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Key stage 2 assessments and review process in 2017

Key stage 2 (KS2) tests in English reading, English grammar, punctuation and spelling, and mathematics are marked onscreen by external markers. As in previous years, a reviews service was made available to schools if they believed there was a discrepancy between how a question had been marked and the published mark scheme. Criteria for determining whether a marking review was successful were set in 2016 and remain unchanged in 2017. This release presents outcomes of reviews according to those criteria.

Review applications decrease for all tests taken

In 2017, review applications were made for 1.4% of total tests taken at KS2, down from 1.9% in 2016.

The highest proportion of review applications was made for English reading, 2.6% of the total tests taken, a decrease of 1.2 percentage points compared to 2016 (3.8%).

The mathematics test had the fewest review applications, 0.5% of tests taken, a decrease of 0.2 percentage points compared to 2016 (0.7%).

Review applications were made for 1.1% of the English grammar, punctuation and spelling tests taken, a decrease of 0.1 percentage points compared to 2016 (1.2%).

Fewer than 9% of applications resulted in a successful review

Overall, 8.5% of review applications resulted in a successful review in 2017.

The highest proportion of successful reviews was for the mathematics test, where 10.5% of applications were successful.

10.3% of English grammar, punctuation and spelling and 7.4% of English reading review applications were successful.

Overall, this means that successful reviews equated to 0.1% of the total number of tests taken in 2017 compared to 0.2% in 2016.

---

1 A marking review was defined as successful if it corrected the discrepancy between the original marking and the mark scheme and resulted in a change that led to: the pupil achieving the expected standard, the pupil not meeting the expected standard, or a change of 3 or more marks to the raw score.
About this release
This statistical first release (SFR) provides provisional information on the outcomes of clerical reviews and reviews of marking for the 2017 key stage 2 (KS2) national curriculum tests at a national level.

In this publication
The following tables are available in Excel format on the Key stage 2 statistics collection website:
- Table 1 Number of key stage 2 tests taken (2013-2017)
- Table 2 Data sets for key stage 2 tests (2013-2017)
- Table 3 Number of schools requesting reviews for key stage 2 tests (2013-2017)
- Table 4 Number of reviews requested, key stage 2 tests (2013-2017)
- Table 5 Number of successful review applications, key stage 2 tests (2016-2017)
- Table 6 Number of changes to achievement of national standard after review application, key stage 2 tests (2017)
The accompanying Quality and Methodology information document provides information on the data sources, their coverage and quality, and explains the methodology used in producing the data.

Feedback
We are changing how our releases look and welcome feedback on any aspect of this document at DataAndSystems.STA@education.gov.uk.
1. Introduction

This report provides provisional information on the outcomes of clerical reviews and reviews of marking for the 2017 key stage 2 (KS2) national curriculum tests.

This report is published by the Standards and Testing Agency (STA), an executive agency of the Department for Education (DfE). STA is responsible for developing and delivering statutory assessments and tests from early years to the end of KS2.

2017 was the second year that pupils in year 6 were tested against the current national curriculum, which was introduced in September 2014. Pupils sat KS2 tests in mathematics, English reading and English grammar, punctuation and spelling to assess their knowledge of the curriculum. The outcomes of the tests were reported using scaled scores. Pupils were assessed according to whether they had met the expected standard, a scaled score of 100, in the tests.

Results for the KS2 tests were returned to schools on Tuesday 4 July 2017. Schools were able to apply for reviews from Tuesday 4 July until midnight on Friday 14 July 2017.

Schools were advised that they could request a marking review of the tests if they believed there was a discrepancy between how a question had been marked and the published mark scheme. The criteria for a successful review were the same in 2017 as in 2016. A review was defined as successful if it corrected a discrepancy between the original marking and the mark scheme and resulted in a change that led to:

- the pupil achieving the expected standard
- the pupil not meeting the expected standard, or
- a change of 3 or more marks to the raw score

Schools could also apply for a review if they believed that there had been a clerical error in the marking process, for example, if marks on a paper had been incorrectly added up, if a test script had been matched to the wrong pupil, or if there was an error on the attendance register that needed to be corrected.

For more information see the Quality and Methodology information document.
2. **Number of tests taken at key stage 2** (Figure 1)

The number of KS2 tests taken varies year-on-year due to school-entry decisions. Other variances may be due to:

- fluctuations in the population
- take up of the tests by independent schools
- absenteeism
- the rate at which pupils make progress and complete the relevant programme of study

Figure 1 shows the 2013 to 2017 numbers of tests taken for English reading, mathematics and English grammar, punctuation and spelling.

