

English Housing Survey Revisions Log

This log records all revisions made to results from the 2007/08 Survey of English Housing, 2007 English House Condition Survey and all years of the English Housing Survey.

Date	Brief description of the need for revision	Indication of any impact of revision	Action taken
August 2019 (5)	Households Report Annex Table 1.1: there is a formula adding together cells that should not be there.	Low	Calculation removed and revised Annex Table 1:1 published.
August 2019 (4)	PRS Annex Table 1.16: the values and percentages in the all ethnic minorities column are wrong. The significance tests are correct and the findings still hold, but bullet 1.28 in the report will need to be updated from 3% to 4% to reflect the table.	Low	A revised version of Annex Table 1.16 published.
August 2019 (3)	PRS Annex Table 2.17: Should read: "Use of casual letting services, by age, private renters, 2017-18"	Low	Title changed and amended Annex Table published.
August 2019 (2)	Headline report: calculation of EPC ratings of A-C does not match the Technical report due to a missed percentage not added in. footnote needs amending.	Low	Calculations run again and correction made to footnote, and re-published.

August 2019 (1)	Social Rented Sector Report: paragraph 3.41 needs to be changed in the report; percentage changed from 100.8% and unweighted figures should be weighted.	Low	Corrections made and report re-published.
May 2018	Para 2.23 referred to bands A to C instead of A to D.	Low	C corrected to D and report republished.
February 2017 (3)	EHS Headline Report 2014-15: Errors in the calculations of the 2010 Decent Homes figures have been found.	Low	Revised figures published in the errata and corrected Annex Table republished.
February 2017 (2)	EHS Headline Report 2014-15: An error in the calculation of the number of dwellings in the all terraced private sector category has been found.	Low	Revised figures published in the errata and corrected Annex Table republished.
February 2017 (1)	EHS Headline Report 2014-15: The underlying figures in AT2.11 and 2.12 need to be revised, as an assumption was changed between 2013 and 2014 data. This is the assumption for when buildings should be assumed to have in-built	Low	A revised version of the Annex Tables section 2 housing stock tables published in errata and corrected Annex Table republished. The text in the report is not affected.

	<p>cavity wall insulation, which affects the breakdown between insulated cavity walls with either evidence or assumed as in-built.</p> <p>The change in assumption also affects web tables on insulation.</p>		<p>Revised versions of web tables DA6201, DA6202, DA6203 and DA7104 published.</p> <p>Revised variables and data dictionary to be submitted to UK Data Archive.</p>
November 2016 (2)	<p>EHS Social Rented Sector Report Main findings, should read:</p> <p>In 1994-95, 47% of social renting households had a weekly joint income in the lowest quintile.</p>	Low	A revised version of Social Rented Sector Report 2014-15 published.
November 2016 (1)	<p>EHS Private Rented Sector Report 2014-15 Chapter 1: Paragraph 1.23 should read:</p> <p>The mean gross weekly income of privately renting households (the HRP and their partner) was £564 per week. This is higher than social renters' gross weekly income, which was £323.</p> <p>Table FA3101 Demographic and economic characteristics of social and privately renting households, 2014-15.</p>	Low	A revised version of Private Rented Sector Report 2014-15 and Table FA3101 published.

	<p>There are errors in mean gross weekly income of household reference person (and partner) for local authority, housing association, all social renters, market renters, non-market renters, all private renters. The analysis had been produced using unweighted data, which has been corrected to use weighted data.</p>		
<p>August 2016 (3)</p>	<p>Table FA2601, Statistical data set: Owner occupiers, recent first time buyers and second homes</p> <p>There are errors in figures for the number of second properties and second properties by type. This is caused by errors in scaling for missing values to responses on second property use. There is also an error in the use of figures on rented second homes.</p> <p>The years affected by the error are: 2013-14, 2012-13, 2010-11, 2009-10 and 2008-09.</p> <p>The title for FA2601 was incorrect on table, file and links ('The EHS</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Tables corrected</p> <p>A new approach has been taken to missing values in number and type of second properties. Previously if the number of second properties was missing these cases were assumed to have no second properties. The new approach imputes the number based on a similar donor case. The type of property used to be scaled to the total where values were missing. Now these details are also imputed from a donor case.</p>

