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Foreword

In England, around 113,000 people are chronically infected with hepatitis C (HCV), most of whom in the present day are drawn from marginalised and underserved groups in society.

Over the last year, Public Health England (PHE) have updated the modelled estimates of chronic prevalence\(^1\) and numbers diagnosed in England, and new information on the uptake and outcome of treatment is coming through from the Hepatitis C Patient Registry and Treatment Outcome System.\(^2\) In addition, the first HCV ribonucleic acid testing data are now available from the unlinked anonymous monitoring survey of people who inject drugs, and dry blood spot testing data originating from private laboratories is being incorporated into laboratory reporting.

PHE are working with stakeholders to distribute resources to help people recognise the risk factors for HCV infection and to encourage those at risk to seek testing. This includes a campaign that has now distributed more than 6,900 posters to General Practitioner (GP) surgeries throughout England, along with videos and banners in different languages for use via social media. The RCGP e-learning courses for primary care, and for other professionals working with people at risk of HCV, were updated in 2018\(^1\),\(^2\) - more than 4,600 people have completed these to date. PHE have also provided data to support the NHS in identifying people who have been diagnosed with HCV in the past but who may not have accessed care or cleared their infections, so they can be offered testing and treatment.

An important milestone is that the World Health Organization (WHO) target to reduce HCV-related mortality by 10% by 2020 has already been exceeded in England - 3 years ahead of time. However, if we are to keep pace with the planned treatment rates and meet our future elimination targets, there remains an urgent need to ramp up case finding initiatives and promote programmes for those who are diagnosed but untreated to engage with local services. With focused and integrated monitoring, we hope to sustain work with stakeholders to identify barriers and drive forward improvements across the system to eliminate HCV as a major public health threat.

In this report, we summarise the current data, the impact of action plans in England to drive down mortality from HCV and to reduce the number of new infections, and outline the actions required to make further progress. The findings of this report indicate progress but also impediments - although a lot has been achieved, there is still much to do. If we are to eliminate HCV as a major public health threat by 2030, it is essential that we continue to work in synergy with stakeholders across the whole public health system, and by combining our efforts we should augment our impact.
Dr Mary Ramsay  
Head of Immunisation and Countermeasures Service  
National Infection Service

Professor Geoff Dusheiko  
Interim Deputy Director for Blood Safety, Hepatitis, Sexually Transmitted Infections and HIV Service  
National Infection Service
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# Glossary of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBV</td>
<td>Bloodborne virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAA</td>
<td>Direct-acting antiviral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBS</td>
<td>Dried blood spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESLD</td>
<td>End-stage liver disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHSS</td>
<td>Global Health Sector Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>Hepatitis C virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJIP</td>
<td>Health and Justice Indicators of Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMPPS</td>
<td>Her Majesty’s Prison and Probation Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPED</td>
<td>Image and performance enhancing drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDTMS</td>
<td>National Drug Treatment Monitoring System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSP</td>
<td>Needle and syringe programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODN</td>
<td>Operational Delivery Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OST</td>
<td>Opioid substitution treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHE</td>
<td>Public Health England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>People who inject drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>Ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR</td>
<td>Sustained Virological Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAM</td>
<td>Unlinked Anonymous Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCT</td>
<td>Voluntary Confidential Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Executive summary

In May 2016, the UK signed up to the WHO Global Health Sector Strategy (GHSS) on Viral Hepatitis\(^\text{(3)}\) which commits participating countries to the elimination of HCV as a major public health threat by 2030. The current report summarises the scale of the HCV problem in 2017 in England, to help support focused action to meet our commitment to reduce the incidence of chronic HCV infection by 80% and HCV mortality by 65% by 2030 at the latest.

In 2015, there were an estimated 71 million people with chronic HCV infection worldwide.\(^\text{(4)}\) Most recent estimates suggest that around 113,000 people in England are living with chronic HCV infection.\(^\text{(1)}\) Injecting drug use continues to be the most important risk factor for HCV infection, being cited as the risk in around 90% of all laboratory reports where risk factors have been disclosed.\(^\text{(5)}\) In 2017, 52% of people who had injected psychoactive drugs, participating in the Unlinked Anonymous Monitoring (UAM) survey of people who inject drugs (PWID), tested positive for antibodies to HCV (anti-HCV) and 49% of these people had evidence of current infection. In this survey, the proportion testing positive for anti-HCV has remained relatively stable over the past decade, although there is some evidence of an increase since 2011.\(^\text{(5)}\)

If we are to eliminate HCV as a major public health threat, there are 2 key areas where we need to make progress: we have to reduce the numbers becoming seriously ill or dying from this infection, whilst at the same time reduce the number of people becoming newly or re-infected with the virus. In England, our vision is that all people at risk of HCV infection should have easy access to testing and, once tested, that action should be taken to either reduce their risk of infection or to prevent further transmission of the virus, and – if viraemic – place the patient on a treatment pathway.

With a 16% fall in deaths between 2015 and 2017 in England, the WHO target to reduce HCV-related mortality by 10% by 2020 will have been exceeded 3 years early. This suggests that increased treatment (a 127% increase in 2017/18 compared to pre-2015 levels) provision together with new direct-acting antiviral (DAA) drugs, particularly in those with more advanced disease, is having an impact. Falling numbers of liver transplant registrations (53% fall by 2017, when compared to pre-2015 levels) and liver transplants undertaken (39% fall by 2017, when compared to pre-2015 levels) in those where post-HCV cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is given as the indication for transplant, are also observed. As treatment volumes rise further, the WHO GHSS target for a reduction in HCV-related mortality of 65% by 2030\(^\text{(3)}\) looks achievable in England. Despite this, only around 1 half (56% in 2017) of people who had injected psychoactive drugs sampled in the UAM survey\(^\text{(5)}\) were aware of their current HCV infection. This figure is supported by modelled estimates that suggest at least 53% of the ever chronically infected population had been diagnosed or successfully treated by 2018. While the first WHO target of 50% being diagnosed by 2020 has been met in England, more needs to be done if we are to reach the
90% target by 2030. The lower bound modelled estimate of only 30% of current untreated chronic infection having been diagnosed, may be an early indication that testing and diagnosis is not keeping pace with planned treatment targets.

Throughout England, a variety of initiatives are ongoing to increase both professional and public awareness of HCV to help find patients who are undiagnosed or untreated. The success of these initiatives is dependent on the significant contribution of numerous stakeholders working across a range of settings. PHE have provided data to support the National Health Service (NHS) in identifying people who have been diagnosed with HCV in the past but who may not have cleared their infections, so they can be offered testing and treatment where necessary. The Hepatitis C Trust continue their work raising awareness of HCV infection among key risk groups, including the South Asian population, and by developing and implementing patient-centred HCV interventions and providing a confidential helpline. PHE have worked with stakeholders to develop free resources to help people recognise any risk for HCV infection and to encourage those at risk to seek testing. This has included a campaign that has distributed more than 6,900 posters to GP surgeries throughout England, along with videos and banners for use via social media. Royal College of General Practitioner (RCGP) e-learning courses for primary care, and other professionals working with people at risk of HCV, were updated in 2018 (6), (7) with more than 4,600 people having completed these to date.

Between 1996 and 2017, there was a more than eightfold increase in the number of laboratory confirmed reports of HCV in England, with 17,186 reports of individuals testing positive for anti-HCV and/or HCV ribonucleic acid (RNA) in 2017. In sentinel surveillance, an 21% increase in testing has been observed, and a 6% increase in testing via GP surgeries, between 2013 and 2017.

When looking at the main risk groups, both UAM (84% in 2017) and National Drug Treatment Monitoring System (NDTMS) data (84% in 2017/18) suggest that more than 4-fifths of people who have ever injected drugs report, or were recorded as having received, an HCV test respectively. Since dried blood spot (DBS) testing can increase uptake of testing amongst PWID, it is encouraging that data from sentinel surveillance suggest that DBS testing now far outweighs testing of venous blood in the drug service setting.

Opt-out bloodborne virus (BBV) testing is now fully implemented across the prison estate, and among new receptions to English prisons, levels of testing have risen from 5% in 2010/11 to 19% in 2017/18. In the 2017/18 financial year, Health and Justice Indicators of Performance (HJIP) testing data suggest that, after excluding previously confirmed cases, 75% of new receptions and transfers were offered HCV testing, 26% were tested, 11% of those tested were found anti-HCV positive but less than half (46%) went on to have HCV RNA testing. About 40% of those testing positive for HCV RNA received specialist referrals for their HCV infection. Overall, these data suggest an increasing awareness of HCV in prisons with significant increases in testing, including DBS testing. Whilst testing volumes
remain sub-optimal, work is ongoing to move from the implementation of BBV testing to improving the quality of the offer and uptake of testing within prisons.

Testing has been seen to rise in other groups at increased risk of infection. Between 2013 and 2017, testing rose by 17% in the South Asian population, and among people who identified as being of Eastern European origin testing increased by 76%. When looking at screening data from low risk populations, NHS Blood and Transplant (NHS BT) testing data suggest that rates of HCV infection in new and repeat donors remained low (<18/100,000 donations) in 2017. However, the rate of HCV detected in new donors of ‘other white backgrounds’ was higher at 95/100,000 donors, or about ten times higher than in white-British donors.

In response to increased levels of HCV infection found in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), the British HIV Association announced ambitious targets for the micro elimination of HCV in patients with HIV, with the aim of curing HCV in 80% of those co-infected by April 2019, in 90% by April 2020, and 100% by April 2021.\(^8\)

Importantly however, data from the UAM survey suggest that incidence of HCV infection has not declined significantly in recent years (20/100 person years in 2017, compared to 8/100 in 2011), although there is substantial uncertainty in the estimates and significant variability between years. In the same survey, transmission among recent initiates to psychoactive drug use has remained relatively stable, with prevalence of infection in 2017 (23%) being similar to that observed in 2011 (20%). Both laboratory reports and sentinel surveillance show significant falls in the proportion of young adults testing positive over the 2013-2017 period (in laboratory reports: 2% average decline per year in 15-19 year olds and an 7% average decline per year in 20-24 year olds; in sentinel surveillance: 15% average decline per year in first tests in 15-19 year olds and a 7% average decline per year in 20-24 year olds). However, this may not necessarily correspond to a fall in infections in young adults as testing patterns may have changed over time.

