Annex D

INSPECTION RATES FOR PART B INSTALLATIONS

Risk Based inspection performance per authority for all installation groups combined

	li	nstallatio	ns		ections ed Out		ections ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
North Somerset	0	2	0	26	0	2	2	1300 %	0%	
Bedford Borough UA	0	3	2	30	1	5	1	600%	100%	
Basingstoke & Deane	0	2	0	10	0	2	2	500%	0%	
Rhondda Cynon Taf	1	9	14	55	1	25	7	220%	14%	1 missed check inspection at a crematoria3 x waste oil burners surrendered1 service station was revoked
Chiltern	0	2	0	4	0	2	0	200%	N/A	
Wigan	1	13	5	35	0	19	13	184%	0%	
Oldham	0	3	7	16	0	10	3	160%	0%	
Sandwell	2	25	11	63	0	40	27	158%	0%	Low risks sites did not all require inspection this inspection year (e.g. Vehicle Refinishing, Dry Cleaners, nearly all our petrol stations) Some in year applications were not necessarily inspected this year. Most brick crushers were off site. Some of the Small Waste Oil Burners were decommissioned before the end of the reporting year and could not be inspected (they have all been revoked April 2016) One of our Cement Batching Plants has been moth-balled but we were not notified in time to inspect.
Dudley	5	26	13	71	5	47	27	151%	19%	We have not carried out the minimum annual inspection levels expected by Defra due to maternity leave for 2 members of our team.
Middlesbrough	0	0	10	15	0	10	0	150%	N/A	
Great Yarmouth	1	2	0	6	1	4	1	150%	100%	
Bassetlaw	2	3	6	18	0	12	4	150%	0%	
Gateshead	0	4	11	22	1	15	4	147%	25%	

	lı	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out	•	ctions ected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Cannock Chase	2	5	0	13	5	9	5	144%	100%	Group I High risk coating process revoked December 2015. (1 Full & 1 check not completed) Vehicle Refinisher revoked May 2015 (not inspected)
Cheltenham	2	2	0	7	0	5	3	140%	0%	
East Northamptonshire	0	3	2	7	0	5	1	140%	0%	
Dover	0	0	6	8	0	6	0	133%	N/A	Previous years have carried out Risk Assessment over and above requirements. For this year limited riak assessments/visits carried out
South Oxfordshire	0	3	3	8	2	6	0	133%	N/A	
High Peak	0	18	8	34	0	26	8	131%	0%	
Blaby	2	7	1	13	0	10	7	130%	0%	Mobile crushers were not working in the district.
North West Leicestershire	0	1	6	9	1	7	1	129%	100%	
Swansea	0	4	3	9	1	7	3	129%	33%	
Cornwall UA	0	6	13	24	5	19	6	126%	83%	
Teignbridge	0	0	4	5	0	4	0	125%	N/A	
Wrexham	0	2	6	10	0	8	2	125%	0%	
Leicester	0	13	2	18	11	15	11	120%	100%	
Hull & Goole PHA	0	1	4	6	0	5	1	120%	0%	
Torfaen	0	2	8	12	0	10	2	120%	0%	
St Helens	0	1	15	19	0	16	1	119%	0%	
Stoke-on-Trent	1	11	26	46	0	39	12	118%	0%	
South Lakeland	0	14	3	20	3	17	3	118%	100%	
Braintree	0	0	6	7	0	6	0	117%	N/A	Group 2 and Group 3 carried out according to frequency required
Peterborough	0	5	2	8	1	7	3	114%	33%	
Cardiff	1	15	5	25	1	22	4	114%	25%	
Salford	0	14	1	17	5	15	5	113%	100%	2 inspections not carried out due to 2 mobile crushers operating outside of the district
Barnsley	0	9	7	18	3	16	7	113%	43%	SWOBS have not been inspected this year following the changes to guidance relating to them.

	Installations High Med. Low		Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates			
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Cheshire East UA	0	4	12	18	0	16	4	113%	0%	One medium risk process had a huge fire in the middle of the year and hence we could not do the second visit. Another medium risk process have lost several staff and it has not been possible to visit when a suitable representative has been present.
Taunton Deane	2	4	2	9	0	8	4	113%	0%	
Hillingdon	0	4	5	10	3	9	4	111%	75%	The 31 petrol stations are inspected over 3 year period, about 1/3 per year. 10 inspected in 2015/16. The final 12 of 25 dry cleaners need to be inspected in 2016/17. 13 were inspected in 2015/16 and risk ranked. vehicle resprayers still inspected yearly however two not carried out in 2015/16. British Airways (aircraft coating / SE) inspected once as few aircraft sprayed this year as hanger works. Small foundry inspected once. Crematorium couldn't be inspected in New Year as major maintenance as inspected 2014/15. New mobile road stone (Lagan) on Heathrow airport to be inspected in 2016/17. No access obtained to this or the concrete plant on airport in 2015/16.
Blaeneau Gwent	3	5	0	12	6	11	6	109%	100%	
Rochdale	7	14	8	36	19	33	20	109%	95%	
Wiltshire UA	0	3	9	13	0	12	3	108%	0%	
North Tyneside	0	5	12	18	1	17	4	106%	25%	
Northumberland UA	3	5	20	32	0	31	8	103%	0%	
Allerdale	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	100%	N/A	A restructure resulted in the Environmental Protection team being largely new. Resources and staff training has meant that meeting the inspection levels expected has been difficult.
Anglesey	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	100%	N/A	
Aylesbury Vale	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Bexley	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Brighton & Hove	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Bromley	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Bromsgrove	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	

	Ir	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions tes	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Caerphilly	0	0	15	15	0	15	0	100%	N/A	
Cambridge	0	1	2	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Carlisle	0	0	5	5	0	5	0	100%	N/A	
Chorley	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	100%	N/A	
Conwy	0	0	5	5	0	5	0	100%	N/A	
East Devon	0	0	7	7	0	7	0	100%	N/A	
East Dorset	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Erewash	0	0	11	11	0	11	0	100%	N/A	
Exeter	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Forest Heath	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Fylde	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Gosport	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	two low risk Group 3 activities no longer operating (permits to be revoked)
Hackney	0	28	0	28	0	28	0	100%	N/A	Extra visit to swob
Hart	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	Mobile plant not available with LA area.
Hartlepool	0	0	8	8	0	8	0	100%	N/A	
Knowsley	0	0	5	5	0	5	0	100%	N/A	
Mid Suffolk	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	One installation (cement batching) was not operating, but wishes to keep the permit without formally 'mothballing'.
Milton Keynes	0	0	7	7	0	7	0	100%	N/A	
Newcastle upon Tyne	0	1	7	8	0	8	0	100%	N/A	
North Dorset	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Pendle	0	0	6	6	0	6	0	100%	N/A	
Purbeck	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	100%	N/A	
River Tees PHA	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Rushcliffe	0	4	1	5	0	5	0	100%	N/A	
Rutland	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Shepway	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	