	<p>definition of a second home'). The table gives the number of households with second properties and number of second properties by type. This will be changed to 'Second properties by type'.</p> <p>The second properties occupied by students figure was incorrectly suppressed in 2010-11. Table notes have been amended to assist understanding.</p>		
<p>August 2016 (2)</p>	<p>Table FA2631, Statistical data set: Owner occupiers, recent first time buyers and second homes.</p> <p>There are errors in figures for the number of second homes. The figures are scaled to match the totals derived in FA2601. As these have been corrected, the figures in FA2631 must be re-calculated.</p> <p>For some years there were also minor errors in the proportion of homes by country.</p> <p>The years affected by the errors are: 2013-14, 2012-13, 2010-11, 2009-10 and 2008-09.</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Tables corrected.</p> <p>Data from tables FA2631 has been put into FT2611 as the content is very closely related. FA2631 will be removed.</p>

<p>August 2016 (1)</p>	<p>Table FT2611 Statistical data set: Owner occupiers, recent first time buyers and second homes.</p> <p>There are errors in figures for the number of second homes. The figures are scaled to match the totals derived in FA2601. As these have been corrected, the figures in FA2631 must be re-calculated.</p> <p>For some years there were also minor errors in the proportion of homes by country.</p> <p>The years affected by the errors are: 2013-14, 2012-13, 2010-11, 2009-10 and 2008-09.</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Tables corrected</p> <p>Data from tables FA2631 has been put into FT2611 as the content is very closely related. FA2631 will be removed.</p>
<p>July 2016 (3)</p>	<p>EHS Household Report 2013-14</p> <p>Chapter 3 paragraph 3.60; Annex Table 3.8 Deposit and type of mortgage, recent first time buyers, 2003-04 and 2013-14.</p> <p>The mean and median deposit figures were incorrectly calculated for 2013-14.</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>Report and Annex Table corrected.</p>

	<p>Some type of mortgage and source of deposit figures for 2013-14 and/or 2003-04 have small sample sizes (under 5).</p> <p>The word 'deposit' in 'source of deposit' was spelt incorrectly.</p> <p>The 'all recent first time buyers' rows exclude cases with missing values and could be misleading.</p>		
July 2016 (2)	<p>EHS Headline Report 2009-10 to 2013-14</p> <p>Annex Tables: Main heating system, Boiler types, Insulation measures, 2001</p> <p>The figures for 2001 from the English House Condition Survey had not been updated, following a recalibration in light of the 2001 Census results.</p>	Low	Correct data used for 2014-15 Headline Report. Errors noted in errata for earlier Headline Reports from 2009-10 to 2013-14.
July 2016 (1)	<p>The figures on Decent Homes for 2006 and 2007 had not been updated in earlier Headline Report tables. This followed a change in modelling in 2010 to the 2006 figures and an update to the 2007</p>	Low	Correct data used for 2014-15 Headline Report Annex Table 2.2. Errors noted in errata for earlier Headline Reports from 2009-10 to 2013-14.

	<p>HA figure in 2008. The figures in the Annual Reports and web tables had been updated and were correct since 2010 and 2008 respectively. The changes were a maximum of 0.3%.</p>		
<p>November 2015</p>	<p>In interview files from 2007, twenty cases who part-own, part-rent their home, had the rent and housing benefit variables set to 'not applicable'. This has now been corrected, with these cases either recorded as receiving or not receiving housing benefit. 3799 cases of owner-occupiers were also amended, setting Housing Benefit questions to 'not applicable' instead of £0 or "not receiving housing benefit".</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Revised datasets submitted to the UK Data Archive and included in SN 6449 English House Condition Survey 2007 (file: interview 06+07), and SN 6613 English Housing Survey, 2008-2009: Household Data (file: interview 07+08).</p>
<p>October 2015 (12)</p>	<p>EHS Profile of English Housing Report 2013 Main findings bullet 5</p> <p>There is a typing error repeat: The word 'dwellings' should be deleted from the bullet, so should read:</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Error noted in errata.</p>