The proportion of PWID reporting adequate needle and syringe provision remains suboptimal with 60% of those surveyed who had injected psychoactive drugs reporting adequate provision for their needs in 2017. Although levels of sharing of needles and syringes has declined from 24% in 2007 to 18% in 2017, there is no evidence of any decline over the last 5 years. Together these findings suggest that the WHO GHSS call to reduce new cases of chronic HCV by 30% by 2020 and 80% by 2030,\(^1\) represents a significant challenge for health services in England.

Data are now available from the NHS England national HCV Patient Registry and Treatment Outcome System. As at 31 December 2018, the registry contained records for 30,870 people with at least 1 treatment episode. Treated patients were predominantly white (81%), with 10% classified as Asian/Asian British. Information on injecting status showed that 22% were currently injecting/had recently injected drugs and most of those treated reported
acquiring their infection via injecting drug use (73%). Most patients were referred from primary care (43%), but only 20% came from drug services (13%) and prisons (7%). Data on disease stage showed that 29% of patients had cirrhosis prior to treatment, 35% had no evidence of fibrosis and 26% had mild fibrosis. Patients with severe disease were initially prioritised for treatment, so all Operational Delivery Networks (ODNs) are now treating a significant proportion of people with mild disease. The majority of patients (84%) were treated in secondary care, with 8% receiving treatment in drugs services and 7% in prisons. Amongst those for whom it was possible to determine the outcome of treatment (n=21,904), 95% achieved a sustained viral response (SVR) 12 weeks after completing treatment.

Given the numbers treated so far, and assuming the planned scale-up of treatment can be achieved and a correspondingly high treatment rate continues, statistical modelling\(^{(3)}\) predicts that around 10,400 people will be living with HCV-related cirrhosis or HCC in England by 2020 and around 5,200 by 2030, representing a fall in HCV-related cirrhosis/HCC of 30% by 2020 and 65% by 2030 compared with a 2015 baseline.

Overall, with the increasing availability of DAA drugs, the WHO GHSS goals to reduce HCV-related morbidity and mortality should be within our reach, provided current improvements in numbers accessing treatment can be sustained in future years. Our ability to sustain the current increase in numbers accessing treatment will ultimately be limited by our ability to find and treat those who remain undiagnosed, and to help those who are diagnosed but untreated to engage with local treatment services; only then will we be able to continue to see a reduction in avoidable HCV-related deaths. At the other end of the spectrum, there is currently little evidence of any fall in the number of new HCV infections; if GHSS goals to reduce these levels are to be reached, then a radical change in our approach to HCV among PWID is required.

We are interested in receiving your feedback on this report and would be grateful if you could take 2 minutes to complete this short survey.

Thank you.
Public health recommendations

Making improvements and monitoring metrics

The National Strategic Group for Viral Hepatitis (NSGVH) to consider determining what ‘elimination as a major public health threat’ means for England and whether England specific milestones should be defined.

PHE to further develop national indicators and tools at both national and local levels, to help monitor progress towards the WHO GHSS goal to eliminate HCV as a serious public health threat by 2030 at the latest.\(^3\)

Public health professionals working in local authorities and Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCG) to consider including HCV in Joint Strategic Needs Assessments and subsequent health and wellbeing strategies.

Adequate harm reduction/prevention

Commissioners of services for people who inject drugs need to sustain or expand, as appropriate, the current broad range of provision (including opioid substitution treatment (OST), needle and syringe programmes (NSP), and patient information) to reduce transmission of HCV, including among people who inject new psychoactive substances or image and performance-enhancing drugs (IPED); National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance is available on NSP\(^9\) and OST.\(^10\)

PHE to consider how NSPs and their activity might be mapped and monitored.

Health and Justice Leads to help ensure that harm minimisation policies in secure and detained settings are maintained, including the provision of disinfectant/decontamination equipment for sharps.

Commissioners of services for people who inject drugs to specify the legal requirement to report HCV positive laboratory results with patient identifiers to PHE, including those from DBS testing.

Increasing the numbers and proportion diagnosed

All stakeholders to help improve awareness among professionals, for example by encouraging participation in e-learning.\(^7,\(^6\)
All stakeholders to improve the offer and uptake of HCV testing to those at risk of HCV infection by implementing NICE guidelines.\(^{(11)}\)

All stakeholders to continue to produce and disseminate appropriate communications, including resources, national reporting and infographics, to help mark World Hepatitis Day (28 July).

BBV prevention services should ensure that testing is sustained or enhanced, as appropriate,\(^{(12)}\) among those attending drug, and other, services; the use of newer approaches to testing, including use of capillary blood sampling and point of care testing, that may facilitate testing in non-clinical settings or alleviate delays in onset of treatment, should be further explored throughout England.

Health and Justice to ensure that bloodborne virus opt-out testing for new receptions to prisons in England continues to be monitored to inform strategies to improve the offer and uptake of testing.

Commissioners and providers of drug services to consider implementing bloodborne virus opt-out testing.

Commissioners and providers of laboratory services to ensure, wherever possible, that RNA amplification tests are performed on the same sample as the original antibody assay (reflex testing) to decrease the turnaround time for referral, benefit patient care and increase cost effectiveness.\(^{(13)}\)

Diagnostic laboratories should include patient referral instructions on the laboratory report, and implement direct reporting of new diagnoses to their ODN, as well as to the individual requesting the test.

**Increasing the numbers accessing hepatitis C treatment**

Commissioners of HCV treatment and care services should continue to work with public health agencies, primary and secondary care clinicians, and other stakeholders to simplify referral pathways; improve the availability, access and uptake of approved HCV treatments in primary and secondary care, drug treatment services, prisons and other settings; and to drive innovative approaches to outreach and patient support under the supervision of operational delivery networks.

PHE to evaluate the impact of the national re-engagement exercise (the controlled release of PHE held laboratory data on previously diagnosed patients to support case-finding and treatment within the NHS).
Treatment and BBV prevention services should ensure that appropriate information and support are provided to help guard against re-infection among those achieving a SVR following treatment.

The Department of Health to consider funding a national media campaign to encourage those with past risk factors but silent disease to come forward for testing, and to evaluate the impact of the campaign.
Introduction

HCV is a bloodborne virus that is often asymptomatic, and symptoms may not appear until the liver is severely damaged. Therefore, many individuals with chronic HCV infection remain undiagnosed and fail to access treatment. These individuals can then present only later with complications of HCV-related end-stage liver disease (ESLD) and primary liver cancer, which have poor survival rates.

Globally, viral hepatitis caused 1.34 million deaths in 2015, a number comparable to deaths caused by tuberculosis and higher than those caused by HIV.\(^4\) However, the number of deaths due to viral hepatitis is increasing over time, while mortality caused by tuberculosis and HIV is declining.\(^4\) In 2015, there were an estimated 71 million people with chronic HCV infection worldwide.\(^4\)

The revolution in HCV treatment through the development of direct-acting antiviral (DAA) drugs has generated international interest in the global elimination of HCV as a major public health threat, with the WHO setting elimination targets for 2030.\(^3\),\(^14\) For HCV, the global vision is that by implementing the GHSS for viral hepatitis, preventative efforts leading to fewer infections and deaths, as well as treatment efforts resulting in longer survival, together have the potential to prevent 2.1 million HCV-associated deaths worldwide by 2030.\(^{13}\)

In May 2016, the UK signed up to the WHO GHSS on Viral Hepatitis,\(^3\) committing to meet targets of an 80% reduction in incidence of HCV infection and a 65% reduction in mortality from HCV by 2030 (see appendix 1).

If we are to tackle HCV infection in England, it is critical that we continue to work with our partners to improve prevention, raise awareness, increase testing and get more diagnosed individuals into treatment and care, whilst ensuring that access to HCV services is equitable. This England report summarises the scale of the HCV problem in 2017, and presents metrics that allow us to monitor our progress (see appendix 2) and identify where focused action is needed if we are to honour our commitment to eliminate HCV as a major public health threat by 2030.
Vision and monitoring metrics

HCV is a curable infection, and it is our aspiration to support the WHO in their goal to eliminate HCV as a major public health threat by 2030 at the latest. This can be achieved via the collective action of all partner organisations involved in the prevention, diagnosis, treatment and care of those living with, or at risk of acquiring, HCV infection.

The focus of our vision is captured in the following vision statement:

‘All people at risk of HCV virus infection should have access to testing and, once tested, action should be taken to either reduce their risk of infection or prevent further transmission of the virus, and – if infected – place the patient on a treatment pathway’.

To track our progress, it is important to monitor the impact of interventions in the following 2 key impact areas:

- reducing transmission, and hence the number of new (incident) HCV infections
- reducing morbidity and mortality due to HCV and its complications

To support this, it is also important to monitor the coverage of services that are critical in driving down the levels of HCV infection and HCV-related mortality in England, namely the:

- adequacy of harm reduction
- numbers and proportion of infected people who are diagnosed
- numbers, and ultimately the proportion, of acute and chronically infected people accessing treatment

The preliminary indicators (see appendix 2), reported in the sections that follow and summarised in the headline data table, describe our progress and set out the scale of the challenge ahead so that meaningful goals can be developed and progress towards achieving them can be monitored.

New monitoring metrics

A number of new indicators are available this year, including: national modelled estimates of chronic prevalence\(^1\) and numbers diagnosed; sentinel surveillance testing data presented for drug and prison services, including the contribution of DBS testing; HCV RNA testing data for 2016 and 2017 from the UAM survey of people who inject drugs; the first batch of DBS testing data from private laboratories incorporated into laboratory reporting; and an HCV cascade of care for the English prison estate. In
addition, metrics are available on the uptake and outcome of treatment from the NHS England Hepatitis C Patient Registry and Treatment Outcome System.\(^{(2)}\)

As in previous years, where indicators are missing or in development, placeholders have been included (see appendix 2). With focused monitoring, we hope to continue to work with stakeholders to identify barriers and drive forward improvements across the system to help eliminate HCV as a major public health threat by 2030 at the latest.
Burden of HCV infection

Latest modelled estimates suggest that around 143,000 people (95% credible interval 123,000-161,000) in England were living with chronic HCV infection in 2015.\(^1\) Prevalence is estimated to have fallen in recent years, and was predicted to decline to 113,000 in 2018 (95% credible interval: 95,000, 132,000) with the advent of new treatments (Figure 1)\(^1\) The modelling approach is under development and makes use of multiple sources of routine surveillance data to track progress over time, in contrast to the previous ‘snapshot’ estimate for 2005.\(^15\) Nevertheless, the 2 models are broadly consistent.

