Clinical management of acute flaccid paralysis / acute flaccid myelitis (AFP/AFM)
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Background

Public Health England has received an increase in reports of acute flaccid paralysis and acute flaccid myelitis (AFP/AFM) cases in England, particularly since September 2018. In response, PHE has declared a national incident with the aim of strengthening current surveillance for AFP/AFM and to gather more information on clinical history, possible risk factors and outcomes for cases reported in 2018.

Cases are defined as:

**Acute flaccid paralysis/myelitis in an individual aged 30 years and under, not explained by an non-infectious cause.**

This guidance summarises existing evidence for the management of AFP/AFM based on US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidance (1), a recent review of management considerations (2), and an updated Medline literature review.

Infection control procedures are outlined in Appendix 1.

Supportive care

Supportive care is the mainstay of acute treatment of a case of AFP/AFM. The priority for the immediate management is to ensure that the patient’s airway is secure. High dependency or intensive care unit admission should be considered according to neurological progression or deficits present; mechanical ventilation is required in up to 20% of patients (2, 3).

Immunological therapies

**Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG)**

IVIG is generally safe and well tolerated. Possible adverse effects include fever, headache, myalgia, chills, nausea, and vomiting, anaphylactoid symptoms (flushing, tachycardia, and hypotension), aseptic meningitis, fatigue, and arthralgia. Rarely, severe adverse events have been reported including acute renal failure, thromboembolic events, haemolytic anaemia, and neutropenia (1).
The efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) to treat AFP/AFM has not been systematically studied, with data limited to case reports or case series (1). In agammaglobulinaemic children, enteroviral infection has been associated with severe neurological disease, suggesting that antibody helps prevent severe disease (4). IVIG preparations have been shown to contain antibody against circulating enteroviruses (EV), including EV-D68 (5). In rodents, IVIG has been found to have some efficacy in preventing progression to neuroinvasive disease (1). In order to be effective, IVIG administration as early as possible after onset of symptoms is likely required. Intramuscular immunoglobulin administration to susceptible populations in outbreak situations has shown some efficacy in preventing poliomyelitis (6). A randomised control trial to treat pre-paralytic poliomyelitis with intramuscular immunoglobulin, however, showed no efficacy in preventing progression to paralytic disease or reducing disease severity(7).

IVIG is currently in very short supply, especially in the UK. Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (if high-dose steroids have failed) is a grey indication for IVIG. Grey indications are those for which evidence is weak, primarily because the disease is rare, and requires local approval (8).

Corticosteroids

There is no clear evidence that steroids are beneficial or harmful in the treatment of AFP. Steroids are indicated for acute cord swelling and for conditions that may be included in the differential diagnosis for AFP, including transverse myelitis and acute disseminated encephalomyelitis. Nevertheless, steroids could impair the immune response to AFP due to an infectious cause. Steroid use has been associated with poorer outcome in outbreaks of enterovirus A71 (EV-A71) and in mouse models of acute flaccid myelitis with enterovirus D68 (EV-D68) (9, 10). EV-A71 and EV-D68 have both been associated with neurological disease (1).

Plasma exchange

There is no clear evidence that plasma exchange is beneficial or harmful in the treatment of AFP. As noted above, humoral immune response appears to be important in reducing the severity of AFP associated with acute viral infection; therefore removal of antibodies through plasma exchange could cause potential harmful effects. Most case series have reported no clinical improvement or adverse events associated with plasma exchange (1). In a single case report, there was significant improvement following treatment with plasmapheresis, IVIG and corticosteroids (11).
Interferon

There is no indication that interferon is beneficial in the treatment of AFP. Some case series have suggested that interferon-alpha may be associated with improvements in West Nile poliomyelitis-like illness and Saint Louis encephalitis, though others have reported no effect (1). A randomised trial of the use of interferon to treat Japanese encephalitis showed no benefit compared to placebo (12). Interferon could theoretically be harmful due to its immunomodulatory effects.

Antiviral therapies

Fluoxetine

Available data suggest that, while well-tolerated, there is no evidence that fluoxetine is effective at improving neurological outcomes in patients with acute flaccid myelitis. Fluoxetine is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor which has shown in vitro antiviral activity against EV-D68 (13). A cohort study of US patients with acute flaccid myelitis found that patients treated with fluoxetine administered at a median of 5 (IQR 3-7) days after neurological onset had no improvement in limb strength at follow-up. Fluoxetine was preferentially given to patients with EV-D68 in this study (13). A study in mice with EV-D68 induced paralysis also found that fluoxetine had no effect on paralysis outcomes compared to controls (10).

Other Antivirals

There is no indication that any other antivirals are beneficial in the treatment of AFP unless herpesvirus infection is suspected. The CDC have found no evidence that pleconaril, pocapavir, and vapandavir have any significant activity against EV-D68 (14). If herpesvirus infection is suspected, appropriate antivirals (i.e., acyclovir, valaciclovir) should be empirically administered until herpesvirus infection has been excluded or, if confirmed, appropriately treated (1).

Long-term outcomes

While most reports suggest some improvement, AFP is commonly associated with a persistent neurological deficit. A systematic review found that only 7 of 61 cases with AFP associated with non-polio enteroviruses since 2014 had full recovery (15).
Rehabilitation

Early physiotherapy and occupational therapy to restore function to the affected limbs is key to treatment. Evidence suggests that children with acute flaccid myelitis and other forms of myelitis can continue to regain strength and function in affected limbs for months to years following presentation (2).

Nerve transfer

Nerve transfer may be beneficial in patients with unilateral upper limb weakness. Nerve transfer involves the reconnection of nerves from other less essential areas to muscles that have lost innervation and has been used in patients with brachial plexus disorders and spinal injury (2). Case reports suggest that this may be beneficial in patients with AFP associated with EV-D68 though the best timing is not clear (16).

Functional electrical stimulation

Other strategies used in the rehabilitation of children with transverse myelitis, such as functional electrical stimulation (neuroprostheses that innervate muscles that have lost function via electrical stimulation) may also be considered in order to restore function though their effectiveness in AFP has not been evaluated (17).
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Appendix 1: Infection Control Procedures

There is no common unifying aetiology for AFP/AFM cases. Enteroviral infections are the most prominent infectious causes identified. Enteroviruses, including poliovirus, are transmitted by faecal oral route, respiratory secretions (especially EV-D68) or close contact with infected people. Enteroviruses are non-enveloped RNA viruses and have greater environmental stability than many other viruses. Given this information, PHE currently recommends standard + contact + droplet infection control precautions until the aetiology of each individual case of AFP/AFM has been further identified, because there are many possible causes of AFP/AFM: https://www.cdc.gov/acute-flaccid-myelitis/hcp/faqs.html.

As enteroviruses are non-enveloped viruses and, therefore, less susceptible to disinfectants and alcohol based hand rub, strict hand hygiene is of utmost importance. Hands should be decontaminated with liquid soap and water as per the WHO “5 Moments of Hand Hygiene”:

- before touching a patient
- before clean/aseptic procedure
- after body fluid exposure risk
- after touching a patient
- after touching patients surroundings.

Alcohol-based hand rub alone should not be used to decontaminate hands.