	Ir	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
South Derbyshire	0	0	5	5	0	5	0	100%	N/A	Mobile plant (PPC-B-01-03) remained un-operational over 2015/2016. Cement batching plant (PPC-B-14-04(B)) decommissioned, awaiting surrender notification.
Southampton	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Southwark	0	9	0	9	0	9	0	100%	N/A	
Stafford	0	0	17	17	0	17	0	100%	N/A	
Stevenage	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Swansea Bay PHA	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Tewkesbury	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Vale of Glamorgan	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Waverley	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	Extra visit for swob
Wellingborough	0	1	2	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	All premises are inspected at a frequency in accordance with their risk rating.
West Berkshire	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	100%	N/A	
West Devon	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
West Dorset	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	Dry cleaner not carried out - inspection not put into bring forward system, to be added to the inspection regime for 2016-17
West Oxfordshire	0	0	4	4	0	4	0	100%	N/A	
Worthing	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Rugby	0	8	5	13	4	13	1	100%	400%	
East Riding of Yorkshire	0	5	25	30	5	30	4	100%	125%	

	le.	stallatio	ne		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions tes	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Arun	0	2	2	4	1	4	1	100%	100%	Some inspections due in March 2016 have been carried out in April/May and will be reflected in next years survey. Inspections programmed for SWOB's in March were not carried out pending permit surrender/revocation following DEFRA advice that SWOBs are considered to be Small Waste Incineration Plants as they fall within the scope of the Industrial Emissions Directive definitions of waste incineration and waste co-incineration plants. Consequently from 6 April 2016 it is no longer be permissible to burn waste oil in such devices unless the operator obtains the necessary permit in accordance with Schedule 13A of the EPR and meets the requirements of the IED for the operation of waste incineration and waste co-incineration plants.
Babergh	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	100%	100%	
Bath & North East Somerset	0	2	3	5	2	5	2	100%	100%	
Blackburn & Darwen	0	5	2	7	4	7	4	100%	100%	
Bolsover	0	2	5	7	2	7	2	100%	100%	A corporate decision was taken to not inspect the small waste oil burners during 2015/16 due to the changes to the regulations for these premises. An advisory letter was sent out to all premises and a duty of care waste audit will be undertaken during 2016 to ensure all waste oil is being appropriately disposed of.
Broadland	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	·
Broxbourne	1	1	3	5	1	5	1	100%	100%	Please note that 1 No. Roadstone Coating activity remains mothballed, and is therefore not included in 5.6.2.
Canterbury	0	2	2	4	2	4	2	100%	100%	Inspections for 3 installations were not due this year as in Low Risk Group II

	li	nstallatio	ns		ections ed Out		ections ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Charnwood	0	2	12	14	2	14	2	100%	100%	7 mobile batching plant out of district and not inspected 2 mobile crushers out of district and not inspected 1 road stone coating plant low risk and not due for inspection this year 7 cement batching plant, low risk and not due for inspection this year. 6 dry cleaners, low risk and not due for inspection this year 12 petrol stations, low risk and not due for inspection this year 5 waste oil burner low risk and not due for inspection this year 4 vehicle refinishers low risk and not due for inspection this year 2 installations not inspected because permits surrendered before inspection could be undertaken.
Colchester	1	11	1	13	5	13	5	100%	100%	
Cotswold	0	1	4	5	1	5	1	100%	100%	
Croydon	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Darlington	0	2	3	5	2	5	2	100%	100%	
Derbyshire Dales	0	5	5	10	3	10	3	100%	100%	Waste oil burners and service stations all inspected in the previous year. Two group II processes are not operational and therefore not inspected, some mobile plant are outside the area and other group II process inspected in the previous year.
Ealing	2	39	3	44	2	44	2	100%	100%	Figures include revoked sites. Extra visits for SWOB's and petrol transfer
Enfield	0	23	0	23	1	23	1	100%	100%	
Epping Forest	1	4	1	6	1	6	1	100%	100%	
Fenland	0	1	3	4	1	4	1	100%	100%	
Harrogate	0	6	3	9	4	9	4	100%	100%	Defra inspection frequency, some inspections not due this year.
Hertsmere	0	4	0	4	1	4	1	100%	100%	Note that 1 low risk vehicle resprayer closed down but yet to be revoked at 31/03/2016.
Horsham	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Kirklees	0	8	16	24	8	24	8	100%	100%	

	lı	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Lichfield	0	2	7	9	1	9	1	100%	100%	All programmed inspections completed as required by risk rating plus one additional check inspection to a group 1 low risk premise at the operators request.
Maidstone	0	5	1	6	1	6	1	100%	100%	
Maldon	0	4	0	4	3	4	3	100%	100%	
Mid Devon	0	4	9	13	4	13	4	100%	100%	
Newcastle under Lyme	0	4	2	6	2	6	2	100%	100%	
North Hertfordshire	0	4	3	7	3	7	3	100%	100%	
Pembrokeshire	0	1	4	5	1	5	1	100%	100%	Some mobile crushing plant was not within reasonable travelling distance.
Preston	0	2	3	5	1	5	1	100%	100%	
Redditch	0	2	6	8	1	8	1	100%	100%	
Sefton	0	9	3	12	2	12	2	100%	100%	
South Kesteven	0	4	4	8	4	8	4	100%	100%	
South Tyneside	0	4	8	12	4	12	4	100%	100%	Petrol stations and vehicle refinishers due this year hence not in above stats.
Southend-on-sea	0	9	0	9	3	9	3	100%	100%	
Stroud	1	0	8	10	1	10	1	100%	100%	
Tameside	0	1	7	8	1	8	1	100%	100%	
Tamworth	1	2	3	7	3	7	3	100%	100%	
Three Rivers	1	5	2	9	1	9	1	100%	100%	
Wandsworth	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	100%	100%	
West Lindsey	0	2	2	4	2	4	2	100%	100%	
Weymouth & Portland	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Staffordshire Moorlands	2	11	1	16	3	16	4	100%	75%	
Hinckley & Bosworth	1	3	2	7	2	7	3	100%	67%	mobile crushers not in near vicinity
St Edmundsbury	4	2	0	10	4	10	6	100%	67%	
Plymouth	0	8	7	15	3	15	5	100%	60%	The score was reduced from medium to low for three permitted process (under group one) and so no further inspections / check visits were necessary.
Mendip	0	12	0	12	5	12	9	100%	56%	

	lı	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Huntingdonshire	0	3	19	22	1	22	2	100%	50%	
Lincoln	1	5	2	8	2	8	4	100%	50%	Two processes currently mothballed.
Malvern Hills	0	2	1	3	1	3	2	100%	50%	
Redbridge	0	9	1	10	1	10	2	100%	50%	
Boston	0	3	0	3	1	3	3	100%	33%	
Telford & Wrekin	0	6	15	21	1	21	5	100%	20%	
Amber Valley	0	2	7	9	0	9	2	100%	0%	
Birmingham	4	54	5	65	0	65	29	100%	0%	
Ceredigion	0	3	10	13	0	13	1	100%	0%	Was not in post until late last year so only had tome for 1 full inspection of the medium Group one installation
Craven	0	1	9	10	0	10	1	100%	0%	
Gravesham	2	3	1	6	0	6	2	100%	0%	
Lambeth	0	3	0	3	0	3	3	100%	0%	
Lewisham	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	100%	0%	
New Forest	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	100%	0%	Only 1 full inspection was made to the storage terminal, the check inspection was not undertaken but is scheduled in for next month as the permit is under review and due to be reissued to take into account the reconfiguration of equipment on site.
Norwich	2	8	1	12	0	12	3	100%	0%	
South Hams	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	100%	0%	council has been through transformation programme and officers did not have sufficient time to do all of the planned inspections in 2015-2016
Stockport	0	11	2	13	0	13	8	100%	0%	
Sutton	1	6	0	7	0	7	1	100%	0%	3 surrendered - SJC Motors, Prestige DC (was high, had 1 visit before surrender), Maurice Valet DC. 4 extra visits to swobs re changes in legislation.
Tandridge	0	2	2	4	0	4	1	100%	0%	
Test Valley	0	1	7	8	0	8	1	100%	0%	
Thanet	0	2	4	6	0	6	2	100%	0%	Regrettably as with last year no one has yet been recruited to post and all inspections were contracted out but this meant only one inspection per installation occurred.
Warrington	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	100%	0%	