Figure 1: Estimated chronic prevalence of HCV in England, 2009-2018.\(^1\)

Sentinel Surveillance of Bloodborne Virus Testing suggests that, in 2017, the majority of infections were either genotype 1 (46.0%) or genotype 3 (44.2%).\(^16\) Injecting drug use continues to be the most important risk factor for HCV infection, being cited as the risk in 92.1% of all laboratory reports where risk factors have been disclosed.\(^5\)

In 2017, of the people injecting psychoactive drugs, such as heroin, in the UAM survey of PWID, 52% tested positive for anti-HCV; this proportion has remained relatively stable over the past 12 years, although there is some evidence of an increase since 2011\(^5\) (Figure 2). HCV RNA testing of the UAM survey is currently underway and
results are available for 2016 and 2017 (Figure 2). In 2017, 49.9% of those testing positive for HCV antibodies had evidence of current infection, lower than observed in 2016 (56%). As further HCV RNA testing results become available for other years, trends in current infection will be presented.

**Figure 2: Trend in HCV prevalence among people injecting psychoactive drugs in England: 2007 to 2017**

Anti-HCV prevalence among people injecting psychoactive drugs and participating in the UAM survey in 2017 varied across England, being higher in London (68%), the North West (60%), and the South East (60%), with much lower prevalence seen in the West Midlands (35%) and the North East (37%).

In England and Wales, levels of infection are also elevated among survey participants who inject image or performance enhancing drugs (IPED), such as anabolic steroids, 5.1% of whom tested positive for antibodies to HCV during 2014-15. Updated prevalence data from the 2016-18 IPED survey will be available in the coming months and published in the HCV in England 2020 report.

Prevalence of infection is not only concentrated in areas with high levels of current and past injecting drug use, but also in areas where there are high numbers of black and minority ethnic populations who have close links to countries with a high prevalence of HCV infection. HCV disproportionately affects populations who are marginalised and under-served with poorer access to healthcare and poorer health outcomes.
Monitoring impact

If we are to eliminate HCV as a major public health threat, there are 2 key impact areas where we need to make progress: we need to reduce the numbers becoming seriously ill or dying from this infection, whilst at the same time reducing the number of people who become newly or re-infected. Everything we do should have an impact in these 2 areas.

Reducing HCV-related morbidity and mortality

In England, mortality from HCV-related liver disease increased up until 2014, as people who acquired their infections decades earlier progressed to advanced liver disease and access to previous treatments had been inadequate.\(^\text{(21)}\) Since the new DAA drugs\(^\text{(22),(23),(24),(25),(26),(27),(28, 29),(30)}\) have been available, along with ODNs to deliver them, a fall in the number of HCV-related liver transplants and deaths has been observed.\(^\text{(21)}\) As these treatments continue to be rolled-out, it is important to monitor trends in severe HCV-related morbidity and mortality to ensure that treatment is having an impact and that WHO elimination goals (see appendix 1) are being met.

Metrics to monitor trends in HCV related morbidity and mortality:

- registrations for liver transplant and transplants undertaken, where post-HCV cirrhosis is given as the indication for transplant
- death registrations for HCV-related ESLD/HCC

Registrations and liver transplants undertaken, where post-HCV cirrhosis is given as the indication for transplant

A marker of HCV-related morbidity is the number of English residents with post-HCV cirrhosis (recorded as either the primary, secondary or tertiary indication for transplant) registering at NHS BT for a liver transplant. Between 2008 and 2014, registrations have remained relatively stable averaging 134 per year (Range: 120-152;\(^\text{(5) Figure 3}\) with highest numbers of registrations occurring in London (21% between 2008-2017). However, over the last 3 years, registrations have fallen dramatically by over 50% (53.0%) compared to earlier years, to a ten-year low of 63 in 2017\(^\text{(5) Figure 3}\). Likewise, liver transplants undertaken for this indication, have remained relatively stable between 2008 and 2014, averaging 108 transplants per year (Range 93-123). Yet, over the last 3 years, numbers of transplants exceeded registrations, with the former decreasing by nearly 40% (38.9%) compared to earlier years\(^\text{(5)}\). Of all liver transplants performed in England, the percentage carried out in patients with HCV-related disease decreased from 20.8% in 2008 to 8.3% in 2017 (15.6% overall throughout the period).\(^\text{(5)}\)
This suggests that new treatments are having an impact with the annual number of livers transplanted for this indication being down by 42 in 2017 compared to earlier (2008-2014) years. Whilst the fall in numbers does not seem to be the result of increased removals from the list because of improved condition (personal communication), it is possible that fewer patients are listed for transplantation, perhaps following a potential positive influence on severe disease progression resulting in patients failing to meet the threshold for registration. However, it is not possible to rule out a degree of deferring listing pending delayed assessment of the impact of treatment on clinical condition. It will therefore take longer to assess whether this is the start of an established trend rather than simply an adjustment phenomenon, however, the early signs are encouraging.

Figure 3. Number of first patient registrations in England where post-HCV cirrhosis was given as either the primary, secondary or tertiary indication for transplant and the number of liver transplants undertaken in patients who were HCV positive (RNA or antibody) at transplant: 2008 to 2017*

---

*These figures are based on registry data as at 5 August 2018 and include both elective and super-urgent registrations.
**HCV liver transplant registrations are defined as: First transplants/registrations in England where post-hepatitis C cirrhosis was given as either the primary, secondary or tertiary indication for the liver transplant.

Source: NHS Blood and Transplant UK Transplant Registry
Deaths from HCV-related ESLD/HCC

Between 2005 and 2014, death registrations for HCV-related ESLD and HCC in England more than doubled, rising from 182 in 2005 to 381 in 2014 (Figure 4). Since 2014, however, deaths have been falling, with a fall of 16.3% between 2014 and 2017.

With a fall in deaths of 16.1% by 2017, from a 2015 baseline, the WHO target to reduce HCV-related mortality by 10% by 2020 (see appendix 1) will have been exceeded 3 years early in England. The fall in registered deaths is likely to be the result of increased access to DAA drugs that were introduced from 2014/15 (Figure 26), particularly for those individuals with more advanced disease. (31)

Figure 4. Death registrations for ESLD* or HCC in those with HCV mentioned on their death certificate in England: 2005 to 2017**

Reducing the number of new (incident) infections

Monitoring the impact of prevention measures on the incidence of infection remains a challenge as incident infection is difficult to measure directly. Ideally, we would monitor the actual or estimated number of new HCV infections that arise annually in PWID as well as any that result from net migration, and monitor this over time. However, the former is difficult to estimate because much of the acute infection is asymptomatic and undiagnosed and there is considerable uncertainty around the number of PWID in England. (32-35) Added to this, it is also difficult to select a sentinel population of PWID for monitoring that is representative of PWID as a whole. As a result, a number of methods
are used to generate information to provide insight into likely trends in incidence over time.\(^{(36)}\)

Metrics to monitor trends in numbers of new (incident) infections:

- estimated incidence of HCV among PWID
- prevalence of anti-HCV among recent initiates to injecting drug use (proxy measure)
- prevalence of anti-HCV among young adults (proxy measure)

**Estimated incidence of infection among people who inject drugs**

Recent transmission of HCV among those who had injected psychoactive drugs has been explored among participants in the UAM survey of PWID,\(^{(18),(37)}\) the methods for which are described elsewhere.\(^{(21)}\) Data suggest that incidence of infection has not declined in recent years (p= 0.276, Figure 5), although there is substantial uncertainty in the estimates, and significant variability between years (p= 0.024).

**Figure 5: Estimated incidence of HCV among people injecting psychoactive drugs in England who reported injecting in the previous year: 2011-2017* (95% CI)**

\(^{*}\) Those with HIV are excluded because they can have sub-optimal antibody responses as a result of their HIV infection.\(^{(36)}\)

\(^{**}\) The 2016 estimate is based on a pooled estimate of incidence calculated by antibody testing and RNA testing. For the incidence calculations of antibody testing (2011-2015) a fixed window period of 100 days was used, for RNA testing (2016) a fixed window period of 67 days was used. Please note that window periods of both measures are uncertain.

\(^{a}\) The 2017 estimate is based on incidence calculated by RNA testing alone. For RNA testing a fixed window period of 67 days was used.

Data source: Unlinked Anonymous Monitoring survey of people who inject drugs in contact with specialist services.\(^{(20)}\)
Estimated prevalence of anti-HCV among recent initiates to drug use and in young adults

As most new infections are acquired via injecting drug use at a relatively young age, the prevalence of infection in young adults or in recent initiates to injecting drug use, can be used as proxy measures of incidence.

Data from the UAM survey of PWID suggest that prevalence of infection among recent initiates to injecting drug use has been relatively stable over recent years, with prevalence of infection in 2017 (22.8%; CI 16.6-29.9) being similar to that observed in 2011 (20.3%; CI 15.4-26.0; Figure 6).

Figure 6. Prevalence of anti-HCV* among people who began injecting psychoactive drugs in the previous 3 years in England 2011-2017

In the UAM survey of PWID, only 7.5% of participants recruited in 2017 were recent initiates (167 individuals) and therefore statistical power to detect changes in incidence is low; data on markers of recent infection are even sparser. As such, markers of incidence from the UAM survey of PWID may only be able to provide evidence of a negative finding, such as an observed increase in a marker that rules out any improvement. Overall changes in anti-HCV or RNA prevalence in the UAM data are more likely to be detectable within the whole sample of 2,300-3,000 individuals per year; there would be 90% power to detect a 15% reduction in prevalence over 2 years at a 5% significance level. However, reductions in overall HCV prevalence in PWID may be
more related to the number achieving viral clearance following treatment than any impact on incidence of harm reduction.

