

**UNITED KINGDOM NATIONAL LIST/ PLANT BREEDERS RIGHTS TECHNICAL PROTOCOL FOR THE
OFFICIAL EXAMINATION OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY (DUS)**

FIELD PEA AND PEA

(Pisum sativum L. sensu lato)

SECTION A - GENERAL INFORMATION.....	1
1. PURPOSE.....	1
2. SCOPE	1
3. RESPONSIBILITIES.....	1
4. NON COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL.....	2
5. RESPONSIBILITY FOR GM RELEASES.....	2
6. PROCEDURES FOR GM VARIETIES.....	2
7. ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS	2
SECTION B - APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS.....	3
1. PURPOSE.....	3
2. SCOPE	3
3. RESPONSIBILITIES.....	3
4. RECEIPT OF APPLICATIONS	3
5. RECEIPT OF SEED	3
6. SEED QUALITY REQUIREMENTS	3
7. SEED QUANTITY	4
8. LABELLING REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR GM VARIETIES	4
SECTION C – GROWING TEST PROCEDURES.....	5
1. PURPOSE.....	5
2. SCOPE	5
3. RESPONSIBILITIES.....	5
4. REFERENCE VARIETIES.....	5
5. DESIGN OF TESTS	5
6. RECORDS AND RECORDING	6
7. COMMUNICATION WITH THE APPLICANT	7
SECTION D - SUMMARY OF DUS CHARACTERISTICS TO BE ASSESSED, METHOD OF ASSESSMENT AND STANDARDS APPLIED.....	8
1. PURPOSE.....	8
2. SCOPE	8
3. RESPONSIBILITIES.....	8
4. ORGANISATION	8
5. DUS CHARACTERISTICS TO BE ASSESSED.....	8
SECTION E - REFERENCE SEED STOCK MAINTENANCE AND VCU SEED STOCK AUTHENTICATION PROCEDURES.....	16
1. PURPOSE.....	16
2. SCOPE.....	16
3. RESPONSIBILITIES.....	16
4. PROCEDURES FOR REFERENCE SEED STOCK MAINTENANCE	16
5. PROCEDURES FOR VCU SEED STOCK AUTHENTICATION	17
6. PROCEDURES FOR THE INCLUSION OF NEW COMMON KNOWLEDGE VARIETIES INTO THE REFERENCE COLLECTION.....	17
7. RELEASE OF REFERENCE SAMPLES FOR AUTHORISED PURPOSES	17

SECTION F - PROCEDURES FOR ASSESSMENT OF NEW ADDITIONAL DUS CHARACTERS	18
1. PURPOSE.....	18
2. SCOPE.....	18
3. RESPONSIBILITIES.....	18
4. REFERENCE VARIETIES	18
5. PROCEDURES	18
SECTION G - PROCEDURES FOR DUS DECISIONS.....	19
1. PURPOSE.....	19
2. SCOPE.....	19
3. RESPONSIBILITIES.....	19
4. REFERENCE VARIETIES	19
5. DISTINCTNESS	19
6. UNIFORMITY	20
7. STABILITY	21
8. DUS REPORT AND VARIETY DESCRIPTION.....	21
APPENDIX 1.....	22
1. NATIONAL LISTING.....	22
2. PLANT BREEDERS RIGHTS	22

SECTION A - GENERAL INFORMATION**1 PURPOSE**

- 1.1 This protocol sets out the procedures for conducting tests and assessments in relation to official examinations of DUS, maintenance of reference stocks and, where appropriate, verification of Value for Cultivation and Use (VCU) submissions of varieties of Field Pea and Pea entered for National List (NL) and Plant Breeders' Rights (PBR) tests.

2 SCOPE

- 2.1 These procedures apply to all varieties of Field Pea and Pea. Special procedures and responsibilities for Genetically Modified (GM) varieties are set out in Sections A5 and A6.
- 2.2 Except where specified in this protocol or authorised by The Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA), Plant Varieties and Seeds, only National List candidates, Plant Breeders' Rights candidates, candidates for Foreign Authorities and the reference varieties may be incorporated in the DUS tests.

3 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 3.1 The growing tests and assessments in this protocol are carried out under the responsibility of the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Scottish Ministers, Welsh Ministers and the Minister for Agriculture and Rural Development in Northern Ireland (the National Authorities).
- 3.2 They are supervised, on behalf of the National Authorities, by officials of the Testing Authorities, that is The Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA), the Scottish Government Agriculture and Rural Development Division (SGARD), the Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA) and the Welsh Government (WG).
- 3.3 This protocol is authorised by the Plant Variety and Seeds Committee (PVSC). It cannot be amended without their approval. Requests and suggestions for amendment of the protocol should be put in writing to APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds, either directly or via the Test Centre.
- 3.4 The procedures are administered by:

Plant Varieties and Seeds
The Animal and Plant Health Agency
Eastbrook
Shaftesbury Road
Cambridge
CB2 8DR

Tel No: 02080 265993
Fax No: 02084 152504

3.5 TEST CENTRE

The DUS growing tests and assessments in this protocol are co-ordinated and carried out by the:

Vegetable DUS Test Centre
Science and Advice for Scottish Agriculture (SASA)
Roddinglaw Road
Edinburgh
EH12 9FJ

Tel No: 01312 448853
Fax No 01312 448940

- 3.6 The Test Centre is responsible for providing the appropriate facilities.