	<p>“The proportion of pre 1919 homes in the private rented sector fell from 52% in 1996 to 32% in 2013, although the actual numbers of these increased by 400,000.”</p>		
October 2015 (11)	<p>EHS Headline Report 2013-14 Section 1: Annex Table 1.9</p> <p>The base is labelled as “all households paying rent”, when it should read “all renters”.</p>	Low	Table corrected.
October 2015 (10)	<p>EHS Headline Report 2013-14 Chapter 1: Paragraph 1.90</p> <p>The second sentence should read: <i>Lack of overcrowding</i> and rural location were both associated with higher life satisfaction, but of a moderate size.</p>	Low	A revised version of Headline Report 2013-14 published.
October 2015 (9)	<p>EHS Headline Report 2013-14 Section 2: Figure 2.12</p> <p>The footnote omitted the base.</p>	Low	A revised version of Headline Report 2013-14 published.
October 2015 (8)	<p>EHS Headline Report 2013-14 Section 2, Annex Table 2.18</p> <p>Incorrect figures for total number of households and proportion of</p>	Medium	Table corrected.

	households with a fire incident in 2013-14.		
October 2015 (7)	<p>EHS Household Report 2012-13 Section 2, Annex Table 2.2</p> <p>Contains error values '#REF!' where the total number of households in the private rented sector, social rented sector and all sectors should be recorded.</p>	No negative impact. Figures are fairly easy to calculate as they are the sum of other figures in the table.	Table corrected.
October 2015 (6)	<p>EHS Fire and Fire Safety Report 2012-13 Chapter 1: Paragraph 1.12 to 1.19</p> <p>Logistic regression of smoke detector presence: Logistic regression presented is actually a series of univariate regressions done separately for each factor. The regression should have been carried out on all factors concurrently. This would assess which have the strongest association with smoke detector presence when other factors are controlled for.</p>	Medium error with low impact	Regressions will not be re-run for 2012-13 but the 2014-15 Fire and Fire Safety Report will include regression analysis of smoke detector presence using the correct methodology. Error noted in errata.
October 2015 (5)	EHS Energy Efficiency Report 2012 Chapter 1: Paragraph 1.40 to 1.57	Medium error with low impact	Regressions will not be re-run for 2012-13 but the 2014-15 Energy Efficiency Report will include

	<p>Logistic regression of energy efficiency improvements: Logistic regression presented is actually a series of univariate regressions done separately for each factor. The regression should have been carried out on all factors concurrently. This would assess which have the strongest association with energy efficiency improvements when other factors are controlled for.</p>		<p>regression analysis of energy efficiency improvements using the correct methodology. Error noted in errata.</p>
<p>October 2015 (4)</p>	<p>EHS Energy Efficiency Report 2012 Appendix A</p> <p>Logistic regression has a first bullet point which is incomplete. It should read:</p> <p>Logistic regression has been used to assess which key factors (independent variables) are statistically related to households/landlords having carried out energy efficiency improvements in the last 12 months (the dependent variable).</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Error noted in errata.</p>
<p>October 2015 (3)</p>	<p>EHS Fire and Fire Safety Report 2012-13 Appendix A</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>Error noted in errata.</p>

	<p>Logistic regression has a first bullet point 4.1 which is taken from EHS Energy Efficiency Report 2012. This should be removed.</p>		
October 2015 (2)	<p>EHS 2012 Profile of English Housing Report Chapter 3: Annex Table 3.6</p> <p>Annex Table 3.6 included an incorrect figure for the proportion of all dwellings with any type of damp in 2011.</p>	Low	Table corrected.
October 2015 (1)	<p>EHS 2010 Homes Report Chapter 4: Paragraph 4.2 and Annex Table 4.2.</p> <p>The data entries for the 2006 values were incorrect. The proportion of homes failing on thermal comfort criterion reduced from 16% [reported incorrectly as 17%] to 10% over this period.</p>	Low	Error noted in errata. Table corrected.
September 2015 (7)	<p>EHS Households Report 2013-14 Infographics</p> <p>Incorrect figures: total number in 2013-14 of social renters (corrected</p>	Medium	A revised version of Households Report 2013-14 published.

	to 3.9 million) and proportion of owner occupiers (corrected to 63%) on page 11; and private rented households aged between 25-34 corrected to 56% in 2003-04 and 58% in 2013-14 on page 12.		
September 2015 (6)	EHS Households Report 2013-14 Main findings Incorrect figures on page 15, in second bullet on first time buyers: revised to 56% of recent first time buyers with 20-29 years, and 38% with 30 years or more to run on their mortgage.	Medium	A revised version of Households Report 2013-14 published.
September 2015 (5)	EHS Households Report 2013-14 Chapter 2: Annex Table 2.5 Cells incorrectly suppressed for owner occupiers in full time education, with a sample size of 5. The standard rule applied for suppressing small sample sizes is cells <5.	Low	Table corrected.
September 2015 (4)	EHS Households Report 2013-14 Chapter 2: Paragraph 51.	Low	A revised version of Households Report 2013-14 published.

