Planning Applications in England: October to December 2018

Between October to December 2018, district level planning authorities in England:

- received 107,800 applications for planning permission, down four per cent on the corresponding quarter of 2017;
- granted 88,600 decisions, down five per cent from the same quarter in 2017; this is equivalent to 88 per cent of decisions, unchanged from the same quarter of 2017;
- decided 89 per cent of major applications within 13 weeks or the agreed time, unchanged from a year earlier;
- granted 11,900 residential applications, down five per cent on a year earlier: 1,600 for major developments and 10,300 for minors;
- granted 2,300 applications for commercial developments, down 10 per cent on a year earlier.

The chart below (Figure 1) shows trends in numbers of applications received, decided and granted since 2004-05.

In the year ending December 2018, district level planning authorities:

- granted 364,000 decisions, down four per cent on the year ending December 2017; and
- granted 47,500 decisions on residential developments, of which 6,400 were for major developments and 41,100 were for minors, down by two and five per cent respectively on the year ending December 2017.
Introduction

This Statistical Release presents National Statistics on authorities that undertake district and county level planning activities in England. It covers information on planning applications received and decided, including decisions on applications for residential developments (dwellings) and enforcement activities. Data are provided at national and local planning authority level and are based on information reported for the relevant quarters as at 8 February 2019 for the PS1/2 return (‘District matters’) and the CPS1/2 return (‘County matters’). The Definitions section provides details on the main terms used within this release and associated live tables.

Authorities undertaking district level planning

A summary of the trends in applications, decisions and permissions granted is provided in Figure 1 and Table 1. The number of applications, decisions and permissions at district level in this publication is given to the nearest hundred; more detailed figures are available in the accompanying Live Tables.

Planning applications

During October to December 2018, authorities undertaking district level planning in England received 107,800 applications for planning permission, down four per cent on the corresponding quarter in 2017. In the year ending December 2018, authorities received 453,300 planning applications, down five per cent on the year ending December 2017 (Live Tables P132/P134, Table 1 and Detail of district matters decisions table).

Planning decisions

Authorities reported 101,000 decisions on planning applications in October to December 2018, a decrease of five per cent on the 106,400 decisions in the same quarter of the previous year. In the year ending December 2018, authorities decided 413,500 planning applications, down five per cent on the number in the year ending December 2017 (Live Tables P120/P133/P134 and Table 1).

Applications granted

During October to December 2018, authorities granted 88,600 decisions, down five per cent on the same quarter in 2017. Authorities granted 88 per cent of all decisions, unchanged from the December quarter of 2017 (Live Tables P120/P133). Overall, 83 per cent of major and minor decisions were granted (Live Table P131).

Over the 12 months to December 2018, 364,000 decisions were granted, down four per cent on the figure for the year to December 2017 (Live Table P132, Table 1 and Details of district matters decisions table).
## Table 1: District level planning applications received, decided and granted

**England, quarter ending March 2007 to quarter ending December 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial year</th>
<th>Quarter ending</th>
<th>Received</th>
<th>% change on corresponding quarter last year</th>
<th>Decided</th>
<th>% change on corresponding quarter last year</th>
<th>Granted</th>
<th>% change on corresponding quarter last year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number ('000s)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number ('000s)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number ('000s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-19%</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>-14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-26%</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>-22%</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-29%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-29%</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>-28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>-21%</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-27%</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>-12%</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-18%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar R</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>Jun R</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep R</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec R</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar R</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-19</td>
<td>Jun R</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep R</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec P</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year to Dec 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Received</th>
<th>477</th>
<th>433</th>
<th>380</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decided</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages do not include certificates of lawful development and notifications with effect from 1 April 2014.

For quarters before 1 April 2014, the percentages of decisions granted that can be derived using the numbers on decisions and decisions granted in this table differ from the percentage figures in Table P120. This is because the decisions shown in Table P120 for this period exclude conservation area consents, certificates of lawful development and notifications, whereas the numbers of decisions granted shown in this table include such applications.

R = Revised; P = provisional
**Historical context**

*Figure 1* (front page) and *Table 1* show that, since 2006-07, the numbers of applications received, decisions made and applications granted have each followed a similar pattern. As well as the usual within-year pattern of peaks in the Summer and troughs in the Winter, there was a clear downward trend during the 2008 economic downturn, with figures remaining broadly level since then.

Historical figures for all district level decisions dating back to 2006-07 are set out in *Live Table P120*, with separate breakdowns for residential and commercial decisions being shown in *Live Tables P120A* and *P120B* respectively. These latter two tables are discussed below in the sections on residential and commercial decisions.

*Figure 2* summarises the distribution of the percentage of decisions granted across authorities for major, minor and other developments using box and whisker plots. The ends of the box are the upper and lower quartiles, meaning that 50 per cent of local authorities fall within this range. The whiskers are the two lines above and below the box that extend to the highest and lowest

---

1 Tables P120A and P120B do not between them cover the totality of decisions included in P120, which also includes decisions on Traveller caravan pitches and various ‘Other developments’. 

---
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observations (the range). **Figure 2** shows that the variation in percentage of decisions granted this quarter is widest between authorities for major developments (0 to 100 per cent), followed by minor developments (51 to 100 per cent) and other developments (62 to 100 per cent) (**Live Tables P120/P131**).

**Speed of decisions**

- In October to December 2018, 89 per cent of major applications were decided within 13 weeks or within the agreed time\(^2\), unchanged from the same quarter a year earlier.

- In October to December 2018, 85 per cent of minor applications and 90 per cent of other applications were decided within eight weeks or the agreed time, both unchanged from a year earlier respectively.

**Figure 3** summarises the distribution of the percentage of decisions made in time across authorities for major, minor and other developments using box and whisker plots. The ends of the box are the upper and lower quartiles, meaning that 50 per cent of local authorities fall within this range. The whiskers are the two lines above and below the box that extend to the highest and lowest observations (the range). **Figure 3** shows that the variation in percentage of decisions made in time this quarter is widest between authorities for major developments (0 to 100 per cent), followed by minor developments (47 to 100 per cent) and other developments (58 to 100 per cent) (**Live Tables P120 and P131, and Details of district matters decisions table**).

\(^2\) This is the agreed time for applications for major developments associated with a Planning Performance Agreement (PPAs), Extension of Time (EoT) or Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA).
Figure 3: Speed of decision-making, by type of development
Local planning authorities, quarter ending December 2018

Use of performance agreements

Table 2 shows the increase in the use of performance agreements since April 2014. It shows that they are more commonly used for major developments than minor or other developments, with 67 per cent of major decisions made during October to December 2018 involving a planning agreement, compared with 38 per cent of minor decisions. Figure 4 shows, from 2009, numbers of decisions on major developments made involving a performance agreement, both in absolute terms and as a percentage of all decisions on major developments. Notwithstanding definitional changes, there has been a marked increase in the use of agreements since early 2013. In reality, this longer upward trend has been driven by both the additional scope for recording them and their additional use. The proportion of major decisions subject to an agreement was 67 per cent during October to December 2018 (Table 2).

The three final columns in Live Table P120 give corresponding figures for planning applications involving a planning agreement for all types of development (major, minor and ‘other’ combined), showing numbers of decisions and percentages decided within time.

---

3 ‘Performance agreement’ is an umbrella term used to refer to Planning Performance Agreements, Extensions of Time and Environmental Impact Assessments.

