Local Government Pension Scheme

Guidance on Preparing and Maintaining an Investment Strategy Statement
Foreword

This guidance has been prepared to assist administering authorities in the local
government pension scheme in England and Wales with the formulation, publication and
maintenance of their Investment Strategy Statement.

New investment regulationsto be introduced later this year will include a requirement for
administering authorities to publish new Investment Strategy Statement by 1st April 2017
in accordance with the guidance set out below.

Administering authorities will be required to act in accordance with the provisions in this
guidance when Regulation 7 of the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and
Investment of Funds) Regulations 2016 comes into force.

Part 1

Introduction and background

This guidance has been prepared to assist administering authorities in the formulation,
publication and maintenance of their Investment Strategy Statement required by
Regulation 7 of The Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of
Funds) Regulations 2016. Unless otherwise stated, references to regulations are to the
2016 Regulations.

An administering authority’s duty to prepare, maintain and review their Funding Strategy
Statement under Regulation 58 of the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations
2013 (“the 2013 Regulations”) is unaffected.

Statutory background

Regulation 7(1) requires an administering authority to formulate an investment strategy
which must be in accordance with guidance issued by the Secretary of State.

The Investment Strategy Statement required by Regulation 7 must include:-

a) A requirement to invest money in a wide variety of investments;
b) The authority’s assessment of the suitability of particular investments and types of
    investments;
c) The authority’s approach to risk, including the ways in which risks are to be
    measured and managed;
d) The authority’s approach to pooling investments, including the use of collective
    investment vehicles and shared services;
e) The authority’s policy on how social, environmental or corporate governance
    considerations are taken into account in the selection, non-selection, retention and
    realisation of investments; and
f) The authority’s policy on the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to investments.

The Investment Strategy Statement must also set out the maximum percentage of the total value of all investments of fund money that it will invest in particular investments or classes of investment. This, in effect, replaces Schedule 1 to the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2009 (“the 2009 Regulations”).

Under Regulation 7(6) and (7), the statements must be published by 1st April 2017 and then kept under review and revised from time to time and at least every three years. Under transitional arrangements, key elements of the 2009 Regulations relating to investment policies will continue in force until such time that the Investment Strategy Statement under Regulation 7 is published.

**Directions by the Secretary of State**

Regulation 8 enables the Secretary of State to issue a Direction if he is satisfied that an administering authority is failing to act in accordance with this guidance.

One of the main aims of the new investment regulations is to transfer investment decisions and their consideration more fully to administering authorities within a new prudential framework. Administering authorities will therefore be responsible for setting their policy on asset allocation, risk and diversity, amongst other things. In relaxing the regulatory framework for scheme investments, administering authorities will be expected to make their investment decisions within a prudential framework with less central prescription. It is important therefore that the regulations include a safeguard to ensure that this less prescriptive approach is used appropriately and in the best long term interests of scheme beneficiaries and taxpayers.

Where there is evidence to suggest that an authority is acting unreasonably, it may be appropriate for the Secretary of State to consider intervention, but only where this is justified and where the relevant parties have been consulted. Regulation 8 includes a number of safeguards, including full consultation with the relevant authority, to ensure that the proposed power is used appropriately, proportionately and only where justified by the evidence.

The Secretary of State’s power of intervention does not interfere with the duty of elected members under general public law principles to make investment decisions in the best long-term interest of scheme beneficiaries and taxpayers.

The power of Direction can be used in all or any of the following ways:-

a) To require an administering authority to make changes to its investment strategy in a given timescale;

b) To require an administering authority to invest assets as specified in the Direction;

c) To transfer the investment functions of an administering authority to the Secretary of State or a person nominated by the Secretary of State; and
d) To require an administering authority to comply with any instructions from either the Secretary of State or the appointed person in circumstances when the investment function has been transferred.

Before issuing any Direction, the Secretary of State must consult the administering authority concerned and before reaching a decision, must have regard to all relevant evidence including reports under section 13(4) of the Public Service Pensions Act 2013; reports from the scheme advisory board or from the relevant local pension board and any representations made in response to the consultation with the relevant administering authority. The Secretary of State also has the power to commission any other evidence or additional information that is considered necessary.

General

Part 2 below sets out the guidance for authorities under each of the component parts of Regulation 7. The specific requirements under each heading are shown at the end of each sub section in a text box and in bold type. It is important to note, however, that these lists are not exclusive and that administering authorities are also required to comply with general public law principles and act within a prudential framework.

Part 2

**Regulation 7(2) (a) - Investment of money in a wide variety of investments**

A properly diversified portfolio of assets should include a range of asset classes to help reduce overall portfolio risk. If a single investment class is not performing well, performance should be balanced by other investments which are doing better at that time. A diversified portfolio also helps to reduce volatility.