4 NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL

- 4.1 Where the protocol uses the word “must” for any action then failure to carry out this action will result in non-compliance. Where non-compliance occurs or there are concerns regarding the validity of any data or tests this must be reported to APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds. Where this protocol uses the word “should” for any action this is the method to be followed unless there are clear reasons not to do so which can be justified by the Test Centre as technically sound.

5 RESPONSIBILITY FOR GM RELEASES

- 5.1 GM Release Consent Holders are responsible for GM releases. All parties involved in DUS work operating under a GM Release Consent must adhere to the instructions of the Release Consent Holder where necessary, to comply with the relevant consent conditions. Where DUS protocol non-compliance occurs, this must be reported to the consent holder and the Test Centre will notify APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds.

6 PROCEDURES FOR GM VARIETIES

- 6.1 Applicants intending to enter GM candidates must consult APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds, well in advance of their application, about specific requirements under GM regulations.
- 6.2 The Test Centre must ensure that no test or trial sites are planted with GM candidates and/or varieties until APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds has given the specific clearances.

7 ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

- 7.1 The following documents are associated with this protocol:

Reference	Title
CPVO-TP/7/2 Rev 2	Protocol for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability tests of <i>Pisum sativum</i> L. Pea: Adopted on 15.03.2017.
UPOV TG/7/10 Rev	Guidelines for the Conduct of Tests for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability, Peas (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.). 09.04.2014.
UPOV TG/1/3	General Introduction to the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability and the Development of Harmonised Descriptions of New Varieties of Plants. 19.04.2002.
Field Pea VCU Protocol	United Kingdom National List Trials: Protocol for Official Examination of Value for Cultivation and Use (VCU): Field Pea (March 2015 as amended).
UPOV TGP/8/3	Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability. 28.10.2016.
UPOV TWC/26/14	An Adjustment to the COYD Method When Varieties are Grouped Within the DUS Trial. 01.08.2008.
UPOV TGP/9/2	Examining Distinctness. 29.10.2015.
UPOV TGP/10/1	Examining Uniformity. 30.10.2008.
Commission Directives	Commission Directive of 2003/90/EC, as amended, setting out implementing measures for the purposes of Article 7 of Council Directive 2002/53/EC (13 June 2002) as regards the characteristics to be covered as a minimum by the examination and the minimum conditions for examining certain varieties of agricultural plant species. [Field Pea]
	Commission Directive of 2003/91/EC, as amended, setting out implementing measures for the purposes of Article 7 of Council Directive 2002/55/EC (13 June 2002) as regards the characteristics to be covered as a minimum by the examination and the minimum conditions for examining certain varieties of vegetable species. [Pea]
Council Regulation	Council Regulation (EC) No. 2100/94 of 27 th July 1994 on Community Plant

SECTION B - APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS**1 PURPOSE**

- 1.1 The purpose of this section is to identify the specific requirements for National List and Plant Breeders' Rights applications.

2 SCOPE

- 2.1 These procedures apply to all applications.

3 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 3.1 The applicants are responsible for ensuring that these procedures are complied with.

4 RECEIPT OF APPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The latest date for receipt of applications for acceptance of a variety onto the National List or for Plant Breeders' Rights, which is set administratively by APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds, is 11th July (Winter Field Pea) and 30th November (Spring Field Pea) and 15th January (Pea). Applications received after these dates may be considered for inclusion in the current year's tests and trials on a case by case basis.
- 4.2 The procedures for the submission of National List and Plant Breeders' Rights applications, technical questionnaires (TQ) and for payment of administration fees are set out on the GOVweb site at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/714974/pbr-fees.pdf
- 4.3 Applicants should notify APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds, of special DUS characteristics which may require additional examinations. These claims should, in addition, be noted in the TQ accompanying the application.

5 RECEIPT OF SEED

- 5.1 The latest dates for receipt of seed is 14th August (Winter Field Pea), 31st January (Spring Field Pea) and 15th February (Pea), and are set administratively by APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds. Seed submissions received after this date will normally be refused. Instructions for the delivery of seed will be made available to applicants by APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds.

6 SEED QUALITY REQUIREMENTS

- 6.1 The seed must satisfy the quality requirements for Basic Seed as laid down in Schedule 2 of the Seed Marketing Regulations 2011 and equivalent regulations made by Devolved Administrations.
- 6.2 The seed must not be chemically treated. Seed treatment, where appropriate, will be undertaken by the Test Centre. The chemicals applied and rates of application will be determined by the Test Centre.

7 SEED QUANTITY7.1 1st Test cycle

Field Pea DUS 3 kg

Pea 3,000 or 12,000 seeds

7.2 2nd Test cycle

Field Pea: No further seed required

Pea: 9,000 seeds if 3,000 seeds provided in the 1st Test cycle
No seed if 12,000 seeds provided in the 1st Test cycle

Where a second sample has been provided, it will be authenticated against the original submission. An additional charge may be applied.