4 Comprehensive information on planning agreements used for minor and other developments was not collected before April 2014. More details are given in footnotes 5 to 7 of Live Table P120.
Table 2: Use of performance agreements with planning applications

England, quarter ending June 2014 to quarter ending December 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial year</th>
<th>Quarter ending</th>
<th>Major decisions</th>
<th>Minor decisions</th>
<th>Major decisions involving a performance agreement as % of the total number of decisions</th>
<th>Minor decisions involving a performance agreement as % of the total number of decisions</th>
<th>All decisions (major, minor and other) involving a performance agreement as % of the total number of decisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number / Percentage</td>
<td>Number in involving a performance agreement</td>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>Number involving a performance agreement</td>
<td>Total number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>3,541</td>
<td>1,282</td>
<td>30,339</td>
<td>30 / 4 / 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>3,547</td>
<td>2,240</td>
<td>32,128</td>
<td>34 / 7 / 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>1,507</td>
<td>3,942</td>
<td>3,055</td>
<td>31,346</td>
<td>38 / 10 / 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>1,583</td>
<td>3,887</td>
<td>3,866</td>
<td>29,919</td>
<td>41 / 13 / 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>1,557</td>
<td>3,584</td>
<td>4,407</td>
<td>31,098</td>
<td>43 / 14 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>1,737</td>
<td>3,794</td>
<td>5,430</td>
<td>33,261</td>
<td>46 / 16 / 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>2,075</td>
<td>4,171</td>
<td>6,314</td>
<td>32,205</td>
<td>50 / 20 / 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>1,948</td>
<td>3,684</td>
<td>7,015</td>
<td>29,827</td>
<td>53 / 24 / 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>2,027</td>
<td>3,788</td>
<td>8,056</td>
<td>31,835</td>
<td>54 / 25 / 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>2,145</td>
<td>3,887</td>
<td>8,887</td>
<td>33,508</td>
<td>55 / 27 / 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>2,323</td>
<td>4,045</td>
<td>9,195</td>
<td>32,433</td>
<td>57 / 28 / 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>2,427</td>
<td>4,038</td>
<td>9,910</td>
<td>30,761</td>
<td>60 / 32 / 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>2,144</td>
<td>3,637</td>
<td>9,658</td>
<td>31,234</td>
<td>59 / 31 / 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>2,225</td>
<td>3,741</td>
<td>10,400</td>
<td>32,546</td>
<td>59 / 32 / 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>2,414</td>
<td>3,958</td>
<td>10,312</td>
<td>32,027</td>
<td>61 / 32 / 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>2,385</td>
<td>3,791</td>
<td>10,808</td>
<td>29,753</td>
<td>63 / 36 / 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-19</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>2,268</td>
<td>3,604</td>
<td>10,828</td>
<td>29,918</td>
<td>63 / 36 / 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>2,307</td>
<td>3,629</td>
<td>10,985</td>
<td>30,124</td>
<td>64 / 36 / 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>2,514</td>
<td>3,765</td>
<td>11,431</td>
<td>30,174</td>
<td>67 / 38 / 29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Separate figures for 'Other decisions' are shown in Live Table P120.
R = Revised; P = Provisional
Figure 4: Use of performance agreements with applications for major developments\(^1\)
England, quarter ending September 2009 to quarter ending December 2018

\(^1\) Figures cover agreed extensions of time and environmental impact assessments from 1 April 2013

**Figure 5** and **Reference Table 2** show that in the quarter to December 2018, 92 per cent of major development decisions involving performance agreements were made on time. In comparison, 82 per cent of major decisions not involving performance agreements were made within the statutory time limit of 13 weeks.
Figure 5: Percentage of major development decisions made within time\(^1\)
England, quarter ending December 2009 to quarter ending December 2018

Performance of individual district level local planning authorities

Live Tables P151a and P153 present data on the performance of district level local planning authorities against the latest published criterion in *Improving planning performance: criteria for designation* on the speed of decision-making for informing decisions on the designation of poorly performing local planning authorities under section 62B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. In particular, Live Table P151a gives detailed figures for the time taken for major decisions to be made over the eight most recent quarters and Live Table P153 presents data for the time taken by district level local planning authorities for decisions on ‘non-major developments’ (previously ‘minor and other developments’, and defined as minor developments, changes of use and householder developments) to be made over the eight most recent quarters.

Similarly, Live Table P152a, presents data on the performance of district level local planning authorities against the latest published criterion in *Improving planning performance: criteria for designation* on the quality of decision-making for assessing performance under section 62B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. In particular, it gives detailed figures for the percentage of major decisions subject to a successful planning appeal, by matching eight quarters of the department’s data on decisions and all available quarters of Planning Inspectorate data on appeals. This table is usually published a few weeks after the statistical release and most of the other live tables, to take account of the latest appeals data.

\(^1\) Figures cover agreed extensions of time and environmental impact assessments from 1 April 2013.
Live Table P154 presents data for the percentage of decisions on minor and other developments (as defined for Table P153) subject to a successful planning appeal, by matching eight quarters of the department’s data on decisions and all available quarters of Planning Inspectorate data on appeals. Like Table P152a, this table is usually published a few weeks after the statistical release and most of the other live tables, to take account of the latest appeals data.

Residential decisions

In October to December 2018, 15,900 decisions were made on applications for residential developments, of which 11,900 (75 per cent) were granted. The total number of residential decisions made decreased by six per cent from the December quarter of 2017, with the number granted dropping five per cent. The number of major residential decisions granted decreased by two per cent to 1,600, and the number of minor residential decisions granted decreased by six per cent to 10,200 (Live Tables P120A and P135, and Details of district matters decisions table).

In the year ending December 2018, authorities granted 6,400 major and 41,100 minor residential applications, down by two and five per cent respectively on the year ending December 2017 (Live Tables P120A and P136).

Residential units

The figures collected by the department look at the numbers of decisions on planning applications submitted to local planning authorities, rather than the number of units included in each application, such as the number of homes in the case of housing developments. The department supplements this information by obtaining statistics on housing permissions from a contractor. The latest provisional figures show that permission for 367,100 homes was given in the rolling year to 31 December 2018, down one per cent compared to the 370,400 homes granted permission in the rolling year to 31 December 2017.

On an ongoing basis, figures are revised to ensure that any duplicates are removed, and also to include any projects that local planning authorities may not have processed: they are therefore subject to change, and the latest quarter’s provisional figures tend to be revised upwards. These figures are provided here to give contextual information to users, and have not been designated as National Statistics.

Table 3 and Figure 6 show how the rolling annual total of housing units granted has changed since 2007.

---

5 ‘Residential’ is used in this release to refer only to developments involving the construction or conversion of dwellings, rather than also including other developments involving people’s homes, such as householder developments and some changes of use.

6 Glenigan routinely collects data on planning applications submitted to all English local planning authorities and records the information by maintaining a ‘planning application’ for every project. The data have been updated over time as subsequent applications are submitted and decisions made, with all new applications being matched against the existing database at entry stage. This has involved an automated process supplemented by manual checking to identify any further matching projects. More details are given in the Definitions section of this release.
Rolling annual totals for each quarter from 2007 are held as linked open data on Open Data Communities at http://opendatacommunities.org/data/planning/units-granted-permission/all-sites.

