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UK SMI#: scope and purpose

Users of UK SMIs

Primarily, UK SMIs are intended as a general resource for practising professionals operating in the field of laboratory medicine and infection specialties in the UK. UK SMIs also provide clinicians with information about the available test repertoire and the standard of laboratory services they should expect for the investigation of infection in their patients, as well as providing information that aids the electronic ordering of appropriate tests. The documents also provide commissioners of healthcare services with the appropriateness and standard of microbiology investigations they should be seeking as part of the clinical and public health care package for their population.

Background to UK SMIs

UK SMIs comprise a collection of recommended algorithms and procedures covering all stages of the investigative process in microbiology from the pre-analytical (clinical syndrome) stage to the analytical (laboratory testing) and post analytical (result interpretation and reporting) stages. Syndromic algorithms are supported by more detailed documents containing advice on the investigation of specific diseases and infections. Guidance notes cover the clinical background, differential diagnosis, and appropriate investigation of particular clinical conditions. Quality guidance notes describe laboratory processes which underpin quality, for example assay validation.

Standardisation of the diagnostic process through the application of UK SMIs helps to assure the equivalence of investigation strategies in different laboratories across the UK and is essential for public health surveillance, research and development activities.

Equal partnership working

UK SMIs are developed in equal partnership with PHE, NHS, Royal College of Pathologists and professional societies. The list of participating societies may be found at https://www.gov.uk/uk-standards-for-microbiology-investigations-smi-quality-and-consistency-in-clinical-laboratories. Inclusion of a logo in an UK SMI indicates participation of the society in equal partnership and support for the objectives and process of preparing UK SMIs. Nominees of professional societies are members of the Steering Committee and Working Groups which develop UK SMIs. The views of nominees cannot be rigorously representative of the members of their nominating organisations nor the corporate views of their organisations. Nominees act as a conduit for two way reporting and dialogue. Representative views are sought through the consultation process. UK SMIs are developed, reviewed and updated through a wide consultation process.

Quality assurance

NICE has accredited the process used by the UK SMI Working Groups to produce UK SMIs. The accreditation is applicable to all guidance produced since October 2009. The process for the development of UK SMIs is certified to ISO 9001:2008.
UK SMI Working Groups are committed to patient and public involvement in the development of UK SMI. By involving the public, health professionals, scientists and voluntary organisations the resulting UK SMI will be robust and meet the needs of the user. An opportunity is given to members of the public to contribute to consultations through our open access website.

Information governance and equality

PHE is a Caldicott compliant organisation. It seeks to take every possible precaution to prevent unauthorised disclosure of patient details and to ensure that patient-related records are kept under secure conditions. The development of UK SMI is subject to PHE Equality objectives https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/public-health-england/about/equality-and-diversity.

The UK SMI Working Groups are committed to achieving the equality objectives by effective consultation with members of the public, partners, stakeholders and specialist interest groups.

Legal statement

While every care has been taken in the preparation of UK SMI, PHE and the partner organisations, shall, to the greatest extent possible under any applicable law, exclude liability for all losses, costs, claims, damages or expenses arising out of or connected with the use of an UK SMI or any information contained therein. If alterations are made by an end user to an UK SMI for local use, it must be made clear where in the document the alterations have been made and by whom such alterations have been made and also acknowledged that PHE and the partner organisations shall bear no liability for such alterations. For the further avoidance of doubt, as UK SMI have been developed for application within the UK, any application outside the UK shall be at the user’s risk.

The evidence base and microbial taxonomy for the UK SMI is as complete as possible at the date of issue. Any omissions and new material will be considered at the next review. These standards can only be superseded by revisions of the standard, legislative action, or by NICE accredited guidance.

UK SMI are Crown copyright which should be acknowledged where appropriate.

Patient and public involvement

The UK SMI Working Groups are committed to patient and public involvement in the development of UK SMI. By involving the public, health professionals, scientists and voluntary organisations the resulting UK SMI will be robust and meet the needs of the user. An opportunity is given to members of the public to contribute to consultations through our open access website.

Legal statement

While every care has been taken in the preparation of UK SMI, PHE and the partner organisations, shall, to the greatest extent possible under any applicable law, exclude liability for all losses, costs, claims, damages or expenses arising out of or connected with the use of an UK SMI or any information contained therein. If alterations are made by an end user to an UK SMI for local use, it must be made clear where in the document the alterations have been made and by whom such alterations have been made and also acknowledged that PHE and the partner organisations shall bear no liability for such alterations. For the further avoidance of doubt, as UK SMI have been developed for application within the UK, any application outside the UK shall be at the user’s risk.

