digest of UK Energy Statistics (DUKES). This is to comply with the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) reporting system which requires emissions of CO₂ to be based on fuel sales (however, it should be noted that this constraint is not required under the national reporting requirement, and hence mapping of local authority fuel consumption, as explained in the later section). In order to fulfil the UNFCCC reporting requirement, a normalisation process is used to make the bottom-up calculated estimates of petrol and diesel road vehicle (DERV) fuel consumption add up to the amounts given in DUKES. A small adjustment to the use of DUKES figures on petrol and DERV consumption is first made
to account for the amount of these fuels used in off-road applications, e.g. lawn mowers, portable generators, inland waterway vessels etc., and in the Crown Dependencies. We use a separate model to calculate their fuel consumption and deduct this from the figures in DUKES to give the total amount used by road transport. The significance of this is that if we modify the methods or factors used to calculate national fuel consumption for just one class of vehicle (say, diesel cars), then those calculated for other vehicle types using the same fuel (e.g. HGVs) have to be changed to ensure the total DERV still adds up to the DUKES values.

This is not an ideal situation, but we have discussed this issue with various transport experts, engineers and statisticians in DfT and all have endorsed this approach as necessary given these constraining factors for international inventory reporting.

Any changes made to the methods used to calculate fuel consumption at national level will be reflected in the factors used to calculate fuel consumption for individual types of vehicles on individual types of roads in the spatial mapping. However, for the mapping, a decision had been made some years ago to calculate emissions on individual road links from factors consistent with the national inventory, but without any normalisation applied to match the total (summed over all road links) with the figures in DUKES. This would provide a more representative picture of fuel use by road link which traffic activity is based on instead of constraining the data to total fuel sales as reported by DUKES.

2 Changes made to the 2017 Estimates of National Fuel Consumption by Vehicle Type

There have been no major recalculations in national fuel consumption and emissions by road transport. A few minor changes were made to the information used to calculate the 2017 time-series in fuel consumption. Also, hybrid and electric vehicles have been considered as separate vehicle types for this year’s methodology. These were summarised in the latest National Greenhouse Gas Inventory report (NIR). The main changes are:

- After consultation with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Working Group 1\(^1\), estimates of the fossil-carbon content of biofuels, such as Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAME) and bio-derived Methyl tertiary butyl ether (bio-MTBE), are now included in the emissions inventory.
- Revised vehicle kilometre data provided by DfT for 2016.
- Updated ANPR data and assumption for the introduction year of Euro 4 petrol cars.
- Revised CH₄ emission factors for LPG vehicles, and Euro 5 & 6 diesel cars/LGVs from the latest EMEP/EEA Emissions Inventory Guidebook (2016), as published in July 2018.

These changes have had a minor effect on the distribution of fuel consumption between vehicle types.

3 How well does the calculated fuel consumption at a national level compare with total fuel consumption statistics given in DUKES?

Before the normalisation is carried out to obtain the national fuel consumption and CO₂ estimates, it is worth comparing the petrol and diesel fuel consumption calculated by the bottom-up method based on

---

\(^1\) The IPCC Working Group 1 aims at assessing the physical scientific basis of the climate system and climate change. For further details see [https://wg1.ipcc.ch/](https://wg1.ipcc.ch/) [Accessed 21/06/2019]
traffic, fleet and emission factor data with that indicated by figures published in DUKES. For the 2017 NAEI, the calculated totals for petrol and diesel consumption in 2017 are respectively 8% and 6% lower than the figures published in DUKES. It should be noted that the DUKES figures are corrected for the small amounts of these fuels used by off-road machinery and in the Crown Dependencies. The fuel reconciliation also takes into account the amount of biofuel consumption: the bottom-up method for calculation of national fuel consumption is based on fuel consumption factors and traffic data so will include consumption of biofuels which is not included in the petrol and diesel figures given in DUKES.

Figure 1 shows the ratio of calculated fuel consumption to the figures in DUKES based on total fuel sales of petrol and diesel in the UK on a like-for-like basis after correcting for Crown Dependencies, off-road consumption and biofuels. There are year-to-year variations in the level of agreement, the maximum deviation from DUKES is 16% (for DERV, in 1994) however the ratio tends towards 1 up to 2009, indicating better agreement with fuel sales data in recent years than in the earlier part of the time series.

Figure 1: Ratio of calculated consumption of petrol and diesel fuel based on traffic movement and fuel consumption factors summed for different vehicle types, relative to the DUKES figures for these fuels based on fuel sales in the UK

The differences will be due to model uncertainties including uncertainties in the vehicle kilometre data and fleet information used and especially in the fuel consumption factors based on samples of vehicles taken to represent the fleet. It is also important to bear in mind the very transient nature of emissions and fuel consumption and that the modelling approach masks the variability in fuel performance of even the same vehicle as it is driven under different conditions, with idling, acceleration, deceleration and cruising modes over a cycle all having very different fuel consumption rates.

Given the inherent uncertainties in the method used in calculating fuel consumption for the national fleet, the agreement between the pre-normalised calculated estimates and figures in DUKES is considered perfectly acceptable.