**Commercial** 7 decisions

In October to December 2018, 2,500 decisions were made on applications for commercial developments, of which 2,300 (92 per cent) were granted. The total number of commercial decisions granted decreased by ten per cent on the same quarter of 2017. In the year ending December 2018, 9,100 applications for commercial developments were granted, down 10 per cent on the year ending December 2017 (Live Table P120B).

**Trends in numbers of residential and commercial decisions**

Historically, numbers of residential decisions dropped sharply during 2008 (particularly for minor decisions) but have been increasing since 2012, albeit with some decreases recently. Numbers of commercial decisions made also decreased sharply during 2008, and have since stabilised at around 2,100 per year for major and 10,000 per year for minor commercial decisions, albeit with some further decreases recently, particularly for minor decisions. In 2017/18, numbers of major commercial decisions were at about 57 per cent of the pre-recession peak, with the numbers of minor commercial decisions being at about 40 per cent (Live Tables P120A and P120B, Figure 7)8.

---

7 Commercial developments are defined in this release as: ‘Offices, research and development, light industry’, ‘General industry, storage, warehousing’ and ‘Retail and service’.

8 The pre-recession peak referred to differs for major and minor commercial decisions; the pre-recession peak occurred in 2006/07 for major decisions and 2007/08 for minor decisions.
Trends in the percentage of residential and commercial decisions granted

The percentages of major and minor residential decisions granted increased between 2008/09 (from about 65 per cent for each type) and 2010/11 (to about 80 per cent for majors and about 75 per cent for minors), and have stabilised since then. The percentages of major and minor commercial decisions granted increased steadily, from 88 and 86 per cent respectively in 2008/09, to 94 and 91 per cent respectively in 2014/15, and have both been stable since then (Live Tables P120A and P120B, Figure 8).
Householder developments

Householder developments are those developments to a residence which require planning permission such as extensions, loft conversions and conservatories (more details are in the Definitions section). The number of decisions made on householder developments was 50,000 in the quarter ending December 2018, accounting for 50 per cent of all decisions, down three per cent from the 52,100 decisions in the quarter ending December 2017. Authorities granted 91 per cent of these applications and decided 91 per cent within eight weeks or the agreed time (Detail of district matters decisions table).

Permitted development rights

Planning permission for some types of development has been granted nationally through legislation, and the resulting rights are known as ‘permitted development rights’. In some cases, if the legislation is complied with, developments can go ahead without the requirement to notify the local planning authority and hence no way of capturing data exists. In other cases, the legislation requires an application to the local planning authority to determine whether prior approval is required (more details are in the Definitions section).

The results for the latest quarter for which they have been collected (October to December 2018) are included in Live Tables PDR1 (local authority level figures) and PDR2 (England totals). Of the 8,500 applications reported in the October to December quarter of 2018, prior approval was not required for 4,700, and permission was granted for 2,000 and refused for 1,900. This resulted...
in an overall acceptance rate \(^9\) of 78 per cent. Larger householder extensions accounted for 71 per cent of applications (6,100), with six per cent relating to office to residential changes and seven per cent to agricultural to residential changes. ‘All other’ permitted development rights, accounted for 14 per cent of applications, up from 11 per cent a year earlier.

Taking i) granted applications and ii) those for which prior approval was not required together, 6,700 applications were approved without having to go through the full planning process, up less than one per cent from a year earlier.

Within an overall increase of three per cent in the reported total number of PDR applications between October to December 2017 and October to December 2018:

- larger householder extensions increased by two per cent;
- office to residential changes decreased by 22 per cent;
- agricultural to residential changes remained unchanged; and
- ‘all other’ permitted development rights increased by 30 per cent.

Figures for the total number of permitted development right applications made for changes to residential for quarters from July to September 2014 onwards are given in the quarterly worksheets in Live Table PDR1. These show that a total of 1,200 applications for changes to residential use were reported in October to December 2018, of which 900 (70 per cent) were given the go-ahead without having to go through the full planning process.

The overall acceptance rate for the nineteen quarters between the start of collection of detailed data in April 2014 and the end of December 2018 was 80 per cent. The rate initially dropped from 85 per cent in the quarter ending June 2014 to 79 per cent in the quarter ending December 2014, and has broadly stabilised since then (Live Table PDR2). Overall during the nineteen quarters ending December 2018, district planning authorities reported 180,200 applications for prior approvals for permitted developments. For 102,800 (57 per cent) of them prior approval was not required, 42,100 (23 per cent) were granted and 35,300 (20 per cent) were refused (Figure 9).

---

\(^9\) The acceptance rate is defined as the number of applications for which prior approval was not required, or for which permission was granted, as a percentage of the total number of applications.
To put these recent figures into context, Live Table P128 and Figure 10 show how the number of ‘determination applications’ received remained broadly stable at around 5,000 to 8,000 per year from 2004/05 to 2012/13, but approximately doubled to 15,700 in 2013/14, following the creation of new permitted development right categories in May 2013.

Since April 2014, there have been 36,500 PDR applications in 2014/15, 40,200 in 2015/16, 39,400 in 2016/17 and 36,800 in 2017/18. The quarterly pattern since April 2014 reflects a combination of both: i) the introduction of new permitted development right categories on several occasions; and ii) the seasonal peaks and troughs that have previously also been observed for planning applications, as shown earlier in this release, in Figure 1 (Live Table PDR 2 and Figure 10).

The initially large increase since 2014 in reported numbers of PDR applications for a change of use (e.g. office to residential), followed by a more recent decrease, is consistent with the annual numbers of dwellings added to the net housing supply as a result of a change of use. These have shown increases of 65 per cent in 2014/15, 48 per cent in 2015/16 and 22 per cent in 2016/17, and a decrease of 20 per cent in 2017/18\(^{10}\).

\(^{10}\) Sources: statistical releases on Housing Supply: net additional dwellings at: https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/net-supply-of-housing
Figure 10: Applications for determination and prior approvals for permitted development rights decided by district planning authorities

England, quarter ending June 2009 to quarter ending December 2018

Other information

England totals for all the items of information collected on the PS1 and PS2 returns for October to December 2018 are given in Reference Tables 1 and 2 respectively. These include the following:

Delegated decisions

- Of the 101,000 decisions made during the quarter, 94,600 (94 per cent) were delegated to officers. This percentage has been stable since 2014, having previously increased from around 75 per cent in 2000. Also see Live Table P133.

Enforcement activity

- During the quarter, authorities issued 974 enforcement notices and served 864 planning contravention notices, 182 breach of condition notices, 33 stop notices and 47 temporary stop notices, while nine enforcement injunctions were granted by the High/County Court with one injunctive application refused. In recent years, this level of activity has remained broadly proportionate to the number of planning decisions made. Also see Live Table P127.
Regulation 3 and 4 decisions

- 320 ‘Regulation 3’ and 54 ‘Regulation 4’ decisions were made. Relative to the number of planning decisions made in recent years, numbers of ‘Regulation 3’ decisions have dropped and those for ‘Regulation 4’ have remained stable. Also see Live Table P128.

Traveller pitches

- During the quarter, authorities decided six major applications for traveller pitches, granting five of them, and deciding six within 13 weeks or the agreed time.
- They also decided 67 minor applications for traveller pitches, granting 37 of them and deciding 48 of them within eight weeks or the agreed time. Also see Live Table P137.
- For both major and minor applications, the numbers of decisions, and percentages of applications granted and decided on time, have remained broadly stable since figures were first collected in 2008.