Sentinel surveillance indicates a significant fall in the proportion of young adults testing anti-HCV positive over the 2013-2017 period, with an average decline of 14.6% per year in 15-19 year-olds and an average decline of 7.2% per year in 20-24 year-olds (Figure 7). Although the decline is promising, these results do not necessarily correspond to a fall in infections in young adults, as testing patterns may have changed over time; for instance, with a greater proportion tested outside of higher-risk drug treatment settings. Similar trends are observed in laboratory reports (Figure 8), with a steady decline in the proportion of positive tests in those aged 15-19 (2.4% per year) and aged 20-24 (7.1% per year) over the same period, although numbers of positive tests in those aged 15-19 and 20-24 have remained comparatively stable. Again, interpretation is difficult as overall numbers tested have increased substantially over time, with potential expansions into different settings and risk groups.

**Figure 7. Number of young adults first tested for anti-HCV and proportion positive by year in 15 sentinel laboratories: 2013 to 2017**

---

*Excludes samples collected outside routine testing such as look back studies and reference testing. Patient identifiable data submitted by NHS laboratories is variable, particularly from sexual health and drug and alcohol services, which limits the ability to deduplicate.

**Tested first time within Sentinel Surveillance of Blood Borne Virus Testing (SSBBV). It is not possible to identify whether the individual has had a previous test outside of SSBBV.

Data source: Sentinel Surveillance of Blood Borne Virus Testing
Overall, estimates and proxy indicators of HCV incidence provide no convincing evidence that the targets to reduce new cases of chronic HCV by 30% by 2020, and 80% by 2030\(^3\) (see appendix 1), will be met. If these goals are to be achieved, a radical change in the response to HCV among PWID is required.
Monitoring the coverage of key services

Eliminating HCV as a major public health threat by driving down HCV-related mortality and preventing new infections from occurring/re-occurring is feasible with the tools and approaches currently available in England. Investment in 3 core intervention areas is needed: (i) ensuring adequate harm reduction for PWID, (ii) increasing the proportion of viraemic individuals who are diagnosed, and (iii) increasing the proportion of viraemic individuals who access and complete treatment, achieving a SVR.

Adequate harm reduction

Harm reduction interventions for PWID, including access to sterile injecting equipment via NSP and effective drug dependence treatment, can prevent and control HCV among PWID. Specifically, OST is associated with a reduction in the risk of HCV acquisition, which is strengthened in studies that assess the combination of OST and NSP. Therefore, optimal access to clean injecting equipment and OST is key in curbing the spread of HCV, particularly given that it also has the potential to prevent re-infection after treatment.

Metrics to monitor trends in the adequacy of harm reduction:

- estimated adequacy of NSP coverage among PWID
- sharing of injecting equipment by PWID
- proportion of opioid dependent PWID receiving OST

NSP coverage

Studies in Europe suggest high NSP coverage to be associated with a reduction in the risk of HCV acquisition and that NSP is a highly effective, low-cost, intervention that can be cost saving in certain settings.

The GHSS on viral hepatitis and the draft action plan for the health sector response to viral hepatitis in the WHO European region call for a comprehensive package of harm reduction services to be in place for all PWID, including a major global increase in provision of sterile needles and syringes, from an estimated baseline of 20 needles and syringes per PWID per year to 200 by 2020 and 300 by 2030 (see appendix 1). However, these inevitably somewhat arbitrary figures, do not make any allowance for individual differences in need. In order to better reflect the adequacy of needle and syringe provision, data from the UAM survey of PWID are presented here on self-reported adequacy of needle and syringe provision (Figure 9). In this metric, needle and syringe provision is considered ‘adequate’ when the reported number of needles received, met or exceeded the number of times the individual injected.
In 2016, the UAM survey questionnaire was reviewed, resulting in a number of changes to data items from 2017 onwards. Questions around NSP access were updated to reflect changes in NSP provision that have been observed nationally and to incorporate information on secondary distribution of injecting equipment occurring among this population. Prior to 2017, participants in the UAM survey were asked how many needles they collected per month, and from 2017 onwards they were asked to report the frequency of NSP visits per month and the number of needles collected per visit for themselves and for others. As a result, the 2017 indicator is not comparable to previous years.

In 2017, among people injecting psychoactive drugs, 60% reported adequate NSP for their needs; the reported number of needles received met or exceeded the number of times the individual reported injecting (Figure 9). These data should be interpreted cautiously as more than 1 needle is often required per injection, as needles may also be used during drug preparation and an injection may require several attempts (and therefore needles) to access a vein. In 2017, 57% of those who injected in the last month reported having to insert the needle multiple times before accessing a vein on the last time they injected. Altogether, these findings indicate that, while evidence
suggests that the majority of PWID may be accessing NSP, the amount of equipment provided needs to be increased, provision better targeted, and awareness of transmission risks needs to be raised among PWID.

Sharing of injecting equipment and associated paraphernalia by PWID

As sharing of injecting equipment and associated paraphernalia is the main route of transmission of infection among PWID, it is important to monitor levels of sharing within this population. In England, 18% of people currently injecting psychoactive drugs and participating in the UAM survey, reported direct sharing of needles and syringes in 2017; this level has declined from 24% in 2007. When including the sharing of mixing containers or filters as well as needles and syringes, the proportion of those reporting direct and indirect sharing in 2017 is 36% (Figure 10). The reported level of sharing of needles, syringes and other injecting paraphernalia among people injecting psychoactive drugs participating in the UAM survey in 2017 varied across England; with the level ranging from 25% in London to 48% in the South West region. Although levels of direct sharing have declined over the past decade, there is no evidence of any fall over the last 5 years. This suggests that the amount of equipment provided needs to be increased and provision better targeted.

Figure 10. Trends in the sharing of injecting equipment and associated paraphernalia in the preceding 4 weeks among people injecting psychoactive drugs in England, 2007 to 2017

---
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Among those IPED in England and Wales, 13% reported that they had ever shared a needle, syringe or vial in 2014-2015.\(^{(40)}\)

**Drug treatment**

The draft action plan for the health sector response to viral hepatitis in the WHO European region\(^{(14)}\) calls for at least 40% of opioid dependent PWID to be receiving OST by 2020, a figure already estimated to have been exceeded in England with 55.5% receiving OST in 2011/12.\(^{(5)}\) Their call for at least 90% of PWID to be receiving targeted HCV information, education and communications\(^{(14)}\) has yet to be quantified in England, although there are a variety of targeted information and education communications available (see section on raising awareness, pages 34-35).

**Raising awareness and increasing the numbers and proportion diagnosed**

Early diagnosis of HCV infection is important for the most effective treatment and care and prevention of progression to more advanced liver disease, yet in 2015, of the 71 million persons estimated to be living with HCV infection globally, only 20% knew their diagnosis.\(^{(4)}\) In the UK, levels of awareness of infection are well above the 20% global average, but are still suboptimal with positive results not always linking individuals into treatment and care services.\(^{(52)}\)

Metrics to monitor trends in awareness, numbers and proportions diagnosed:

- proportion of PWID testing positive for HCV who are aware of their positive status
- lower bound estimates of the proportion of chronic HCV infection diagnosed
- numbers of GPs, and others working with groups at risk of HCV infection, completing RCGP HCV e-learning courses
- trend in numbers tested and proportion positive in the general population and primary care
- trend in numbers tested and proportion positive in key risk groups including PWID, those in secure and detained settings, and individuals of South Asian and Eastern European origin
- offer and uptake of HCV testing among PWID
- uptake of HCV testing in English prisons
- HCV testing cascade in the English prison estate
- rates of infection in the blood donor population, along with risk factors for acquisition of infection
Estimated proportion diagnosed or aware of their HCV status

An estimate of the proportion of PWID diagnosed can be obtained from the UAM survey.\(^{(53),(18)}\) Up until 2016, these surveys have suggested that only around one half of PWID sampled in England were aware of their positive anti-HCV status and this figure has remained relatively stable at this level over the last 10 years (Figure 11). However, data from the latest UAM survey suggest higher levels of awareness in 2017, with around 2 thirds of PWID sampled (66%) aware of their anti-HCV positive status (Figure 11). This figure should be interpreted with caution as changes in the 2017 UAM survey, introduced to differentiate between past and current HCV infection, have resulted in increased levels of non-response to this question. This is likely to account for some of the increase observed in this measure. In 2017, 56% of PWID sampled were aware of their current (HCV RNA positive) infection (Figure 11).

Figure 11. Estimated proportion of people injecting psychoactive drugs testing positive for HCV in England, who are aware of their infection, 2007-2017

Modelling used to obtain estimates of chronic HCV prevalence in 2015 (Figure 1)\(^{(1)}\) can also be used to derive a lower bound estimate of the number of diagnosed individuals. The model tracks the infected population over time, with the proportion diagnosed in each year being informed by laboratory reports of first diagnoses of HCV (Figure 13). After accounting for spontaneous clearance, mortality and viral clearance following
treatment, the proportion of remaining chronic infections that were diagnosed in 2018 is estimated to be 30% (Figure 12). Of those ever chronically infected (i.e. including those that have been diagnosed, treated and achieved SVR) the proportion diagnosed in 2018 was estimated to be 53% (Figure 12). These are lower bound estimates as not all HCV positive tests are reported to PHE; they also relate to estimated prevalence. The 53% is broadly comparable to the UAM survey estimate of 56% aware of their current HCV infection status, which is expected to be higher in PWID in contact with services. Although 30% of current untreated chronic infection being diagnosed represents the lower bound, this figure may flag a warning that testing and diagnosis might not be keeping up with planned treatment targets.

