Part 2.1: Introduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start Point:</th>
<th>Holland-on-Sea (grid reference: TM2105 1661)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>End Point:</td>
<td>East Terrace, Walton-on-the-Naze (grid reference: TM2583 2222)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant Maps:</td>
<td>2a to 2e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Understanding the proposals and accompanying maps:

The Trail:

2.1.1 Generally follows existing walked routes, including promenades and public rights of way, along most of this length.

2.1.2 Mainly follows the coastline quite closely and maintains good views of the sea.

Protection of sensitive features:

2.1.3 This part of the coast includes the following sites, designated for nature conservation or heritage preservation (See map C of the Overview):

- Holland-on-Sea Cliff Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) for its geological interest
- Holland Haven Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) an area of reclaimed estuarine saltmarsh and freshwater marsh situated between Holland-on-Sea and Frinton-on-Sea
- Outer Thames Estuary Special Protection Area (SPA)
- Scheduled Ancient Monument 48483 – Little Holland Marsh (SAM)
- Scheduled Ancient Monument 48658 – Former gunfleet estuary (SAM)
- Scheduled Ancient Monument 2915 – Saltern (SAM)

We have assessed the potential impacts of access along the proposed route (and over the
associated spreading room described below) on the features for which the affected land is designated and on any which are protected in their own right.

2.1.4  With input from specialists we have considered each of the sites involved and the relevant designations and concluded that for this section of the coast our proposals will not have a detrimental effect.

In relation to those nature conservation sites listed above, refer to our published Access and Sensitive Features Appraisal for more information.

See part 6b of the Overview - ‘Protection of sensitive features’ for a description of our overall approach and a summary of our conclusions

Accessibility:

2.1.5  Generally, there are few artificial barriers to accessibility on the proposed route, which makes use of existing surface paths wherever these meet the criteria in the Coastal Access Scheme.

However, there are places where it may not be entirely suitable for people with reduced mobility because:

- The trail would follow an uneven gravel path at Holland Haven at Section JWH-2-S003.

- There is one set of steps where it would be necessary to ascend/descend at Section JWH-2-S006 as part of the physical establishment work described in part 7 of the Overview.

See part 6a of the Overview - ‘Recreational issues’ - for more information.

Where we have proposed exercising our discretion:

The discretions referred to below are explained in more detail in the Overview.

2.1.6  Landward boundary of the coastal margin: We have used our discretion on one section of the route to include the landward extent of the coastal margin to an adjacent physical boundary such as a fence line, pavement or track to make the extent of the new access rights clearer. See Table 2.2.1.

See also part 3 of the Overview - ‘Understanding the proposals and accompanying maps’, for an explanation of the default extent of the coastal margin and how we may use our discretion to adjust the margin, either to add land or to provide clarity. See also Annex C of the Overview - ‘Excepted land categories’.

2.1.7  Access rights to the spreading room would be subject to the national restrictions on coastal access rights listed in Annex D of the Overview. These restrictions would not apply to public rights of way.

Establishment and ongoing management of the trail:

2.1.8  Some physical establishment of the trail would be necessary, in accordance with the general approach described in part 7 the Overview:

- A new interpretation panel will be added at section JWH-2-S008.
2.1.9 Ongoing management and maintenance would be necessary in accordance with the general approach described in part 8 of the Overview.

See parts 7 - ‘Physical establishment of the trail’ and 8 - ‘Maintenance of the trail’ of the Overview for more information.

Future Change:

2.1.10 At the time of preparing the report, we do not foresee any need for future changes to the access provisions proposed for the length of coast described in this chapter.

See parts 6e - ‘Coastal processes’ and 9 - ‘Future changes’ of the Overview for more information.

Part 2.2: Commentary on Maps

See Part 3 of Overview for guidance on reading and understanding the table below

2.2.1 Section Details – Maps 2a to 2e: Holland-on-Sea to Walton-on-the-Naze

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map(s)</th>
<th>Route section number(s)</th>
<th>Current status of this section</th>
<th>Current surface of this section</th>
<th>Roll-back proposed? (see Part 8 of Overview)</th>
<th>Landward boundary of margin (see maps)</th>
<th>Reason for landward boundary discretion</th>
<th>Proposed exclusions or restrictions (see Part 9 of Overview)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>JWH-2-S001</td>
<td>Multi-use route</td>
<td>Tarmac</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Landward edge of path</td>
<td>Clarity and cohesion</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JWH-2-S002 to JWH-2-S003</td>
<td>Multi-use route</td>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Landward edge of path</td>
<td>Clarity and cohesion</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a and</td>
<td>JWH-2-S004</td>
<td>Other existing walked route</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fence line</td>
<td>Clarity and cohesion</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>JWH-2-S005 to JWH-2-S007</td>
<td>Other existing walked route</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Landward edge of path</td>
<td>Clarity and cohesion</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d</td>
<td>JWH-2-S008</td>
<td>Multi-use route</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Landward edge of path</td>
<td>Clarity and cohesion</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>JWH-2-S009</td>
<td>Multi-use route</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Landward edge of path</td>
<td>Clarity and cohesion</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JWH-2-S010</td>
<td>Pavement</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Landward edge of pavement</td>
<td>Clarity and cohesion</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part 2.3: Chapter 2 - Formal Proposals

Below are our formal proposals to the Secretary of State for the length of coast shown on maps 2a to 2e.

They should be read in conjunction with the relevant maps.

The commentary above explains the practical effect of these proposals.

Formal Proposals – Holland-on-Sea to Walton-on-the-Naze

Proposed route of the trail

2.3.1 The route is to be at the centre of the line shown on maps 2a to 2e as the proposed route of the trail.

Landward boundary of coastal margin

2.3.2 Adjacent to route section JWH-2-S004, the landward boundary of the coastal margin is to coincide with the existing boundary fence which, at the time of writing this report, is landward of the public footpath and cycle way shown as the trail on map 2b.

2.3.3 Adjacent to route sections JWH-2-S001, to JWH-2-S003 and JWH-2-S005 Jto-2-S010, the landward boundary of the coastal margin is to coincide with landward edge of the promenade or path shown as the trail on maps 2a to 2e.
Trail infrastructure

- Existing barrier to be retained
- Existing bollard to be retained

PROPOSALS

- Trail using existing public right of way or highway
- Trail using other existing walked route
- Trail shown on other maps

Trail sections which follow existing public rights of way or highways are indicated by a suffix:
CP - Cycle track (pedestrian)

Other access rights and routes

- Public footpaths
- Sea below mean low water

Other information

- Cycleway

Explanatory note: coastal margin

Part 3 of the Overview to the report explains where the landward boundary of the coastal margin falls by default. Our proposals include any suggested variation of this default boundary. The purple wash on the map indicates where as a result of our proposals the coastal margin would extend significantly to the landward side of the proposed route of the trail. The coastal margin may include some areas where coastal access rights do not apply, either seaward or landward of the proposed route of the trail: the Overview explains more about this. The landward boundary of the coastal margin may in due course move inland, if the trail rolls back under proposals in this report to respond to coastal change.
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