	lr	nstallatio	ns		ections ed Out		ctions ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Warwick	0	2	5	7	0	7	2	100%	0%	We did not achieve our IPPC inspection programme due to competing time pressures from a big increase in planning consultations. There is a lack of space on the survey form to record time spent on pre-application discussion and advice for potential A(2) timber treatment plant and small waste oil burners changing from Part B to A(2) due to changes in permitting regulations. Time has also been spent on discussion of potential derogation from SED requirements for coating process- See section 10.and a thorough review and revision of our crematorium permit.
Watford	1	3	1	5	0	5	1	100%	0%	
Wyre Forest	0	2	1	3	0	3	2	100%	0%	
Ashfield	0	7	13	19	3	20	3	95%	100%	
Walsall	4	30	6	38	4	40	27	95%	15%	Inspection of Group II and III companies carried out in previous 1 or 2 years. Long term staff sickness.
Breckland	0	3	15	17	2	18	2	94%	100%	
Doncaster	0	2	14	15	2	16	2	94%	100%	Full Group I (Other minerals process) went into administration and stopped operating. Full Group II - 7 Installations not due for inspection, 2 mothballed, 2 mobile plant out of district. Full Group III - 29 Installations not due for inspection.
Richmond upon Thames	2	13	0	14	3	15	3	93%	100%	
Trafford	0	5	10	14	5	15	5	93%	100%	Reduction in the number of staff available to carry out inspections, and the increased workload on remaining staff means that time for PPC inspections is focused on Group 1 and Group 2 processes.
Greenwich	0	13	2	14	0	15	2	93%	0%	
Sedgemoor	1	9	4	14	0	15	2	93%	0%	Not carried out enough inspections for the high categories of Groups 1 and 2 due to other priority work
Hammersmith & Fulham	2	25	0	26	2	28	3	93%	67%	

	Ir	stallatio	ns		ctions ed Out	•	ctions ected		ctions ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
East Staffordshire	2	6	2	11	8	12	6	92%	133%	Full-Group I - 2 high risk sites required inspections. 1 site had 1 x Full and 2 x Check inspections. Full-Group II - 9 sites were due inspections in 2015/16 - 1 x medium & 8 x low. Inspection to medium risk site not undertaken. Full-Group III - 7 sites were due inspections in 2015/16 - 1 x medium & 6 x low.
Durham UA	1	12	22	33	9	36	9	92%	100%	Two Low Risk Group 1 installations are mothballed so did not require an inspection One Low Risk Group 1 installation was only Permitted in January 2016. It received two Permit application inspections and is due a full inspection this financial year One Low Risk Full Fee installation missed an inspection and will be carried out this financial year
Nuneaton & Bedworth	0	3	9	11	0	12	2	92%	0%	petrol terminal permit currently mothballed
North Lincolnshire	4	10	5	20	10	22	10	91%	100%	a FULL INSPECTION WAS NOT CARRIED OUT ON A Manufacture of Timber Products due to the site not having been commissioned and still not operational.
Newport	0	8	13	19	6	21	6	90%	100%	1 site mothballed - Cement batching plants (LOW risk) SWOB - surrender during the year however inspected before it was surrendered. 1 cement batching plant not inspected during this period
Powys	0	4	17	19	0	21	4	90%	0%	
Bury	2	23	4	27	12	30	6	90%	200%	
Ipswich	0	7	3	9	1	10	2	90%	50%	staff shortages meant some processes not inspected in first half of year and therefore difficult to get in more than one inspection in last few months of year
Swindon B.C.	0	3	7	9	0	10	2	90%	0%	
Rossendale	0	6	3	8	5	9	4	89%	125%	Two installations permitted in second half of the year. 3 waste oil burners not due inspection 6 petrol stations not due inspections
Tunbridge Wells	0	9	0	8	2	9	2	89%	100%	

	Ir	stallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
North East Derbyshire	0	1	8	8	0	9	1	89%	0%	One group 1 process went out of business so could not be visited. One group 3 process went out of business so could not be visited. 2 processes were due to be visited this year but a job allocation and scheduling error lead to them not being completed on time. No other processes were due to be visited with the except of our 3 waste oil burners. The authority elected to deal with the waste oil burners dropping out of regulation by way of an advice letter and will instead visit them this year to assess their duty of care arrangements.
Waveney	0	2	7	8	0	9	1	89%	0%	
Swale	2	20	1	22	8	25	8	88%	100%	
Worcester	1	0	6	7	1	8	1	88%	100%	
Calderdale	0	5	10	13	5	15	5	87%	100%	
Waltham Forest	4	18	0	19	3	22	9	86%	33%	Group 1 facilities ceased operating. Group 1 facility did not have knowledgeable staff on site during inspection and therefore unable to conduct full inspection.
Spelthorne	1	6	0	6	1	7	1	86%	100%	
South Ribble	2	11	0	12	0	14	5	86%	0%	Waste oil burners <0.4MW no longer regulated under legislation so visits not conducted inc to high and med risk. Crematorium (high) burnt down halfway through the year and replacement took some time to be fitted. Only 1 visit possible in timescale.
Dacorum	10	8	2	17	9	20	11	85%	82%	1 full and 1 check inspection for a high risk dry cleaner omitted as premises closed. Yet to surrender permit so still accounted for in 3.1.
Derby	0	3	3	5	2	6	2	83%	100%	Unable to inspect mobile crushers as these were operating at other parts of the country. It was decided not to inspect most of the waste oil burners as they were falling outside of the Permitting regime on 1 April. One petrol filling station had closed but the Permit was "live" until 31 March.
Redcar & Cleveland UA	0	2	4	5	2	6	2	83%	100%	
North Warwickshire	2	5	4	10	5	12	6	83%	83%	

