



Department for  
Business, Energy  
& Industrial Strategy

# REALISING THE POTENTIAL OF DEMAND-SIDE RESPONSE TO 2025

A focus on Small Energy Users

References



November 2017

This document is available in large print, audio and braille on request. Please email [enquiries@beis.gov.uk](mailto:enquiries@beis.gov.uk) with the version you require.

# REALISING THE POTENTIAL OF DEMAND-SIDE RESPONSE TO 2025

## References

### Acknowledgements

This report was prepared by a team comprised of E4tech staff working closely with specialists from several academic organisations:

Adam Chase (*E4tech*), Dr. Rob Gross (*Imperial College*), Dr. Phil Heptonstall (*Imperial College*), Dr. Malte Jansen (*E4tech*), Michael Kenefick (*E4tech*), Bryony Parrish (*University of Sussex*), Paul Robson (*E4tech*)

In addition to review by BEIS' quality assurance panel, the work was independently reviewed by a separate Expert Group:

Prof. David Hart (*E4tech*)

Prof. Nick Pidgeon (*Cardiff University*)

Prof. Steve Sorrell (*University of Sussex*)

© Crown copyright 2017

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence.

To view this licence, visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/) or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: [psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk).

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at: [smartenergy@beis.gov.uk](mailto:smartenergy@beis.gov.uk).

This publication is available for download at [www.gov.uk/government/publications](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications).

# References

## All references

1. AECOM, 2011. *Energy Demand Research Project: Final Analysis*, Hertfordshire: AECOM for Ofgem.
2. Allcott, H., 2011. Rethinking real-time electricity pricing. *Special section: Sustainable Resource Use and Economic Dynamics*, 33(4), pp.820–842. Available at: [internal-pdf://84.0.0.23/Allcott-2011-Rethinking real-time electricity.pdf](#).
3. Annala, S., 2015. *Households' willingness to engage in demand response in the Finnish retail electricity market: An empirical study*, Lappeenranta: Lappeenranta University of Technology.
4. Anon., 2016. *ERCOT*. [Online] Available at: <http://www.ercot.com/services/programs/load/eils>
5. Argonne, 2016. *Survey of U.S. Ancillary Services*, Chicago: Argonne National Laboratory.
6. Bartusch, C. & Alvehag, K., 2014. Further exploring the potential of residential demand response programs in electricity distribution. *Applied Energy*, 125(0), pp.39–59. Available at: [internal-pdf://180.67.7.112/Bartusch-2014-Further exploring the potential.pdf](#).
7. Bartusch, C. et al., 2011. Introducing a demand-based electricity distribution tariff in the residential sector: Demand response and customer perception. *Energy Policy*, 39(9), pp.5008–5025. Available at: [internal-pdf://172.234.246.159/Bartusch-2011-Introducing a demand-based elect.pdf](#).
8. Baxter, P., & Jack, S., 2008. Qualitative Case Study Methodology: Study Design and Implementation for Novice Researchers. *The Qualitative Report*, 13(4), 544-559.
9. BDEW, 2016. *Energie-Info: Stromverbrauch im Haushalt*. [Online] Available at: [https://www.bdew.de/internet.nsf/id/4556d78dc7757097c1257f3b004f8a1a/\\$file/20%20stromverbrauch%20im%20haushalt%20januar%202016.pdf](https://www.bdew.de/internet.nsf/id/4556d78dc7757097c1257f3b004f8a1a/$file/20%20stromverbrauch%20im%20haushalt%20januar%202016.pdf)
10. BEIS, 2016. *Business Population Estimates for the UK and Regions 2016*, London: Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy.
11. Belmans, R. et al., 2014. *Linear - the report*, Genk: The Linear Consortium.