**Figure 1: Number of tests taken for key stage 2**

*England, 2013 to 2017 (all schools)*

---

**Population of interest**

The population of interest for each of the tests includes all schools in England with pupils participating in the relevant KS2 tests. Also included are a small number of Service Children’s Education schools that are located overseas and have pupils eligible for the tests.

Pupils are not included if they did not sit the tests because they were:

- absent
- working below the standard of the test
- working at the standard of the tests but unable to access them, for example because of a disability

The number of tests taken will differ slightly from figures published in the ‘National curriculum assessments: key stage 2, 2017 report’ (SFR43/2017) due to pending maladministration cases at the time of publication and the inclusion of data for pupils who did not complete the key stage for all subjects in the same year.
3. **Review applications** (Figures 2 & 3, Table A)

Figure 2 shows the percentage of tests taken for which review applications were made in 2017. The highest proportion of review applications was made for English reading, 2.6% of the total tests taken. This is in line with trends seen in recent years. The mathematics test had the fewest review applications, 0.5% of tests taken, and English grammar, punctuation and spelling had review applications for 1.1% of tests taken. More information on comparability over time can be found in section 5.

**Figure 2: Percentage of tests taken with review applications**

England, 2017 (all schools)

![Graph showing percentage of tests taken with review applications for English reading, Mathematics, and English grammar, punctuation and spelling.]

Source: Provisional 2017 KS2 reviews outcomes data

There was a decrease in the number of review applications for all subjects in 2017. The vast majority of applications were for marking reviews. A very small number of clerical reviews were submitted. Table A shows the breakdown of applications by type and subject.

**Table A: Number of review applications by type and subject**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English reading</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>English grammar, punctuation and spelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marking</td>
<td>15,420</td>
<td>2,990</td>
<td>6,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Provisional 2017 KS2 reviews outcomes data
Figure 3 shows that the number of schools requesting review applications decreased across all 3 subjects.

Figure 3: Number of schools requesting reviews for key stage 2 tests
England, 2013 to 2017 (all schools)
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Source: Provisional 2017 KS2 reviews outcomes data

4. Outcomes of reviews  (Figure 4 and Tables B - C)

Overall, 8.5% of reviews were successful in 2017 (meaning that they resulted in a change to whether a pupil achieved the expected standard or not, or a change of 3 or more marks to the raw score). The percentage of successful reviews was highest in mathematics, at 10.5%, closely followed by English grammar, punctuation and spelling at 10.3%, and lowest for English reading, at 7.4%.

Table B shows the 2013 to 2017 figures for the percentage of successful review applications in mathematics, English reading and English grammar, punctuation and spelling. Figures for 2013 to 2015 are shown for context, although the criteria for a successful review changed in 2016 due to the introduction of the new expected standard and the removal of levels. As a result, figures from 2016 and 2017 are not comparable to those from earlier years. More information on comparability over time can be found in section 5.

Table B: Percentage of successful review applications
England, 2013 to 2017 (all schools)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>English reading</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>English grammar, punctuation and spelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Between 2013 and 2015 a successful review was one that resulted in a level change. From 2016 the definition of a successful review is one that results in a change of outcome (for example, achieved or not achieved the national standard), a change in total raw marks of 3 or more, or a clerical review for example to correct the mis-matching of test scripts to pupils.
Figure 4 shows that the majority of review applications resulted in no change to the test outcome - 92.9% for English reading, 90.0% for mathematics and 90.1% for English grammar, punctuation and spelling. Of those that did change, 7.0% of English reading applications changed from below the standard to achieving the standard, as did 10.0% for mathematics and 9.9% for English grammar, punctuation and spelling. Approximately 0.02% of applications in total resulted in a change from achieving the expected standard to not achieving the expected standard following a review.

**Figure 4: Percentage of review outcomes for key stage 2 tests**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English reading</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>English grammar, punctuation and spelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Provisional 2017 KS2 reviews outcomes data

Table C shows that the number of successful reviews as a percentage of the tests taken is fairly consistent across the years, although there is more variation between years for English Reading compared to the other subjects.