For example, the range of asset classes could include UK and overseas equities of different sectors; bonds with varying maturity; alternative investment assets such as private equity, infrastructure and cash instruments.

However, this guidance does not purport to prescribe the specific asset classes over which fund monies must be diversified. This remains a decision for individual administering authorities to make. Administering authorities are expected to be able to demonstrate that those responsible for making investment decisions have taken and acted on proper advice and that diversification decisions have been taken in the best long term interest of scheme beneficiaries.

An administering authority must also be able to demonstrate that they review their diversification policy from time to time to ensure that their overall target return is not put at risk.

**Summary of requirements**

In formulating and maintaining their policy on diversification, administering authorities:-

- Must take proper advice
- Must set out clearly the balance between different types of investments
Must identify the risks associated with their overall investment strategy
Must periodically review their policy to mitigate against any such risks

Regulation 7(2)(b) - The suitability of particular investments and types of investments

The concept of suitability is a critical test for whether or not a particular investment should be made. Although individual investment classes will have varying degrees of suitability in the context of an authority’s funding and investment strategies, the overall aim of the fund must be to consider suitability against the need to meet pension obligations as they fall due.

Assessing the suitability of different investment classes involves a number of factors including, for example, performance benchmarks, appetite for risk, policy on non-financial factors and perhaps most importantly, funding strategy.

What constitutes suitability is clearly a matter for individual administering authorities to consider and decide in the light of their own funding and investment strategies, but there is a clear expectation that the assessment should be broadly consistent across all administering authorities. Administering authorities must therefore take and act on proper advice in assessing the suitability of their investment portfolio and give full details of that assessment in their Investment Strategy Statement.

Summary of requirements

In formulating their policy on the suitability of particular investments and types of investments, administering authorities:

- Must take proper advice
- Should ensure that their policy on asset allocation is compatible with achieving their locally determined solvency target
- Must periodically review the suitability of their investment portfolio to ensure that returns, risk and volatility are all appropriately managed and are consistent with their overall investment strategy

Regulation 7(2)(c) - The approach to risk, including the ways in which risks are to be measured and managed

The appetite of individual administering authorities for taking risk when making investment decisions can only be a matter for local consideration and determination, subject to the aim and purpose of a pension fund to maximise the returns from investment returns within reasonable risk parameters.

Some of the key risks that an administering authority needs to be aware include financial, demographic or regulatory risks. A detailed summary of the identification of all risks and counter-measures to mitigate against them is beyond the scope of this guidance, but administering authorities will continue to have regard to the requirement under Regulation 58 of the 2013 Regulations to have regard to the “Guidance on Preparing and Maintaining
a Funding Strategy Statement” published by CIPFA, which includes a section on risk and the ways in which it can be measured and managed.

**Summary of requirements**

In formulating their policy on their approach to risk, administering authorities:-

- Must take proper advice
- Should clearly state their appetite for risk
- Should be aware of the risks that may impact on their overall funding and investment strategies
- Should take measures to counter those risks
- Should periodically review the assumptions on which their investment strategy is based
- Should formulate contingency plans to limit the impact of risks that might materialise

**Regulation 7(2)(d) - The approach to pooling investments, including the use of collective investment vehicles and shared services**

All authorities must commit to a suitable pool to achieve benefits of scale. Administering authorities must confirm their chosen investment pool meets the investment reform and criteria published in November 2015, or to the extent that it does not, that Government is content for it to continue.

Any change which results in failure to meet the criteria must be reported by the administering authority, and/or pool, to the Secretary of State and the Scheme Advisory Board.

Administering authorities should set out their approach to pooling and the proportion of assets that will be invested through the pool. This must include the structure and governance arrangements and the mechanisms by which the authority can hold the pool to account.

Where services are shared or jointly procured, the administering authority must set out the rationale underpinning this and the cost benefit of this, as opposed to pooling.

Administering authorities must provide a summary of assets to be held outside of the pool, and how this demonstrates value for money. The progress of asset transfers to the pool must be reported annually against implementation plans and submitted to the Scheme Advisory Board. Where it is possible that an asset could be pooled in the future, authorities must set a date for review and criteria that need to be met before the asset will be pooled.