**Figure 12. Lower bound model estimates of the proportion of chronic HCV infection diagnosed in England 2010 to 2018**

The GHSS on viral hepatitis calls for a major global increase in the diagnosis of chronic HCV infection, with 30% of people infected knowing their status by 2020 and 90% by 2030.\(^3\) However, the WHO action plan for the European region sets relatively more ambitious targets of 50% diagnosed and aware of their infection by 2020 and 75% of those with late-stage HCV-related liver disease diagnosed by 2020\(^{14}\) (see appendix 1). While the first target of 50% being diagnosed by 2020 may have already been reached in England, more needs to be done if we are to reach the 90% target by 2030. It will be important to act promptly to increase the numbers diagnosed in England, as diagnosis
very quickly becomes a limiting factor for ODNs as they successfully treat their known diagnosed population.

To reduce the levels of undiagnosed infection, it is necessary to raise awareness of HCV and to roll out (and monitor) testing to more individuals at risk of infection, including priority populations like PWID, those in secure and detained settings, and to populations with close links to countries with a high prevalence of HCV infection. There are also those who may no longer be in contact with services because they acquired their infections many years earlier, for example following a past period of injecting drug use or via blood transfusion before the introduction of routine screening of the blood supply in 1991.

Raising awareness

HCV is usually asymptomatic in the early years of infection, so many individuals are unaware of their positive status. There are also other individuals who may have been tested in the past but have not accessed treatment. Raising both professional and public awareness remains a priority therefore, and an important component of reducing the burden of HCV infection.

To ensure that as many eligible people as possible are treated with the new more effective treatments, PHE have provided data to support the NHS in identifying people, registered with a GP, who have been diagnosed with HCV in the past but who may not have cleared their infections. The NHS is in the process of contacting these patients to offer testing, so those with current infection can be referred for assessment for treatment.

As in previous years, a variety of initiatives are ongoing to increase public awareness of HCV. Many of these are specifically designed to target those at highest risk of infection, including past or current PWID, those in secure and detained settings, and individuals of South Asian origin. The success of all these initiatives has been dependent on the significant contribution of numerous stakeholders working across a range of settings. For example, The Hepatitis C Trust’s South Asian Outreach Officer leads awareness and testing events within the South Asian community at Melas, Mosques and other community centres, in partnership with community leaders and local health authorities, to help raise awareness of HCV in the South Asian community. Other services include the Trust’s outreach and testing van as well as the development and implementation of patient centred HCV interventions in collaboration with drug providers, including staff training, peer-to-peer education, buddying, and the provision of a confidential helpline (+44 (0) 20 7089 6221).

World Hepatitis Day, held on 28 July each year, continues to provide a focus for raising awareness of HCV. In previous years, PHE have launched a HCV testing quiz, hosted
by The Hepatitis C Trust and now completed by over 7,100 people, to encourage people to find out whether they might have been exposed to the virus and would benefit from an HCV test. A linking poster campaign, fronted by TV’s Dr Christian Jessen, involved the distribution of posters to GP surgeries throughout England, encouraging people to take the quiz to see whether they might be at risk of infection. Over 6,900 posters have been distributed to date; these posters are available from the Health and Social Care Publications Order line along with a guidance factsheet (+44 (0) 300 123 1003; Product code: HEPCQUIZ001).

Further resources developed by PHE, in collaboration with stakeholders, include posters in Urdu, along with risk videos and banners for social media in different languages co-branded by the World Hepatitis Alliance, The British Liver Trust and The Hepatitis C Trust. These free resources help people to recognise any risk for infection and encourage those at risk to seek testing.

The RCGP Certificate in the Detection, Diagnosis and Management of Hepatitis B and C in Primary Care was developed to help raise awareness in primary care and among other professionals working with groups at high risk of viral hepatitis infection. By the end of February 2017, 2,827 individuals had completed the e-learning module.\(^5\) In November 2018, the course was updated\(^6\) and by the end of February 2019, 78 individual’s had completed the updated course.\(^5\) A further RCGP course, ‘Hepatitis C: Enhancing Prevention, Testing and Care’ is also available and comprises 4 lessons: understanding HCV; preventing transmission; testing and diagnosis; and treatment and care.\(^7\) This course was updated in January 2018, and by the end of February, 2019, 1754 individuals had completed this e-learning module.\(^5\) Other downloadable resources are also available, like those accessible via the International Network on Hepatitis in Substance Users.

A PHE drugs commissioning support pack for adults\(^55\) is available and outlines principles that local areas might consider when developing plans for integrated alcohol and drugs prevention, treatment and recovery systems. The pack includes data and prompts relating to HCV testing and pathways to treatment and support for HCV. A PHE ‘Turning Evidence Into Practice’ briefing gives an overview of the main issues relating to HCV that local providers and commissioners of drug and hepatitis treatment should be aware of, with advice on improving access to, and completion of, HCV treatment.\(^56\)

Since 2013/14, PHE in partnership with NHS England and Her Majesty’s Prison and Probation Service (HMPPS) have overseen the rollout of BBV testing in adult prisons on an ‘opt-out’ basis. A significant milestone was reached in April 2018, when after more than 4 years of implementation, the programme was successfully rolled out across the entire adult prison estate. The challenge moving forward will be increasing BBV testing levels to within the upper NHS England performance standard (see section ‘Testing and diagnosis in secure and detained settings; pages 43-45). To this end, focus will move
from programme implementation to improving the quality and uptake of testing within prisons. This will entail a ‘whole system approach’ that will see collaboration between public, private and third sector agencies to improve peer support networks, identify BBV lead nurses, standardise testing offer and organise various stakeholder engagement events with a focus on improving testing and treatment rates in prisons.

Overall, the non-government organisation (NGO) sector has been particularly influential and their work is essential and complements government and public sector initiatives in this important area.

**Testing and diagnosis**

In England, testing and diagnosis monitoring data are available from a variety of surveillance systems: the UAM survey of PWID,[40] Sentinel Surveillance of Blood Borne Virus Testing, Laboratory Reporting, the NDTMS, the NHS BT/PHE Epidemiology Unit Blood Donor Surveillance Scheme and via HJIPs. Trends in HCV diagnosis and testing are useful for monitoring the impact of awareness-raising initiatives and prevention activity; this in turn helps to track national progress in controlling the infection. Monitoring testing and diagnosis is useful at both a population level, as well as in sub-groups that are at increased risk of infection. Monitoring HCV in blood donors, who are at low risk of bloodborne virus infection, is also very useful for identifying new groups of individuals who may be at risk of infection.

NICE public health guidance exists to help focus activity to ensure that more people at increased risk of HCV (and HBV) infection are offered testing.[11]

**Testing and diagnosis in the general population and primary care**

Over the last 2 decades (1996-2017), there has been a more than eightfold increase in the number of laboratory confirmed reports of HCV in England (Figure 13). In 2017, 17,186 laboratory reports of individuals testing positive for anti-HCV and/or HCV RNA were reported (Figure 13).[5] Although de-duplication procedures have been undertaken to prevent double counting of individuals, the quality of reports is such that linking is unlikely to be complete. Around 2-thirds of laboratory reports (69.1%) were in men and almost 1 half (44.8%) of all reports received were in individuals aged between 25 and 39 years (Figures 14 and 15).
Figure 13. Number of laboratory reports* of HCV from England: 1996 to 2017**

* Laboratory reports include positive test results for hepatitis C antibody and/or hepatitis C RNA. 2017 data are provisional and figures for previous years are subject to change as a result of late reporting and the associated de-duplication procedure. The nature of laboratory reporting and the associated de-duplication procedure is such that re-infections are not captured. In addition, patient identifiable data submitted by NHS laboratories is variable, particularly from sexual health and drug and alcohol services, which limits the ability to deduplicate. Results for children under 1 year of age are excluded to rule out the likelihood of simply detecting maternal antibody.

** Statutory notification by diagnostic laboratories was introduced in October 2010.

† CDSS testing from some, but not all, private laboratories included from 2014.

Data Source: CQSU/SGSS

Figure 14: Age and sex distribution, where reported, of laboratory reports of HCV from England: 1996 to 2017***†

* Laboratory reports include positive test results for hepatitis C antibody and/or hepatitis C RNA. 2017 data are provisional and figures for previous years are subject to change as a result of late reporting and the associated de-duplication procedure. The nature of laboratory reporting and the associated de-duplication procedure is such that re-infections are not captured. In addition, patient identifiable data submitted by NHS laboratories is variable, particularly from sexual health and drug and alcohol services, which limits the ability to deduplicate. Results for children under 1 year of age are excluded to rule out the likelihood of simply detecting maternal antibody.

*** Statutory notification by diagnostic laboratories was introduced in October 2010.

† CDSS testing from some, but not all, private laboratories included from 2014.

Data Source: CQSU/SGSS
Figure 15: Age distribution of laboratory reports of HCV in England by ODN: 2017**/*†

Trends in testing were analysed using data from 15 of the 22 sentinel laboratories where complete and consistent data have been available from January 2013 to December 2017 (Figure 16). Numbers of tests undertaken rose by 20.7% between 2013 and 2017, with an 12.7% increase in the number of tests conducted among people who have never had a previous test reported by the sentinel laboratories. The proportion of tests identified as anti-HCV positive declined from 1.9% in 2013 to 1.6% in 2017, and among people who had no previous test reported by the sentinel laboratories from 2.7% to 2.3% respectively. These figures are consistent with a higher proportion of people at relatively lower risk of infection being tested.
In sentinel laboratories, the number of tests undertaken via GP surgeries rose by 5.7% between 2013 and 2017, with similar numbers of tests conducted among people who have never had a previous test reported by sentinel laboratories over the same period (Figure 17). The proportion of tests conducted in GP surgeries identified as anti-HCV positive declined from 2.4% in 2013 to 1.9% in 2017, and from 2.8% to 2.2% among people who had not had a previous test reported by the sentinel laboratories (Figure 17).
Testing and diagnosis in people who inject drugs

In the UAM survey of PWID, (53), (18) 84% of those injecting psychoactive drugs reported ever having had a voluntary confidential test (VCT) for HCV in 2017. Although uptake of VCT has increased from 75% in 2007, it seems to have plateaued in the last 8 years (Figure 18). (4) The proportion of those ever tested who had their last test during the preceding 2 years was 57% in 2017, which was similar to the proportion found in previous years (Figure 18). (4) Alongside the potential plateau in PWID reporting ever having a VCT, a similar trend can be seen in the proportion of PWID aware of their infection, which has remained at around 50% over the last decade (See Figure 11). In part at least, this will be because those who are at continuing risk of infection may not always be offered, or take up the offer of, further HCV tests at regular intervals.
Among those injecting IPEDs in England and Wales, 41% reported a voluntary and confidential test for HCV in 2014-2015.\(^{(40)}\)

