



Improved Better Care Fund (iBCF): Provider fee reporting, Quarter 2 2018-19

- Local authority feedback indicates that the additional iBCF has enabled fee uplifts in 2018-19.
- Over 90% of local authorities stated at Quarter 2 that they would be increasing the fees they pay to external providers for home care, residential care and nursing care.
- On average, local authorities reported that home care fee rates would increase by 4.7% while residential and nursing home fee rates would rise by 4.0% and 4.1% respectively when compared to 2017-18.

Introduction	2
Fees paid to external care providers	2
Annex A: Data collection, quality and analysis	7
Annex B: Voluntary compliance with the Code of Practice for Statistics	8
Accompanying tables	9
Enquiries	9

**Management information
release enquiries:**

CareandReform2@communities.gov.uk

Media Enquiries:

0303 444 1209

newsdesk@communities.gov.uk

Next publication:

**Improved Better Care Fund
(iBCF):**

Quarterly and year-end reporting
2018-19

Introduction

Adult social care provides support for older people and working age adults with personal and practical care needs, as well as support for their carers. In England, adults may be cared for informally by family, friends and neighbours, or formally through services they or their local authority pay for. Publicly funded adult social care is means-tested and primarily funded through local government; those with eligible needs, assets of less than £23,250 and low incomes can receive help towards their care and support costs.

Adult social care currently constitutes the largest area of discretionary expenditure for local authorities. To help address the pressures of an ageing population with increasingly complex care needs, as well as rising care costs, additional dedicated funding for adult social care has been made available to local authorities in recent years. This funding has included: Adult Social Care Support Grants in 2017-18 and 2018-19; investment to ease NHS winter pressures; the Adult Social Care Precept (flexibility to raise council tax) and the Improved Better Care Fund (iBCF).

This Management Information release relates to data on fee levels and fee uplifts paid by local authorities to adult social care providers in 2018-19. This information is collected as part of the iBCF reporting process.

Further background to the iBCF and data from 2017-18 can be found at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/improved-better-care-fund-2017-18-quarterly-and-year-end-reporting>.

Fees paid to external care providers

As with 2017-18, questions on provider fees were included at the end of Quarter 2 (September 2018) to ascertain whether or not the additional iBCF funding was having an impact in helping local care markets through fee uplifts. Whilst official unit cost data is already collated by NHS Digital through the Adult Social Care Finance Return (ASC-FR), it is published in the annual Adult Social Care Activity and Finance Report six months after the end of the financial year to which it relates¹. The ASC-FR report for 2017-18 was published in October 2018. The iBCF Quarter 2 collection provides data for the first six months of 2018-19 and therefore is more timely.

Respondents were asked to provide fee rates for the first six months of 2018-19 versus comparable data from 2017-18. A similar set of questions were asked in September 2017, comparing the first six months of 2017-18 with 2016-17. For some local authorities, the fee rates in this release for 2017-18 are significantly different from those collected in

¹ [NHS Digital: Adult Social Care Activity and Finance Report](#)

September 2017. Much of the difference will be due to final 2017-18 data now being available that covers the whole of the financial year, but some may reflect inconsistencies in reporting. It should be noted that there are also some inconsistencies when comparing 2017-18 data to the ASC-FR report. Inconsistencies between ASC-FR and iBCF data will in part be explained by differences in definition. The iBCF return asked respondents to exclude full cost clients as their fee rates may not be representative of those for local authority eligible clients, but for different reasons, the ASC-FR guidance asks respondents to include full cost clients². The iBCF return also asked respondents not to include any of their own overheads, as the focus is on the payments made to care providers.

Table 1 shows that, on average, local authorities were increasing the average hourly fees paid to external providers of home care to £16.41 per contact hour (a 4.7% increase on the previous year). With respect to residential care without nursing, the average fee is £586 per client per week (a 4.0% increase), and for residential care with nursing, the average fee is £633 per client per week excluding NHS Funded Nursing Care (a 4.1% increase). This compares with a 4.4% April 2018 increase in the National Living Wage from £7.50 to £7.83 per hour³, and 2.2% CPIH inflation in the 12 months to September 2018 (the time of data collection)⁴. Whilst wages are the largest cost for care providers, general inflation will affect their non-wage costs.