	lı	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
North Devon	0	4	2	5	3	6	4	83%	75%	
Wyre	0	6	0	5	1	6	2	83%	50%	For one of our Group One Medium Risk Category inspections, we did not carry out the 'Check' inspection. This was due to a reduction in staffing.
Wycombe	0	3	3	5	1	6	3	83%	33%	
Dartford	1	3	2	5	0	6	2	83%	0%	
Richmondshire	0	4	7	9	1	11	3	82%	33%	
London PHA	0	0	5	4	0	5	0	80%	N/A	
Brentwood	1	0	3	4	2	5	1	80%	200%	
Reading	1	3	1	4	3	5	2	80%	150%	
King's Lynn & West Norfolk	4	15	3	20	5	25	8	80%	63%	Out of 88 Part B processes 70 Part B inspections on inspection planner for 2014/2015, 66 inspections completed and 1 additional monitoring visit. Inspections not completed 3 were planned for a mobile concrete batcher that has only operated out of Borough & 1 for a mobile concrete crusher where the the owner was put in prison therefore not in use.
Sunderland	0	5	19	19	5	24	5	79%	100%	We have 26 low risk Group III Petrol Stations, these were not inspected in 2015/2016 as we have committed to inspecting according to the prescribed annual inspection levels (i.e. one full inspection every 3 years)
North East Lincolnshire	0	12	7	15	11	19	12	79%	92%	One bulk chemical storage site has not had any activity against the permit this period, no product currently stored. Three coal permits have had no activity this period.
Corby	0	7	16	18	7	23	7	78%	100%	
Leeds	5	31	18	43	22	55	27	78%	81%	
Medway	0	2	7	7	0	9	0	78%	N/A	Not all installations were due an inspection this year, based on risk based inspection programme. One of our installations is mothballed also.
Hambleton	1	3	4	7	3	9	3	78%	100%	
Woking	0	9	0	7	2	9	2	78%	100%	
Bradford	2	13	10	21	10	27	11	78%	91%	
Newham	10	10	0	17	0	22	12	77%	0%	Reduction in officer resources
Isle of Wight / Medina	0	0	17	13	0	17	0	76%	N/A	

	lr	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Bolton	2	9	6	13	4	17	7	76%	57%	One high risk group 1 installation closed for the year due to fire 5 dry cleaners not due inspection 2 waste oil burners not due inspection 18 petrol stations not due inspection 5 vehicle resprayers and 6 cement batching plant not due inspection 1 low risk group 1 installation mothballed 1 crusher not due inspection 1 timber processor not due inspection
Fareham	0	0	4	3	0	4	0	75%	N/A	One low risk Group 1 is operating below thresholds and one low risk Group 2 is also operating below thresholds; and both SWOB permits were due to be revoked
Suffolk Coastal	0	3	1	3	0	4	2	75%	0%	
Tower Hamlets	1	13	5	14	0	19	1	74%	0%	
Chesterfield	2	25	0	21	0	29	10	72%	0%	
Torridge	1	3	3	5	4	7	4	71%	100%	
Wakefield	5	21	8	25	8	35	14	71%	57%	No inspections were carried out of Small Waste Oil Burners during 2015/16 due to the change in guidance regarding waste incineration announced on 22nd December 2016. SWOB operators received a letter advising of the changes followed by a revocation notice for their Permits at the beginning of March 2016.
Elmbridge	0	4	3	5	2	7	4	71%	50%	
Shropshire UA	3	9	9	17	8	24	8	71%	100%	
Burnley	0	7	12	13	3	19	7	68%	43%	
Wolverhampton	1	14	12	19	6	28	15	68%	40%	
Adur	0	3	0	2	2	3	2	67%	100%	
Chelmsford	1	9	1	8	1	12	1	67%	100%	
Melton	0	1	2	2	1	3	1	67%	100%	
Rushmoor	1	0	1	2	1	3	1	67%	100%	
Barrow-in-Furness	0	2	1	2	1	3	2	67%	50%	

	lı	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Northampton	0	3	9	8	1	12	2	67%	50%	One site - no access- may have closed/ gone into receivership Two site - permitted activity not operational to inspect at present.
South Norfolk	0	6	3	6	2	9	4	67%	50%	Some of the premises identified in 5.6.2 were not due for a visit in 2015/16 The following installations were due for visits but visits were not made: 1 group one medium risk not inspected at all due to administrative error and change of staff at operator 1 mobile group 2 low risk not inspected due to location of crusher and infrequency of work. However this installation has been inspected by another authority in whose area it was working. 1 fuel refilling (low risk) not inspected as unable to schedule time to observe delivery although a visit was made when delivery was being made but this has not been included 1 fuel refilling process (medium risk) due to operator availability although informal visits to the premises have been made but not recorded as formal visits.
Newark & Sherwood	0	25	1	17	4	26	7	65%	57%	Small Waste Oil Burners (total 18 - 15 low/3 medium) not inspected due to change in regulatory position and 5 mobile plant (1 roadstone coating standard and 4 mobile crushing reduced - all medium) not inspected as do not operate in district.
Rotherham	1	31	3	23	3	36	7	64%	43%	
Barnet	2	22	3	17	2	27	2	63%	100%	
South Somerset	1	19	5	16	4	26	5	62%	80%	
Mansfield	2	7	4	8	0	13	6	62%	0%	
Coventry	2	14	4	13	0	22	10	59%	0%	Lack of staff resources has meant we have been unable to complete our inspection programme. Focus has been on inspecting medium and high risk processes

	Installations		ns	Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
York City UA	6	7	2	10	5	17	7	59%	71%	it was decided due to limited resources that the waste oil burners would not be visited during the year although I did require a specific visit otherwise no WOBs were visited
Sheffield	25	15	1	35	8	60	36	58%	22%	Due to maternity leave the reduced fee compliance inspections, other than petrol, have not been completed for this financial year so will be picked up next financial year.
Sevenoaks	0	7	0	4	0	7	0	57%	N/A	
Selby	0	5	2	4	0	7	3	57%	0%	Due to staffing and workload issues not all inspections programmed where undertaken in the financial year 15/16.
Carmarthenshire	0	0	9	5	0	9	0	56%	N/A	
Neath and Port Talbot	2	19	15	21	6	38	16	55%	38%	
Lancaster	5	9	2	11	4	20	13	55%	31%	
Kettering	1	6	3	6	3	11	5	55%	60%	Lack of resources is a constant struggle - we are a mixed generalist team dealing with other issues and can not dedicate ourselves to permitting inspections alone
Gloucester	0	0	4	2	0	4	0	50%	N/A	
Ashford	0	2	4	3	1	6	2	50%	50%	N/A
Harlow	0	6	2	4	1	8	2	50%	50%	
Blackpool	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	50%	0%	
Bournemouth	0	2	0	1	0	2	1	50%	0%	Direct reporting with email and phone provided a channel if action required (Competent Officers available . However to ensure obligations extra visit will be rountine in 16/17
Manchester PHA	0	2	0	1	0	2	1	50%	0%	1 x permit has ceased therefore a full inspection was not completed and a check was completed to travel to site to ascertain if the permit was operational.
Oadby & Wigston	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	50%	0%	
Poole	0	1	3	2	0	4	1	50%	0%	Reduced fee activities inspections to be completed in 2016/17 when additional temporary staff resource expected.
Tonbridge & Malling	2	2	2	3	0	6	4	50%	0%	
Herefordshire	2	4	5	6	0	13	6	46%	0%	Lack of resourcing to do all inspections
Bracknell Forest	0	6	1	3	0	7	0	43%	N/A	
Slough	0	8	4	5	1	12	1	42%	100%	
Lewes	0	0	5	2	0	5	0	40%	N/A	