Similarly, NDTMS data suggest that levels of HCV testing among people in treatment for drug and alcohol use, are continuing to rise in England.\(^{(5)}\) Among adults in drug and alcohol treatment who are eligible to receive a test, the proportion who have an HCV test recorded has increased from 43.2% (2009/10) to 68.2% (2017/18).\(^{(5)}\) A similar rise has been recorded in adults newly presenting for drug treatment (37.1% in 2009/10 compared with 53.4% in 2017/18).\(^{(5)}\) When considering just those who have ever injected drugs, in 2017/18 more than 4-fifths (84.2%) were recorded as having received a test,\(^{(5)}\) an increase from over 1 half (56.9%) in 2009/10. Levels of testing among those who have ever injected drugs who are newly presenting to treatment have remained stable at around 73.6% (Range: 72.7, 75.0) over the last 5 years.\(^{(5)}\)

In 2017/18, more than 4-fifths (83.4%; n= 160,537) of all adults receiving drug and alcohol treatment were recorded as having been offered a HCV test and around 1 half of those offered (47.2%, n= 90,976) accepted the offer.\(^{(5)}\) Of those newly presenting to treatment, around 3-quarters (76.7%, n= 58,806 ) were offered testing, with just over 1 third of those offered (34.5%, n= 26,453) accepting the offer.\(^{(5)}\) Considering just those
who have ever injected drugs, nearly 90% were offered a test (88.6%, n= 85,841), and nearly 3-fifths of those offered (57.7%, n= 55,969) accepted the offer in 2017/18.\(^{(5)}\) A similar proportion of those newly presenting to treatment were offered testing (82.7%, n= 22,948), with just over 40% offered (43.1%, n=11,956) accepting the offer.\(^{(5)}\)

Data from sentinel surveillance suggests that DBS testing now far outweighs testing of venous blood in the drug service setting, and numbers tested using DBS are likely to be higher as DBS testing data are not yet available from all providers. While the numbers of first tests and all tests increased by around 1 third (36.3% and 31.8% respectively) between 2013 and 2014, both have since fallen between 2014 and 2017 by 44.8% and 32.6% respectively. However, it is possible that this fall may be partly explained by data from private laboratories not yet having been incorporated into sentinel surveillance.

**Figure 19. Number of tests and number of people first tested for anti-HCV by year, and proportion positive, through drug services in 15 sentinel laboratories: 2013 to 2017**

---

*Excludes samples collected outside routine testing such as look back studies, reference testing and children aged <1 year. Patient identifiable data submitted by NHS laboratories is variable, particularly from sexual health and drug and alcohol services, which limits the ability to deduplicate.

**Includes all tests until a person is diagnosed positive, no tests are counted after a positive test, a person can be counted more than once.

***Tested first time within Sentinel Surveillance of Blood Borne Virus Testing (SSBBV). It is not possible to identify whether the individual has had a previous test outside of SSBBV.

Data source: Sentinel Surveillance of Blood Borne Virus Testing.
Testing and diagnosis among people in secure and detained settings

HCV affects a larger proportion of people in prison and other detention centres than the wider population, principally as a result of the relatively higher levels of injecting drug use that are observed among this population.\(^{(57)}\),\(^{(58)}\)

Prison Health Performance and Quality Indicators and HJIPs have shown a rise in HCV tests performed, from 5.3% in 2010/11 to 19.4% in 2017/18\(^{(5)}\) (Figure 20). It is likely that the recent increase in testing of people in prisons is due to the introduction of BBV opt-out testing, which was agreed in October 2013 by PHE, NHS England and HMPPS\(^{(59)}\) and is now fully implemented across the prison estate. While this increase in testing is welcomed, current levels are still below the lower BBV testing threshold proposed by NHS England (50-74%), and well below the target threshold of at least 75% uptake.

**Figure 20. Proportion of new receptions to English prisons tested for hepatitis C: financial years 2010/11 to 2017/18\(^*\)**

*Figures above bars = number of prisons providing data / total number of prisons (numbers change due to closures)
* Provisional HJP data
** Robust data currently not available for the first year following introduction of HJIPs
Data source: Prison Health Performance Quality Indicators (PHePQIs, NHS Trust Development Agency) and Health and Justice Indicators of performance (HJIPs).
Performance in relation to the BBV opt-out testing programme is measured at the prison level by NHS England through the collection of data via HJIPs. These metrics include specific reports on the number of BBV tests offered within 72 hours of reception, the number of tests undertaken, the number of people newly diagnosed, the number of patients referred for specialist treatment following diagnosis, and the number who received treatment. These data are used by NHS England commissioners to performance manage healthcare providers in prisons and are important for identifying potential attrition points in the testing pathway. In the 2017/18 financial year, HJIP testing data suggest that, after excluding previously confirmed cases, 75% of new receptions and transfers were offered HCV testing and of these 26% were tested (Figure 21). Of those tested, 11% were positive and 46% of these went on to have HCV RNA testing (Figure 21). While the high proportion with active infection seems anomalous and is under investigation, these preliminary data suggest that around 40% of those testing positive for HCV RNA received specialist referrals for their HCV (Figure 21).

Figure 21: Hepatitis C testing cascade in the English prison estate, 2017/18* (n=112 prisons).

In sentinel laboratories, the number of tests undertaken via prison services rose by 203.0% between 2013 and 2017, with a 193.6% increase in the number of tests
conducted among people who have never had a previous test reported by the sentinel laboratories (Figure 22). The proportion of tests conducted via prison services identified as anti-HCV positive declined from 11.3% in 2013 to 7.2% in 2017, and from 12.2% to 7.5% among people who had not had a previous test reported by the sentinel laboratories (Figure 22). Data from sentinel surveillance also suggest increased access to DBS testing in this setting, particularly over the last year (2017).

**Figure 22. Number of tests and number of people first tested for anti-HCV by year, and proportion positive, through prisons in 15 sentinel laboratories: 2013 to 2017**

Overall, these data suggest an increasing awareness of HCV across the prison estate with significant increases in testing, including DBS testing. While levels of testing remain sub-optimal, work is ongoing to move from the implementation of BBV testing to improving the quality and uptake of testing within prisons. This work will see the continued development of a number of commissioned interventions and key pieces of work by NHS England, PHE, HMPPS and other private and third sector partners in 2018/19 and beyond.

**Testing and diagnosis in black and minority ethnic populations**

In sentinel surveillance, ethnicity is assigned using information from laboratory reports, and supplemented using name analysis software (Nam Pehcham and ONOMAP) when ethnicity is not reported. The number of anti-HCV tests undertaken among the South Asian population rose by 17.4% between 2013 and 2017, with an 8.8% increase in the number of tests conducted among people who had no previous test reported by.
the sentinel laboratories (Figure 23). The overall increase in testing may be a reflection of targeted awareness-raising campaigns that have taken place among South Asian communities over recent years. Over this period (2013 to 2017), 1.3% of tests among people of South Asian origin were anti-HCV positive, declining from 1.6% in 2013 to 1.1% in 2017; the corresponding figures for people of South Asian origin who had no previous test reported by the sentinel laboratories were 2.4% and 1.6% respectively (Figure 23). These figures are consistent with an expanding testing programme, with a higher proportion of individuals at relatively lower risk of infection being tested.

Figure 23: Number of tests and number of people first tested for anti-HCV by year, and proportion positive, in people of South Asian* origin in 15 sentinel laboratories: 2013 to 2017**

Sentinel surveillance data indicates that the number of tests among people who were identified as being of Eastern European origin (using laboratory reported ethnicity and ONOMAP**62 name analysis software), increased by 76.0% between 2013 and 2017, with a similar increase (74.0%) over the same period in the number of tests conducted among people who have never had a previous test reported by the sentinel laboratories (Figure 24). Over this period, 3.4% of tests among people of Eastern European origin were anti-HCV positive, and 4.8% among people of Eastern European origin who had no previous test reported by the sentinel laboratories (Figure 24). These figures suggest that these individuals may be at relatively increased risk of having acquired
HCV and/or that testing of this ethnic group is more targeted at higher risk individuals than in the general population.

**Figure 24: Number of tests and number of people first tested for anti-HCV by year, and proportion positive, in people of Eastern European origin* in 15 sentinel laboratories: 2013 to 2017**

![Image of a bar chart showing number of tests and number of people first tested for anti-HCV by year, and proportion positive, in people of Eastern European origin in 15 sentinel laboratories: 2013 to 2017.]

*Persons of Eastern European ethnicity were identified using laboratory reported ethnicity and CNICMAP software where name was available.

**Excludes samples collected outside routine testing such as audit back studies, reference testing and children aged <1 year. Patient identifiable data submitted by NHS laboratories is variable, particularly from sexual health and drug and alcohol services, which limits the ability to de-identify.

***Includes all tests until a person is diagnosed positive, no tests are counted after a positive test, a person can be counted more than once.

****Tested first time within Sentinel Surveillance of Blood Borne Virus Testing (SSBBV). It is not possible to identify whether the individual has had a previous test outside of SSBBV.

Data source: Sentinel Surveillance of Blood Borne Virus Testing

**Testing to establish levels of co-infection with HIV**

In people with HCV, HIV co-infection can lead to faster progression to liver disease. In order to estimate levels of co-infection among the HCV population in England, adults with current HCV infection reported to sentinel surveillance were linked to the PHE national HIV database. Results suggest that between 2008 and 2014, 5.0% of adults with a current HCV infection were diagnosed with HIV infection; around 2 thirds reported their probable route of HIV transmission as sex between men, and nearly 1 quarter reported injecting drug use.