- 
12. Bird, J., 2015. *Developing the smarter grid: The role of domestic and small and medium enterprise customers*, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.
  13. Bradley, P., Coke, A. & Leach, M., 2016. Financial incentive approaches for reducing peak electricity demand, experience from pilot trials with a UK energy provider. *Energy Policy*, 98, pp.108–120.
  14. Buchanan, K. et al., 2016. The British public's perception of the UK smart metering initiative: Threats and opportunities. *Energy Policy*, 91, pp.87–97.
  15. Bundesverband Wärmepumpe, 2016. *Neubau-Statistik 2015: Wärmepumpen-Anteil bleibt stabil*. [Online] Available at: <http://www.diekaelte.de/Archiv/Meldungsarchiv/Neubau-Statistik-2015-Waermepumpen-Anteil-bleibt-stabil,QUIEPTcxODM3MCZNSUQ9MTAyOTg4.html>
  16. Buryk, S. et al., 2015. Investigating preferences for dynamic electricity tariffs: The effect of environmental and system benefit disclosure. *Energy Policy*, 80, pp.190–195.
  17. Carmichael, R. et al., 2014. *Residential consumer attitudes to time-varying pricing*, London: Imperial College London.
  18. Chassin, D.P. & Kiesling, L., 2008. Decentralized Coordination through Digital Technology, Dynamic Pricing, and Customer-Driven Control: The GridWise Testbed Demonstration Project. *The Electricity Journal*, 21(8), pp.51–59. Available at: [internal-pdf://197.198.47.169/Chassin-2008-Decentralized Coordination throug.pdf](internal-pdf://197.198.47.169/Chassin-2008-Decentralized%20Coordination%20throug.pdf).
  19. Chen, B. & Sintov, N., 2016. Bridging the gap between sustainable technology adoption and protecting natural resources: Predicting intentions to adopt energy management technologies in California. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 22, pp.210–223.
  20. Chi-Keung, W., Horowitz, I. & Sulyma, I.M., 2013. Relative kW Response to Residential Time-Varying Pricing in British Columbia. *Smart Grid, IEEE Transactions on*, 4(4), pp.1852–1860. Available at: [internal-pdf://179.0.0.77/Chi-Keung-2013-Relative kW Response to Residen.pdf](internal-pdf://179.0.0.77/Chi-Keung-2013-Relative%20kW%20Response%20to%20Residen.pdf).
  21. ComEd, 2013. *Overview of Energy Infrastructure Modernization Act*. Chicago: <http://www.eesi.org/files/Anil-Dhawan-061814-original.pdf>.
  22. ComEd, 2017. *Smart Meter Installation Schedule*. Chicago: [https://www.comed.com/SmartEnergy/SmartMeterSmartGrid/Pages/Installation Schedule.aspx](https://www.comed.com/SmartEnergy/SmartMeterSmartGrid/Pages/InstallationSchedule.aspx).
  23. Coote, N. & MacLeman, D., 2012. *LCNF Tier 1 Close-Down Report Trial evaluation of domestic demand side management*, Portsmouth: Scottish and Southern Energy Power Distribution.

- 
24. COWI, 2016. *IMPACT ASSESSMENT STUDY ON DOWNSTREAM FLEXIBILITY, PRICE FLEXIBILITY, DEMAND RESPONSE AND SMART METERING*, Brussels: EUROPEAN COMMISSION DG ENERGY.
  25. Crossley, D., 2008a. *Assessment and Development of Network-driven Demand-side Management Measures - Research Report No 2 Task XV of the International Energy Agency Demand Side Management Programme*, Hornsby Heights: Energy Futures Australia.
  26. Crossley, D., 2008b. *Incorporation of DSM Measures into Network Planning*, Paris: International Energy Agency (IEA).
  27. Crossley, D., 2008c. *Worldwide Survey of Network-driven Demand-side Management Projects*, Paris: International Energy Agency (IEA).
  28. Dütschke, E. & Paetz, A.-G., 2013. Dynamic electricity pricing—Which programs do consumers prefer? *Energy Policy*, 59(0), pp.226–234. Available at: [internal-pdf://1.45.182.161/Dütschke-2013-Dynamic electricity pricing—Whic.pdf](internal-pdf://1.45.182.161/Dütschke-2013-Dynamic%20electricity%20pricing—Whic.pdf).
  29. EA Technology & Southern Electric Power Distribution, 2016. *My Electric Avenue (I2EV) Project Close-Down Report*, EA Technology & Southern Electric Power Distribution.
  30. EcoGrid EU, 2016. EcoGrid EU – A Prototype for European Smart Grids Deliverable D6.7 Overall evaluation and conclusion.
  31. EIA, 2009. *Household Energy Use in Texas*, Washington D.C.: U.S. Energy Information Administration.
  32. Electricity Council, 1987. *Electricity Supply in the UK: A Chronology*, UK.
  33. Element Energy, 2012. *Demand side response in the non-domestic sector*, Cambridge: Element Energy.
  34. ELEXON, 2013. *Load Profiles and their use in Electricity Settlement*, London: ELEXON.
  35. Energiavirasto, 2017. Strategic reserve capacity in Finland - Peak load capacity system. [Online] Available at: <https://www.energiavirasto.fi/en/web/energy-authority/strategic-reserve>
  36. Eunomia, 2016. *Investing in UK Electricity Storage*. [Online] Available at: <http://www.eunomia.co.uk/reports-tools/investing-in-uk-electricity-storage/>
  37. Department for Communities and Local Government, 2016a. English Housing Survey 2015 to 2016: headline report