	Ir	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Solihull	0	0	5	2	0	5	0	40%	N/A	due to time restraints and staff shortage 3 group 1 inspections were not done but these will be carried out in 2016 as a priority
Torbay	1	3	0	2	1	5	2	40%	50%	
Halton	0	3	12	6	0	15	2	40%	0%	
Barking & Dagenham	0	4	4	3	0	8	0	38%	N/A	Shared management arrangement with out of London Authority resulted in incomplete Inspections which resulted in Staff discontinuity. Management re-organisation Will now enable inspections to be completed 16/17 financial year Low Risk inspections not required to be inspected this year
Mid Sussex	0	1	7	3	0	8	1	38%	0%	
North Kesteven	16	0	0	6	0	16	16	38%	0%	
East Hertfordshire	0	0	3	1	0	3	0	33%	N/A	
Luton BC	0	7	2	3	0	9	0	33%	N/A	
Uttlesford	1	1	1	1	0	3	1	33%	0%	Lack of trained staff resource. Measures are now in place to address backlog and ensure compliance with inspection schedule for 16/17
Stockton-on-Tees	0	68	2	22	0	70	15	31%	0%	
West Lancashire	0	4	9	4	1	13	3	31%	33%	One Medium risk was mothballed
Bristol City UA	1	9	3	4	0	14	7	29%	0%	Severe staff reductions and a backlog of inspections from previous years has resulted in inspections not being carried out.
South Gloucestershire UA	0	67	12	21	5	79	15	27%	33%	
Hyndburn	2	26	8	10	0	38	5	26%	0%	
Surrey Heath	0	2	2	1	0	4	0	25%	N/A	
Wirral	0	1	3	1	0	4	1	25%	0%	mobile plant out of borough working.

	lr	nstallatio	ns		ections ed Out		ctions ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Thurrock	0	42	6	11	4	48	5	23%	80%	due to risk assessments and site movements for mobile plant one bulk Terminal mothballed one car sprayer mothballed one cement process mothballed 2 sites discovered closed on routine visit
Kingston upon Hull	1	4	17	5	4	23	5	22%	80%	
Hastings	0	19	0	4	0	19	4	21%	0%	
North Norfolk	0	0	5	1	0	5	0	20%	N/A	
Wychavon	0	2	46	9	2	48	2	19%	100%	
Bristol PHA	2	0	4	1	0	6	2	17%	0%	Severe staff reductions and a major delay on inspections from previous years resulted in some of the annual and check up inspections not occurring.
Merton	1	4	1	1	0	6	2	17%	0%	
Rochford	0	13	3	2	0	16	1	13%	0%	
Camden	1	17	3	2	0	21	3	10%	0%	Part B Management and Inspections previously (until January 1 2016) contracted to external service provider. The provider was found not to be delivering so their services have been dispensed with. Service delivery now been brought back in house from January 2016.
Central Bedfordshire UA	0	89	3	5	3	92	2	5%	150%	
Haringey	0	40	1	1	0	41	0	2%	N/A	
Basildon	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0%	N/A	
Broxtowe	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0%	N/A	inspection cycles mean that not all are due to be inspected during this period.
Chichester	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0%	N/A	
Christchurch	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0%	N/A	We have visited our SED activity and Coating premises as these are larger premises with more potential for emission. The petrol stations, dry cleaners and reduced fee activities will be visited next year.
Crawley	0	26	0	0	0	26	0	0%	N/A	
Kensington & Chelsea	0	16	0	0	0	16	0	0%	N/A	Johnson DC revoked and not inspected
Winchester	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0%	N/A	
Weymouth PHA	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0%	100%	
Eden	0	10	11	0	0	21	10	0%	0%	

	Ir	Installations		Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Flintshire	0	1	42	0	0	43	1	0%	0%	
Islington	1	24	0	0	0	25	1	0%	0%	
Manchester	1	6	14	0	0	22	6	0%	0%	Unfortunately we do not at present have the resources to provide the break down of information required for 5.6.3.
Monmouthshire	0	4	1	0	0	5	1	0%	0%	
Ribble Valley	0	14	0	0	0	14	2	0%	0%	
Ryedale	0	2	5	0	0	7	2	0%	0%	
South Cambridgeshire	0	2	12	0	0	14	2	0%	0%	

^{*} Low risk installations for groups II and III are excluded from the calculation of inspection performance.

Authorities with fewer full inspections than required – group I installations

	lı	nstallatio	ns		ections ed Out		ections ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Leeds	1	22	18	40	22	42	23	95%	96%	
Doncaster	0	2	14	15	2	16	2	94%	100%	Full Group I (Other minerals process) went into administration and stopped operating. Full Group II - 7 Installations not due for inspection, 2 mothballed, 2 mobile plant out of district. Full Group III - 29 Installations not due for inspection.
Trafford	0	5	10	14	5	15	5	93%	100%	Reduction in the number of staff available to carry out inspections, and the increased workload on remaining staff means that time for PPC inspections is focused on Group 1 and Group 2 processes.
Nuneaton & Bedworth	0	2	9	10	0	11	2	91%	0%	petrol terminal permit currently mothballed
Powys	0	4	17	19	0	21	4	90%	0%	
Dudley	3	22	13	37	5	41	25	90%	20%	We have not carried out the minimum annual inspection levels expected by Defra due to maternity leave for 2 members of our team.
North Warwickshire	1	4	4	9	5	10	5	90%	100%	
Bury	1	4	4	9	1	10	5	90%	20%	
Craven	0	1	9	9	0	10	1	90%	0%	
Newport	0	6	13	17	6	19	6	89%	100%	1 site mothballed - Cement batching plants (LOW risk) SWOB - surrender during the year however inspected before it was surrendered. 1 cement batching plant not inspected during this period
North East Derbyshire	0	1	8	8	0	9	1	89%	0%	One group 1 process went out of business so could not be visited. One group 3 process went out of business so could not be visited. 2 processes were due to be visited this year but a job allocation and scheduling error lead to them not being completed on time. No other processes were due to be visited with the except of our 3 waste oil burners. The authority elected to deal with the waste oil burners dropping out of regulation by way of an advice letter and will instead visit them this year to assess their duty of care arrangements.

	Ir	nstallatio	ns		ections ed Out		ctions ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Swindon B.C.	0	2	7	8	0	9	2	89%	0%	
North Lincolnshire	3	6	5	15	9	17	9	88%	100%	a FULL INSPECTION WAS NOT CARRIED OUT ON A Manufacture of Timber Products due to the site not having been commissioned and still not operational.
Durham UA	1	8	22	28	9	32	9	88%	100%	Two Low Risk Group 1 installations are mothballed so did not require an inspection One Low Risk Group 1 installation was only Permitted in January 2016. It received two Permit application inspections and is due a full inspection this financial year One Low Risk Full Fee installation missed an inspection and will be carried out this financial year
Worcester	1	0	6	7	1	8	1	88%	100%	
Waveney	0	1	7	7	0	8	1	88%	0%	
Calderdale	0	5	10	13	5	15	5	87%	100%	
Staffordshire Moorlands	2	2	1	6	3	7	4	86%	75%	
South Norfolk	0	4	3	6	2	7	4	86%	50%	Some of the premises identified in 5.6.2 were not due for a visit in 2015/16 The following installations were due for visits but visits were not made: 1 group one medium risk not inspected at all due to administrative error and change of staff at operator 1 mobile group 2 low risk not inspected due to location of crusher and infrequency of work. However this installation has been inspected by another authority in whose area it was working. 1 fuel refilling (low risk) not inspected as unable to schedule time to observe delivery although a visit was made when delivery was being made but this has not been included 1 fuel refilling process (medium risk) due to operator availability although informal visits to the premises have been made but not recorded as formal visits.
Redcar & Cleveland UA	0	2	4	5	2	6	2	83%	100%	