In response to increased levels of HCV infection among patients with HIV, the British HIV Association announced ambitious targets for the micro elimination of HCV in patients with HIV, with the aim of curing HCV in 80% of those co-infected by April 2019, 90% by April 2020, and 100% by April 2021.
Testing of the blood donor (low-risk) population

Blood donors are generally at low risk of BBVs; monitoring infections among them is important as observations in this group may flag issues in the wider population. NHS BT currently collects blood donations from donors in England; all donations are screened for anti-HCV and RNA while repeat reactive donations undergo confirmatory testing. Numbers and rates shown are for confirmed positive donations/donors\(^{(5)}\) (Figure 25).

In 2017, 30 blood donors were confirmed positive for anti-HCV, 26 new donors and 4 repeat donors. Of the 4 repeat donors, 3 appeared to have results consistent with cleared infection, only 1 was HCV RNA positive. The rate in new donors was similar in 2017, at 18.0 per 100,000 donations, to the rate of 18.5 per 100,000 new donations reported in 2016, (Figure 25).\(^{(5)}\)

**Figure 25: Rate of HCV among donations from new and repeat blood donors in England: 1991 to 2017\(^*\)**

In 2017, 90.0% (27/30) of all the blood donors testing positive for HCV in England were of white ethnicity with around half (13/27; 48.1%) born in the UK and 44.4% (12/27) born in other parts of Europe; males comprised 60.0% (18/30), and 46.7% (14/30) were 45 years and over. In previous years, new donors of South Asian ethnicity were disproportionately affected with the highest rate of HCV infection among new donors in 2016,\(^{(21)}\) however in 2017, only one HCV positive donor of South Asian origin was
identified. The rates of HCV in new donors of ‘other white background’ were high, as in previous years at 95 per 100,000 donors, or about ten times higher than in white-British donors. The 4 repeat HCV positive donors were all in the 45 and over age group, 3 females and 3 white-British, and 1 of white-other background.

Similar to 2016, 15.4% of HCV positive new donors (4/26) had no risk factor assigned. People with a history of injecting use are permanently deferred from donating in the UK, although donors do not always disclose this behaviour. The number and proportion of HCV positive donors reporting injecting drug use varies each year but is currently at a very low level; in 2017, 3 reported a history of injecting and a further 2 females reported partners who had injected drugs. One donor reported sex between men, which has been rarely reported in HCV positive blood donors. Where known, and similar to 2016, possible blood contact covering a wide range of not necessarily causal exposures, accounted for 40.9% of HCV cases (9/22), half abroad (5/9; 55.6%). A further 27.3% (6/22) were assigned as originating from a country with higher prevalence of HCV than the UK without any other possible exposure reported (1 from India, 1 from Egypt, 1 from Afghanistan, 2 from Poland and 1 from Latvia). One other donor had a history of transfusion abroad in the 1980s. No risk was identified for the 3 repeat donors, the fourth had only a history of tattoos with apparent cleared infection.

Increasing the numbers accessing hepatitis C treatment

The GHSS on viral HCV calls for 3 million people with chronic HCV to have been treated by 2020, and by 2030 treatment coverage to reach 80% of the eligible population. However, the WHO action plan for the European region sets relatively more ambitious targets of 75% of diagnosed patients with chronic HCV having accessed treatment by 2020, with more than 90% of these cured, and 90% of all diagnosed patients being linked into care and adequately monitored by 2020 (see appendix 1). Worldwide, it is estimated that 7 per cent of those diagnosed with HCV were started on treatment in 2015. In the era of pegylated interferon and ribavirin treatment in England, this figure was estimated to be higher, but still suboptimal, with around 20% of those testing positive for HCV RNA thought to have accessed treatment.

While prevention activity is crucial in reducing the rate of new infections, numbers already infected would remain high for many years without effective HCV treatment, which has the potential to dramatically reduce the number of deaths in the short and medium term.

From the public health perspective, DAA drugs offer a considerable advantage over previous HCV treatments because their all-oral, shorter treatment durations, and improved safety profiles make them easier to roll out in community/outreach settings where it is easiest to reach many of those infected. While the high price of these drugs
represents a major barrier to access in many countries worldwide, these medicines are being rolled out in England in accordance with national recommendations.\(^{(22),(23),(24),(25),(26),(27),(28),(68),(31),(69)}\)

Metrics to monitor numbers/access to hepatitis C treatment:

- numbers initiating HCV treatment
- based on the above, annual predictions of the number of people expected to be living with HCV related end-stage liver disease/hepatocellular carcinoma in 2020 and 2030
- numbers of people originating from, or born in, South Asia accessing treatment services
- proportion of treatments in those currently injected drugs
- proportion of referrals from services for key risk groups, like drugs services and prisons.
- disease stage of those accessing treatment
- proportion of people treated outside traditional secondary and tertiary care settings via outreach services

Treatment initiations in England

As we work towards producing estimates of the proportion of the chronically infected population who achieve a SVR following treatment, NHS England commissioning data suggest significant increases in the number of people accessing treatment since 2014 (Figure 26). Between 2008 and 2014, provisional estimates suggest that numbers initiating HCV treatment in England remained relatively stable at around 5,100 initiations per year (Mean: 5,096; Range: 4,738-5,484\(^{(5)}\) Figure 26). However, between 2015/16 and 2017/18, NHS England data suggest that significantly more people (27,028 in total) accessed treatment than in earlier years, 18.3% more in 2015/16 than mean 2008-2014 levels (935/5096*100), 56.5% more in 2016/17 than in 2015/16 (3409/6031*100), and 22.4% more in 2017/18 than in 2016/17 (2117/9440*100). This is the result of access to DAA drugs that have been coming online since 2014/15.\(^{(23),(27),(28),(26),(24)}\)

In 2017, Arden and Greater East Midlands Commissioning Support Unit were commissioned by NHS England to produce a HCV patient registry and treatment outcome system to capture more detailed information for patients.\(^{(2)}\) Following system rollout in May/June 2017, NHS HCV ODNs throughout England have been inputting data into the system, supported by the Commissioning for Quality and Innovation (CQUIN) framework, which supports improvements in the quality of services and the creation of new, improved patterns of care.\(^{(70)}\) These registry data (yellow bars, Figure 26) suggest that just over 24,000 people (n= 24,061) were treated between 2015/16 and 2017/18, less than estimated via commissioning data (2,967 less when subsequent treatments are excluded or 2,779 less when subsequent treatments are included).
Impact of HCV treatment on HCV-related end stage liver disease.

NHS England treatment run-rate figures were developed based on information from NICE on clinical and cost effectiveness and clinical advice regarding predicted uptake rates. NICE guidance supports access to DAA drugs but requires the prioritisation of patients based on highest unmet clinical need. Currently ODNs are given a share of the national annual treatment run-rates based on estimated local need. NHS England treated 9,440 patients in 2016/17 and 11,557 in 2017/18, with targets to have treated around 13,000 in 2018/19, 14,000 in 2019/20 and 15,000 in 2020/21. Given the numbers treated so far, and assuming the planned scale-up can be achieved and a correspondingly high treatment rate continues, statistical modelling predicts that around 10,400 people would be living with HCV-related cirrhosis or HCC in England by 2020 and around 5,200 by 2030 (Figure 27), representing a fall in HCV-related cirrhosis/HCC of 30% by 2020 and 65% by 2030 compared with a 2015 baseline.
These figures are based on a number of modelling assumptions. In those diagnosed, treatment rates are assumed equal across disease stages. In practice, those with cirrhosis have been prioritised and therefore the impact may be greater than that predicted here, especially in the short term. More rapid diagnosis and treatment of those with cirrhosis could potentially halve ESLD/HCC by 2020. Conversely, rates of post-SVR disease progression in those with cirrhosis are assumed to be low, but long-term outcomes are not yet well-quantified; achieving SVR may not necessarily prevent progression to ESLD/HCC. It is also assumed that diagnosis rates remain stable in future, and the number of infected individuals available to be treated keeps pace with planned treatment levels. If treatment cannot keep pace with planned delivery, the impact will be correspondingly less.

Despite the potential limitations of modelling, a substantial reduction in severe HCV-related disease is likely; and it is inevitable that DAA drugs will have a dramatic impact in comparison to previous interferon-based therapy. Although treating those with cirrhosis is imperative, a rising number of those infected are progressing to cirrhosis and may transmit infection to others. Therefore, treatment of mild and moderate stage...
disease is also required to maintain reductions in HCV-related disease and reduce the numbers becoming newly or re-infected.\(^{(29)}\)

**Access to treatment and outcome**

Many HCV infections occur in marginalised communities, including PWID and black and minority ethnic populations. It is therefore important to ensure that care pathways exist that allow these individuals, as well as others, to access treatment and care.

Data are now available from the NHS England national HCV Patient Registry and Treatment Outcome System, the content, completeness and preliminary findings from which have been reported elsewhere.\(^{(2)}\) These data allow us to see whether treatment is reaching key risk groups and help us to understand how much treatment is being delivered outside traditional secondary and tertiary care settings via outreach services.

As at 31 December 2018, the register contained 39,420 records, of which 978 were excluded as they represented duplicate records (152 were duplicate treatment episodes; 826 were people with a treatment episode who appeared again just as a registry entry, or who had no treatment episodes but appeared in the register more than once). The remaining 38,442 records were for: 7,235 people who had no treatment; 30,537 people who had just 1 treatment episode in the register; 329 people with 2 treatment episodes; and 4 people with 3 treatment episodes in the register. (Figure 28).
Focusing on the ‘first’ treatments for individuals with a treatment episode in the register (30,870 people in total by the end of 2018; yellow bars, Figure 26), 71.1% of patients were male and patients’ average age was 50.6 (SD: 11.9) years. Patients were predominantly white (80.9%), with 9.8% Asian/Asian British and 4.2% classified as Black/African/Caribbean/Black British. Twenty eight percent were born outside the UK. The mean date of HCV diagnosis was 2011, with around half (49.6%) of infections having been first diagnosed in 2013 or earlier, and almost a third (31.6%) having a first diagnosis after 2015. Most infections were genotype 1 (52.9%) with a further 37.5% genotype 3. Information on injecting status was provided for 67.9% of the sample, and showed 21.5% to be people who had currently/recently injected drugs (injected in the last 3 years), 34.1% were recorded as never having injected drugs, while most (44.5%) were reported to be people who had injected drugs in the past but were no longer injecting. The distribution of injecting status amongst those accessing treatment, varied considerably by ODN (Figure 29), and it is encouraging to see a significant proportion of people who currently/recently injected drugs accessing treatment in a number of ODNs in the North (Figure 29). Where route of transmission was known (60.9%), the majority acquired their infection via injecting drug use (72.6%) or via non-occupational contact with blood in a healthcare setting (11.0%), although other routes were reported.
Most patients were referred from primary care (43.3%), with 25.2% coming from General Medicine, Gastroenterology, or Infectious Diseases; 20.4% from drug services (13.4%) and prisons (7.0%); and 4.2% via Genitourinary Medicine (GUM) services. Referrals from other sources were relatively rare, making up less than 10% of the overall total. The distribution of referral sources amongst those accessing treatment, varied by ODN (Figure 30), and it is encouraging to see a significant proportion of people from drug services and prisons accessing treatment in a number of ODNs (e.g. North East and Cumbria, Birmingham, Greater Manchester and Eastern Cheshire, Nottingham, South Yorkshire and West Yorkshire; Figure 30).

