

## **Annex A: Response form for the consultation document on revised Funded Decommissioning Programme Guidance for New Nuclear Power Stations**

You may respond to this consultation by email or by post.

Please note that if you accessing this document electronically you will only be able to enter text in the response fields.

<b>Respondent Details</b>	
Name:	Keith Sullivan
Organisation:	Individual
Address:	
Town/ City:	
County/ Postcode:	
Telephone:	
E-mail:	
Fax:	

### **Please return by 8 March 2011 to:**

Revised FDP Guidance Consultation  
Office for Nuclear Development  
Department of Energy and Climate Change  
Area 3D  
3 Whitehall Place  
London  
SW1A 2AW

You can also submit this form by email:  
[decomguidance@decc.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:decomguidance@decc.gsi.gov.uk)

Tick this box if you are requesting non-disclosure of your response. ☐

<b>Consultation questions</b>	
<b>1</b>	Do you agree or disagree that the draft guidance sets out what an approvable Funded Decommissioning Programme should contain to ensure that operators of new nuclear power stations (i) estimate the potential costs of decommissioning, waste management and waste disposal and (ii) make prudent provision for meeting their liabilities? What are your reasons?
<b>Response</b>	<p>I have little financial experience or knowledge of these processes and do not wish to comment on that aspect of this Consultation.</p> <p>However, in responding to the recent Consultation on National Policy Statement EN-6 I did comment on the lack of guidance for the IPC on Radioactive Waste Management.</p> <p>As the recent OND request for Consultation on this paper said "How operators will be required to meet their obligation to have robust decommissioning plans and secure funds in place before constructing a new power station; and....." I had hoped that this Consultation Paper would address some of the issues.</p> <p>Unfortunately I do not believe that the technical aspects of any of these issues are answered. While Part 2b and the DWMP identify various parts of the Radioactive Waste Management Process, and state that the Operator will have to satisfy the Secretary of State that the processes are robust, there is no detailed guidance on how this should be done and by what standards the Secretary of State will judge. Therefore this Consultation does little to add comfort to the members of the public who have legitimate concerns about these matters.</p>
<b>2</b>	Does the draft guidance contain sufficient information to enable operators of new nuclear power stations to understand the matters that their funded decommissioning programmes should contain?
<b>Response</b>	No further comment.

Please select the category below which best describes who you are responding on behalf of.

- ☐ Business representative organisation/trade body
- ☐ Central Government
- ☐ Charity or social enterprise
- ☒ Individual
- ☐ Large business ( over 250 staff)
- ☐ Legal representative
- ☐ Local Government
- ☐ Medium business (50 to 250 staff)
- ☐ Small business (10 to 49 staff)
- ☐ Micro business (up to 9 staff)
- ☐ Trade union or staff association
- ☐ Other (please describe):

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views. The Government does not intend to acknowledge receipt of individual responses unless you tick the box. ☐

Department of Energy and Climate Change