For each of the three fee types for which data was collected, the vast majority of local authorities (over 90% in each case) reported that their average fees were increasing in comparison to 2017-18. For the small number of cases where unit costs were reported to be falling and additional commentary provided, one of the explanations included having fewer high cost packages of care in 2018-19.

As might be expected, the data does show a tendency for local authorities with the lowest fees to have higher percentage uplifts.

The range of local authority responses in respect to the annual percentage uplifts submitted for each category is illustrated in Figure 1. The full [local authority level dataset](#) is published on Gov.uk.

² A full cost client is defined in the ASC-FR guidance as “one who pays the full direct costs of the services they receive but whose support is arranged by the local authority which includes regular reviews, support planning etc.” The ASC-FR guidance asks respondents to include these clients as local authorities will be interested in their social care outcomes.


³ [National Minimum Wage and National Living Wage rates](#)

⁴ [ONS CPIH Annual rate 00: All Items 2015=100](#)

Table 1: Change in average fees paid to external care providers as at Quarter 2 2018-19

	Average amount paid to external providers for home care		Average amount paid for external provider care homes without nursing for clients aged 65+		Average amount paid for external provider care homes with nursing for clients aged 65+ (Excludes NHS Funded Nursing Care)	
	2018-19 £ per contact hour	% change since 2017-18	2018-19 £ per client per week	% change since 2017-18	2018-19 £ per client per week	% change since 2017-18
Local authority average	£16.41	4.7%	£586	4.0%	£633	4.1%
Number and percentage of local authorities						
Increase (uplift)	139	92.7%	141	94.0%	139	92.7%
No change	7	4.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%
Decrease	4	2.7%	9	6.0%	10	6.7%

Figure 1: Percentage change in average fees paid by local authorities to external care providers, 2017-18 to 2018-19



Data sources: OS Boundary Line and iBCF reporting data at Quarter 2 2018-19

© Crown copyright and database rights 2019 Ordnance Survey 100024857

Acknowledgements

The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) would like to thank all 152 local authorities with social services responsibilities for providing the data which has been drawn on for this report. The iBCF quarterly data collection is managed by MHCLG working in collaboration with the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) and supported by the Better Care Support Team (BCST).

Annex A: Data collection, quality and analysis

Collection

Quarterly reporting for the additional iBCF was administered alongside the Better Care Fund (BCF) quarterly reporting by the Better Care Support Team (BCST) which is a team that is jointly funded by MHCLG, DHSC and NHS England to support the delivery of the BCF. All local areas submitted reporting returns on spreadsheet-based templates made available on the 'Better Care Exchange' which is the online collaboration platform for the BCF. 150 returns were received, with Bournemouth and Poole, and Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly, submitting joint returns giving a 100% response rate in total.

Data quality

The status of the data was assessed prior to publication. Although cleaning took place to exclude invalid returns, the datasets were not subject to additional quality assurance. Local authorities were not, for example, contacted for clarifications and corrections except where data was obviously invalid. Annex B contains further information on data quality and quality assurance as part of voluntary compliance with the Code of Practice for Statistics.

For some local authorities, the fee rates in this release for 2017-18 are significantly different from those collected in September 2017. Much of the difference will be due to final 2017-18 data now being available that covers the whole of the financial year, but some may reflect inconsistencies in reporting. A small number of local authorities reported a percentage increase in fees but not the underlying fee amounts. Where only a 2017-18 fee rate and a percentage uplift have been provided, the implied 2018-19 fee rate has not been calculated. A small number of comments contain fee uplift percentages for existing providers that are different from the uplift implicit in the reported average fee rates, which can be affected by changes in the mix of client needs and market conditions in each year.

Data analysis

The analysis was undertaken and quality assured by the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) with input from the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG). The data underwent a series of basic validation checks to exclude any invalid returns. However, as noted above, further clarifications from local authorities were not sought. The full [local authority level dataset](#) is published on Gov.uk.