- 
38. Department for Communities and Local Government, 2016b. English Housing Survey 2014: energy report
  39. EPRI, 2011. *The Effect on Electricity Consumption of the Commonwealth Edison Customer Applications Program: Phase 2 Final Analysis*, Palo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute.
  40. EPRI, 2012. *Understanding Electric Utility Customers - Summary Report What We Know and What We Need to Know*, Palo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute. Available at: <http://www.epri.com/abstracts/Pages/ProductAbstract.aspx?ProductId=000000000001025856>.
  41. ERCOT, 2002. *Load Participation in the ERCOT Market: Financial Opportunities for Reducing Electricity Load*, Austin: ERCOT.
  42. ERCOT, 2015. *Load Participation in the ERCOT Nodal Market*, Austin: ERCOT.
  43. ERCOT, 2017. *About ERCOT*. [Online] Available at: <http://www.ercot.com/about>
  44. Eto, J. et al., 2012. *Demand Response as a System Reliability Resource*, Berkeley, CA: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
  45. European Commission, 2014. *Energy balance sheets 2014 Data - 2016 Edition*, Brussels: European Commission.
  46. Eurostat, 2014. *Eurostat*. [Online] Available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/File:Electricity-consumption-of-households-per-capita-2014.png>
  47. Farhar, B.C. et al., 2016. A field study of human factors and vehicle performance associated with PHEV adaptation. *Energy Policy*, 93, pp.265–277.
  48. Faruqui, A. & George, S., 2005. Quantifying Customer Response to Dynamic Pricing. *The Electricity Journal*, 18(4), pp.53–63.
  49. Faruqui, A. & Sergici, S., 2013. Arcturus: International Evidence on Dynamic Pricing. *The Electricity Journal*, 26(7), pp.55–65. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1040619013001656>.
  50. Fell, M.J. et al., 2015. Public acceptability of domestic demand-side response in Great Britain: The role of automation and direct load control. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 9, pp.72–84. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214629615300463>.
  51. FERC, 2008. *Wholesale Competition in Regions with Organized Electric Markets*, Washington D.C.: FERC.