4 Mapping fuel consumption

The base map of the UK road network used for calculating hot exhaust road traffic emissions has been developed from two mapping datasets. The Ordnance Survey Open Roads (OSOR) dataset (see Figure 2) provides locations of all roads (motorways, A-roads, B-roads and unclassified roads) in Great Britain (GB). Prior to 2017 the Ordnance Survey’s Meridian 2 (OSM2) road network was used, but this has
been superseded by OSOR and the NAEI has adopted OSOR as part of continual improvement of the mapping process. For Northern Ireland (NI) a dataset of roads was obtained from Ordnance Survey of Northern Ireland, part of Land & Property Services Northern Ireland.

Figure 2: Illustration of OSOR road network and DfT count point data for the Greater London area.

Traffic flow data for major roads (A-roads and motorways) are available on a census count point basis for both Great Britain (DfT, 2017) and Northern Ireland (DfI NI, 2017). The data comprise counts of each type of vehicle as an Annual Average Daily Flow (AADF), aggregated up to annual flows by multiplying by 365. These AADF statistics take account of seasonal variation using ‘expansion factors’ applied to single day counts based on data from automatic counts for similar roads and vehicle types. Differences between Great Britain and Northern Ireland datasets should be noted. Coverage of roads in Great Britain is considerably denser than that for Northern Ireland. Additionally, in Northern Ireland, some count points record total vehicles, rather than a split of different vehicle types. An average vehicle split has been applied to these records.

For Northern Ireland, traffic counts were allocated according to the proximity of the point where the count was made and major roads with the same road number – i.e. each link has the nearest count point with the same road number assigned to it using a computer script.

For Great Britain, the OSOR network is more complex than the Northern Ireland road network, and count point allocation required a different approach. Here, count points were allocated to a section of
the major road network according to shared road number and spatial proximity to the stretch of road that each count point covers (Figure 3). This was done by using a highly simplified, straight line, Department for Transport (DfT) representation of the start and end of each count points’ coverage (‘count point lines’). A series of computer-based processes were used to automatically perform this allocation. Where count point lines overlapped Local Authority boundaries, OSOR roads were split at that boundary and each split assigned to the relevant local authority. Automated allocation was followed up with manual checking and verification.

Figure 3: Traffic flows are assigned to the road network (Ordnance Survey Open Roads) by selecting OSOR sections that fall between the start and end points of traffic census count point coverage (DfT road line).

Traffic flows in the majority of minor roads have been modelled based on average regional flows and fleet mix (data from DfT) in a similar way to previous years. Regional average flows by vehicle type have been applied to each type of minor road – B and C roads or unclassified roads. These data were obtained from DfT.

For Northern Ireland vehicle-specific minor road flows have been calculated from 2014 data (DfI NI, 2016) which provides information on vehicle kilometres travelled for vehicle types and by road types.

County level vehicle kilometre estimates have been obtained from DfT (unpublished) and used to ensure consistency between the NAEI and DfT modelling, to correct at county level the estimates of vehicle kilometres in the NAEI mapping.

The next step after mapping vehicle movements is to apply the fuel consumption factors discussed earlier. The urban or rural classification of a section of OSOR road covered by a count point (here called a ‘count point road’) was determined through the following logic:
1. Count point roads that have at least two-thirds of their DfT defined length within an urban area: classify as urban.

2. Count point roads that have at least two-thirds of their DfT defined length outside an urban area: classify as rural.

Count point roads not captured by cases 1 or 2 were split at the urban boundary and urban or rural classification of these splits were classed as urban or rural if they were within or outside an urban area. Count point roads intersecting urban areas more than twice were classed based on the majority urban or rural length of the whole road section. Splits of less than 100m were given the urban or rural classification of their counterpart, and splits of less than 15% of the total count point road length were manually inspected for validity. Each major road link has been assigned an area type using definitions of urban area types shown in Table 1 below. Vehicle speeds have then been assigned to different road types (built up and non-built up A roads and motorways) within each area type.

Table 1: Department for Transport Urban Area Type Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Type ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Central London</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Inner London</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Outer London</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Inner Conurbations</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Outer Conurbations</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Urban Big</td>
<td>&gt; 250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Urban Large</td>
<td>&gt;100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Urban Medium</td>
<td>&gt; 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Urban Small</td>
<td>&gt; 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Uncertainties

An assessment is done for the Greenhouse Gas Inventory on the uncertainties in the estimate of total UK fuel consumption by this method. The uncertainty will largely reflect the uncertainties in the vehicle kilometre, fleet composition data and fuel consumption factors used for each vehicle type. The uncertainties at national level will be relatively small (<10%) since most of the activity data used are from national statistics. Most of the uncertainty will be due to the fuel consumption factors and how applicable these are to vehicles in the UK fleet as they are based on measurements from various sources in Europe on relatively small samples of vehicles. However, the fairly close agreement between the calculated consumption of petrol and diesel at national level and figures in DUKES on fuel sales (Figure 1) shows the overall uncertainties in the calculations are relatively small in recent years.