The evidence base and microbial taxonomy for the UK SMI is as complete as possible at the date of issue. Any omissions and new material will be considered at the next review. These standards can only be superseded by revisions of the standard, legislative action, or by NICE accredited guidance.

UK SMI are Crown copyright which should be acknowledged where appropriate.
Scope of document

Type of specimen

Whole blood, plasma, serum, faeces
This UK SMI covers the screening of blood, plasma and serum samples for Hepatitis E using HEV antibody enzyme immunoassay (EIA) screening. This document also covers the use of Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests (NAAT) for the detection of HEV RNA in plasma, serum and faeces samples for confirmation of HEV serology results, screening in the immunocompromised patient and monitoring of the treatment response. For information on treatment refer to European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) and for information on transplant patients refer to The Advisory Committee on the Safety of Blood, Tissues and Organs (SABTO) guidance.

This UK SMI should be used in conjunction with other UK SMIs.

Definitions
For all antigen, antibody and NAAT testing the following definitions apply:

**During testing process**
- **Reactive** – Initial internal stage positive result pending confirmation
- **Not reactive** – Initial internal stage negative result
- **Equivocal** – Result is within the manufacturer’s grey zone. Further testing is required.
  
  The term ‘equivocal’ may be different for various platforms eg ‘indeterminate’.

**Reporting stage**
These terms are used for final or preliminary reports.
- **Detected** – Report-stage confirmed reactive result.
- **Not detected** – Report-stage not reactive result.
- **Indeterminate** – Reactive result that cannot be confirmed.
- **Inhibitory** – The term ‘inhibitory’ may be different for various platforms eg ‘invalid’.
Introduction

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is increasingly common in the UK with an excess of 100,000 infections estimated to occur annually in England of which a minority, less than 1%, are associated with clinically apparent disease.\(^1,2\)

HEV causes an acute infection, which may be associated with clinical hepatitis and can also result in a persistent infection in immunosuppressed hosts. Symptoms of HEV include jaundice, dark urine and pale stools and may be accompanied by tiredness, fever, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and loss of appetite (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/hepatitis-e-symptoms-transmission-prevention-treatment/hepatitis-e-symptoms-transmission-treatment-and-prevention). There has been a year on year increase in case numbers since 2010 and HEV is currently the most common cause of acute viral hepatitis in England\(^1\). Indigenously acquired infections have been linked to the consumption of pork products and diet remains the major route of autochthonous HEV acquisition\(^1\).

There are four main HEV genotypes, G1-G4, which infect humans\(^3,4\). Sequence and phylogenetic analysis shows genotype 3 viruses to be associated with indigenous infections in the UK. A number of G1 (and rarely G4) infections are imported into the UK each year following travel to a high incidence area. G1 (and G2) viruses are likely to cause severe illness in pregnancy, HEV G3 does not\(^5,6\).

It is important to consider hepatitis E as a potential cause of viral hepatitis early on in the assessment of the patient ie as part of an initial acute viral hepatitis screen and as a cause of transaminitis in the immunosuppressed host. HEV is also an under-recognised cause of neurological presentations including brachial neuritis and peripheral neuropathy\(^7,9\).

Laboratory diagnosis

The clinical presentation of acute symptomatic hepatitis E infection cannot be distinguished from that of any other viral hepatitis. Although epidemiological features may suggest HEV infection in some cases, laboratory tests should always be performed to confirm any clinical diagnosis.

Hepatitis E testing should be carried out as part of an initial hepatitis screen in the investigation of acute clinical hepatitis alongside hepatitis A, B and C\(^7\). It might also be useful to do serology for CMV and EBV infection. The use of alanine transaminase (ALT) data for limiting the number of immunocompetent patients tested may be considered (ie screening for HEV infection on patients with ALT ≥100 IU/L) although in many infections, such as in blood donors, the elevation of ALT may be slight or even absent\(^10\). PHE advise that anyone with unexplained clinical hepatitis, regardless of travel history be tested for HEV.