- 
52. FERC, 2011. *Frequency Regulation Compensation in the Organized Wholesale Power Markets*, Washington D.C.: FERC.
  53. FERC, 2016. *Assessment of Demand Response and Advanced Metering*, Washington, D.C.: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
  54. Finnish Energy, 2017. *Finish Energy Homepage*. [Online] Available at: <http://188.117.57.25/en/home-and-heating/district-heating>
  55. Fraunhofer ISE, 2017. *Installierte Netto-Leistung zur Stromerzeugung in Deutschland*. [Online] Available at: [https://www.energy-charts.de/power\\_inst\\_de.htm](https://www.energy-charts.de/power_inst_de.htm)
  56. Friis, F. & Haunstrup Christensen, T., 2016. The challenge of time shifting energy demand practices: Insights from Denmark. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 19, pp.124–133.
  57. Frontier Economics and Sustainability First, 2012. *Demand Side Response in the domestic sector- a literature review of major trials*, London: Department of Energy & Climate Change.
  58. GfK, 2016. *SMART HOME IN GERMANY*. [Online] Available at: [https://www.gfk.com/fileadmin/user\\_upload/dyna\\_content/DE/images/Infographics/2016-06-01-smart\\_home\\_infographic\\_GER\\_final.pdf](https://www.gfk.com/fileadmin/user_upload/dyna_content/DE/images/Infographics/2016-06-01-smart_home_infographic_GER_final.pdf)
  59. Hall, N.L., Jeanneret, T.D. & Rai, A., 2016. Cost-reflective electricity pricing: Consumer preferences and perceptions. *Energy Policy*, 95, pp.62–72.
  60. Helms, T., Loock, M. & Bohnsack, R., 2016. Timing-based business models for flexibility creation in the electric power sector. *Energy Policy*, 92, pp.348–358.
  61. Herter, K. & Wayland, S., 2010. Residential response to critical-peak pricing of electricity: California evidence. *Energy*, 35(4), pp.1561–1567. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544209003016>.
  62. Herter, K., McAuliffe, P. & Rosenfeld, A., 2007. An exploratory analysis of California residential customer response to critical peak pricing of electricity. *Energy*, 32(1), pp.25–34. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544206000314>.
  63. Hull, L., 2010. *Micro Demand Response and Energy Saving Products: Requirements and options for effective delivery*, Paris: International Energy Agency (IEA).
  64. Hull, L., 2014. *Smart Grid Implementation: How to engage consumers*, Paris.
  65. Hull, L., Platt, K. & Dudek, E., 2013. *Interaction between Customers and Smart Grid Related Initiatives*.

- 
66. Illinois Commerce Commission, 2016. *Electric Switching Statistics*. Springfield, IL: Illinois Commerce Commission.
  67. Kärkkäinen, S., 2007. *DSM experiences in Nordic countries*. Ankara, European Commission.
  68. Katz, J., 2014. Linking meters and markets: Roles and incentives to support a flexible demand side. *Utilities Policy*, 31, pp.74–84. Available at: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0957178714000617> [Accessed March 27, 2017].
  69. Klaassen, E.A.M. et al., 2016. Responsiveness of residential electricity demand to dynamic tariffs: Experiences from a large field test in the Netherlands. *Applied Energy*, 183, pp.1065–1074.
  70. Kobus, C.B.A. et al., 2015. A real-life assessment on the effect of smart appliances for shifting households' electricity demand. *Applied Energy*, 147, pp.335–343. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261915001099>.
  71. Koliou, E. et al., 2014. Demand response in liberalized electricity markets: Analysis of aggregated load participation in the German balancing mechanism. *Energy*, 71, pp.245–254. Available at: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0360544214004800> [Accessed March 27, 2017].
  72. Lebosse, C., 2016. *Grid4EU DEMO6 - dD6.8-1 Assessment of the social behaviour of the residential customers after on site tests*, Grid4EU.
  73. Liu, Y. et al., 2015. *Assessment of Demand Response Market Potential and Benefits in Shanghai*, Oxford: University of Oxford.
  74. Lopes, M.A.R. et al., 2016. The potential of energy behaviours in a smart(er) grid: Policy implications from a Portuguese exploratory study. *Energy Policy*, 90, pp.233–245.
  75. Martínez Ceseña, E.A., Good, N. & Mancarella, P., 2015. Electrical network capacity support from demand side response: Techno-economic assessment of potential business cases for small commercial and residential end-users. *Energy Policy*, 82, pp.222–232. Available at: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0301421515001184> [Accessed March 28, 2017].
  76. Monitoring Analytics, 2014. *Price Responsive Demand*, Eagleville: Monitoring Analytics.
  77. Monitoring Analytics, 2016. *State of the Market Report for PJM*, Eagleville: Monitoring Analytics.