Authorities undertaking county level planning

Authorities that undertake county level planning activity – which includes counties, unitary authorities, London boroughs, metropolitan districts, national parks and development corporations - received 1,097 ‘county matters’ applications in the year ending December 2018 (Table 4 and Live Table P144). This compares with around 453,300 planning applications received by authorities that undertake district level planning activities. Statistics for ‘county matters’ decisions are therefore likely to be more volatile than those for districts because of the smaller numbers of such decisions.

County level figures are unrounded in this publication. Summary statistics on numbers of applications, decisions and permissions granted are shown in Table 4. More detailed figures are given in the accompanying Live Tables.

Planning applications

In the quarter ending December 2018, authorities received 300 ‘county matter’ applications, up less than one per cent from the same quarter last year. County councils accounted for 79 per cent of total applications received, unitary authorities for 12 per cent, metropolitan districts for eight per cent and national parks and London boroughs each for less than one per cent. The highest number of applications was received by Norfolk County Council (44 applications). 107 out of the 164 responding authorities (65 per cent) did not receive any ‘county matter’ applications (Live Table P143).

Planning decisions

‘County matters’ authorities made decisions on 244 planning applications in the December quarter of 2018, down 16 per cent on the same quarter a year earlier. Of these, 97 per cent (236) were granted (Live Table P143), unchanged from the same quarter last year.
Waste developments accounted for 61 per cent of the total decisions, minerals developments for 36 per cent and other developments for three per cent (Live Table P140).

**Speed of decisions**

In the quarter ending December 2018, ‘county matters’ planning authorities determined 93 per cent of applications within 13 weeks or the agreed time (Live Table P143).

**Performance of individual county level local planning authorities**

**Live Table 151b** presents data on the performance of county level local planning authorities against the latest published criterion in *Improving planning performance: criteria for designation* on the speed of decision-making for informing decisions on the designation of poorly performing local planning authorities under section 62B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. In particular, it gives detailed figures for the time taken for decisions to be made over the eight most recent quarters.

Similarly, **Live Table P152b** presents data on the performance of county level local planning authorities against the latest published criterion in *Improving planning performance: criteria for designation* on the quality of decision-making for assessing performance under section 62B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. In particular, it gives detailed figures for the percentage of decisions subject to a successful planning appeal, by matching eight quarters of the department’s data on decisions and all available quarters of Planning Inspectorate data on appeals. This table is usually published a few weeks after the statistical release and most of the other live tables, to take account of the latest appeals data.

**Live Table P155** presents data on the time taken by county level local planning authorities to make decisions on oil and gas developments, using data from the eight most recent available quarters. The table has been produced to enable local planning authority performance to be measured, as set out in the Written Ministerial Statement of 16 December 2015, available at http://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/written-questions-answers-statements/written-statement/Commons/2015-09-16/HCWS201/.

**Prior approval for permitted developments**

Although most activity relating to permitted development rights is concerned with ‘district matters’, it is also relevant for ‘county matters’, with the CPS1 return having been amended to collect information on prior approvals for permitted developments with effect from 1 April 2014. The results for the nineteenth quarter of data (October to December 2018) are given as additional columns in **Live Table PDR3**. They show that eight applications for prior approval for permitted development were reported during the October to December quarter of 2018, up from six in the same quarter of 2017.
Other information

England totals for the items of information collected on the CPS1 returns for October to December 2018 are given in Reference Table 3. These include the following:

Delegated decisions
- Of the 244 decisions made during the quarter, 161 (66 per cent) were delegated to officers. This percentage has remained stable in recent years.

Enforcement activity
- Authorities issued ten enforcement notices during the quarter, and served 17 planning contravention notices, three breach of condition notices, no stop notices and one temporary stop notices. One enforcement injunction was granted by the High Court or county courts and no injunctive applications were refused. This activity has remained stable in recent years. Also see Live Table P145.

Regulation 3 and 4 decisions
- 155 ‘Regulation 3’ and no ‘Regulation 4’ decisions were made. The former has shown a downtrend trend in recent years. Also see Live Table P143.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial year</th>
<th>Planning applications received</th>
<th>Planning decisions</th>
<th>Applications granted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>% change on corresponding quarter last year</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-07 Mar</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08 Jun</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09 Sep</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10 Dec</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11 Mar</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12 Jun</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13 Sep</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14 Dec</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15 Mar</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16 Sep</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>-13%</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17 Dec</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18 Mar</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-19 Jun</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>-11%</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-20 Sep</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-21 Dec</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>-24%</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021-22 Mar</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>-22%</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-23 Jun</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>-18%</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023-24 Sep</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024-25 Dec</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025-26 Mar</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year to December 2017</td>
<td>1,217</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year to December 2018</td>
<td>1,097</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed changes to accompanying tables

In recent releases, the department has published over 40 accompanying Live Tables as individual spreadsheets for this quarterly publication, as listed below. The GSS Code of Practice for Statistics states that statistics producers should be creative and motivated to improve statistics and data. As stated in the previous releases of 21 June, 20 September and 13 December 2018, and in accordance with these guidelines, we have proposed a number of changes intended to improve the accessibility, clarity and flexibility of our statistics as well as reducing the burden on the department with regard to producing these statistics in a timely and efficient manner. The new drop-down menu table format announced in the previous release, which enables a breakdown by development type to be displayed for a particular authority or type of authority during a particular period, will continue to be published as a pilot table. Since then, we have created a second pilot table covering more of the ‘District matters’ figures and enabling time series data to be displayed for a particular local authority, type of authority or for England. In addition, for the first time this quarter, we have created a third pilot table to enable figures for residential, commercial and all district matters decisions taken within any given time period to be compared across local planning authorities. These pilot tables have been published alongside the remaining accompanying tables this quarter to allow users to continue to review the presentation and provide feedback. The current position on the review is set out below:

- Discontinuing little-used tables: Tables P121, P122, P123 and P124 are no longer being routinely published, following the announcement made last quarter that they were due to be discontinued: support has been expressed for this from those users who have commented.

- Combining tables with significant overlap: other sets of tables with significant overlap that might be combined in future quarters are: i) P127 to P130; and ii) P124A and P129 to P138). This would be done by introducing further drop-down menus to allow users to select the appropriate time frame (quarterly, year ending, financial year) and geographic level (local authority, planning authority type such as county or unitary authority, or England level). Subject to feedback on the pilot tables, we intend to develop a similar set of drop-down tables for ‘county matters’. Further information on how the pilot tables can be used to replicate information from existing live tables, as well as additional notes regarding proposed changes for specific tables, are available in the ‘Notes’ sheet of the published pilot tables.

- Grouping some of the remaining tables into sheets within a single spreadsheet or a small number of spreadsheets covering tables on the same topic - e.g. County matters planning authorities tables (P139 to P149).

- Retaining some of the existing tables as separate spreadsheets – e.g. local authority performance tables (P151 to P155), Permitted development rights tables (PDR1 to PDR3), and Reference Tables 1 to 3.