	Ir	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
North Devon	0	4	2	5	3	6	4	83%	75%	
Wycombe	0	3	3	5	1	6	3	83%	33%	
Swale	2	6	1	9	8	11	8	82%	100%	
Rhondda Cynon Taf	1	6	14	18	1	22	7	82%	14%	 1 missed check inspection at a crematoria 3 x waste oil burners surrendered 1 service station was revoked
London PHA	0	0	5	4	0	5	0	80%	N/A	
Brentwood	1	0	3	4	2	5	1	80%	200%	
Shropshire UA	3	5	9	16	8	20	8	80%	100%	
Richmondshire	0	3	7	8	1	10	3	80%	33%	
Selby	0	3	2	4	0	5	3	80%	0%	Due to staffing and workload issues not all inspections programmed where undertaken in the financial year 15/16.
Sunderland	0	5	19	19	5	24	5	79%	100%	We have 26 low risk Group III Petrol Stations, these were not inspected in 2015/2016 as we have committed to inspecting according to the prescribed annual inspection levels (i.e. one full inspection every 3 years)
North East Lincolnshire	0	12	7	15	11	19	12	79%	92%	One bulk chemical storage site has not had any activity against the permit this period, no product currently stored. Three coal permits have had no activity this period.
Corby	0	7	16	18	7	23	7	78%	100%	Three coar portino have had no activity the portion.
Isle of Wight / Medina	0	0	17	13	0	17	0	76%	N/A	
Barking & Dagenham	0	0	4	3	0	4	0	75%	N/A	Shared management arrangement with out of London Authority resulted in incomplete Inspections which resulted in Staff discontinuity. Management re-organisation Will now enable inspections to be completed 16/17 financial year Low Risk inspections not required to be inspected this year
Fareham	0	0	4	3	0	4	0	75%	N/A	One low risk Group 1 is operating below thresholds and one low risk Group 2 is also operating below thresholds; and both SWOB permits were due to be revoked
Hambleton	1	2	4	6	3	8	3	75%	100%	
Greenwich	0	2	2	3	0	4	2	75%	0%	

	lr	nstallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Northampton	0	2	9	8	1	11	2	73%	50%	One site - no access- may have closed/ gone into receivership Two site - permitted activity not operational to inspect at present.
Medway	0	0	7	5	0	7	0	71%	N/A	Not all installations were due an inspection this year, based on risk based inspection programme. One of our installations is mothballed also.
Elmbridge	0	4	3	5	2	7	4	71%	50%	
Sedgemoor	1	1	4	5	0	7	2	71%	0%	Not carried out enough inspections for the high categories of Groups 1 and 2 due to other priority work
South Gloucestershire UA	0	15	12	19	5	27	15	70%	33%	
Bradford	2	9	10	16	10	23	11	70%	91%	
Burnley	0	7	12	13	3	19	7	68%	43%	
Chelmsford	1	0	1	2	1	3	1	67%	100%	
Dacorum	0	1	2	2	1	3	1	67%	100%	1 full and 1 check inspection for a high risk dry cleaner omitted as premises closed. Yet to surrender permit so still accounted for in 3.1.
Melton	0	1	2	2	1	3	1	67%	100%	
Rushmoor	1	0	1	2	1	3	1	67%	100%	
Kettering	1	4	3	6	3	9	5	67%	60%	Lack of resources is a constant struggle - we are a mixed generalist team dealing with other issues and can not dedicate ourselves to permitting inspections alone
Barrow-in-Furness	0	2	1	2	1	3	2	67%	50%	
Torbay	1	1	0	2	1	3	2	67%	50%	
Newham	2	2	0	4	0	6	4	67%	0%	Reduction in officer resources
Suffolk Coastal	0	2	1	2	0	3	2	67%	0%	
Wigan	0	12	5	11	0	17	12	65%	0%	
Rotherham	1	6	3	7	3	11	7	64%	43%	
Sheffield	19	11	1	31	8	50	30	62%	27%	Due to maternity leave the reduced fee compliance inspections, other than petrol, have not been completed for this financial year so will be picked up next financial year.

	lr	stallatio	ns		ctions ed Out		ctions ected		ctions tes	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Coventry	2	8	4	9	0	16	10	56%	0%	Lack of staff resources has meant we have been unable to complete our inspection programme. Focus has been on inspecting medium and high risk processes
Carmarthenshire	0	0	9	5	0	9	0	56%	N/A	
Hyndburn	2	3	8	8	0	15	5	53%	0%	
Gloucester	0	0	4	2	0	4	0	50%	N/A	
Reading	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	50%	100%	
Torridge	0	3	3	3	3	6	3	50%	100%	
Ashford	0	2	4	3	1	6	2	50%	50%	N/A
Harlow	0	2	2	2	1	4	2	50%	50%	
Lancaster	4	8	2	9	4	18	12	50%	33%	
Blackpool	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	50%	0%	
Mansfield	0	4	4	4	0	8	4	50%	0%	
Merton	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	50%	0%	
Oadby & Wigston	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	50%	0%	
Poole	0	1	3	2	0	4	1	50%	0%	Reduced fee activities inspections to be completed in 2016/17 when additional temporary staff resource expected.
Herefordshire	2	4	5	6	0	13	6	46%	0%	Lack of resourcing to do all inspections
Wolverhampton	1	14	12	12	6	28	15	43%	40%	
Halton	0	2	12	6	0	14	2	43%	0%	
Lewes	0	0	5	2	0	5	0	40%	N/A	
Solihull	0	0	5	2	0	5	0	40%	N/A	due to time restraints and staff shortage 3 group 1 inspections were not done but these will be carried out in 2016 as a priority
Waltham Forest	0	5	0	2	1	5	5	40%	20%	Group 1 facilities ceased operating. Group 1 facility did not have knowledgeable staff on site during inspection and therefore unable to conduct full inspection.
Mid Sussex	0	1	7	3	0	8	1	38%	0%	
Neath and Port Talbot	2	14	15	12	6	33	16	36%	38%	
Barnet	0	0	3	1	0	3	0	33%	N/A	
East Hertfordshire	0	0	3	1	0	3	0	33%	N/A	