Previous treatment was reported in 23.4% of patients; 18.9% reported previous treatment with interferon/pegylated interferon (with or without ribavirin), 2.9% reported pegylated interferon (with or without ribavirin) plus a protease inhibitor, and 1.6% reported previous treatment with an all-oral interferon-free regimen. In 16.1% of patients, alcohol was reported to be a contributor to the individual’s liver disease, 6.7% were reported to be co-infected with HIV and 1.0% were reported to be in renal failure.
Disease stage was well reported (98.0% complete), and showed that 28.7% of patients had cirrhosis prior to treatment, some of which was decompensated (2.6% of those with cirrhosis) or had past decompensation (1.1% of those with cirrhosis). Over 60% of all patients (60.8%) treated had either no evidence of fibrosis prior to treatment (35.0%) or had only mild fibrosis (25.8%). The distribution of disease stage at treatment varied by ODN (Figure 31). Given that patients with severe disease were initially prioritised, it is clear that all ODNs are now treating a significant proportion of people with no, or only mild, fibrosis (Figure 31). Fibroscan results were recorded for only 83% of the sample, and 20.0% of these people had scores indicative of cirrhosis. Of the 30,870 patients, 1.3% were reported to be post-transplant and 4.5% diagnosed with HCC.
The clear majority of patients (83.9%) were treated in secondary care, with the remainder receiving treatment in either drugs services (7.7%), prisons (6.5%) or elsewhere (1.9%). Again, this varied by ODN, with some notable exceptions, like Nottingham and Sussex, treating a significant minority of patients in drug services (Figure 32).

Amongst those in whom it was possible to determine the outcome of treatment (n= 21,904), 95.1% achieved an SVR 12 weeks after completion of treatment (0.5% breakthrough, 3.4% relapse and 1.0% non-response). A variety of DAA drugs were used, with 43.9% receiving them in combination with ribavirin.
Information on access to HCV treatment services by PWID is also available via the UAM survey.\(^{16}\) The survey asked participating people who had ever injected psychoactive drugs who reported having had a positive result to a diagnostic test for HCV: ‘Have you ever seen a specialist nurse or doctor (e.g. a hepatologist) about your HCV?’ Among the 2017 survey participants in England with anti-HCV who were aware of their infection, 72% (368/511) reported that they had ever seen a specialist nurse or doctor about their infection. Of these, 43.2% (159/368) reported being offered and accepting treatment for their HCV infection, 34.2% (126/368) reported being offered treatment, but declined and 22.6% (83/368) reported that treatment was not offered.

In prisons and other places of detention, referrals are monitored via an HJIP metric that was introduced in April 2014 to monitor the percentage of those with chronic HCV infection who are referred to specialist services, and who have a treatment plan developed within 18 weeks. Preliminary HJIP data from 112 prisons suggest that around 40% of those tested for HCV RNA received specialist referrals for their HCV in 2017/18 (see Figure 20).
Data sources

Hepatitis C patient registry and treatment outcome system:
https://www.ardengemcsu.nhs.uk/case-studies/developing-clinical-registry-system-hepatitis-c

Office for National Statistics mortality data:
https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths

People who inject drugs: HIV and viral hepatitis monitoring.

Hospital Episode Statistics, NHS Digital:
http://content.digital.nhs.uk/hes

NHS England Specialised Commissioning:
www.england.nhs.uk/commissioning/spec-services/

NHS Blood and Transplant/PHE Epidemiology Unit:
www.gov.uk/guidance/blood-tissue-and-organ-donors-surveillance-schemes

PHE Sentinel Surveillance of Hepatitis C Testing:

Prison Health:
Appendices

Appendix 1.* WHO GHSS targets\(^{(3)}\) for viral hepatitis, relevant to HCV in the UK context, with 2020 targets updated to reflect the draft action plan for the health sector response to viral hepatitis in the WHO European Region.\(^{(14)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TARGET AREA</th>
<th>2020 TARGETS(^{(14)})</th>
<th>2030 TARGETS(^{(3)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact targets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence: New cases of chronic viral hepatitis C infection</td>
<td>30% reduction</td>
<td>80% reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality: Viral hepatitis C deaths</td>
<td>10% reduction</td>
<td>65% reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service coverage targets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood safety:** Proportion of donations screened in a quality-assured manner</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe injections:*** Percentage of injections administered with safety engineered devices in and out of health facilities</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm reduction: A comprehensive package of harm reduction services to all PWID(^{(73)}) including:</td>
<td>At least 200 sterile needles and syringes provided per person who injects drugs per year</td>
<td>At least 300 sterile needles and syringes provided per person who injects drugs per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of people with chronic HCV diagnosed and aware of their infection</td>
<td>50% [75% of estimated number of patients at late stage of viral hepatitis-related liver disease (cirrhosis or HCC) diagnosed]</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment coverage of people diagnosed with chronic HCV who are eligible for treatment</td>
<td>75% (&gt;90% cured) [90% of diagnosed patients with chronic HCV are linked to care and adequately monitored]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\* Abstracted from the WHO Global Health Sector Strategy for Viral Hepatitis\(^{(3)}\) and modified to reflect the draft action plan for the health sector response to viral hepatitis in the WHO European Region.\(^{(14)}\)

** In England, 2020 and 2030 targets are already met.\(^{(74)}\)

*** In England, 2020 and 2030 targets are already met in the health care setting as the UK follows the EU Directive for the prevention of sharps injuries in the health care setting,\(^{(75)}\) by using safety engineered devices.
Appendix 2. Preliminary indicators to monitor the impact of key interventions to tackle hepatitis C virus in England

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Burden, Impact and Service Coverage Monitoring Areas</th>
<th>Data source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burden</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reducing the burden of infection in England</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Estimated prevalence of HCV infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Risk factors for infection from laboratory reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Trend in anti-HCV prevalence among PWID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modelled estimate(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CoSurv/SGSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UAM survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Reducing HCV-related morbidity and mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Estimated incidence of HCV-related ESLD/HCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Registrations for liver transplants in patients with HCV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• First liver transplants undertaken in patients with HCV (% of all liver transplants)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• First liver transplants undertaken in patients with HCV HCC (% of all liver transplants in patients with HCV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Death (registrations) from HCV-related ESLD/HCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHS BT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHS BT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Reducing the number of new (incident) infections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Estimated incidence of HCV among people injecting psychoactive drugs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prevalence of anti-HCV among recent initiates to drug use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of HCV tests performed in young adults (and proportion testing positive) in sentinel laboratories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of HCV laboratory reports in young adults (and proportion of all reports they represent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Placeholder: Estimated number of new infections originating injecting drug use and net migration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UAM survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UAM survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sentinel surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CoSurv/SGSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service coverage</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Adequate harm reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Estimated proportion of PWID reporting adequate Needle and syringe provision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sharing of injecting equipment and associated paraphernalia among PWID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of current and past PWID in drug treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Proportion of opioid dependent PWID receiving OST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Placeholder: Proportion of PWID receiving targeted HCV information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UAM survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UAM survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDTMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NDTMS; Hay et al.(^{(35)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increasing awareness and the numbers and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Proportion Diagnosed

- Estimated proportion of PWID testing positive for HCV, aware of their infection
- Lower bound estimates of the proportion of chronic HCV infection diagnosed
- Placeholder: Proportion of population with late stage HCV-related liver disease (cirrhosis/HCC) diagnosed
- Numbers completing RCGP HCV e-learning
- Laboratory reports of HCV infection
- Number of HCV tests (and proportion testing positive) in sentinel laboratories
- Number of HCV tests via GP surgeries (and proportion testing positive) in sentinel laboratories
- Reported uptake in voluntary confidential HCV testing among PWID
- Offer and uptake of HCV testing in adults - both newly presenting to, and all in, drug treatment
- Offer and uptake of HCV testing in adults currently or previously injecting - both newly presenting to, and all in, drug treatment
- Number of HCV tests via drug services (and proportion testing positive) in sentinel laboratories
- Proportion of new receptions to prisons tested for HCV
- Number of HCV tests via prisons (and proportion testing positive) in sentinel laboratories
- Hepatitis C testing cascade in the English prison estate
- Number of HCV tests in South Asian people (and proportion testing positive) in sentinel laboratories
- Number of HCV tests in Eastern European people (and proportion testing positive) in sentinel laboratories
- Rate of hepatitis C infection among new and repeat blood donors

### 3. Increasing Numbers Accessing Treatment

- Estimated number initiating HCV treatment
- Placeholder: Proportion of diagnosed population linked into care and monitored
- Placeholder: Proportion of diagnosed population eligible for HCV treatment who have accessed treatment, and proportion cured
- Numbers of people originating from, or born in, South Asia accessing treatment services
- Proportion of treatments in those currently injected drugs
- Proportion of referrals from services for key risk groups, like drugs services and prisons.
- Disease stage of those accessing treatment
- Proportion of people treated outside traditional secondary/tertiary care settings via outreach services

*Placeholders are for indicators that are not currently available/in development or are absent because key data were not available at the time of publication

** HCPR&TOS: The Hepatitis C Patient Registry & Treatment Outcome System
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