If you have any comments on these proposed changes, please provide feedback through the usual channels as detailed in the section below on User engagement.
Accompanying tables

The accompanying tables updated and available to download alongside this release are:

**District planning authorities**

**P120** District planning authorities - planning applications received, decided, granted, performance agreements and speed of decisions, England (time series – quarterly and financial years’ data)

**P120A** District planning authorities – residential planning applications decided, granted, performance agreements and speed of decisions, England (time series - quarterly and financial years’ data)

**P120B** District planning authorities – commercial planning applications decided, granted, performance agreements and speed of decisions, England (time series - quarterly and financial years’ data)

**P124A** District planning authorities - planning decisions by development type and local planning authority (yearly data)

**P127** District planning authorities - enforcement action, England (quarterly and financial years’ data)

**P128** District planning authorities - regulation 3 and 4 decisions made and applications for determination, England (quarterly and financial years' data)

**P129/P130** District planning authorities - enforcement action by local planning authority (P129 quarterly, P130 yearly data)

**P131/P132** District planning authorities - planning applications decided, granted, performance agreements and speed of decisions, by development type and local planning authority (P131 quarterly, P132 yearly data)

**P133** District planning authorities - applications received, decided, granted and delegated, environmental statements received and flow of applications by local planning authority (quarterly data)

**P134** District planning authorities - applications received, decided, granted and delegated and environmental statements received, by local planning authority (yearly data)

**P135/P136** District planning authorities - planning applications decided, granted, performance agreements and speed of decisions on major and minor residential developments, by local planning authority (P135 quarterly, P136 yearly data)

**P137/P138** District planning authorities - planning applications decided, granted and speed of decisions on major and minor traveller caravans, by local planning authority (P137 quarterly, P138 yearly data)
District matters tables (new format drop-down menus):

1. **Detail of district matters decisions**
   Drop-down table for a particular local planning authority (or type of authority, or England) by type of development: whether granted, speed of decisions and planning agreements (*piloted from 20 September 2018*)

2. **Time series of district matters decisions**
   Drop-down table for a particular local planning authority (or type of authority, or England) by time period: whether granted, speed of decisions and planning agreements (*piloted from 13 December 2018*)

3. **Comparison between local authorities**
   Drop-down table for a particular type of development (residential, commercial or all district matters) and period, comparing figures across local planning authorities (*piloted from 21 March 2019*)

**County planning authorities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P139</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - planning applications received, decided and granted, by type of authority, England (quarterly and financial years’ data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P140/P141</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - planning applications decided and granted, by type of authority and type of development, England (P140 quarterly, P141 yearly data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P142</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - planning decisions, by speed of decision, England (quarterly and financial years’ data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P143/P144</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - planning applications received, decided and granted, speed of decisions and regulation 3 and 4 decisions, by local planning authority (P143 quarterly, P144 yearly data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P145</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - enforcement action, England (quarterly and financial years’ data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P146</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - decisions on minerals applications, by type of development, England (financial years’ data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P147</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - decisions on waste planning applications, by type of development, England (financial years’ data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P148</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - planning applications decided and granted, by nature of site, and type of development, England (yearly data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P149</td>
<td>'County matters' planning authorities - planning applications decided, granted and speed of decisions, by type of development, England (yearly data)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local planning authority performance tables

P151a/b District and 'county matters' planning authorities’ performance - speed of major development decisions (24 months’ data)

P152a/b District and 'county matters' planning authorities’ performance - quality of major development decisions (24 months’ data)

P153 District planning authorities’ performance - speed of non-major development decisions (24 months’ data)

P154 District planning authorities’ performance - quality of non-major development decisions (24 months’ data)

P155 ‘County matters’ planning authorities’ performance - speed of decisions on oil/gas developments (24 months’ data)

Permitted development rights

PDR1 District planning authorities - applications for prior approvals for permitted developments, by local planning authority (all available quarters)

PDR2 District planning authorities - applications for prior approvals for permitted developments, England (all available quarters)

PDR3 ‘County matters’ planning authorities - applications for prior approvals for permitted developments, by local planning authority (all available quarters)

Reference Tables

1 PS1 – England totals: October to December 2018

2 PS2 – England totals: October to December 2018

3 CPS1 – England totals: October to December 2018

These tables can be accessed at

The following tables are no longer routinely updated following the review of tables described in the previous section of this release:

P121/P122 District planning authorities - planning applications decided, granted and speed of decisions, by type of authority, England (P121 quarterly, P122 yearly data)

P123/P124 District planning authorities - planning applications decided, granted, performance agreements and speed of decisions, by type of development, England (P123 quarterly, P124 yearly data)

The following tables remain available from the link above but cannot be updated for the reasons given below:

P125/P126 District planning authorities – major planning decisions by speed, performance agreements and type of development: separate data on large- and small-scale major developments have not been collected since April 2014
'County matters’ planning authorities – reasons given for decisions taking over 8 weeks: data have not been collected since April 2014

A planning statistics email alert is sent to anyone wishing to be informed each time some MHCLG planning application statistics are published. To sign up for this free service, please send an email to planning.statistics@communities.gov.uk.

Data held on Open Data Communities

The following linked open data is held on Open Data Communities at http://opendatacommunities.org:

- A selection of derived data on planning developments as included in planning live tables P124A and P132, at local planning authority level; and
- Rolling annual England total figures for numbers of planning permissions granted, as provided by Glenigan.

The Open Data mobile app provides a range of statistics published by the department for the locality and is available for download free of charge from the Windows App Store, the Google Playstore and the Apple ITunes Store. Any enquiries about the app should be sent to ODC@communities.gov.uk.

Definitions

Information on the definitions most relevant to the statistics included in this release and to the associated live tables is given below, in alphabetical order. There is an online glossary is a single reference point for terms and definitions used in the department’s housing statistical publications at https://www.gov.uk/guidance/housing-statistics-and-england-housing-survey-glossary/a-to-z.

Advertisements

These are decisions on applications for consent to display advertisements under the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1992 (as amended).

Applications received

The following applications are included:

(a) Valid applications for planning permission for development under Articles 5,6 and 7 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015, SI 2015/595 (as amended) namely:

   (i) Applications for outline planning permission;
   (ii) Applications for approval of reserved matters;
   (iii) Applications for full planning permission.

This includes any application for time limited permission and any application that is accompanied by an environmental statement.
(b) Applications under section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to vary or remove conditions (including applications for minor material amendments).

(c) Developments which would normally have been permitted under the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 but have come before the local planning authority for determination because they require an Environmental Impact Assessment;

(d) Valid applications for listed building consent under section 8 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

(e) Valid applications for planning permission for relevant demolition of an unlisted building in a conservation area under section 70 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

(f) Valid applications for consent to display advertisements under the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007 SI 2007/783 (as amended).

(g) Valid applications under regulations 3 and 4 of the Town and Country Planning General Regulations 1992 SI 1992/1492 (as amended).

Change of use

Many developments involve some change of land use, but a decision is classified as ‘Change of Use’ only if:

1. the application does not concern a major development; and
2. a) no building or engineering work is involved; or
   b) the building or engineering work would be permitted development were it not for the fact that the development involved a change of use (such as the removal of internal dividing walls in a dwelling house to provide more spacious accommodation for office use).

Conservation area consents

Decisions on applications for conservation area consent under section 74 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

County level planning is currently undertaken by county councils, metropolitan districts, unitary authorities, London boroughs, national park authorities and the Ebbsfleet, London Legacy and Old Oak and Royal Park development corporations.