	Incorrect figure for owner occupiers satisfaction with tenure, corrected to 94%.		
September 2015 (3)	<p>EHS Households Report 2013-14 Chapter 2</p> <p>The text amended to provide clearer definitions for analysis on mortgagors and households with children, particularly regarding differences with the definitions used in the EHS 2013-14 Headline Report.</p>	Low	A revised version of Households Report 2013-14 published.
September 2015 (2)	<p>EHS Households Report 2013-14 Chapter 3: Paragraph 21</p> <p>This paragraph should read: the <i>proportion</i> who financed the purchase of their home with help from a gift or loan from family or friends increased from 20% to 27% between 2003-04 and 2013-14.</p>	Low	A revised version of Households Report 2013-14 published.
September 2015 (1)	<p>EHS Households Report 2013-14 Chapter 6: Annex Table 6.13</p> <p>A cell with sample size of 2 for Northern Ireland had not been suppressed. The table now shows</p>	Low impact on data accuracy, medium impact on disclosure control.	Table corrected.

	Northern Ireland combined into a category with other abroad.		
August 2015	<p>Table FA2631 for 2010-11 and 2012-13 in the 'Owner occupiers, recent first time buyers and second homes' section within 'Statistical Data Sets'.</p> <p>Tables had incorrect labelling 'households living in England with a second home abroad', 'thousands of households', 'number of households with a second home abroad', 'percent of households with second homes in each country'. The figures actually record the number of properties not households.</p>	Medium	Tables have been replaced and these refer to homes rather than households.
August 2015	<p>Table FT2611 in the 'Owner occupiers, recent first time buyers and second homes' section within 'Statistical Data Sets'.</p> <p>Table had incorrect labelling 'all households with a second home' and 'thousands of households'. The figures actually record the number of properties not households.</p>	Medium	Table has been replaced by and this refers to homes rather than households.

October 2014	EHS 2011-12 Households Report Para 6.5 of the chapter included an incorrect figure for the proportion of households containing a person with a disability living in social housing in 2011.	Minimal. Modification to correct the wording of the paragraph in the report. Figures in the table were correct.	Revised wording for Para 6.5 have been included in the Errata.
July 2014	EHS Headline Report 2012-13 Table 4 Mean number of years residing in private rented accommodation and social rented accommodation has been switched around.	Medium impact. Table in the Excel spreadsheet has now been corrected.	Revised Table 4 has been included in the errata note for the EHS 2012-13 Headline Report. Excel spreadsheet has been replaced.
July 2014	Usable floor area has been incorrectly defined in the wording of the glossary entry in the EHS 2009-10, 2010-11, 2011-12 and 2012-13 Headline Report.	The modification to correct the wording of the glossary definition does not impact upon any analysis presented in the publication.	The revised glossary definition for usable floor area has been included in the Errata note for the relevant years of the EHS Headline Report.
July 2014	Usable floor space has been incorrectly defined in the wording of the glossary entry in the EHS 2010-11 and 2011-12 Household Reports.	The modification to correct the wording of the glossary definition does not impact upon any analysis presented in the publication.	The revised glossary definition for usable floor space has been included in the Errata note for the relevant years of the EHS Household Report.
July 2014	Usable floor space has been incorrectly defined in the wording of the glossary entry in the EHS 2010-	The modification to correct the wording of the glossary definition does not impact upon	The revised glossary definition for usable floor space has been included