The uncertainties in estimates at local and regional level, though, are expected to be considerably higher. This will be partly due to local variations in the national fleet in terms of the vehicle age and fuel mix. For example, the traffic in some areas may be made up of a higher proportion of diesel cars or older cars than in other areas. There will be local variations in the average speed, levels on congestion or style of driving. The model currently assumes the same average speed for vehicles on the same type of road across the country. Variations in the maintenance of vehicles, poor tyre inflation and use of auxiliary units like air conditioning may also occur. The new approach in COPERT 4 to calculating fuel
consumption by passenger cars takes into account a ‘real world’ uplift to the factors as measured under laboratory test conditions, but still cannot account for local variability in these other factors that can affect fuel consumption.

Variations in local traffic behaviour are expected to be significant sources of uncertainty in local estimates of fuel consumption. The rate of fuel consumption is highly variable in situations where there are large amounts of stop-start, acceleration-deceleration behaviour, so in areas where there is frequent traffic congestion the uncertainty in estimates of fuel consumption will be considerably higher than in areas where traffic is usually flowing more freely. In general, one should expect higher levels of uncertainty in estimates of fuel consumption in urban areas than in non-urban areas. Road gradients also have a significant impact on fuel efficiency of vehicles, so estimates of fuel consumption in areas where the landscape is hilly will be more uncertain than in areas where the landscape is predominantly flat.

There will also be higher uncertainties in estimates of fuel consumption for large heavy duty vehicles (HGVs and buses) than smaller light duty vehicles (cars and vans). This is for several reasons. First, there have been far fewer tests done on fuel consumption and emissions from Heavy-Duty Vehicles (HDVs) and how these vary with driving behaviour. There is also a wide variation in fuel efficiency of HDVs because of the wide range of size, vehicle weight and configuration in this group of vehicles (for example HGVs vary in weight from 3.5 tonnes up to 50 tonnes, but this information is not given in the local traffic count data used). The uncertainty is compounded by variation in load carried by HGVs. For example, some vehicles of a given size will be carrying no load, while others will be fully laden. Fuel consumption by HDVs is also especially sensitive to road gradient.

The failure to reflect certain local measures to reduce transport CO₂ emissions would introduce uncertainty in the estimates in some areas. These might, for example, be in areas where low carbon buses or other types of low carbon emitting vehicles have been introduced.

As a rule of thumb, areas where estimates of fuel consumption by road transport are most uncertain are likely to be those where there is frequently congested urban traffic and those with high levels of heavy-duty vehicle activity. Areas which are major conurbations are likely to show high levels of uncertainty because of the likelihood of more frequent congested traffic conditions. Areas where motorways make a significant contribution to the overall fuel consumption may on the one hand have less uncertainty because traffic is normally free flowing, but on the other hand may have this partly offset by the large proportion of HDVs that are usually characteristic of these road types. Possibly, flat, rural areas dominated by smaller towns may have the lowest levels of uncertainty in their fuel consumption estimates.

A quantitative assessment of uncertainty in estimates of fuel consumption by road transport in each region or local area may be possible by following the above qualitative assertions with quantitative estimates of uncertainty levels in different vehicle type/road or area-type combinations. This could be done on the basis of the proportion of traffic volumes on different area type and road type classifications in the local area (e.g. % conurbation, % urban, % rural, % motorway). It could also take account of the mix of heavy-duty vehicles on each of the above road/area type classes occurring in the area and the relative proportion of high gradient road lengths.

The variation in the composition of the vehicle fleet on local roads in terms of fuel type and vehicle age can only really be assessed by conducting surveys or examining any ANPR data that may be available in each area. Since the 2010 inventory, ANPR data (DT, 2014) have been used to define the petrol and diesel car mix and variations in age and Euro standard mix on different road types. Although DT’s ANPR data were available at Government Office Region (GOR) level, the number of observations was insufficient to assign a different fleet mix at this regional level with sufficient statistical certainty and use of the ANPR data was restricted to defining how the fleet mix varies by road type. The ANPR data
confirmed that there is a preferential use of diesel cars on motorways, and that preferential usage of diesel cars also extended to urban roads as well, although not to the extent as seen on motorways.

For Northern Ireland, the ANPR data show that there was no major difference in the proportion of diesel cars observed on different road types in Northern Ireland and that the proportion was similar to that implied by the licensing data; as a result, it is assumed that there is no preferential use of diesel cars, and the petrol/diesel mix in car kilometres should follow the proportion as indicated by the licensing statistics provided by the Department for Infrastructure (DfI NI).

An appreciation of the potential variation in the car fleet by region could be gained by examining vehicle licensing statistics by post code, but some care has to be taken in interpreting this information as vehicles are not constrained to be on roads where the vehicle is registered. Company car registrations pose a particular problem. Nevertheless, the variation in fleet composition around the national average implied by local licensing data could be used in a quantitative uncertainty analysis.

6 What changes will be made in the next inventory?

The NAEI undergoes a continuous improvement programme. The road transport inventory methodology accommodates new information on fuel consumption factors and fleet composition data when they are made available. No major changes in the NAEI mapping methodology are anticipated for next year.
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