- 
78. Moreno, A.M., 2013. *NOBEL: Neighbourhood Oriented Brokerage Electricity and monitoring system Project Final Report*, Valencia: Etra Investigación y Desarrollo, S.A.
  79. Mostafa Baladi, S., Herriges, J.A. & Sweeney, T.J., 1998. Residential response to voluntary time-of-use electricity rates. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 20(3), pp.225–244.
  80. Narayanamurthy, R. & Robinson, J., 2015. *Bring Your Own Device Program Approaches*, Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI).
  81. Neenan, B., 2015. *Program on Technology Innovation: Measuring Customer Preferences for Alternative Electricity Service Plans - An Application of a Discrete Choice Experiment*, Palo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI).
  82. Newsham, G.R. & Bowker, B.G., 2010. The effect of utility time-varying pricing and load control strategies on residential summer peak electricity use: A review. *Energy Policy*, 38(7), pp.3289–3296. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301421510000510>.
  83. Parrish, B., Heptonstall, P. & Gross, R., 2016. *The potential for UK residential demand side participation*, London.
  84. Paterakis, N. G., Erdinç, O. & Catalão, J. P., 2017. An overview of Demand Response: Key-elements and international experience. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 69(March 2017), pp. 871-891.
  85. photovoltaikforum.com. (2017, 02 10). *Regelleistungsmodell von Caterva: „Für jedes Kilowatt Regelleistung 150 bis 160 Euro im Jahr“*. Retrieved from Magazin: <https://www.photovoltaikforum.com/magazin/praxis/regelleistungsmodell-von-caterva-fuer-jedes-kilowatt-regelleistung-150-bis-160-euro-im-jahr-4765/>
  86. PJM, 2017. *2016 Demand Response Operations Markets Activity Report: January 2017*, Norristown: PJM.
  87. Powells, G., Bulkeley, H., Bell, S. & Judson, E., 2014. Peak electricity demand and the flexibility of everyday life. *Geoforum*, 55(August 2014), pp. 43-52.
  88. Raish, C., 2015. *ERCOT*. [Online] Available at: [http://www.ercot.com/content/wcm/key\\_documents\\_lists/87090/DSWG\\_4CP\\_Analysis\\_Raish.pptx](http://www.ercot.com/content/wcm/key_documents_lists/87090/DSWG_4CP_Analysis_Raish.pptx)
  89. RAP, 2015. *Report on the German power system*, Berlin: Agora Energiewende.
  90. Robinson, J., 2016. *Impact Analysis Results for BGE's Wi-Fi Thermostat Pilot*, Palo Alto, CA: Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI).

- 
91. Rotmann, S., 2014. *Subtask 2 – New Zealand: PowerCo Smart House Pilot*, Paris: International Energy Agency (IEA).
  92. Schnurre, S., 2014. *Variable Tarife aus dem Blickwinkel der Lastverlagerung*. p. 5.
  93. Shakir, M., 2002. *The selection of case studies: Strategies and their applications to IS implementation cases studies*. Res. Lett. Inf. Math. Sci. 3, 191-198
  94. Shipman, R., Gillott, M. & Naghiyev, E., 2013. SWITCH: Case Studies in the Demand Side Management of Washing Appliances. *Energy Procedia*, 42, pp.153–162. Available at: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1876610213017177> [Accessed February 16, 2017].
  95. Smart Energy Demand Coalition (2015) Mapping Demand Response in Europe Today 2015. Available at: [www.smartenergydemand.eu](http://www.smartenergydemand.eu)
  96. Smith, K. & Hledik, R., 2011. *Drivers of Demand Response Adoption: Past, Present, and Future*, Washington, D.C.: Building Efficiency Initiative.
  97. Sonnen, 2017. *SonnenFlat*. [Online] Available at: <https://www.sonnenbatterie.de/de/sonnenflat>
  98. SPEER, 2014. *Energy Efficiency as a Resource in Texas: Whitepaper prepared for The SPEER Commission on Energy Efficiency*, Austin: SPEER.
  99. Statnett, 2015. *Nettutviklingsplan 2015*, Oslo: Statnett.
  100. Straub, M.M. & Switzer, S., 2013. Advanced Metering Infrastructure and Determination of the Operability of Demand Response Devices. *The Electricity Journal*, 26(4), pp.45–51.
  101. Strbac, G., 2008. Demand side management: benefits and challenges. *Energy Policy*, Issue 36, pp. 4419-4426.
  102. Strengers, Y. & Maller, C., 2014. *Social Practices, Intervention and Sustainability: Beyond behaviour change*,
  103. Strengers, Y., 2010. Air-conditioning Australian households: The impact of dynamic peak pricing. *Energy Policy*, 38(11), pp.7312–7322. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301421510005926>.
  104. Stromback, J., Dromacque, C. & Golubkina, D., 2010. *Responds 2010 - Demand response pilot comparison*, Helsinki: Vaasa Ett.