	11 and 2011-12 Household Reports.	any analysis presented in the publication.	in the Errata note for the relevant years of the EHS Household Report.
July 2014	An incorrect variable was used in the EHS Homes Report 2011 to analyse 2011 average basic standardised repair costs (at 2001 prices) which allows the EHS to examine trends in repair costs over time.	Whilst the revised data do not alter the findings on trends, namely that repair costs have declined over time, the revised figures demonstrate that the fall in costs is greater than that published in the report.	Revised version of all relevant sections of the 2011 report have been included in the Errata note. Those areas in chapter 3 of the Homes report affected are: first bullet point of the key findings, paras 3.5 & 3.6 of the text, Figure 3.1 of the text and supporting Annex Table 3.1.
March 2014	Households Report 2011-12 Chapter 4: Paragraph 4.19 Percentages referred to here relate to 2010-11 data rather than 2011-12.	Low impact on reporting. When testing the significance of the 2010-11 and 2011-12 figures, they made very small impact to justify replacing publication. Low impact on Excel spreadsheet. Row in annex table 4.6 has now been corrected.	Correct percentage has been included in the errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Households Report. Publication has not been replaced. Revised annex table 4.6 has been included in the errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Households Report. Excel spreadsheet in English housing survey 2011 to 2012 household report: chapter 4 data and annex tables has been replaced.
February 2014 (5)	Households Report 2011-12 Chapter 6: Annex table 6.2 The table referred to 2011 and not 2001.	Low impact as correct 2011 table is shown in Annex Table 6.1. Annex table in the Excel spreadsheet has now been corrected.	Revised annex table 6.2 has been included in the errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Households Report. Excel spreadsheet in English housing survey 2011 to 2012 household

			report: chapter 6 data and annex tables has been replaced.
February 2014 (4)	Households Report 2011-12 Chapter 5: Annex table 5.1 The sample size for all private renters is displayed as "=#REF!".	Medium impact. Annex table in the Excel spreadsheet has now been corrected.	Revised annex table 5.1 has been included in the errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Households Report. Excel spreadsheet in English housing survey 2011 to 2012 household report: chapter 5 data and annex tables has been replaced.
February 2014 (3)	Households Report 2011-12 Chapter 2: Paragraph 2.8 Entire paragraph references figure 2.1, however this figure contains no information relating to household characteristics.	No impact	Reference to figure 2.1 has been moved from end of paragraph to end of first sentence in paragraph. Revised structure has been included in the errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Households Report. Publication has not been replaced.
February 2014 (2)	Households Report 2011-12 Chapter 1: Paragraph 1.12 The last section relating to 'other economically inactive' has the wrong percentage next to it as this category should also include HRPs in full time education. Therefore the figure should be 24%. The 23% actually refers to "other inactive" HRPs.	Low impact on reporting. When testing the significance of the 23% and 24%, it made very small impact to justify replacing publication.	Correct percentage has been included in the errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Households Report. Clearer definition of 'other economically inactive' is made in the Glossary. Publication has not been replaced.

<p>February 2014 (1)</p>	<p>Households Report 2011-12 Chapter 1: Paragraph 1.8</p> <p>The following statement is misleading: "Although private renting was the most common tenure for the 16-34 age group, a third (33%) of these younger households were owner occupiers, Figure 1.3."</p> <p>33% are those owner occupiers buying with a mortgage, there are 36% of younger households who were owner occupiers.</p>	<p>Slight impact on reporting. When testing the significance of the 33% and 36%, it made very small impact to justify replacing publication.</p>	<p>Revised statement has been included in the errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Households Report. Publication has not been replaced.</p>
<p>May 2013 (5)</p>	<p>A small number of 1996, 2001 and 2003 cases were missing from the SAP09 time series analysis presented in the EHS 2010 Homes Report (20, 8 and 1 case(s) respectively had missing SAP09 values) due to missing key input data.</p> <p>This came about when back dating the SAP09 variable onto the derived physical.sav files to 1996.</p> <p>The missing input data in the raw physical files has been assigned</p>	<p>Due to such few cases with missing values, the impact on the time series analysis results is minimal.</p>	<p>This does not affect any reported findings in the EHS 2010 Homes Report therefore no revisions to the EHS 2010 Home Report text are required. However, the relevant EHS 2010 Homes Report chapter data and Annex Tables (Table 6.1, Figure 6.1, Annex Table 6.1, Annex Table 6.2, Figure 7.2, and Annex Table 7.5) have been revised to achieve the correct accuracy to the values and to amend the control totals.</p>