Installations			ns		ctions ed Out	Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Hammersmith & Fulham	1	1	0	1	1	3	2	33%	50%	
Bristol City UA	1	6	3	3	0	11	7	27%	0%	Severe staff reductions and a backlog of inspections from previous years has resulted in inspections not being carried out.
Bristol PHA	0	0	4	1	0	4	0	25%	N/A	Severe staff reductions and a major delay on inspections from previous years resulted in some of the annual and check up inspections not occurring.
West Lancashire	0	3	9	3	1	12	3	25%	33%	One Medium risk was mothballed
Tonbridge & Malling	0	2	2	1	0	4	2	25%	0%	
Wirral	0	1	3	1	0	4	1	25%	0%	1) mobile plant out of borough working.
Kingston upon Hull	1	4	17	5	4	23	5	22%	80%	
North Norfolk	0	0	5	1	0	5	0	20%	N/A	
Camden	0	2	3	1	0	5	2	20%	0%	Part B Management and Inspections previously (until January 1 2016) contracted to external service provider. The provider was found not to be delivering so their services have been dispensed with. Service delivery now been brought back in house from January 2016.
South Ribble	1	3	0	1	0	5	4	20%	0%	Waste oil burners <0.4MW no longer regulated under legislation so visits not conducted inc to high and med risk. Crematorium (high) burnt down halfway through the year and replacement took some time to be fitted. Only 1 visit possible in timescale.
Wychavon	0	2	46	9	2	48	2	19%	100%	
Basildon	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0%	N/A	
Bracknell Forest	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Broxtowe	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0%	N/A	inspection cycles mean that not all are due to be inspected during this period.
Chichester	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0%	N/A	
Christchurch	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0%	N/A	We have visited our SED activity and Coating premises as these are larger premises with more potential for emission. The petrol stations, dry cleaners and reduced fee activities will be visited next year.

	Ir	nstallatio	ns		Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		ctions tes	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Luton BC	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0%	N/A	
Surrey Heath	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0%	N/A	
Tower Hamlets	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0%	N/A	
Uttlesford	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Lack of trained staff resource. Measures are now in place to address backlog and ensure compliance with inspection schedule for 16/17
Winchester	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0%	N/A	
Weymouth PHA	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0%	100%	
Eden	0	10	11	0	0	21	10	0%	0%	
Flintshire	0	1	42	0	0	43	1	0%	0%	
Manchester	1	5	14	0	0	21	6	0%	0%	Unfortunately we do not at present have the resources to provide the break down of information required for 5.6.3.
Monmouthshire	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0%	0%	
Ribble Valley	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0%	0%	
Rochford	0	1	3	0	0	4	1	0%	0%	
Ryedale	0	2	5	0	0	7	2	0%	0%	
South Cambridgeshire	0	2	12	0	0	14	2	0%	0%	

Authorities with fewer full inspections than required – group II installations

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Wyre	0	3	0	2	0	3	0	67%	N/A	For one of our Group One Medium Risk Category inspections, we did not carry out the 'Check' inspection. This was due to a reduction in staffing.
Bury	1	5	0	4	11	6	1	67%	1100 %	
Dudley	2	1	0	2	0	3	2	67%	0%	We have not carried out the minimum annual inspection levels expected by Defra due to maternity leave for 2 members of our team.
Rotherham	0	25	0	16	0	25	0	64%	N/A	
Bolton	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	One high risk group 1 installation closed for the year due to fire 5 dry cleaners not due inspection 2 waste oil burners not due inspection 18 petrol stations not due inspection 5 vehicle resprayers and 6 cement batching plant not due inspection 1 low risk group 1 installation mothballed 1 crusher not due inspection 1 timber processor not due inspection
Bracknell Forest	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	
Rossendale	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	Two installations permitted in second half of the year. 3 waste oil burners not due inspection 6 petrol stations not due inspections
Spelthorne	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	
Walsall	4	0	0	2	0	4	4	50%	0%	Inspection of Group II and III companies carried out in previous 1 or 2 years. Long term staff sickness.
Newark & Sherwood	0	11	0	5	0	11	0	45%	N/A	Small Waste Oil Burners (total 18 - 15 low/3 medium) not inspected due to change in regulatory position and 5 mobile plant (1 roadstone coating standard and 4 mobile crushing reduced - all medium) not inspected as do not operate in district.
Leeds	1	6	0	3	0	7	1	43%	0%	

		nstallatio	ns	Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Hyndburn	0	5	0	2	0	5	0	40%	N/A	
Harlow	0	3	0	1	0	3	0	33%	N/A	
Rochford	0	4	0	1	0	4	0	25%	N/A	
Sevenoaks	0	4	0	1	0	4	0	25%	N/A	
Chesterfield	0	17	0	4	0	17	0	24%	N/A	
York City UA	0	6	0	1	0	6	0	17%	N/A	it was decided due to limited resources that the waste oil burners would not be visited during the year although I did require a specific visit otherwise no WOBs were visited
South Gloucestershire UA	0	14	0	2	0	14	0	14%	N/A	
South Somerset	0	15	0	2	0	15	0	13%	N/A	
Barking & Dagenham	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0%	N/A	Shared management arrangement with out of London Authority resulted in incomplete Inspections which resulted in Staff discontinuity. Management re-organisation Will now enable inspections to be completed 16/17 financial year Low Risk inspections not required to be inspected this year
Central Bedfordshire UA	0	22	0	0	0	22	0	0%	N/A	
Coventry	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Lack of staff resources has meant we have been unable to complete our inspection programme. Focus has been on inspecting medium and high risk processes
East Staffordshire	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Full-Group I - 2 high risk sites required inspections. 1 site had 1 x Full and 2 x Check inspections. Full-Group II - 9 sites were due inspections in 2015/16 - 1 x medium & 8 x low. Inspection to medium risk site not undertaken. Full-Group III - 7 sites were due inspections in 2015/16 - 1 x medium & 6 x low.
Halton	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Hastings	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Hinckley & Bosworth	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	mobile crushers not in near vicinity
Huntingdonshire	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		•	Inspections Expected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Kettering	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Lack of resources is a constant struggle - we are a mixed generalist team dealing with other issues and can not dedicate ourselves to permitting inspections alone
Manchester	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Unfortunately we do not at present have the resources to provide the break down of information required for 5.6.3.
North Warwickshire	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Northampton	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	One site - no access- may have closed/ gone into receivership Two site - permitted activity not operational to inspect at present.
Ribble Valley	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Selby	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Due to staffing and workload issues not all inspections programmed where undertaken in the financial year 15/16.
Shropshire UA	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0%	N/A	
Stockton-on-Tees	0	15	0	0	0	15	0	0%	N/A	
Torbay	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0%	N/A	
Bristol PHA	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0%	0%	Severe staff reductions and a major delay on inspections from previous years resulted in some of the annual and check up inspections not occurring.
King's Lynn & West Norfolk	1	2	0	0	0	3	1	0%	0%	Out of 88 Part B processes 70 Part B inspections on inspection planner for 2014/2015, 66 inspections completed and 1 additional monitoring visit. Inspections not completed 3 were planned for a mobile concrete batcher that has only operated out of Borough & 1 for a mobile concrete crusher where the the owner was put in prison therefore not in use.
North Kesteven	11	0	0	0	0	11	11	0%	0%	
Sheffield	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0%	0%	Due to maternity leave the reduced fee compliance inspections, other than petrol, have not been completed for this financial year so will be picked up next financial year.