**Summary of requirements**

In formulating and maintaining their approach to pooling investment, including the use of collective investment vehicles and shared services, an administering authority must:-
- Confirm the pooling arrangements meet the criteria set out in the November 2015 investment reform and criteria guidance at https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/479925/criteria_and_guidance_for_investment_reform.pdf, or have been otherwise agreed by the Government
- Notify the Scheme Advisory Board and the Secretary of State of any changes which result in failure to meet the criteria
- Set out the proportion of assets that will be invested through pooling
- Set out the structure and governance arrangements of the pool and the mechanisms by which the authority can hold the pool to account
- Set out the services that will be shared or jointly procured
- Provide a summary of assets that the authority has determined are not suitable for investing through the pool along with its rationale for doing so, and how this demonstrates value for money;
- Regularly review any assets, and no less than every 3 years, that the authority has previously determined should be held outside of the pool, ensuring this continues to demonstrate value for money
- Submit an annual report on the progress of asset transfers to the Scheme Advisory Board

Regulation 7(2)(e) - How social, environmental or corporate governance considerations are taken into account in the selection, non-selection, retention and realisation of investments

When making investment decisions, administering authorities must take proper advice and act prudently. In the context of the local government pension scheme, a prudent approach to investment can be described as a duty to discharge statutory responsibilities with care, skill, prudence and diligence. This approach is the standard that those responsible for making investment decisions must operate.

Although administering authorities are not subject to trust law, those responsible for making investment decisions must comply with general legal principles governing the administration of scheme investments. They must also act in accordance with ordinary public law principles, in particular, the ordinary public law of reasonableness. They risk challenge if a decision they make is so unreasonable that no person acting reasonably could have made it.

The law is generally clear that schemes should consider any factors that are financially material to the performance of their investments, including social, environmental and
corporate governance factors, and over the long term, dependent on the time horizon over which their liabilities arise.

Although schemes should make the pursuit of a financial return their predominant concern, they may also take purely non-financial considerations into account provided that doing so would not involve significant risk of financial detriment to the scheme and where they have good reason to think that scheme members would support their decision.

Investments that deliver social impact as well as a financial return are often described as “social investments”. In some cases, the social impact is simply in addition to the financial return; for these investments the positive social impact will always be compatible with the prudent approach. In other cases, some part of the financial return may be forgone in order to generate the social impact. These investments will also be compatible with the prudent approach providing administering authorities have good reason to think scheme members share the concern for social impact, and there is no risk of significant financial detriment to the fund.

Summary of requirements

In formulating and maintaining their policy on social, environmental and corporate governance factors, an administering authority:-

- Must take proper advice
- Should explain the extent to which the views of their local pension board and other interested parties who they consider may have an interest will be taken into account when making an investment decision based on non-financial factors
- Must explain the extent to which non-financial factors will be taken into account in the selection, retention and realisation of investments
- Should explain their approach to social investments

Regulation 7(2)(f) - The exercise of rights (including voting rights) attached to investments

The long-term investment interests of administering authorities are enhanced by the highest standards of corporate governance and corporate responsibility amongst the companies in which they invest. Poor governance can negatively impact shareholder value.

Stewardship aims to promote the long term success of companies in such a way that the ultimate providers of capital also prosper. Stewardship activities include monitoring and engaging with companies on matters such as strategy, performance, risk, capital structure and corporate governance, including culture and remuneration. Engagement by administering authorities is purposeful and can identify problems through continuing dialogue with companies on these matters as well as on issues that are the immediate subject of votes at general meetings.

Engagement enables administering authorities as long term shareholders to exert a positive influence on companies to promote strong governance, manage risk, increase
accountability and drive improvements in the management of environmental, social and corporate governance issues.

Administering authorities are encouraged to consider the best way to engage with companies to promote their long-term success, either directly, in partnership with other investors or through their investment managers, and explain their policy on stewardship with reference to the Stewardship Code. Administering authorities should become Signatories to the Code and state how they implement the seven principles and guidance of the Code, which apply on a “comply or explain” basis.

Concern has been expressed in the past about the scope of Regulation 12(2)(g) of the 2009 Regulations which, in effect, allowed each administering authority to decide whether or not to adopt a policy on the exercise of the rights attaching to investments, including voting rights. To increase awareness and promote engagement, Regulation 7(2)(f) now requires every administering authority to formulate a policy that reflects their stewardship responsibilities.

**Summary of requirements**

In formulating their policy on the exercise of rights, administering authorities:

- **Must give reasons in their Investment Strategy Statement for not adopting a policy of exercising rights, including voting rights, attaching to investments**
- **Should, where appropriate, explain their policy on stewardship with reference to the Stewardship Code**
- **Should strongly encourage their fund managers, if any, to vote their company shares in line with their policy under Regulation 7(2)(f)**
- **May wish to appoint an independent proxy voting agent to exercise their proxy voting and monitor the voting activity of the managers, if any, and for reports on voting activity to be submitted annually to the administering authority**
- **Should publish a report of voting activity as part of their pension fund annual report under Regulation 57 of the 2013 Regulations**