7.3 A sample of 250g of untreated seed (or a minimum number of 500 seeds if seed weight is small) will be made available from the Field Pea VCU submission for authentication by the DUS Centre against the original submission. (Section B 2.3 Field Pea Protocol for Official Examination of Value for Cultivation and Use (VCU)).

8 LABELLING REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR GM VARIETIES

8.1 Applicants **must** clearly label their seed with the following information:

- Applicant
- Breeder's Reference number or name
- Type of Seed (Combined submission of DUS and VCU)
- Quantity of seed

8.2 All packages of GM material must be clearly labelled as "GMO" or "Genetically Modified Organism".

SECTION C - GROWING TEST PROCEDURES**1 PURPOSE**

- 1.1 The purpose of this section is to provide details of the procedures used in the growing tests for DUS analysis.

2 SCOPE

- 2.1 These procedures apply to all varieties of Field Pea and Pea.

3 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for conducting these procedures.
- 3.2 The Test Centre will be responsible for ensuring that no material supplied to them is used for any other purpose than the conduct of these procedures or the release of reference samples for authorised purposes. (See Section E7).

4 REFERENCE VARIETIES

- 4.1 The principles governing the selection of reference varieties are set out in Appendix 1.
- 4.2 Seed of reference varieties will be supplied by the DUS Test Centre.

5 DESIGN OF TESTS

- 5.1 The DUS Test Centre is responsible for selecting a suitable site which should be on ground that has normally not had a Legume crop in the previous five years but may be less where the risk is negligible.
- 5.2 Field husbandry should follow best local practice for all operations and particularly as regards cultivation, drilling, transplanting, fertiliser and spray application, use of irrigation and control of pests and diseases.
- 5.3 From information given in the TQ the candidate variety may be grown in plots and compared with varieties which are in the same classification for the following characters:

CPVO characteristics that could be used for grouping:

Plant: anthocyanin coloration (characteristic 1)

Stem: fasciation (characteristic 3)

Stem: length (characteristic 4)

Stem: number of nodes up to and including first fertile node (characteristic 5)

Leaf: leaflets (characteristic 8)

Stipule: flecking (characteristic 19)

Only varieties with stem fasciation absent: Plant: maximum number of flowers per node (characteristic 24)

Pod: length (characteristic 35)

Pod: parchment (characteristic 37)

Excluding varieties with pod parchment: Pod: thickened wall (characteristic 38)

Only varieties with Pod: thickened wall: absent: Pod: shape of distal part (characteristic 39)

Only varieties with Pod: thickened wall: absent: Pod: shape of distal part (characteristic 40)

Pod: colour (characteristic 41)

Immature seed: intensity of green colour (characteristic 45)

Seed: type of starch grains (characteristic 47)

Seed: colour of cotyledon (characteristic 50)

Only varieties with plant anthocyanin coloration present: Seed: marbling of testa (characteristic 51)

Only varieties with plant anthocyanin coloration present: Seed: violet or pink spots on testa (characteristic 52)

Seed: hilum colour (characteristic 53)

Seed: weight (characteristic 55)

Resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *pisi* (characteristic 56.1) (for vegetable varieties only)

Resistance to *Erysiphe pisi* Syd. (characteristic 57) (for vegetable varieties only)

5.4 Varieties known to be clearly different from the candidate on any other discontinuous or continuous characteristic may be excluded from the trial. If this exclusion is based on a characteristic which is not listed in Section D 5.1, approval by the NLSC and CPVO must be sought. See Section F for further information on additional characters.

5.5 The tests are carried out using a grouped design, with a plot of each candidate variety present in each replicate as follows:

Number of replications	2
Number of rows per plot	2 (each side of wire netting)
Spacing between plot rows	0.2 m
Plot length	3 m
Number of seeds sown per replicate	220
Hence, number of seeds sown per variety	440
Plant spacing	0.25 m (approx)

Groups are randomised and varieties are randomised within groups.

5.6 Seed is hand sown in the field between late March and early May according to a plan produced by the Test Centre. Varieties are coded by the Test Centre.

5.7 Any candidate with distinctness problems in the first test cycle may be grown side by side with their close controls in the second or third test cycles.

5.8 Recordings are taken on each trial from approximately 6 weeks after sowing until harvest maturity stage. Characters recorded are listed in Section D.

6 RECORDS AND RECORDING

6.1 All records and plot data should be in a form determined and validated by the Test Centre.

6.2 Characters, recording details and instructions are given in Section D. Any variant and abnormal plants or plants resulting from an adverse reaction to husbandry practice are noted but excluded from the sample.

6.3 In the first test cycle, characters, as indicated in Section D 5.1, are recorded on all candidates and their close controls. The data for measured characters are analysed and used to determine the most similar reference varieties and assess uniformity of the candidate. (For details see Section G).

6.4 In the second test cycle, characters, as indicated in Section D 5.1, are recorded on all candidates and their close controls. The data for measured characters are analysed and, together with those from the first test cycle, used to determine the most similar reference varieties and assess the uniformity of the candidate. (For details see Section G).

6.5 If a third test cycle is necessary, characters, as indicated in Section D 5.1, are recorded on all candidates and their close controls. The data for measured characters are analysed and, together with those from the first and second test cycles, are used to determine the most similar reference varieties and assess the uniformity of the candidate. (For details see Section G).