- 
105. Swinson, V., Hamer, J. & Humphries, S., 2015. Taking demand management into the future: Managing flexible loads on the electricity network using smart appliances and controlled loads. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 48, pp.192–203.
  106. Tartler, J., 2016. Günstiger Strom für Nachtspeicher und Wärmepumpen. *Finanztip*.
  107. Thorsnes, P., Williams, J. & Lawson, R., 2012. Consumer responses to time varying prices for electricity. *Special Section: Fuel Poverty Comes of Age: Commemorating 21 Years of Research and Policy*, 49(0), pp.552–561. Available at: [internal-pdf://169.212.185.159/Thorsnes-2012-Consumer responses to time varyi.pdf](http://internal-pdf://169.212.185.159/Thorsnes-2012-Consumer%20responses%20to%20time%20varyi.pdf).
  108. Torriti, J., 2013. The significance of occupancy steadiness in residential consumer response to Time-of-Use pricing: Evidence from a stochastic adjustment model. *Utilities Policy*, 27(0), pp.49–56. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957178713000568>.
  109. Torstensson, D. & Wallin, F., 2014. Exploring the Perception for Demand Response among Residential Consumers. *Energy Procedia*, 61, pp.2797–2800. Available at: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1876610214033475> [Accessed February 16, 2017].
  110. US DOE, 2016. *Customer Acceptance, Retention, and Response to Time-Based Rates from the Consumer Behavior Studies*, Washington, DC: US Department of Energy.
  111. Vallés, M. et al., 2016. Regulatory and market barriers to the realization of demand response in electricity distribution networks: A European perspective. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 140, pp.689–698. Available at: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378779616301523> [Accessed March 27, 2017].
  112. Vanthournout, K. et al., 2015. An automated residential demand response pilot experiment, based on day-ahead dynamic pricing. *Applied Energy*, 155, pp.195–203.
  113. VIVI Power, 2017. *Dynamischer Energietarif*. [Online] Available at: <http://www.vivi-power.de/>
  114. von Der Fehr, M. N.-H. & Hansen, P. V., 2010. Electricity Retailing in Norway. *The Energy Journal*, 31(1), pp. 25-46.
  115. Walther, G. T. T. B., 2005. *Norwegian System Operation facing a Tight Capacity Balance and Severe Supply Conditions in Dry Years*, Oslo: Statnett.
  116. Warren, P., 2014. A review of demand-side management policy in the UK. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 29(January 2014), pp. 941-951.

- 
117. Warren, P., 2015. *Demand-Side Management Policy: Mechanisms for Success and Failure*. London: UCL Energy Institute - University College London (UCL).
  118. Wattles, P., 2015. Retail Smart Grid Trends  
[energyweek.utexas.edu/files/2015/07/Wattles.pdf](http://energyweek.utexas.edu/files/2015/07/Wattles.pdf)
  119. Western Power Distribution, 2016. *SoLa Bristol SDRC 9.8 Final Report*, Bristol: Western Power Distribution.
  120. Wiekens, C.J., van Grootel, M. & Steinmeijer, S., 2014. *Experiences and behaviours of end-users in a smart grid: the influence of values, attitudes, trust, and several types of demand side management*, Oxford: BEHAVE2014.
  121. Zarnikau, J., 2009. Demand participation in the restructured Electric Reliability Council. *Energy*, p. 1536–1543.
  122. Zhang, Y. et al., 2016. An Exploratory Analysis of Kitakyushu Residential Customer Response to Dynamic Electricity Pricing. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 216, pp.409–416. Available at:  
<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1877042815062357> [Accessed February 25, 2017].

