

Ministry of Justice Prison Performance Ratings 2017/18

Published 26 July, 2018

Available at:

www.gov.uk/government/statistics/prison-performance-ratings-2017-to-2018

ERRATUM

Published 12 October, 2018

Revisions to the Accredited Programmes completions in custody data have been made, impacting on the Accredited Programmes data and bandings used to calculate the Prison Performance Ratings. The revisions apply to completions in the year ending March 2018 and are a result of errors in the recording of case level programmes data.

The number of Accredited Programme completions in the year ending March 2018 reported in the Custodial Performance Tool has changed from 5,432 to 5,354. These completions count Offender Behaviour Programmes and Sex Offender Treatment Programmes. No prisons have had a change to their overall prison rating as a result of these revisions.

The corrected figures for Accredited Programme completions, as well as the difference between those originally published and subsequently revised appear in Tables 1 to 3 in Annex A of this notice.

The impact of the change on products published as part of the Prison Performance Ratings 2017/18 is minimal, and confined to the products listed below. The listed products have been re-issued with full revisions.

Products affected

Supplementary Tables – Table 1: Accredited Programmes completions, Offender Behaviour Programme completions and Sex Offender Treatment Programme completions. All actuals.

Supplementary Tables – Table 3: CPT score and Accredited Programmes completions bandings.

Compliance

This revision is in accordance with Quality Principle Q3.4 of the Code of Practice for Official Statistics (February 2018), which requires all producers of Official Statistics to publish full and clear details on the scale, nature, cause and impact of unscheduled corrections that result from errors.

The Ministry of Justice aims to avoid the need for revisions to publications unless they are absolutely necessary and has put systems and processes in place to minimise the number of revisions.

Annex A

Table 1: Accredited programmes completed actuals 2017/18 whole year April to March. Original data and revised data and difference between.

Prison Name	Accredited programmes completed Actuals			Offender Behaviour Programme Completions (OBP) Actuals			Sex Offender Treatment Programme Completions (SOTP) Actuals		
	Original	Revised	Difference	Original	Revised	Difference	Original	Revised	Difference
Birmingham	84	73	-11	84	73	-11	0	0	0
Dovegate	191.5	192	0.5	191.5	192	0.5	0	0	0
Forest Bank	74	46	-28	74	46	-28	0	0	0
Gartree	49.5	50	0.5	49.5	50	0.5	0	0	0
Grendon	119.5	119	-0.5	119.5	119	-0.5	-	0	0
Isis	47	38	-9	47	38	-9	0	0	0
Lindholme	58	38	-20	58	38	-20	0	0	0
Northumberland	112	106	-6	51	51	0	61	55	-6
Peterborough Male	53	58	5	53	58	5	-	0	0
Prescoed	1	0	-1	-	-	-	1	0	-1
Send	21.5	10	-11.5	21.5	10	-11.5	0	0	0
Stafford	95	99	4	0	0	0	95	99	4
Usk	70	69	-1	-	-	-	70	69	-1

Table 2: Accredited programmes completed bandings 2017/18 whole year April to March. Original banding, revised banding and difference between.

Accredited Programmes completed Bandings			
Prison Name	Original	Revised	Difference
Birmingham	3	3	0
Dovegate	3	3	0
Forest Bank	3	2	-1
Gartree	1	2	1
Grendon	3	3	0
Isis	3	3	0
Lindholme	3	3	0
Northumberland	2	2	0
Peterborough Male	2	3	-1
Prescoed	-	-	-
Send	3	3	0
Stafford	3	3	0
Usk	3	3	0

Table 3: Overall 2017/18 CPT score and overall band. Original score and overall banding, revised figures and difference between.

Prison Name	CPT Score			Overall CPT band		
	Original	Revised	Difference	Original	Revised	Difference
Birmingham	2.1	2.1	0	1	1	0
Dovegate	3.46	3.46	0	4	4	0
Forest Bank	3.05	2.96	-0.09	3	3	0
Gartree	2.65	2.7	0.05	3	3	0
Grendon	3.59	3.59	0	4	4	0
Isis	2.73	2.73	0	3	3	0
Lindholme	1.88	1.88	0	1	1	0
Northumberland	2.46	2.46	0	2	2	0
Peterborough Male	2.37	2.45	0.08	1	1	0
Prescoed	3.31	3.31	0	4	4	0
Send	2.63	2.63	0	3	3	0
Stafford	2.87	2.87	0	3	3	0
Usk	2.54	2.54	0	3	3	0