- 6.6 If the Test Centre notices unusual or novel characters in a candidate, a note may be made of these at any time and a photographic record made.

7 COMMUNICATION WITH THE APPLICANT

- 7.1 The Test Centre will notify the applicant or his agent of any DUS problems at the earliest practical opportunity. All such notifications must be copied to APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds. In the case of tests for foreign DUS authorities, notifications must be copied to the test authority and to APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds. In the case of European applications, notifications must be copied to CPVO and APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds.
- 7.2 If confidentiality considerations allow, the applicant should be informed which variety is similar to his own and be invited to submit any information which may help to distinguish them.
- 7.3 If DUS problems arise, applicants will be invited to visit the DUS tests by arrangement so that the material can be examined and discussions held with the Test Centre.
- 7.4 After each recording cycle the results are summarised and reported by the Test Centre to, APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds, who will inform the applicant, foreign test authorities or the CPVO as appropriate.

SECTION D - SUMMARY OF DUS CHARACTERISTICS TO BE ASSESSED. METHOD OF ASSESSMENT AND STANDARDS APPLIED

1 PURPOSE

1.1 The purpose of this section is to summarise the characteristics to be assessed.

2 SCOPE

2.1 This section summarises characteristics, states of expression, methods of observation and standards required for DUS assessment.

3 RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for co-ordinating the procedures in this summary.

4 ORGANISATION

4.1 The minimum duration of tests to assess characteristics is normally two independent growing cycles. Shorter periods may be applied for the assessment of additional characteristics. Additional growing cycles may be approved by the UK National List and Seeds Committee (NLSC).

5 DUS CHARACTERISTICS TO BE ASSESSED

5.1 Routine Characteristics

The following list summarises the DUS characteristics to be routinely examined. Legend:

Key to abbreviations used with character number

Types of expression of characteristics: (for an explanation see UPOV TG/1/3: A GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO THE EXAMINATION OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY - 4.4 Types of Expression of Characteristics)

QL – Qualitative characteristic
 QN – Quantitative characteristic
 PQ – Pseudo-qualitative characteristic

Types of observation of characteristics:

MG – Single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants

- Using all plants in the test but disregarding any off-types

MS – Measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants

- Using 20 plants from 2 replicates

VG – Visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants

- Using all plants in the test but disregarding any off-types

VS – Visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants

- Using 20 plants from 2 replicates

Note: The characteristics to be used in the DUS tests and the preparation of descriptions shall be those referred to in the table of characteristics. All the characteristics shall be used, providing that observation of a characteristic is not rendered impossible by the expression of any other characteristic, or prevented by the environmental conditions under which the test is conducted, or by specific legislation of plant health. In the latter case, the CPVO should be informed. In the case of disease resistance characteristics, only those resistances marked with an asterisk (*) in the CPVO column are compulsory.

Character Number		Character (G denotes grouping character)	Material examined	Method of assessment and recording (see Section D5)	States of expression	D Method and Minimum Distance required	U Method and Standards Applied
CPVO TP/7/2 Rev. 2017	UPOV TG/7/10 Rev. 2014						
01	*01	Plant: anthocyanin coloration (G)	DUS plot	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
02	02	Stem: anthocyanin coloration of axil	DUS plot	QL VG	1=absent 2=single ring 3=double ring	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
03	*03	Stem: fasciation (G)	DUS plot	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
04	*04	Stem: length (G)	DUS plot	QN MS	1=very short 3=short 5=medium 7=long 9=very long	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
5	*5	Stem: number of nodes up to and including first fertile node (G)	DUS plot	QN MS	1=very few 3=few 5=medium 7=many 9=very many	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
6	*6	Foliage: colour (G)	DUS plot	PQ VG	1=yellow green 2=green 3=blue green	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
7	7	<u>Only varieties with foliage colour: green</u> Foliage: intensity of colour	DUS plot	QN VG	3=light 5=medium 7=dark	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
8	*8	Leaf: leaflets (G)	DUS plot	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
9	9	Leaf: maximum number of leaflets	DUS plot	QN MS/MG	3=few 5=medium 7=many	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
10	10	Leaflet: size	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	1=very small 3=small 5=medium 7=large 9=very large	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
11	11	Leaflet: length	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=short 5=medium 7=long	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests

CPVO TP/7/2 Rev. 2017	UPOV TG/7/10 Rev. 2014	Character (G denotes grouping character)	Material examined	Method of assessment and recording (see Section D5)	States of expression	D Method and Minimum Distance required	U Method and Standards Applied
12	12	Leaflet: width	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=narrow 5=medium 7=broad	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
13	13	Leaflet: position of broadest part	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	1=at middle or slightly towards base 2=moderately towards base 3= strongly towards base	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
14	14	Leaflet: dentation	DUS plot	QN MG	1=absent or very weak 3=weak 5=medium 7=strong 9=very strong	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
15	*15	Stipule: length	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=short 5=medium 7=long	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
16	16	Stipule: width	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=narrow 5=medium 7=broad	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
17	17	Stipule: size	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=small 5=medium 7=large	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
18	18	Stipule: length from axil to tip	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=short 5=medium 7=long	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
19	*20	Stipule: flecking (G)	DUS plot	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
20	21	Stipule: density of flecking	DUS plot	QN VG	1=very sparse 3=sparse 5=medium 7=dense 9=very dense	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
21	22	Petiole: length from axil to first leaflet or tendrill	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=short 5=medium 7=long	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests

CPVO TP/7/2 Rev. 2017	UPOV TG/7/10 Rev. 2014	Character (G denotes grouping character)	Material examined	Method of assessment and recording (see Section D5)	States of expression	D Method and Minimum Distance required	U Method and Standards Applied
22	23	Only varieties with leaflets absent: Petiole: length from axil to last tendrill	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=short 5=medium 7=long	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
23	*24	Time of flowering	DUS plot	QN MG	1=very early 3=early 5=medium 7=late 9=very late	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
24	*25	Only varieties with stem fasciation absent: Plant: maximum number of flowers per node (G)	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	1=one 2=one to two 3=two 4=two to three 5=three 6=three to four 7=four and more	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
25	*26	Only varieties with plant anthocyanin coloration present: colour of wing	DUS plot	PQ VG	1=white with pink blush 2=pink 3=reddish purple	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
26	27	Only varieties with plant anthocyanin coloration absent: Flower: colour of standard	DUS plot	PQ VG	1=white 2=whitish cream 3=cream	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
27	28	Flower: width of standard	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=narrow 5=medium 7=broad	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
28	*29	Flower: shape of base of standard	DUS plot	QN VG	1=strongly raised 3= moderately raised 5=level 7=moderately arched 9=strongly arched	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
29	31	Flower: width of sepal	DUS plot	QN VG	3=narrow 5=medium 7=broad	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
30	32	Flower: shape of apex of upper sepal	DUS plot	PQ VG	1=acuminate 2=pointed 3=rounded	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
31	33	Peduncle: length of spur	DUS plot	QN MS/VS	3=short 5=medium 7=long	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
32	34	Peduncle: length from stem to first pod	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	3=short 5=medium 7=long	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2

CPVO TP/7/2 Rev. 2017	UPOV TG/7/10 Rev. 2014	Character (G denotes grouping character)	Material examined	Method of assessment and recording (see Section D5)	States of expression	D Method and Minimum Distance required	U Method and Standards Applied
33	35	Peduncle: length between first and second pods	DUS plot	QN MS/VS	3=short 5=medium 7=long	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
34	36	Peduncle: number of bracts	DUS plot	QN MS	1=absent or few 2=medium 3=many	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
35	*37	Pod: length (G)	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	1=very short 3=short 5=medium 7=long 9=very long	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
36	*38	Pod: width	DUS plot	QN MS/VG	1=very narrow 3=narrow 5=medium 7=broad 9=very broad	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
37	*39	Pod: parchment (G)	DUS plot	QL VG	1=absent or partial 2=entire	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
38	*40	Excluding varieties with pod parchment: entire: Pod: thickened wall (G)	DUS plot	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
39	*41	Only varieties with pod: thickened wall: absent : Pod: shape of distal part (G)	DUS plot	QL VG	1=pointed 2=blunt	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
40	*42	Pod: curvature (G)	DUS plot	QN VG	1=absent or very weak 3=weak 5=medium 7=strong 9=very strong	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
41	*43	Pod: colour (G)	DUS plot	PQ VG	1=yellow 2=green 3=blue-green 4=purple	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
42	44	Only varieties with pod colour green Pod: intensity of green colour	DUS plot	QN VG	3=light 5=medium 7=dark	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
43	*45	Excluding varieties with pod parchment: entire: Pod: suture strings	DUS plot	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard

CPVO TP/7/2 Rev. 2017	UPOV TG/7/10 Rev. 2014	Character (G denotes grouping character)	Material examined	Method of assessment and recording (see Section	States of expression	D Method and Minimum Distance required	U Method and Standards Applied
44	*46	Pod: number of ovules	DUS plot	QN MS	3=few 5=medium 7=many	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	Visual assessment score >5 or COYU @ 0.1% for both 2 and 3 year tests
45	*47	Immature seed: intensity of green colour (G)	DUS plot	QN VG	3=light 5=medium 7=dark	Clear or consistent visual difference or 2 states	Visual assessment score > 5 Off-type standard
46	48	Seed: shape	Submitted seed	PQ VG	1=ellipsoid 2=cylindrical 3=rhomboid 4=irregular	Clear visual difference 1 state	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
47	*49	Seed: type of starch grains (G)	Submitted seed	QL VG	1=simple 2=compound	Clear visual difference 1 state	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
48	*50	Only varieties with seed shape: cylindrical; and type of starch grains: simple: Seed: wrinkling of cotyledon	Harvest seed	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
49	*51	Only varieties with seed: type of starch grains: compound: Seed: intensity of wrinkling of cotyledon	Harvest seed	QN VG	3=weak 5=medium 7=strong 9=very strong	Clear visual difference 2 states	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
50	*52	Seed: colour of cotyledon (G)	Submitted seed	PQ VG	1=green 2=yellow 3=orange	Clear visual difference 1 state	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
51	*53	Only varieties with plant anthocyanin coloration present: Seed: marbling of testa (G)	Submitted seed	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
52	*54	Only varieties with plant anthocyanin coloration present: Seed: violet or pink spots on testa (G)	Submitted seed	QL VG	1=absent 2=faint 3=intense	Clear visual difference 1 state	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
53	*55	Seed: hilum colour (G)	Submitted seed	QL VG	1=same colour as testa 2=darker than testa	Clear visual difference 1 state	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
54	56	Only varieties with plant anthocyanin coloration present: Seed: colour of testa	Submitted seed	PQ VG	1=reddish brown 2=brown 3=brownish green	Clear visual difference 1 state	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard

CPVOT/7 /2 Rev. 2017	UPOV TG/7/10 Rev. 2014	Character (G denotes grouping character)	Material examined	Method of assessment and recording (see Section D5)	States of expression	D Method and Minimum Distance required	U Method and Standards Applied
55	*57	Seed: weight (G)	Harvest seed	QN MG	1=very low 3=low 5=medium 7=high 9=very high	Clear or consistent visual difference or COYD @2% for both 2 and 3 year tests	If there is evidence of a mixture, Uniformity will be assessed on a sample of single plants. Off-type Standard
56	58	Resistance to Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. pisi		VG			
56.1	58.1	Race 1 (G)	<u>Submitted seed</u>	QL	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
56.2	58.2	Race 5	<u>Submitted seed</u>	QL	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
56.3	58.3	Race 6	<u>Submitted seed</u>	QL	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
57	59	Resistance to Erysiphe pisi Syd. (G)	<u>DUS plot</u>	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard
58	60	Resistance to Ascochyta pisi. Race C	<u>DUS plot</u>	QL VG	1=absent 9=present	Clear visual difference 1 state	Off-type standard

5.2 CPVO Additional approved characters

The following table summarises the additional characteristics which have been approved by the CPVO for European Rights to establish Distinctness. A fee may be charged for examination of these characteristics as advised by APHA.

Type of expression (QL, PQ, QN)	Characteristic	Growth Stage	Method of observation (VG,VS,MG,MS)	States of expression (at least two)	Example varieties	Note
QN	Seed: protein content	320	VS	low medium high		1 3 5
QL	Resistance to downy mildew	seedling	VS	absent present	Barle Bikini Biktop Ravenna	1 9

5.3 UK Additional DUS Characteristics

There are other additional characteristics which have been used by the UK in the past, but which are not accepted by the CPVO. It might be possible to use these characters in decisions for NL and UK PBR but without detailed discussion and eventual acceptance by the CPVO any DUS reports using these characters will not be accepted for EU Plant Breeders Rights. For further information please contact APHA Tel. No.03000 600497. A fee may be charged for examination of these characteristics as advised by APHA

Applicants can suggest new additional characters on the Technical Questionnaire for testing DUS or after notification by the DUS Test Centre of distinctness problems. (For procedures see Section F).

SECTION E - REFERENCE SEED STOCK MAINTENANCE AND VCU SEED STOCK AUTHENTICATION PROCEDURES**1 PURPOSE**

- 1.1. This section sets out the procedures for the authentication of replacement reference seed and for the stock authentication of VCU seed.

2 SCOPE

- 2.1 These procedures apply to all reference collection varieties and VCU seed submissions where the VCU seed has not been taken from the same bulk as the seed used for the DUS test.

3 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for conducting these procedures.

4 PROCEDURES FOR REFERENCE SEED STOCK MAINTENANCE

- 4.1 The seed sample submitted with the successful or pending application is considered to be the definitive stock of the variety. Subject to meeting the required quality standards a small portion of the seed is sown for observation and measurement. The remainder is stored under controlled and monitored storage conditions as part of the official reference collection.
- 4.2 If during the normal tests there is any evidence that a seed stock is deteriorating in storage, or that stocks have low quantity, a request will be made to the maintainer asking for a replacement stock of the variety. This replacement stock must be authenticated, by comparing plots established from the replacement seed with that of the definitive seed, over a maximum of two test cycles.
- 4.3 If the replacement seed sample cannot be visually distinguished from the definitive reference stock, it will be accepted as representing the variety. If there are visual differences, the new sample will be recorded, and will be accepted as representing the variety if there are no significant ($P=0.02$) differences in the first recording cycle, or no significant ($P=0.02$) differences over two test cycles in a COYD analysis (see associated document UPOV TGP/8/1 for details). It may then be accepted as definitive and substituted for the existing definitive stock in the reference collection. These procedures may be modified, where, in the opinion of the technical officer, differences are the result of environmental or cultural factors.
- 4.4 A replacement sample or an additional replacement sample will be considered sufficiently uniform after one recording cycle, if the level of off-types is the same or less than the number at 1% population standard and 95% acceptance probability, and the standard deviations of the measured characters are not significantly greater at the 0.1% ($P=0.001$) significance level than that of the mean standard deviations of the control varieties. Over 2 years the additional replacement sample will be considered sufficiently uniform if the Combined Over Years Uniformity (COYU) is not significantly greater at the 0.1% ($P=0.001$) significance level than that of the reference varieties. These procedures may be modified, where, in the opinion of the technical officer, differences are the result of environmental or cultural factors.
- 4.5 In the event of the replacement sample not meeting the required acceptance standards, an additional replacement sample is requested. Plots will be established from any additional replacement seed sample and compared over a maximum of two test cycles. If the additional replacement sample does not meet the acceptance criteria set out in 4.3, the variety will be deleted from the reference collection.