County matters - major developments

Applications for developments described as ‘county matters’ are – broadly - those which relate to minerals, waste and associated developments. A more detailed definition is contained in:

(a) Schedule 1 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990; and

For the purpose of the CPS1/2 form, all ‘county matter’ development is regarded as ‘major development’. The Development Management Procedure Order (DPMO) 2010 defines ‘major development’ as including:
(i) the winning and working of minerals or the use of land for mineral-working deposits;
(ii) waste development;
(iii) the provision of a building or buildings where the floor space to be created by the development is 1,000 square metres or more; and
(iv) development carried out on a site having an area of one hectare or more.

District level planning is currently undertaken by metropolitan and non-metropolitan districts, unitary authorities, London boroughs, national park authorities and Ebbsfleet, London Legacy and Old Oak and Royal Park development corporations. These authorities include applications for planning permissions on residential, offices, industrial, retail and householder developments.

District matters – major developments
For district matters applications, ‘major development’ means development involving any one or more of the following:

- The provision of dwellings where –
  - The number of dwellings to be provided is ten or more: or
  - The development is to be carried out on a site having an area of 0.5 hectares or more and it is not known whether the number of dwellings to be provided is ten or more.
- The provision of a building or buildings where the floor space to be created by the development is 1,000 square metres or more: or
- Development carried out on a site having an area of one hectare or more.

Before 1 April 2014, the statistical returns distinguished between large-scale and small-scale major developments, but this breakdown of figures is no longer requested from local planning authorities as a result of a review of the returns.

District matters - minor developments
For dwellings, a minor development is one where the number of dwellings to be provided is between one and nine inclusive on a site having an area of less than one hectare. Where the number of dwellings to be provided is not known, a site area of less than 0.5 hectares should be used as the definition of a minor development. For all other uses, a minor development is one where the floor space to be created is less than 1,000 square metres or where the site area is less than one hectare. Decisions are classified as relating to a major/minor development on the basis of the development covered by the application which was decided.

Enforcement activity
Local planning authorities have discretionary powers to take formal enforcement action if, in their view, an unacceptable breach of planning control has occurred. Where it is necessary to stop a breach immediately, the authority may issue a Temporary Stop Notice. This will halt development for 28 days while the alleged breach is investigated and further enforcement action is considered, without the need for the authority to issue an associated enforcement notice.
The authority may issue an Enforcement Notice requiring the alleged breach to be remedied. If an authority considers that any activity alleged in an Enforcement Notice should cease before the end of the specified compliance period, they may serve a Stop Notice prohibiting continuation of that activity. Where conditional planning permission has been granted for a development of land and there has been a failure to comply with one or more of the conditions, an authority may serve a Breach of Condition Notice on any person who is carrying out or has carried out development, or anyone having control of the land, requiring compliance with the conditions specified in the notice.

**Householder developments**

Householder developments (as referred to in some of the live tables, such as P123) are defined as those within the curtilage of a dwelling house which require an application for planning permission and are not a change of use. **Included** in householder developments are extensions, conservatories, loft conversions, dormer windows, alterations, garages, car ports or outbuildings, swimming pools, walls, fences, domestic vehicular accesses including footway crossovers, porches and satellite dishes. Granny annexes have been included with effect from 1 July 2014, having previously been recorded under dwellings. **Excluded** from householder developments are: applications relating to any work to one or more flats, applications to change the number of dwellings (flat conversions, building a separate house in the garden), changes of use to part or all of the property to non-residential (including business) uses, or anything outside the garden of the property (including stables if in a separate paddock).

By definition, householder developments that *do not require* an application for planning permission are also excluded – e.g. for extensions, these include those for which permitted development rights exist, including larger householder extensions (as defined under ‘Permitted development rights’ in the *Definitions* section) for which local authority prior approval is needed, and those that satisfy other conditions within the General Permitted Development Order, for which prior approval is not needed, and for which data are therefore not collected.

**Listed building consents**

Decisions by the district planning authority on:

(i) applications for listed building consent to extend and/or alter under section 8 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990; and

(ii) applications for listed building consent to demolish under section 8 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

**Permitted development rights**

Planning permission for some types of development has been granted nationally through the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. In some cases, if the legislation is complied with, developments can go ahead without the requirement to notify the local planning authority and hence no way of capturing data exists. In other cases, the General Permitted Development Order requires an application to the local planning authority to determine whether prior approval is required, and figures for seven such categories are collected for district matters:
• Larger householder extensions (greater than four metres but less than eight metres for detached dwelling houses, or three metres but less than six metres for other dwelling houses, as covered by the neighbour notification scheme as set out in paragraph A.4 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 1)

• Offices to residential (Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 3, Class O)

• Retail and *sui generis* uses to residential (Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 3, Classes M and N)

• Agricultural to residential (Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 3, Class Q)

• To state-funded school or registered nursery from various and provision of temporary school buildings on vacant commercial land\(^\text{11}\) (Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 3, Class Q)

• Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 3, Class S; Class T and Part 4, Class CA of Schedule 2).

• Storage or distribution centre and light industrial\(^\text{12}\) to residential (Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 3, Class P)

• All others - refers to all other types of development for which decisions on applications for prior approval had been made during the quarter.

**Prior approvals for permitted developments**

Prior approval means that a developer has to seek approval from the local planning authority that specified elements of the development are acceptable before work can proceed. The matters for prior approval vary depending on the type of development and these are set out in full in the relevant parts in Schedule 2 to the General Permitted Development Order. A local planning authority cannot consider any other matters when determining a prior approval application.

**Regulation 3 and 4 consents**

Under Regulation 3 of the Town and Country Planning General Regulations 1992, SI 1992/1492, a local planning authority makes an application to itself for permission to develop land within its area, and determines that application – such as for a school. Regulation 4 is concerned with planning permission for development of land in which the local planning authority has an interest but which it does not itself propose to carry out.

**Sui generis uses**

This is the term used for uses that do not fall into any planning use class. According to [https://www.planningportal.co.uk/info/200130/common_projects/9/change_of_use](https://www.planningportal.co.uk/info/200130/common_projects/9/change_of_use), such uses

---

\(^{11}\) The permitted development right for the provision of temporary school buildings on vacant land was introduced in April 2017.

\(^{12}\) The permitted development right for light industrial use was introduced in October 2017.
include: betting offices/shops, payday loan shops, theatres, larger houses in multiple occupation, hostels providing no significant element of care, scrap yards, petrol filling stations and shops selling and/or displaying motor vehicles, retail warehouse clubs, nightclubs, laundrettes, taxi businesses, amusement centres and casinos.

Use categories

Decisions relating to major or minor developments are classified by reference to the principal use within the development (i.e. the use on which other uses are considered to depend). Normally this is the one which accounts for the greater proportion of the new floorspace (although in certain cases the principal use will be one that does not account for any floorspace as such).

If there is any doubt as to the principal use in a multi-storey block, the ground floor use is taken as the principal one. (This rule would apply where, for example, the amounts of floorspace taken up by two different uses were approximately equal). Proposed developments are classified on the basis of the principal use and not that of the complex of which they are part. Thus a development involving the construction of offices within the curtilage of a general industrial site would be classified as ‘Offices/Research and Development/Light Industry’. Similarly, a dance-floor extension to a restaurant would be classified as ‘All other minor developments’ and not to ‘Retail, distribution and servicing’.

Data on housing approvals provided by Glenigan

Units granted residential planning permission are recorded when a residential development site receives:

- detailed residential planning permission; or
- approval of reserved matters.

The figures exclude elderly people’s homes, hostels, student accommodation and tourist accommodation. They include residential units on mixed use developments (commercial and residential). They are for England only.