HEV symptomatic and non symptomatic infection in the immunocompetent

Serology supported by the detection of viral nucleic acid is the principal way in which hepatitis E is diagnosed in immunocompetent patients. Asymptomatic HEV infection is sought in donors of blood, tissue and organs by nucleic acid testing alone. Recombinant capsid proteins are used in assays of different format for the detection of antibody to HEV\(^11\). Although there are four human HEV genotypes, they elicit very
similar antibody responses and appear to represent a single serotype\textsuperscript{12-15}. For symptomatic infections, the serological response becomes detectable just prior to the maximal liver injury, potentially coinciding with the onset of symptoms. IgM anti-HEV precedes IgG detection, and is usually short lived but can remain detectable at decreasing levels for several months and may persist for extended periods in a small number of individuals. The significance of this is not known\textsuperscript{13}.

IgG antibody appears shortly after IgM and the IgG reactivity rises rapidly in the recovery period. High level reactivity for anti-HEV IgG with low or high negative IgM is seen in samples taken after viral clearance and following recovery from jaundice in the symptomatic patients. The IgG response can persist for several years and may be lifelong in the majority of patients recovered from HEV infection\textsuperscript{16}.

Laboratory diagnostic criteria can be drawn up to account for the variability in natural immune responses and assay performance. An acute case of hepatitis E infection with or without symptomatic presentation is best defined by having HEV RNA positive serum or plasma and coincident IgM and IgG anti-HEV reactivity\textsuperscript{17}. Other combinations of IgG and IgM results may be best interpreted according to antibody titre/reactivity levels but IgM reactivity on its own is not secure. The failure of IgG antibody seroconversion in a patient previously sero-reactive solely for IgM confirms the non-specificity of the initial IgM reactivity\textsuperscript{17}. The duration of viraemia in the immunocompetent patient is of the order of eight weeks\textsuperscript{17}. In a patient presenting with hepatitis E, plasma viraemia and antigenaemia will fall away quickly in the recovery period and it is not unusual to fail to detect HEV RNA in plasma samples taken a few weeks after the onset of jaundice.

**HEV infection in the immunocompromised**

Testing for HEV may also be considered as part of the initial investigation of unexplained elevation of plasma transaminases (eg ALT) in immunocompromised individuals and in individuals with acute neurological presentations consistent with hepatitis E infection\textsuperscript{18}. For immunocompromised patients, who may have a delayed or absent antibody response, screening for HEV viraemia by RNA with NAAT is essential\textsuperscript{17}.

Detection of HEV viraemia without detectable HEV antibodies in the presence of an abnormal ALT may not equate to acute HEV infection, but could be the result of previously undiagnosed persistent infection in the immunosuppressed patient\textsuperscript{19}.

In those patients who are immunocompromised either through coincident infections (for example HIV) and immune-diatheses (loss of immune function for a variety of systematic diseases) or following transplantation or chemotherapy (solid organ transplants, stem cell transplants and haematology-oncology) or systemic immunosuppressive therapy (inflammatory bowel, renal/vascular, and arthritides), the early phases of the infection may be without symptoms. In the immunosuppressed patient, virus replication may persist for months or years in the absence of development of serological markers; this may occur with little elevation of serum transaminases. Minimal elevation of LFTs may be a surrogate marker for persistent HEV infection and an indicator for testing for viraemia in immunocompromised patients\textsuperscript{17}. Up to half of all initially diagnosed acute infections in the immunocompromised may clear spontaneously. When this clearance occurs in the face of immune recovery, for example during haematological remission it may often be
associated with seroconversion, sometimes presenting as hepatitis recovery. Infections, which do not clear, may persist for years with or without antibody.

For this reason it is recommended that a follow up sample is taken four weeks after the first detection of HEV viraemia in an immunocompromised individual and tested both for antibody and viraemia. This will confirm the initial finding and help differentiate between an acute resolving infection (perhaps with seroconversion) and a possible persistent infection if viral load levels are maintained. Where opportunity exists, previous archived samples may be used to investigate potential persistence and results may inform on the length of infection.

In monitoring of HEV RNA levels during antiviral therapy of persistent chronic HEV infection, it is recommended that monthly HEV RNA testing is undertaken on faeces and plasma. HEV RNA is detectable in the stool some considerable time before viraemia, and for approximately four weeks after the clearance of detectable viraemia. There are reports of more prolonged faecal shedding of virus. Infections in patients with persisting detectable viral faecal shedding at the termination of anti-viral treatment are very likely to suffer viral recrudescence and it is recommended to continue therapy until two sequential stool samples taken four weeks apart are found to be free of detectable virus\textsuperscript{20,21}.