Annex B: Voluntary compliance with the Code of Practice for Statistics

The Code of Practice for Statistics was published in February 2018 to set standards for organisations in producing and publishing official statistics and ensure that statistics serve the public good.

The Improved Better Care Fund (iBCF) quarterly reporting release is a Management Information release rather than an Official Statistics publication. This is due to the volume of qualitative information collected and limitations in the quality assurance process. Nonetheless, where possible, attempts to adhere the Code of Practice have been made.

<p>Trustworthiness: trusted people, processes and analysis</p>	<p>Honesty and integrity (T1): The iBCF quarterly and year-end reporting data releases are managed by analysts and policy officials in MHCLG, working together with officials from the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) and the Better Care Support Team (BCST). This involves the design of data collection tools and analysis.</p> <p>Independent decision making and leadership (T2): The work is jointly governed by the Local Government Finance and Analysis and Data Directorates in MHCLG, with input from DHSC. It is accountable to MHCLG’s Chief Analyst and Head of Profession for Statistics.</p> <p>Orderly release (T3): Access to the data before public release is limited to MHCLG, DHSC, BCST and NHS Digital staff involved in the production and the preparation of the release.</p> <p>Transparent processes and management (T4): MHCLG have robust, transparent, data-management processes. All data are provided by local authorities who received notification that the data would be published.</p> <p>Professional capability (T5) Analytical work is managed by professionally qualified and experienced analysts - professional members of the Government Economic Service, Government Statistical Service and the Government Social Research profession.</p> <p>Data Governance (T6): MHCLG uses robust data collection and release processes to ensure data confidentiality.</p>
<p>High quality: robust data, methods and processes</p>	<p>Suitable data sources (Q1): Data originates from all local authorities in England responsible for providing adult social care services, with this collection achieving a 100% response rate. The local authorities are ultimately responsible for the quality of their data. However, where the quality of data is unclear, the issues are clearly highlighted. National and Official Statistics are signposted where relevant.</p> <p>Sound methods (Q2): Data collection tools and processes are robustly designed and tested prior to use. The guidance, validations and questionnaire for the data collection have been refined over time.</p> <p>Assured Quality (Q3): While the data has been checked for errors, further validation and triangulation with additional data sources has not taken place. As such, the release clearly states that the data are self-reported and highlights any limitations.</p>
<p>Public value: supporting society’s need for information and accessible to all</p>	<p>Relevance to users (V1): Understanding how the additional iBCF funding is being used is of significance to central government, local authorities and their partners, as well as in the public interest.</p> <p>Accessibility (V2): Officials have had access to the data prior to publication to monitor progress and the impact of the iBCF. The data may therefore be used for operational purposes before publication in this data release.</p> <p>Clarity and Insight (V3): Data are clearly presented and explained, with suitable visualisations and underlying local authority level datasets made available.</p> <p>Innovation and improvement (V4): This data collection series started in Spring 2017 and has been progressively refined.</p> <p>Efficiency and proportionality (V5): Burdens on data providers have been considered. MHCLG has worked to streamline the collection process by combining with the Better Care Fund performance reporting process for 2018-19.</p>

Accompanying tables

An accompanying table is available to download alongside this release:

Improved Better Care Fund (iBCF): Provider fee reporting Quarter 2 2018-19

Local authority data table

This table can be accessed on Gov.uk.

Enquiries

Media enquiries:

0303 444 1209

Email: newsdesk@communities.gov.uk

Public enquiries and Responsible Statistician:

Email: CareandReform2@communities.gov.uk

© Crown copyright, 2019

Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with the Crown.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/ or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This document/publication is also available on our website at www.gov.uk/mhclg

If you have any enquiries regarding this document/publication, email contactus@communities.gov.uk or write to us at:

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government
Fry Building
2 Marsham Street
London
SW1P 4DF
Telephone: 030 3444 0000

March 2019

For all our latest news and updates follow us on Twitter: <https://twitter.com/mhclg>

ISBN: 978-1-4098-5441-8