5 PROCEDURES FOR VCU SEED STOCK AUTHENTICATION

- 5.1 Evidence will be requested from the applicant of the relationship between the VCU seed sample and the definitive DUS seed sample. Plots will be established from any VCU seed sample to be authenticated and compared visually with the definitive stock over the recording cycle.
- 5.2 The plots must be examined from establishment, through flowering to maturity.
- 5.3 If the new seed sample cannot be visually distinguished from the reference stock it will be accepted as representing the variety.
- 5.4 If the VCU seed sample is visually clearly different from the definitive stock in the authentication plots, then it will not be accepted as representing the candidate variety. This procedure may be modified, where, in the opinion of the technical officer, differences are the result of environmental or cultural factors.

6 PROCEDURES FOR THE INCLUSION OF NEW COMMON KNOWLEDGE VARIETIES INTO THE REFERENCE COLLECTION

- 6.1 When a new variety enters into common knowledge, it must be included in the reference collection if seed is available. A request for seed will be sent by the Test Centre to the maintainer of the variety and an official description will be requested from the Testing Authority which registered the variety. If an official description is provided, seed received will be assumed to be definitive if the seed conforms to the official description. Small differences in the expression of quantitative characters are likely to be the result of recording in a different environment, and will be considered as conforming to the description. If no official description is available, seed will be assumed to be definitive.
- 6.2 If the seed does not conform to the official description, a request for definitive seed will be sent to the Testing Authority that added the variety to its National List or granted Plant Breeders' Rights. This seed will then be used to validate the sample of seed from the maintainer. The standards for this validation will be as for authentication of replacement seed (see E4).

7 RELEASE OF REFERENCE SAMPLES FOR AUTHORISED PURPOSES

- 7.1 Seed of reference samples can be supplied by the Test Centre, on request, to UK, EU and UPOV DUS Testing Authorities and UK, EU and OECD Seed Certification Agencies, provided the recipient is notified in writing that this material, or any material derived from it, must not be supplied to a third party or used for any other purpose than as a reference for official DUS testing or seed certification.
- 7.2 Provision of reference samples, other than in 7.1, to any other parties must be authorised by the NLSC.

SECTION F - PROCEDURES FOR ASSESSMENT OF NEW ADDITIONAL DUS CHARACTERS**1 PURPOSE**

- 1.1 This section sets out the procedures for assessment of new additional DUS characters for varieties of Field Pea or Pea entered for National List and Plant Breeders' Rights tests.

2 SCOPE

- 2.1 These procedures apply to applications where new additional DUS characteristics which have not been approved by the NLSC are requested for use for in DUS testing.

3 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for liaising with the applicant to produce a proposed procedure for the conduct of new tests. This procedure must ensure that Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability will be assessed.
- 3.2 All new additional characteristics must be authorised by the NLSC and the CPVO.

4 REFERENCE VARIETIES

- 4.1 The reference varieties will include only those varieties from which the candidate variety is not distinct, as well as other appropriate varieties for control purposes.
- 4.2 Seed of reference varieties will be supplied by the Test Centre.

5 PROCEDURES

- 5.1 Details of the proposed special test or assessments will be submitted by the DUS Centre to the NLSC to consider the feasibility of setting up a test acceptable to the UK Authorities. The applicant will be advised by APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds of arrangements and costs.
- 5.2 The NLSC will consider the results of the commissioned test or trial when reaching its recommendation on the granting of Plant Breeders' Rights and/or National Listing.
- 5.3 Where the test for a character is approved by the NLSC it should be subsequently listed in Section D5.1 or 5.2 as appropriate.

SECTION G - PROCEDURES FOR DUS DECISIONS**1 PURPOSE**

- 1.1 This section sets out the procedures for assessing DUS decisions on varieties of Field Pea and Pea.

2 SCOPE

- 2.1 These procedures apply to all varieties of Field Pea and Pea entered for UK National List and Plant Breeders' Rights tests and those being tested for the CPVO or for other Foreign Authorities.

3 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 3.1 The Test Centre is responsible for applying the criteria for DUS, set out in this procedure.
- 3.2 The Test Centre is responsible for producing the DUS reports in accordance with these procedures and for ensuring that they are in accordance with CPVO Protocols.

4 REFERENCE VARIETIES

- 4.1 Appendix 1 sets out which varieties are considered as reference varieties for these procedures.

5 DISTINCTNESS

- 5.1 Distinctness is normally assessed in two independent test cycles, but a candidate variety could be considered distinct after one test cycle if there are no other similar varieties. A third independent test cycle may be undertaken if distinctness is not established after two test cycles.
- 5.2 In accordance with associated document UPOV TG/1/3 varieties can be considered distinct where they have a different expression in a grouping character.
- 5.3 The distinctness standard applied for qualitative characters is a difference of one state, unless otherwise indicated in Section D. For pseudo-qualitative characters the distinctness standard is a difference of 1, 2 or 3 states depending on the characteristic.
- 5.4 If a candidate is clearly different in a visually observed quantitative character, it is considered to be distinct, without the need for a repeated observation.
- 5.5 Where varieties are grown in close proximity under the same conditions, and a direct comparison can be made, a candidate is considered to be distinct if a clear visual difference is observed in a quantitative character.
- 5.6 Where varieties are not grown in close proximity, a candidate is considered to be distinct if a difference of at least two states (see table in section D 5.1 and D5.2) is recorded in a visually observed quantitative character.
- 5.7 The standard for measured or counted quantitative characters, is, at least, a 2% ($P=0.02$) significant difference in one character over two or three test cycles in a Combined Over Years Distinctness (COYD) analysis. Please see associated document UPOV TGP/8/1 for details.