Commercial HEV RNA assays may not be validated for all sample types listed above. Manufacturers’ recommendations should be followed and all kits should be validated, verified and deemed fit for purpose prior to use.

**Established persistent hepatitis infection\textsuperscript{22}**

Persistent hepatitis E infection can result in chronic liver disease and rapidly progressive liver fibrosis and cirrhosis with death due to decompensated liver disease. Persistence is defined as remaining viraemic for at least 3 months. Persistence of an unchanged viral load over a period of one month suggests that a persistent infection is very likely. Data from the transplant setting have shown that a reduction in levels of immune suppression led to viral clearance in 30% of cases\textsuperscript{23-25}. Clearance in this setting is usually associated with sero-conversion and frequently with a transaminitis.

In patients with persistent HEV infection treatment is usually ribavirin monotherapy though this usage remains unlicensed. A rapid reduction in viral load during the first week of therapy may indicate an increased likelihood of developing a sustained viral response (SVR)\textsuperscript{26}. Antiviral treatment with pegylated interferon and/or ribavirin has also been used successfully to treat persistent HEV infections where alteration of immune suppression has either been impossible or ineffective\textsuperscript{23-25}.

**Confirmation of viral clearance**

It is important to confirm stool clearance before terminating anti-viral treatment. Infections in patients with continuing detectable viral faecal shedding at the end of treatment are liable to recrudescence and it is wise to continue therapy until two sequential stool samples one month apart are found to be free of detectable virus. This confirms the end of treatment response (ETR). A significant proportion of patients achieving ETR clearance will suffer viral recrudescence of the original infection, confirmable by viral phylogeny, usually associated with a return of ALT elevation. For this reason it is recommended to consider retesting for viraemia at 6 months, or earlier at any sign of a return of transaminitis, in order to confirm a standard virological response (SVR) for viral clearance.
HEV infection in pregnancy

In cases of pregnant women who are found to be HEV-infected, particularly in those who have travelled abroad during the incubation period, it is recommended that samples are referred to a reference laboratory for genotyping. There is an increased risk of more serious illness in those with a genotype 1 (G1) infection. Genotype G3 is the dominant virus in the UK and there is no evidence to suggest that G3 infections are associated with severe outcomes in pregnancy1,7.

Technical information/limitations

Limitations of UK SMIs

The recommendations made in UK SMIs are based on evidence (eg sensitivity and specificity) where available, expert opinion and pragmatism, with consideration also being given to available resources. Laboratories should take account of local requirements and undertake additional investigations where appropriate. Prior to use, laboratories should ensure that all commercial and in-house tests have been validated and are fit for purpose.

Specimen containers27,28

UK SMIs use the term “CE marked leak proof container” to describe containers bearing the CE marking used for the collection and transport of clinical specimens. The requirements for specimen containers are given in the EU in vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Directive (98/79/EC Annex 1 B 2.1) which states: “The design must allow easy handling and, where necessary, reduce as far as possible contamination of and leakage from, the device during use and, in the case of specimen receptacles, the risk of contamination of the specimen. The manufacturing processes must be appropriate for these purposes”.
1 Safety considerations

1.1 Specimen collection, transport and storage

Use aseptic technique.
Collect adequate and appropriate specimens in appropriate CE marked leak proof containers and transport in sealed plastic bags.
Compliance with postal, transport and storage regulations is essential.

1.2 Specimen processing

Laboratory procedures that give rise to infectious aerosols must be conducted in a microbiological safety cabinet.
Refer to current guidance on the safe handling of all organisms documented in this UK SMI.
The above guidance should be supplemented with local COSHH and risk assessments.

2 Specimen transport, storage and retention

2.1 Optimal transport and storage conditions

Specimens should be transported and processed as soon as possible.
If processing is delayed, refrigeration is preferable to storage at ambient temperature and should be in accordance with manufacturers’ instructions.
Samples should be retained in accordance with The Royal College of Pathologists guidelines ‘The retention and storage of pathological records and specimens’.