Authorities with fewer full inspections than required – group III installations

		nstallatio	ns	Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Richmond upon Thames	2	10	0	11	2	12	2	92%	100%	
Swale	0	10	0	9	0	10	0	90%	N/A	
Dacorum	9	7	0	14	7	16	9	88%	78%	1 full and 1 check inspection for a high risk dry cleaner omitted as premises closed. Yet to surrender permit so still accounted for in 3.1.
Tunbridge Wells	0	7	0	6	0	7	0	86%	N/A	
Coventry	0	5	0	4	0	5	0	80%	N/A	Lack of staff resources has meant we have been unable to complete our inspection programme. Focus has been on inspecting medium and high risk processes
Ipswich	0	5	0	4	0	5	0	80%	N/A	staff shortages meant some processes not inspected in first half of year and therefore difficult to get in more than one inspection in last few months of year
King's Lynn & West Norfolk	0	9	0	7	0	9	0	78%	N/A	Out of 88 Part B processes 70 Part B inspections on inspection planner for 2014/2015, 66 inspections completed and 1 additional monitoring visit. Inspections not completed 3 were planned for a mobile concrete batcher that has only operated out of Borough & 1 for a mobile concrete crusher where the the owner was put in prison therefore not in use.
Ashfield	0	4	0	3	0	4	0	75%	N/A	
Woking	0	7	0	5	0	7	0	71%	N/A	
Chelmsford	0	9	0	6	0	9	0	67%	N/A	
Dartford	1	2	0	2	0	3	1	67%	0%	
Sheffield	2	4	0	4	0	6	2	67%	0%	Due to maternity leave the reduced fee compliance inspections, other than petrol, have not been completed for this financial year so will be picked up next financial year.
Newham	5	6	0	6	0	11	5	55%	0%	Reduction in officer resources
Bracknell Forest	0	4	0	2	0	4	0	50%	N/A	
Luton BC	0	7	0	3	0	7	0	43%	N/A	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out			ctions ected		ections ates	
Authority Name	Authority Name High Med. Low		Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons	
Newark & Sherwood	0	7	0	3	0	7	0	43%	N/A	Small Waste Oil Burners (total 18 - 15 low/3 medium) not inspected due to change in regulatory position and 5 mobile plant (1 roadstone coating standard and 4 mobile crushing reduced - all medium) not inspected as do not operate in district.
Barnet	1	18	0	8	1	19	1	42%	100%	
Norwich	0	5	0	2	0	5	0	40%	N/A	
Wakefield	3	11	0	4	0	14	3	29%	0%	No inspections were carried out of Small Waste Oil Burners during 2015/16 due to the change in guidance regarding waste incineration announced on 22nd December 2016. SWOB operators received a letter advising of the changes followed by a revocation notice for their Permits at the beginning of March 2016.
Bolton	2	2	0	1	0	4	2	25%	0%	One high risk group 1 installation closed for the year due to fire 5 dry cleaners not due inspection 2 waste oil burners not due inspection 18 petrol stations not due inspection 5 vehicle resprayers and 6 cement batching plant not due inspection 1 low risk group 1 installation mothballed 1 crusher not due inspection 1 timber processor not due inspection
York City UA	4	0	0	1	0	4	4	25%	0%	it was decided due to limited resources that the waste oil burners would not be visited during the year although I did require a specific visit otherwise no WOBs were visited
Rochford	0	8	0	1	0	8	0	13%	N/A	
Camden	1	15	0	1	0	16	1	6%	0%	Part B Management and Inspections previously (until January 1 2016) contracted to external service provider. The provider was found not to be delivering so their services have been dispensed with. Service delivery now been brought back in house from January 2016.
Adur	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Bournemouth	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Direct reporting with email and phone provided a channel if action required (Competent Officers available . However to ensure obligations extra visit will be rountine in 16/17
Breckland	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	

	lı	nstallatio	ns	Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
Bristol City UA	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0%	N/A	Severe staff reductions and a backlog of inspections from previous years has resulted in inspections not being carried out.
Central Bedfordshire UA	0	65	0	0	0	65	0	0%	N/A	
Crawley	0	26	0	0	0	26	0	0%	N/A	
Derby	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Unable to inspect mobile crushers as these were operating at other parts of the country. It was decided not to inspect most of the waste oil burners as they were falling outside of the Permitting regime on 1 April. One petrol filling station had closed but the Permit was "live" until 31 March.
Haringey	0	40	0	0	0	40	0	0%	N/A	
Hastings	0	14	0	0	0	14	0	0%	N/A	
Hyndburn	0	18	0	0	0	18	0	0%	N/A	
Kensington & Chelsea	0	16	0	0	0	16	0	0%	N/A	Johnson DC revoked and not inspected
Kettering	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Lack of resources is a constant struggle - we are a mixed generalist team dealing with other issues and can not dedicate ourselves to permitting inspections alone
Manchester PHA	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	1 x permit has ceased therefore a full inspection was not completed and a check was completed to travel to site to ascertain if the permit was operational.
Mansfield	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0%	N/A	
Monmouthshire	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0%	N/A	
Plymouth	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0%	N/A	The score was reduced from medium to low for three permitted process (under group one) and so no further inspections / check visits were necessary.
Ribble Valley	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	0%	N/A	
Selby	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Due to staffing and workload issues not all inspections programmed where undertaken in the financial year 15/16.
Slough	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	0%	N/A	
South Gloucestershire UA	0	38	0	0	0	38	0	0%	N/A	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Check	Full	Check	Full	Check	Reasons
South Norfolk	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0%	N/A	Some of the premises identified in 5.6.2 were not due for a visit in 2015/16 The following installations were due for visits but visits were not made: 1 group one medium risk not inspected at all due to administrative error and change of staff at operator 1 mobile group 2 low risk not inspected due to location of crusher and infrequency of work. However this installation has been inspected by another authority in whose area it was working. 1 fuel refilling (low risk) not inspected as unable to schedule time to observe delivery although a visit was made when delivery was being made but this has not been included 1 fuel refilling process (medium risk) due to operator availability although informal visits to the premises have been made but not recorded as formal visits.
Stockton-on-Tees	0	38	0	0	0	38	0	0%	N/A	
Surrey Heath	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Swansea	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Thurrock	0	37	0	0	0	37	0	0%	N/A	due to risk assessments and site movements for mobile plant one bulk Terminal mothballed one car sprayer mothballed one cement process mothballed 2 sites discovered closed on routine visit
Uttlesford	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Lack of trained staff resource. Measures are now in place to address backlog and ensure compliance with inspection schedule for 16/17
Islington	1	24	0	0	0	25	1	0%	0%	
Leeds	3	3	0	0	0	6	3	0%	0%	
Merton	1	3	0	0	0	4	1	0%	0%	
North Kesteven	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	0%	0%	

	lr	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		ctions ites	
Authority Name	High	Med.	Low	Full	Full Check		Check	Full	Check	Reasons
South Ribble	1	2	0	0	0	3	1	0%	0%	Waste oil burners <0.4MW no longer regulated under legislation so visits not conducted inc to high and med risk. Crematorium (high) burnt down halfway through the year and replacement took some time to be fitted. Only 1 visit possible in timescale.

Authorities which have yet to risk assess any of their installations	
Bridgend	
Cherwell	
Cheshire West UA	
Harborough	
Oxford	
Rother	
Runnymede	
South Holland	
South Staffordshire	
Vale of White Horse	
Wealden	
Welwyn Hatfield	

Hartley McMaster Ltd

Some of these authorities reported having carried out some inspection visits in section 5.6.3 of the survey. However, as they did not fill in any information in section 5.6.2 of the survey (classification of risk), it is not possible to assess their inspection performance.