Other details:

- Units from different phases of a single residential development site are included in these figures only when planning permission is obtained for that phase.
- To avoid double counting, units on residential development sites with planning permission are excluded where the residential development site has already received a planning permission within the previous twelve months. Only the units from the most recent planning permission are included.
- Where multiple planning permissions are given to a residential development site in the same quarter, only the most recent planning permission is included for the purpose of counting units with permission.

It is not possible to use these annual figures to estimate the total stock of units on uncompleted sites with permission.
Technical notes

Data collection

From 1962 to March 1979, annual statistics of planning applications received and decided by authorities that undertake district level planning activity were submitted by local planning authorities in response to a Circular 52/61. Since April 1979, they have been collated, on a quarterly basis, on the PS1 and PS2 General Development Control statistical returns (District). From the March quarter 2008 until the March quarter 2014, the PS1, PS2 and the Fee1 (revenue collected in respect of PS1 and PS2) returns were combined as a single return, the PSF return, with the Fee element being dropped from the June quarter 2014 but the PS1 and PS2 continuing. Information on planning applications for authorities that undertake county level planning activities are collated on the CPS1/2 General Development Control statistical returns (County Matters). The PS1/2 and CPS1/2 forms are reported to the department via Interform – the department’s housing and planning electronic data collection system.

The data collected have been chosen to provide useful and relevant information on the level and trends in planning applications and decisions to users while ensuring that the burden of completing the returns placed on local authorities is not excessive. The analysis carried out by the department aggregates the raw data and calculates percentages to allow comparison between authorities and between different quarters or years. In particular, the percentage of decisions permitted, and the percentage of decisions made in a specified time period allow the performance and efficiency of local authorities to be judged.

Data quality

In the October to December quarter of 2018, 339 out of 339 planning authorities (100 per cent) submitted district-level planning data and 164 out of 164 planning authorities (100 per cent) submitted county–level planning data in time for this publication. Also, for this publication, district-level planning data have been refreshed and re-imputed for the previous seven quarters going back to the January to March quarter of 2017. This takes account of data submitted or revised since figures were last published and is in line with departmental statisticians’ agreed revisions policy for housing and planning statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Response rate for PS1/2 (339 authorities)</th>
<th>Response rate for CPS1/2 (164 authorities)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January to March 2017</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April to June 2017</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July to September 2017</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October to December 2017</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January to March 2018</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April to June 2018</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July to September 2018</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October to December 2018</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2015 the UK Statistics Authority (UKSA) published a regulatory standard for the quality assurance of administrative data. To assess the quality of the data provided for this release the department has followed that standard.

The standard is supported with an Administrative Data Quality Assurance Toolkit which provides useful guidance on the practices that can be adopted to assure the quality of the data they utilise.

The Planning applications statistical release is produced by MHCLG based on data provided via its Interform data collection system by 366 local planning authorities\(^\text{13}\). An assessment of the level of risk based on the Quality Assurance Toolkit is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk/Profile Matrix</th>
<th>Administrative Source</th>
<th>Data Quality Concern</th>
<th>Public Interest</th>
<th>Matrix Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning Application Statistics</td>
<td>Local planning authorities individual planning systems</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low Risk [A1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The publication of planning application statistics can be considered as medium profile, as there is some media interest, with moderate political sensitivity especially at the local level.

The data quality concern is considered a low concern given that the data is collected from each local planning authority, via the data collection system Interform which includes built in quality assurance checks. The data are then further quality assured by the responsible statisticians, who perform further detailed validation and checks, spotting and correcting any errors.

Overall, the Planning application statistics have been assessed as A1: Low Risk. A full outline of the statistical production process and quality assurance carried out is provided in the flow chart in Figure 11. Further details are also provided against each of the four areas outlined in the Quality Assurance Toolkit.

---

\(^{13}\) 339 local planning authorities complete the PS1/2 return and 164 complete the CPS1/2 return. This involves 366 separate local planning authorities: the 339 completing the PS1/2 and additionally 27 county councils that complete only the CPS1/2.
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Figure 11: Quality assurance flow diagram

START: Collection launched 1 week after the end of the quarter

Form development, validation and testing, e.g. where new questions are included. Changes are consulted on with CLIP Planning group. Guidance is updated and published online.

Local planning authorities run their own internal processes and quality assurance to finalise their planning application

Local planning authorities notified via email that the form is live on the opening date

Query with authority - if incorrect, authority will amend data in Delta (requires re-opening the return if after closedown)

END: Release published 10-12 weeks after the end of the reporting period

Data pulled together to prepare final live tables and update statistical release. All calculations compared against previous release. QA Checklists are reviewed and signed off to ensure figures are consistent.

Yes

All quality assurance checks met and response rates acceptable?

No

Check response rate (minimum response rate expected >= 98%) 

Response rate <98% or, response rate >= 98% <100% before closedown

Local planning authorities submit planning application data on Delta
Form is usually open for 5 weeks.

The deadline is extended if the 98% target is not met. Attempts to maximise response rate include:

- Reminder communications by email at 2 weeks before and 1 week before closedown, and
- Contact by phone one week before form closedown (and continue until closedown unless there is a known reason why the authority will not be able to respond, e.g. staff absence, IT issues etc.).
Operational context and administrative data collection

The department and its predecessor departments have collected information on planning applications since as far back as 1962.

Information on planning applications is collected as part of the routine administration of the planning system in England. Local government administers much of the planning system, including preparing Local Plans, determining planning applications and carrying out enforcement against unauthorised development.

A helpful guide to the planning system in England is available online https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/plain-english-guide-to-the-planning-system. In particular, the flow chart in Annex C on page 20 shows the stages in the planning application process.

For this statistical publication, the department collects aggregate level information on planning applications from local planning authorities via its PS1/PS2 and CPS1/CPS2 Interform collections for ‘district matters’ and ‘county matters’ data respectively. This information is then published to provide up to date information on the number and type of applications received as well as the relevant performance and timeliness of planning authorities.

The latest versions of the data collection forms which are returned by the local planning authority are available online:

Communication with data supply partners

Planning authorities are supplied with comprehensive guidance to ensure that there is a common understanding of what information is to be supplied. Feedback on the return/statistical release is regularly sought from data providers and user. The department regularly meets with data providers via the Central Local Information Partnership (CLIP) Planning Statistics Sub-group and has a data collection and planning alert mailing list. This allows any changes or issues with the data to be clearly communicated to data providers and interested users.

The form is usually ‘live’ for five weeks. Should the minimum response rate of 98% not be met by the deadline, an extension is agreed and the Data Collection team will continue attempts to maximise the response rate until the new deadline. However, regular contact with local authorities as well as reminder emails throughout the time the form is ‘live’, result in relatively high response rates, as outlined in Table 5. Errors are minimised by the high response rate on the return, nonetheless they may also occur due to authorities not submitting information in time. The historic response rate on planning application statistics has been high: averages of 99.9% for PS1/2 and 99.5% for CPS1/2 over the eight quarters since the start of 2017.
QA principles, standards and checks by data suppliers

Local planning authorities capture their planning application data using different software providers as there are 366 different organisations supplying data to the department, it is not possible to fully understand and monitor each individual provider’s practical processes. To mitigate this lack of direct oversight, the department ensures additional effort is put into the QA checks carried out when the data is received. There are extensive validations in place on the data inputted by local authorities.