Public health management

For information regarding notification to PHE (or equivalent in the devolved administrations) refer to page 16.
A structured enhanced surveillance questionnaire is available for laboratory confirmed cases of hepatitis E (as defined in the case definition) at:
Also refer to Health and Safety Executive guidance for employers and employees: http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/indg342.pdf.
HEV infection in the immunocompetent – Screening with HEV IgM and IgG

- **HEV IgM and IgG**
  - **HEV IgM not reactive and HEV IgG not reactive**
    - Report: No serological evidence of HEV infection.
  - **HEV IgM reactive and HEV IgG not reactive**
    - HEV RNA testing should be undertaken or a repeat sample sent in 2 weeks to look for evidence of seroconversion.
    - **HEV RNA not detected**
      - Report: No serological evidence of recent HEV infection.
    - **HEV RNA detected**
      - Consider HEV RNA and sending to referral lab for confirmation.
  - **HEV IgM reactive and HEV IgG reactive**
    - Report: Serology consistent with recent HEV infection. Please correlate with clinical presentation.
  - **HEV IgM not reactive and HEV IgG reactive**
    - Report: Consistent with past HEV infection. No serological evidence of recent infection.
HEV Infection in the immunocompetent - Screening with HEV IgM

- **HEV IgM**
  - Reactive
    - **HEV RNA**
      - Reactive
        - **HEV IgG**
          - Reactive: **Report:** Serology consistent with recent HEV infection. Please correlate with clinical presentation.
          - Not reactive: **Report:** HEV IgM reactivity likely to be non-specific. No evidence of HEV infection on further testing.
      - Not reactive: **Report:** Consistent with acute HEV infection.
  - Non reactive
    - **HEV RNA not detected**: No evidence of current HEV infection, HEV IgM reactivity is likely to be non-specific. Consider HEV IgG testing.
    - **HEV RNA detected**: Consider HEV RNA and sending to referral lab for confirmation.

- HEV IgG
  - Reactive: **Report:** Serology consistent with recent HEV infection. Please correlate with clinical presentation.
  - Not reactive: **Report:** No serological evidence of recent HEV infection.

- **HEV RNA**
  - Reactive
    - **HEV RNA not detected**: HEV IgM reactivity alone is not diagnostic of recent HEV infection. HEV RNA testing should be undertaken or a repeat sample sent in 2 weeks to look for evidence of seroconversion.
    - **HEV RNA detected**: **Report:** Consistent with acute HEV infection.
  - Not reactive
    - **HEV RNA not detected**: **Report:** HEV IgM reactivity likely to be non-specific. No evidence of HEV infection on further testing.
    - **HEV RNA detected**: **Report:** Consistent with acute HEV infection.
Footnotes - HEV infection in the immunocompetent algorithm

a. Initial screening may be undertaken with HEV IgM or a combination of HEV IgM and IgG depending on local laboratory requirements.

b. The detection of HEV IgM alone is not diagnostic of HEV infection as the specificity of the assays is often low. In laboratories where initial screening is undertaken with HEV IgM only further testing with HEV RNA or HEV IgG is recommended where the IgM is reactive.

c. Consider sending to referral laboratory for genotyping and phylogenetic sequencing. Genotyping is recommended when investigating infections during pregnancy.

d. The significance of a reactive HEV IgM result needs to be interpreted after careful consideration of the clinical presentation, IgM index and the exclusion of other possible causes.
HEV infection in the immunocompromised\textsuperscript{5,19,48}

- **HEV RNA\textsuperscript{a,b}**
  - **HEV RNA not detected**
    - **Report**: No evidence of current HEV infection
  - **HEV RNA detected**
    - **Report**: Evidence of current HEV infection\textsuperscript{c,d}
      - Monitor HEV RNA in blood and/or stool weekly.
    - **HEV RNA in blood and/or stool samples monthly\textsuperscript{e,f}**
      - **Two consecutive monthly blood and stool samples HEV RNA negative.**
        - Clearance confirmed
      - **Blood HEV RNA detectable for three consecutive months**: Establishment of persistent HEV infection confirmed\textsuperscript{g}

- **Report**: Persisting HEV RNA in blood for three or more consecutive months indicates establishment of persistent HEV infection. Monitor HEV RNA in blood every three-six months. Consider therapeutic intervention.
Footnotes - HEV infection in the immunocompromised algorithm

a. A quantitative assay should be used in accordance with the WHO International Standard.

b. In patients with conditions associated with immunosuppression (for example HIV infection, lymphoma and leukaemia) and in solid organ transplant recipients, HEV RNA testing is essential for the diagnosis of HEV infection. In these patients seroconversion is often delayed, and may not occur. If seroconversion does occur it is not necessarily associated with viral clearance.

c. Previous archived samples may be used in the investigation of persistent infection to identify length of infection.

d. Antibody results where available may inform patient management.