	and these cases have been re-run through the energy model to obtain a SAP09 value.		No data files published on the UKDA were affected.
May 2013 (4)	<p>A small number of 1996, 2001 and 2003 cases were missing from the SAP09 time series analysis presented in the EHS 2011-12 Headline Report (20, 8 and 1 case(s) respectively had missing SAP09 values) due to missing key input data. This came about when back dating the SAP09 variable onto the derived physical.sav files to 1996.</p> <p>The missing input data in the raw physical files has been assigned and these cases have been re-run through the energy model to obtain a SAP09 value.</p>	Due to such few cases with missing values, the impact on the time	The relevant EHS headline report 2011 to 2012 tables (Table 13, Table 14, Figure14 and Annex Table 16) have been revised to achieve the correct accuracy to the values and to amend the control totals. The tables have also been included in the Errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Headline Report which appears on the same web page as the report.
May 2013 (3)	Headline Report 2011-12, Annex Table 4 showed total households (in thousands) in the sample size row.	Slight impact	Correct sample sizes have been inserted in the annex table.
May 2013 (2)	<p>Headline Report 2011-12, Table 2, Table 3 and AT 3.</p> <p>An error in the private rent with unknown tenancy type resulted in</p>	Medium impact	Revised tables have been included in the Errata note for the EHS 2011-12 Headline Report. Publication has been replaced.

	an incorrect base value being applied.		
May 2013 (1)	Headline Report 2011-12, Table 1 and Annex Table 2. Small sample sizes were not identified thus were not indicated with * or italicised in the publication. A new Fig. 16 will replace this.	Medium/high impact	Removal of the old publication which showed estimates based on very small sample sizes. Included in the errata note for Headline report 2011-12 which appears on same webpage.
February 2013 (3)	Headline Report 2011-12, Section 2, Para 2.17: The percentages of owner occupiers, private renters, LA and HA social renters within the inefficient EER bands had not been updated. The correct 2011 figures are 3% (local authority), 2% (housing association), 8% (owner occupied) and 11% (private rented dwellings)."	Medium impact. Annex tables in the report and in Excel spreadsheets are correct.	Included in the errata note for Headline report 2011-12 which appears on same webpage.
February 2013 (2)	Headline Report 2011-12, Section 2. The underlying data used for Figure 16 were those for 2010 rather than 2011 as intended. A new Fig. 16 will replace this.	Medium impact	Fig16 replaced with version containing 2011 data. This is updated in the report and accompanying Excel table.
February 2013 (1)	EHS Homes report Tables 6.2, Table 6.3, AT 6.3, AT 6.4, AT 6.5, Table 7.2, Figure 7.3, AT 7.6 and AT 7.7	While the impact on the overall carbon dioxide results in the EHS 2010 Homes Report is small, the impact is significant when the carbon dioxide figures are broken down by certain	Revised tables have been included in the Errata note for the EHS 2010 Homes Report. 2010 Home Web Tables DA7101 – DA7104 have been replaced.

	<p>2010 EHS web tables DA7101-DA7104.</p> <p>An error in the EHS programming of carbon dioxide emissions for cases with communal heating resulted in an incorrect carbon dioxide factor being applied to communal heating cases resulting in these cases having carbon dioxide emissions that were too high/Environmental Impact Ratings that were too low.</p>	<p>dwelling characteristics i.e. tenure (social sector) and main heating fuel (for communal heating cases). The revised estimates of average CO₂ emissions (tonnes/year) are (with previous figures in brackets):</p> <p>a) for all dwelling 5.7 (5.8), b) for social sector homes 3.6 (4.1), and c) for homes with communal heating 2.5 (10.3).</p>	<p>The 2010 data files affected (<i>energy performance 09+10.sav</i> and <i>physical 09+10.sav</i>) have been corrected and will be included in the EHS 2010 dataset at the UKDA.</p>
August 2012 (1)	<p>2010/11 EHS Web Table FA1424 'Overcrowding and under-occupation by region and tenure'. Initial publication included unweighted data.</p>	<p>Slight impact</p>	<p>Web table FA1424 replaced with version containing weighted data</p>
July 2012 (1)	<p>2008-09 Households report Key point 4, paragraph 5.12 and Figure 5.3 were based upon web table FA5342 which has incorrectly included cases who has been resident for less than two years.</p>	<p>Medium impact</p>	<p>Correct data inserted, web table FA5342 also updated (see point 3.</p>
July 2012 (2)	<p>FA 4601 2009/10</p>	<p>Impact slight</p>	<p>Data of affected cells corrected.</p>