- 5.8 Where COYD cannot be applied, alternative methods should be considered.
- 5.8.1 When the number of varieties grown does not provide sufficient degrees of freedom for use of the standard COYD analysis, alternative methods should be adopted. If there is sufficient historical data (at least 5 years and sufficient degrees of freedom) then the long term LSD is applied. This LSD is calculated using up to 10 years of the most recent data. If there is insufficient historical data, the 2 x 1% method should be used.
- 5.8.2 Where the candidate has a full complement of data for two test cycles, but there is only data for control varieties for one test cycle, the use of FITC (Fitted Constant program in DUST) may be applied. This situation may arise due to the loss of plant material within plots in any one year or where suitable control varieties were not grown in both test cycles. The standard applied for Distinctness in such cases is $P=0.01$.

6 UNIFORMITY

- 6.1 Uniformity is assessed for all characteristics used to establish Distinctness. Uniformity based on the assessment of 'Off-types'
- 6.2 The assessment of 'Off-types' is undertaken in the first test cycle.
- 6.3 Off-type plants in the field are identified and marked for exclusion from recording.
- 6.4 The total number of off-type plants recorded in the test, should not exceed the number indicated in UPOV TGP/8/1 using a population standard of 1% and a 95% acceptance probability. In a population of 440 plants, 8 off-types are allowed.
- 6.5 Where the number of off-types in the first test cycle exceeds 8 but is less than 15, the applicant may submit a new seed sample (Resubmission) in the second test cycle with the aim of meeting the off-type standard. Distinctness will be assessed on data from the original seed submitted in the first test cycle and on data from the resubmitted seed in the second test cycle. The resubmitted seed will be authenticated against the original seed in side by side plots.
- 6.6 After the variants have been excluded, the characteristics listed in Section D5 are used to assess the uniformity of the remaining plants, according to the methods described.

Uniformity based on the assessment of general variation where no measurements are recorded:

- 6.7 Uniformity of continuous variation (quantitative characters) is assessed visually according to the following scale:

Score	1-5	unacceptable (1 is worst)
Score	6-9	acceptable (9 is best)

A candidate with a visual uniformity score of 6 or more is satisfactory.

Uniformity based on the assessment of general variation where measurements are recorded:

- 6.8 Provided a variety meets the off-type standard, it can be considered sufficiently uniform after two, or three, test cycles if, for all measured characters necessary for distinctness, the Combined Over Years Uniformity (COYU) analysis is not significantly greater than that of the reference varieties at the 0.1% ($P=0.001$) significance level (see document TGP/8/1). In all cases an examination of data from individual test cycles is carried out to investigate the uniformity problem indicated by the COYU result. Decisions on whether any outlier plants (off-types) identified by data analysis should be excluded from the calculation of variety means and standard deviations, should be taken by the Test Centre.

7 STABILITY

- 7.1 A variety is considered sufficiently stable when there is no evidence to indicate that it lacks uniformity or fails to conform to the essential characteristics of its description in different submissions or in different tests.

8 DUS REPORT AND VARIETY DESCRIPTION

- 8.1 Upon completion of the DUS examination the DUS Summary report will be submitted to APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds by the specified date. This report will specify any non-routine characteristics used for establishing distinctness.
- 8.2 The final DUS report and the full variety description, will be submitted to APHA, Plant Varieties and Seeds by the specified date. The characteristics to be used in the description are identified in Section D.

APPENDIX 1**REFERENCE COLLECTION VARIETIES****1 NATIONAL LISTING**

- 1.1 The DUS reference collection, for NL purposes, for any given category of plant variety comprises the following at the time when the application for the candidate is made.
- 1.2 All other candidate varieties already in DUS test in the UK, or entering testing at the same time as the candidate, including those being tested for other Member States.
- 1.3 All varieties on the UK National List and varieties on the EC Common Catalogue.
- 1.4 Varieties nominated by the authorities concerned where tests are done for other Member States.
- 1.5 Any varieties nominated by the applicant as being comparable i.e. known to be similar.
- 1.6 Any other varieties considered to be comparable i.e. known to be similar, by the DUS Test Centre.

2. PLANT BREEDERS RIGHTS

- 2.1 The DUS reference collection, for PBR purposes, for any given category of plant variety comprises the following at the time when the application for the candidate is made.
- 2.2 All other candidate varieties already in DUS tests in the UK, or entering DUS testing at the same time as the candidate, including those being tested for other Member States or the Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO).
- 2.3 Varieties protected in the UK, EC or in a UPOV Member State, which are known to be similar to the candidate variety.
- 2.4 Other available comparable varieties in common knowledge.