e. Quantitative HEV RNA viral load monitoring may provide further information regarding the dynamics of the HEV infection:
   i. Decreasing HEV RNA viral load suggests a resolving infection.
   ii. Increasing HEV RNA viral load suggests a developing recent infection.
   iii. Unchanged HEV RNA viral load suggests an established persistent infection.

f. An alternative to monitoring HEV RNA in both blood and stool is to monitor HEV RNA in blood until it is not detected and then to monitor blood and stool until clearance is confirmed.

g. Refer to monitoring algorithm for persistent HEV infection during antiviral therapy.
Monitoring of HEV during antiviral therapy for persistent HEV infection

Baseline HEV RNA in blood and stool

Consider HEV RNA in blood at day seven to assess early response

Monitor HEV RNA in blood and stool monthly

Initial HEV RNA not detected in blood or stool

Initial HEV RNA not detected in blood or stool. Suggest retesting HEV RNA in blood and stool in four weeks to confirm clearance of infection.

Two consecutive monthly blood and stool HEV RNA not detected. Clearance is confirmed.

Report: HEV RNA not detected in blood and stool on two consecutive occasions four weeks apart. Clearance of infection is confirmed. Suggest retesting blood at six months to show maintenance of viral clearance or earlier if transaminitis recurs.

HEV RNA detected in blood or stool

HEV RNA detected in blood or stool.

Persistence of detectable HEV RNA. Monitor HEV RNA in blood and stool in four weeks.

Report: Persistence of detectable HEV RNA.
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Footnotes - Monitoring of HEV during antiviral therapy for persistent/chronic HEV infection algorithm

a. A quantitative assay should be used in accordance with the WHO International Standard.

b. A rapid fall in the first week of treatment is a good predictor of an eventual sustained virological response to antiviral therapy\(^ {20}\).

c. A decreasing HEV RNA viral load is likely to represent resolving infection.

d. An alternative to monitoring HEV RNA in both blood and stool is to monitor HEV RNA in blood until it is not detected and then to monitor blood and stool until clearance is confirmed.

e. Relapse may be detected by a return of detectable HEV RNA in either, or both, blood and stool.

f. Relapse of HEV infection following cessation of antiviral therapy is commonly associated with ongoing viral shedding in stool samples at the end of treatment. Therefore it is good practice to ensure HEV RNA stool clearance has occurred in 2 stool samples 4 weeks apart prior to stopping treatment\(^ {20}\).
## Report comments

### Immunocompetent patient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HEV IgM</th>
<th>HEV IgG</th>
<th>HEV RNA in blood</th>
<th>Interpretative Comment</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not Reactive</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>No serological evidence of recent HEV infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not Reactive</td>
<td>Not Reactive</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>No serological evidence of HEV infection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not Reactive</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Consistent with past HEV infection. No serological evidence of recent infection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not Detected</td>
<td>No evidence of current HEV infection. HEV IgM reactivity is likely to be non-specific. Consider HEV IgG testing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Detected</td>
<td>Consistent with acute HEV infection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Not Reactive</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>HEV IgM reactivity alone is not diagnostic of recent HEV infection. HEV RNA testing should be undertaken or a repeat sample sent in 2 weeks to look for evidence of seroconversion.</td>
<td>The detection of HEV IgM alone is not diagnostic of HEV infection as the specificity of the assays is often low.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Not Reactive</td>
<td>Not Detected</td>
<td>HEV IgM reactivity likely to be non-specific. No evidence of HEV infection on further testing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Not Reactive</td>
<td>Detected</td>
<td>Consistent with acute HEV infection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
<td>Serology consistent with recent HEV infection or non-specific IgM reactivity. HEV RNA not detected.</td>
<td>The significance of a reactive HEV IgM result needs to be interpreted after careful consideration of the clinical presentation, IgM index and the exclusion of other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Screening and monitoring for hepatitis E infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Consistent with acute HEV infection.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Detected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Serology consistent with recent HEV infection. Please correlate with clinical presentation and consider HEV RNA testing.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The significance of a reactive HEV IgM result needs to be interpreted after careful consideration of the clinical presentation, IgM index and the exclusion of other possible causes. HEV RNA testing should be considered for confirmation.
### Immunocompromised patient*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEV RNA in blood</th>
<th>HEV RNA in stool</th>
<th>Interpretative Comments</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Not detected</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>No evidence of current HEV infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Base line sample