**Table C: Proportion of KS2 tests with a successful review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English reading</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>English grammar, punctuation and spelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Provisional 2017 KS2 reviews outcomes data
5. Comparability over time

Valid comparisons of the 2016 and 2017 statistics to previous years are difficult to make because the introduction of scaled scores in 2016 has led to a change in the criteria for a successful review. However, comparing 2016 and 2017 statistics is valid.

A pupil’s scaled score is based on their raw score. The raw score is the total number of marks a pupil scores in a test, based on the number of questions they answered correctly. A scaled score of 100 represents the expected standard on the test.

From 2016 changes to the criteria for a successful marking review provided schools with more opportunities to apply for a review than in previous years. Under the previous levels system, schools were advised to apply for a review only if it would change the level that a pupil would be awarded. The current criteria, specifically that a change of 3 or more marks to the raw score would count as a successful review, opened up the opportunities for schools to apply across the range of attainment, irrespective of whether the adjusted mark affected whether the pupil met the expected standard or not.

2016 also saw the introduction of new progress measures between KS1 and KS2, which used the scaled scores awarded to pupils. Schools may have been further encouraged from 2016 to apply for reviews to ensure pupils were awarded as high a scaled score as possible, to best demonstrate how much progress they had made.

STA does not believe that the number of review applications received, or the outcomes of reviews of marking, can be used to draw conclusions about the quality of marking in any year due to:

- the changing nature of the reviews services offered
- the population of pupils sitting the tests
- varying factors influencing application decisions made by schools

6. Background and context

The KS2 reviews service is managed by STA’s marking supplier. All schools applied for a review of marking through the National Curriculum Assessments (NCA) tools website.

The full suite of 2017 KS2 national curriculum tests were marked onscreen except for modified and unscannable test scripts. There were 5,657 modified tests and 38,115 unscannable test scripts in 2017.

The figures in this report are produced from the data feed provided by STA’s marking supplier on Friday 1 September 2017. Data is provisional because STA’s marking supplier has received 5 process inquiry applications. The outcomes of these process inquiries will not be released until November 2017, and are not incorporated in the data.

Further details are available in the Quality and Methodology information document.

7. Accompanying tables

The following tables are available in Excel format on the Key stage 2 statistics collection website:

National tables

| Table 1 | Number of key stage 2 tests taken (2013-2017) |
| Table 2 | Data sets for key stage 2 tests (2013-2017) |
| Table 3 | Number of schools requesting reviews for key stage 2 tests (2013-2017) |
| Table 4 | Number of reviews requested, key stage 2 tests (2013-2017) |
When reviewing the tables, please note that:

- **We preserve confidentiality**
  The Code of Practice for Official Statistics requires we take reasonable steps to ensure that our published or disseminated statistics protect confidentiality.

- **We round numbers**
  Because of rounding, totals in text and in tables may not always equal the sum of their component parts. Similarly, differences quoted in text may not always be the same as differences shown in tables.

- **There are exclusions to the data**
  For 2013 to 2017 any test papers annulled due to maladministration that also had a review application have been excluded from the review application figures.

### 8. Further information

Previously published figures:
- SFR42/2015 *Key Stage 2 national curriculum review outcomes, 2015*
- SFR39/2016 *National curriculum assessments: key stage 2, 2016 (provisional)*

### 9. National statistics

The United Kingdom Statistics Authority has designated these statistics as National Statistics, in accordance with the Statistics and Registration Service Act 2007 and signifying compliance with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Designation can be broadly interpreted to mean that the statistics:

- meet identified user needs
- are well explained and readily accessible
- are produced according to sound methods
- are managed impartially and objectively in the public interest

Once statistics have been designated as National Statistics it is a statutory requirement that the Code of Practice shall continue to be observed.

The Department has a set of [statistical policies](#) in line with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

### 10. Technical information

A Quality and Methodology information document accompanies this SFR and is available on the [Key stage 2 statistics collection website](#). This provides further information on the data sources, their coverage and quality and explains the methodology used in producing the data, including how it is validated and processed.
11. Get in touch

Media enquiries
Press Office News Desk, Department for Education, Sanctuary Buildings, Great Smith Street, London SW1P 3BT.
Tel: 020 7783 8300

Other enquiries/feedback
Linda Fownes, Standards and Testing Agency, Department for Education, Earlsdon Park, 53-55 Butts Road, Coventry, CV1 3BH.
Tel: 024 7666 0024 Email: DataAndSystems.STA@education.gov.uk