

CMS 156943/DC

Dame Jenny Abramsky
Chair
National Heritage Memorial Fund
7 Holbein Place
LONDON
SW1W 8NR



*host government
department*

20 October 2010

Dear Jenny

2010 SPENDING REVIEW

I am writing to inform you about the outcome of the 2010 Spending Review for my Department. DCMS' overall budget will reduce by 25% by 2014-15, which comprises a 24% reduction to the resource budget and a 32% reduction to the capital budget.

Nevertheless, capital budgets are tighter than for resource spending, making decisions here more difficult. We have therefore focussed our spending on completing those major projects that are already underway and maintaining capital spending on maintenance of core cultural and sporting assets. We have also been able to provide funding for the roll out of the Coalition's commitment to high speed broadband.

This letter sets out the funding settlement for the National Heritage Memorial Fund (NHMF) covering the financial years 2011-12 to 2014-15. I am setting out these high level budget allocations now to give you the best opportunity to plan for what will be a very challenging four year period. This letter will be followed by a more detailed funding agreement that will include full details of the settlement over four years, and the Government's requirements to support the transparency agenda.

My overall approach to the Spending Review has been to tackle the challenge in a strategic way, based on four principles:

- cutting waste and inefficiency, stopping lower priority projects and rethinking the role of the state in the sectors in which we operate;
- protecting for the long term our unique cultural, heritage and sporting assets;
- delivering a safe and successful Olympics in 2012; and
- contributing to the Government's growth agenda by supporting growth in our sectors and in the wider economy.

The budget for your organisation will be cut by 54.5% in real terms and will be £20m over four years. This settlement is to enable NHMF to continue its important role as the fund of last resort for acquisitions of heritage at risk of being lost to the nation. Within this settlement and in keeping with my priority to bear down on administration costs, I would like NHMF's administration costs to be reduced over the period. I would like you to make reductions at least in line with those being made for HLF as a result of my request that lottery administration be reduced to 5% of overall costs.

Budgetary control totals

The control totals against which NHMF's net expenditure will be monitored and the grant in aid that DCMS will pay in each year are set out in the table below. The key controls are the first two elements of the resource budget – the near-cash spending on programmes and on administration, and the overall capital budget.

£m	Resource Budget			Total Resource (DEL) Budget	Capital Budget			Total Capital (DEL) Budget	Grant in Aid
	Programme (near-cash)	Administration (near-cash)	Ring fenced depreciation		Core capital	Grants	Projects		
2011-12	0	0	0.717	0.717	0	0	0	0	0
2012-13	0	0	0.686	0.686	0	5.000	0	5.000	5,000
2013-14	0	0	0.369	0.369	0	10.000	0	10.000	10,000
2014-15	0	0	0.379	0.379	0	5.000	0	5.000	5,000

The approach that I have taken in setting these allocations is to commit most of the Department's resources to the bodies that we fund, and to keep only very limited funds back at the centre. This necessarily means that I am left with very little flexibility to deal with risk, for example to meet unforeseeable changes in circumstances and priorities that will arise, particularly in the latter years of the Spending Review period. So, while these allocations set out my firm plans for the next four years, they cannot be immutable, particularly for the third and fourth years. I must ask you to build into your own plans some flexibility in the later years. My intention would of course to minimize any changes to budgets, and to keep them below 5% of your overall allocation in any case.

Further information about the budgets and the flexibility between them can be found in **Annex A** although I recognise that some of these budgetary controls do not apply to NHMF as a grant fund.

Transparency

To replace the performance frameworks that you may have been used to in the past, NHMF will instead be expected to make information available to the public on a range of subjects. This will involve the formulation of an information strategy setting out what will be published and when. Further guidance about what must be included will be given in the funding agreement (which will be issued shortly) but it will incorporate a range of inputs and impact indicators.

Despite the difficult circumstances, this represents a fair settlement with safeguards to protect the cultural, heritage and sporting core. My Ministerial team and I look forward to working with you over the next four years.

Yours ever

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jeremy', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

JEREMY HUNT
Secretary of State for Culture, Olympics, Media and Sport

Annex A

Resource DEL budget

The **Depreciation** budget is operated as a one-way ring fence. This means that, after your net depreciation¹ and impairment charges have been set against it, any overspend must be covered by a reduction in expenditure on either the Programme or Administration budgets. Should there be an underspend against the depreciation budget however, no additional expenditure is permitted in other areas.

Capital DEL budget

The Capital DEL budgets are also separated into three distinct control totals.

Where NHMF has a **major project**, the specific funding for this is shown separately. It is not permissible to switch funding from that project to other areas of capital expenditure without the permission of the Department. You are expected to control expenditure on the project and plan to ensure that the project conforms to the budgetary limits shown. I wish to be quite clear that any slippage in the project that requires the deferral of expenditure to a later year will only be approved if the Department's overall budget has sufficient headroom to accommodate it. If it does not, you will be expected to defer expenditure and re-phase the project to conform to the profile shown. I would encourage you to ensure that any contracts you sign or agreements that you enter into are flexible enough to accommodate this.

Capital grants are also given a separate heading within the Capital DEL budget. This is only for grants given to third parties and may not be used on any capital projects or schemes by NHMF itself.

The last category is **core capital**. This captures all other capital expenditure and might include smaller capital projects, maintenance and replacement work. The Department will look kindly upon proposals to use the budget allocated for core capital expenditure on either increasing the value of capital grants distributed or on assisting with the re-profiling of major projects; however, you still require Departmental approval to change the nature of the expenditure.

Please remember that any project that costs (over its lifetime and including all funding streams) more than your delegated capital limit must be approved by the Investment Committee at DCMS using the approved process.

¹ The depreciation charged against the DEL budget excludes depreciation on assets funded by lottery grants or donations.