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Detected</td>
<td>Evidence of current HEV infection. Monitor HEV RNA in blood and/or stool monthly.</td>
<td>In patients with conditions associated with immunosuppression (for example HIV infection, lymphoma and leukaemia) and in solid organ transplant recipients, HEV RNA testing is essential for the diagnosis of acute and persistent HEV infection. In these patients seroconversion is often delayed, and may not occur. If seroconversion does occur it is not necessarily associated with viral clearance. Previous archived samples may be used in the investigation of persistent infection to identify length of infection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Monitoring samples

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3     | Detected | Detectable for ≥3 consecutive months: Persisting HEV RNA in blood for three or more consecutive months indicated establishment of persistent HEV infection. Monitor HEV RNA in blood every three-six months. Consider therapeutic intervention. | Quantitative HEV RNA viral load monitoring may provide further information regarding the dynamics of the HEV infection:
- Decreasing HEV RNA viral load suggests a resolving infection.
- Increasing HEV RNA viral load suggests a developing recent infection.
- Unchanged HEV RNA viral load suggests an established persistent infection. An alternative to monitoring HEV RNA in both blood and stool is to monitor HEV RNA in blood until it is not detected and then to monitor blood and stool until clearance is confirmed. Refer to monitoring algorithm for persistent HEV infection during antiviral therapy. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Test Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two consecutive monthly blood and stool samples HEV RNA negative.

HEV RNA not detected in plasma and stool on two consecutive occasions 4 weeks apart. Clearance of infection is confirmed. Suggest retesting blood at six months to show maintenance of viral clearance or earlier if transaminitis recurs.

*The clinical significance of a detectable serological response (any combination of IgM/IgG) in an immunocompromised patient is uncertain and does not always correlate with likelihood of clearance. In particular, the detection of anti-HEV IgM should not be used to infer a recent infection and the use of HEV serology is not part of the routine diagnostic algorithm.*
Notification to PHE\textsuperscript{49,50}, or equivalent in the devolved administrations\textsuperscript{51-54}

The Health Protection (Notification) regulations 2010 require diagnostic laboratories to notify Public Health England (PHE) when they identify the causative agents that are listed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations. Notifications must be provided in writing, on paper or electronically, within seven days. Urgent cases should be notified orally and as soon as possible, recommended within 24 hours. These should be followed up by written notification within seven days.

For the purposes of the Notification Regulations, the recipient of laboratory notifications is the local PHE Health Protection Team. If a case has already been notified by a registered medical practitioner, the diagnostic laboratory is still required to notify the case if they identify any evidence of an infection caused by a notifiable causative agent.

Notification under the Health Protection (Notification) Regulations 2010 does not replace voluntary reporting to PHE. The vast majority of NHS laboratories voluntarily report a wide range of laboratory diagnoses of causative agents to PHE and many PHE Health Protection Teams have agreements with local laboratories for urgent reporting of some infections. This should continue.

Note: The Health Protection Legislation Guidance (2010) includes reporting of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) & Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs), Healthcare Associated Infections (HCAIs) and Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD) under ‘Notification Duties of Registered Medical Practitioners’: it is not noted under ‘Notification Duties of Diagnostic Laboratories’.

https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/public-health-england/about/our-governance#health-protection-regulations-2010

Other arrangements exist in Scotland\textsuperscript{51,52}, Wales\textsuperscript{53} and Northern Ireland\textsuperscript{54}. 
References

Modified GRADE table used by UK SMIs when assessing references

Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) is a systematic approach to assessing references. A modified GRADE method is used in UK SMIs for appraising references for inclusion. Each reference is assessed and allocated a grade for strength of recommendation (A-D) and quality of the underlying evidence (I-VIII). A summary table which defines the grade is listed below and should be used in conjunction with the reference list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality/certainty of evidence</th>
<th>Types of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A  Strongly recommended</td>
<td>I  Evidence from randomised controlled trials, meta-analysis and systematic reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*  Recommended but other alternatives may be acceptable</td>
<td>II  Evidence from non-randomised studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III  Evidence from documents describing techniques, methods or protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C*  Weakly recommended: seek alternatives</td>
<td>IV  Non-analytical studies, eg case reports, reviews, case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D  Never recommended</td>
<td>V  Expert opinion and wide acceptance as good practice but with no study evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VI  Required by legislation, code of practice or national standard/guideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VII  Letter/short communication/editorials/conference communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VIII  Electronic citation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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