

(Energy Development)

From:

Sent:

03 October 2016 12:23

To:

National Infrastructure Consents

Subject:

LLANBRYNMAIR AND CARNEDD WEN WIND FARMS RE-DETERMINATION -

SECOND ROUND OF CONSULTATION

Dear Sir

LLANBRYNMAIR AND CARNEDD WEN WIND FARMS RE-DETERMINATION -SECOND ROUND OF CONSULTATION

turbines destroy jobs as stated by research by Gabriel Calzada Alvarez of the Rey Carlos university in Madrid, they destroy 2.2 jobs in the real economy for every Potemkin job ("green job") created by government malinvestment. Separate research suggests that the damage in the UK may be even higher: 3.7 real jobs lost for every fake green one created.

Wind turbines are like a reverse Robin Hood, lining the pockets of the rent-seeking rich – such as Prime Minister David Cameron's father-in-law, Sir Reginald Sheffield: bt. making £1000 a day just for sitting on his butt while the 8 turbines on his Leicestershire estate turn idly in the breeze – at the expense of the ordinary energy user. If this were free market capitalism, fine. But it's not: it's the exact opposite – crony capitalism in which economic favours are handed out by the market but by government fiat. This is the kind of state-endorsed social injustice of which bloody revolutions are made.

Wind turbines – as any rural community which has tried fighting the heavily-rigged planning system will know – are disruptive, divisive and unjust. They turn neighbour against neighbour. They force country folk who really would have preferred to do other things with their lives to expend vast quantities of money, time and energy trying desperately to preserve the character and charm of their neighbourhood by fighting wind projects with all their might. Often – that rigged planning system – they fail. So one local person gets rich, earning perhaps £30,000 a year per turbine on his land. But everyone else suffers in the form of blighted views, reduced property values, noise disturbance etc; "AND WHO CARES"?

Wind turbines are economically pointless. Because the "energy" they produce is unreliable, unpredictable and intermittent (sometimes the wind blows; sometimes it doesn't; sometimes it blows so hard that the turbines have to be switched off) it has no genuine market value. Electricity users want electricity as and when they need it, not when the wind deigns to blow. That's why it has to be so heavily subsidised by the taxpayer – because without bribes no developer would risk the capital outlay on something so unproductive. And it's why wind energy has constantly to be backed up by more conventional power like coal, gas and oil. One 25 hectare fracking site and one medium sized fossil fuel power station can produce the same amount of energy as ALL the wind turbines in Britain.

Wind farms are partly responsible for the thousands of people who die every year of fuel poverty. (Plus, of course, all those people who've been fatally injured in turbine fires, air crashes, or by flying blades. This is because, being so disproportionately expensive – between roughly twice and three times the cost of conventional fossil fuel power, depending on whether we're talking onshore or offshore wind – and being, by government order, a compulsory part of our "energy mix", they drive

up energy to artificially high levels. The carbon saving benefits of wind farms are largely imaginary, the effects on "global warming" marginal to illusory; but the people who actually die each year, unable to afford their rising fuel bills, are very, very real.

Wind farms destroy OUR countryside as someone who has lived in the Highlands of Scotland witnessed first-hand the devastation these monsters can cause, furthermore they are non-productive, and designed specifically for the Giants of agriculture within the United Kingdom, to enhance their income from the subsidies these monsters provide.

Yours sincerely

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com