The department also reviews and updates guidance put out to local authorities each year, maintaining regular communication with providers throughout. Any anticipated changes to the forms will be discussed in detail and give specific regard to the providers data collections and storage systems where required.

From April 2007, an indication on the level of quality assurance undertaken by the local authority has been recorded as part of the final sign-off for these returns.

Producers’ QA investigations and documentation

Whilst local authorities are expected to carry out their own checks on the data submitted, and there are validations in place issues may still pass through the collection system. These errors could be due to data input or software errors.

To detect any errors after data submission further checks will be carried out by the department’s statisticians including monitoring for extreme values and comparing submitted figures against historical submissions.

There are then further final quality checks performed when producing the final end product of the statistical release and live tables. These checks use a clear checklist approach to ensure published figures are consistent across the release and live tables, with each check being systematically signed off when it has been completed. Once this final check has been signed off then the data is ready to be published.

Imputation

Some variables for the small number of non-responding authorities are imputed using data from similar authorities, although some information, such as the number of enforcement notices issued, is not imputed. In particular, missing values are imputed for four questions on the PS1 form (number of applications received; withdrawn; decided and delegated) and all questions on the PS2 form. No imputation is carried out for county level planning data from the CPS1/2 forms.

Where a particular local planning authority has not submitted data for a particular quarter, an imputed figure is derived by: i) calculating the average percentage change since an earlier period for similar local planning authorities and then: ii) applying that percentage change to the figure

---

14 Groups of similar local planning authorities are defined by splitting local planning authorities into 13 groups; these are based on the nine former
reported by the non-responding authority for the earlier period. For the four variables that are imputed for the PS1, the earlier period used is the corresponding quarter of the previous year, whereas for the PS2 variables, the earlier quarter used is the immediately preceding one.

National Statistics status

National Statistics status means that our statistics meet the highest standards of trustworthiness, quality and public value as set out in the Code of Practice for Statistics. It is the Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government’s statisticians’ responsibility to maintain compliance with these standards.

The continued designation of these statistics as National Statistics was confirmed in June 2012 following an assessment by the UK Statistics Authority.


Since the latest review by the UK Statistics Authority (UKSA), we have continued to comply with the Code of Practice for Statistics, and have made the following improvements:

- Improved the Data quality section of the statistical release, including discussion of compliance with the UKSA guidance on quality assurance of administrative data;
- Added information on the scale of changes occurring when revisions are made, including specific details of significant revisions;
- Included more explanation of planning terms referred to in the release and tables, largely through an expanded Definitions section; and
- Provided links to information and statistics on planning applications published by the other three countries of the UK.

Government Office Regions. Four of them (London, North East, West Midlands and Yorkshire and the Humber) are split into two areas – e.g. whether inside or outside the former metropolitan county area, in the case of the West Midlands.
Revisions policy

This policy has been developed in accordance with the UK Statistics Authority Code of Practice for Statistics and the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government Revisions Policy (found at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/statistical-notice-dclg-revisions-policy). There are two types of revision that the policy covers:

Non-scheduled revisions

Where a substantial error has occurred as a result of the compilation, imputation or dissemination process, the statistical release, live tables and other accompanying releases will be updated with a correction notice as soon as is practical.

Scheduled revisions

Local authorities can submit missing data or revise their PS1/2 and CPS1/2 returns following publication of the data. Data are revised for the previous seven quarters each release. A decision on whether to revise will be made based on the impact of any change and the effect it has on the interpretation of the time series. Provisional figures are labelled in the tables with a “P”.

Revisions to historic data (all data older than that currently due for scheduled revision) are made only where there is a substantial revision, such as a change in methodology or definition. Where small changes have been identified that do not substantially change the data, and are not regarded as big enough to warrant a change to the published figures, internal updates are maintained by the department.

Previously published information is not revised unless there has been a systematic error.

Scale of revisions

Actual revisions to submitted data that are big enough to result in changes to published national figures are rare, with specific details of any significant revisions being given in this technical note. However, due to the Government’s policy of designating authorities based on their performance over the past two years, there are circumstances where it is more important than usual for the detailed published figures to be accurate at a local authority level. In such circumstances, the department allows authorities to resubmit missing or incorrect data for previous quarters, particularly for Live Tables P151, P152, P153 and P154. These local authority level changes would not normally merit a non-scheduled revision but may be recorded or marked in the published table with a change or footnote. This is to improve the transparency of the performance system in this particular policy area rather than indicating a change to the general approach to making revisions to published statistics. In some cases, the department may require supporting evidence from a local planning authority before it makes these changes, as part of the data checking process.

Significant revisions

Since last quarter, Enfield has made some significant revisions to some of the figures submitted for permitted development rights on its PS1 return, largely by reducing its reported figures for ‘Larger householder extensions’ and ‘All others’. They have been made for each quarter from April
to June 2015 to July to September 2018 inclusive, resulting in an overall reduction over the fourteen quarters of 6,300 applications.

**Uses of the data**

The data in this statistical release are used for a variety of purposes. Ministers and officials in the department use this information for policy formulation and development and for decisions on designating poorly-performing local planning authorities. The figures are also of interest to the Planning Inspectorate, local government, planning and development professionals, academics, house builders and developers, other businesses, charities and campaign groups, members of the public and the media. Examples of the uses made of the data by these users are given in the user engagement strategy and planning and land use statistics referred to below. The data are also used to ensure democratic accountability in answers to Parliamentary Questions, ministerial correspondence, Freedom of Information Act cases and queries from the public.

**User engagement**

Users are encouraged to provide feedback on how these statistics are used and how well they meet user needs. Comments on any issues relating to this statistical release (and in particular regarding the proposed changes to the Live Tables) are welcomed and encouraged. Responses should be addressed to the “Public enquiries” contact given in the “Enquiries” section below.


**Notes**

This Release is a web-only publication. Details of officials who receive pre-release access to this statistical release 24 hours before publication can be found at [https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/ministry-of-communities-and-local-government/about/statistics](https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/ministry-of-communities-and-local-government/about/statistics)

This Statistical Release and previous publications can be accessed from: [https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-applications-statistics](https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/planning-applications-statistics)

**Devolved administration statistics**

Information and statistics on planning applications for the devolved administrations can be accessed at the following links:

- **Scotland:** [http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/Planning](http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/Planning)
- **Wales:** [http://wales.gov.uk/topics/planning/planningstats/?lang=en](http://wales.gov.uk/topics/planning/planningstats/?lang=en)
- **Northern Ireland:** [http://www.planningni.gov.uk/index/tools/about-statistics.htm](http://www.planningni.gov.uk/index/tools/about-statistics.htm)
The department is part of a cross-government working group, including devolved administrations and the Office of National Statistics, working to improve the trustworthiness quality and value of housing and planning statistics across the UK. This working group has published action plan to make the planned improvements on house building and planning statistics clear and transparent to users. Details of this work and how you can provide feedback are available via the Government Statistical Service website: https://gss.civilservice.gov.uk/guidances/working-with-users-2/housing-and-planning-statistics/.

Enquiries

Media enquiries:
0303 444 1209
Email: newsdesk@communities.gov.uk

Public enquiries and Responsible Statistician:
Andrew Presland, 0303 444 3510
Email: planning.statistics@communities.gov.uk

Information on Official Statistics is available via the UK Statistics Authority website:
https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/announcements

Information about statistics at MHCLG is available via the department’s website:
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