	The percentages for unemployed and retired row are wrong way round		
July 2012 (3)	<p>FA5342</p> <p>This table is incorrectly including cases who have been resident for less than 2 years (lenresb). The source of this error is that we were relying on responses to betwors, which has an option for "resident less than 2 years", however it seems a lot of respondents were answering when they should not. Therefore we have revised this table to exclude all cases that were resident for less than 2 years (using derived variable lenres) and repeat the analysis. This has reduced the number of cases feeding into chart. Numbers and percentages have changed.</p> <p>The base has also been changed from 'all households' to 'all households resident for at least two years'.</p>	This affects: the 2008/09 Households Report. Specifically Chapter 5 reports on "How the local area had changed over the past two years". As a result - changes are required to key finding 4, paragraph 5.12 and figure 5.3.	Corrected data inserted and data in Households Report 2008/09 updated.
July 2012 (4)	FC5341 2008/09 and 2009/10, This chart is incorrectly including cases	Medium Impact	Charts updated for 08/09 and 09/10.

	<p>who have been resident for less than 2 years (lenresb). The source of this error is that we were relying on responses to betwors, which has an option for "resident less than 2 years", however it seems a lot of respondents were answering when they should not. Therefore we have revised this table to exclude all cases that were resident for less than 2 years (using derived variable lenres) and repeat the analysis. This has reduced the number of cases feeding into chart. Percentages have changed, however these changes have been minor.</p>		
July 2012 (5)	<p>FA4422 2008/098 and 2009/10. We have changed the subheading for 2008/09 and 2009/10 from 'all first time buyer households' to 'all first time buyers who have been resident for less than 1 year' Footnote for 2009/10 table has been updated to say "2008 or later"</p>	<p>Impact none – no figures changed just clarified table labels.</p>	<p>Labels have been corrected.</p>
July 2012 (6)	<p>FA4602 2009/10. The percentages for unemployed and retired row were the wrong way round. These</p>	<p>Impact slight</p>	<p>Data of affected cells corrected.</p>

	have been 'swaps' so the correct data are matched with the correct labels		
July 2012 (7)	FA3221. Both the 2009/10 and 2008/09 tables labels have been revised. changed the category from 'one adult under 60' to 'one person household' the labels doesn't represent what the values are showing.	Impact slight.	Axis relabelled.
July 2012 (8)	FT2311 The percentage for buying with a mortgage and total has been changed for 2008-09 from 53.7 to 53.8 and 99.9 to 100.0. A footnote was also added to say that a small number of owner occupiers that did not specify their type of ownership were included in the total.	Impact slight	Data of affected cells corrected and footnote added
July 2012 (9)	FT2201 A footnote has been added for 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11 saying 'total includes a small number of owner occupiers who did not specify whether they were a first time buyer' and the overall total changed in 2010-11 tables for 2008-09 from 14611 to 14621.	Impact slight,	Data of affected cells corrected and footnote added
July 2012 (10)	Table FA2601 2009/10 The number for 'total number of owned or rented	Impact slight, data in the household report was correct.	Data of cells affected corrected

	second properties reported' is incorrect. It says 2,652, but when compared to table 2.5 in the 2009-10 household report it should be 2,893		
July 2012 (11)	Table_FA3621 2009/10 and labelling were correct but there was slight potential for misinterpretation.	None as there were no errors and no changes to data.	Further detail added to base label to clarify that the table only covers private renting households. A note was also added to direct users to published information on the discontinuity between SEH and EHS rents.
July 2012 (12)	Table FA3101 2009/10 data had been split into SEH categories rather than EHS.	Impact only slight, data are correct but needed to be combined into EHS rather than SEH categories.	Data Combined to give correct totals
July 2012 (13)	Table FT1241_This table is a continuation of table S117 in the SEH. S117 had two groups of lone parents - single and previously married. These had been combined in FT1241 and this is where the errors had occurred.	Impact slight - most cells in the new table correctly contained the sum of the relevant 'single' and 'previously married' figures, some cells contained only one of these. In particular, cells in the owned outright column and the 2007-08 row were affected.	Data of cells affected corrected.
July 2012 (14)	Headline report 2010-11,Section 1, Para 1.3: The number of owner occupied households is stated as 14.5 million. The actual figure is 14,449,781 and should have been	Impact slight. Annex tables in the report and in Excel spreadsheets are correct.	Included in the errata note for Headline report 2010-11 which appears on same webpage.

	reported as 14.45 million as it has in the subsequent annual reports.		
July 2012 (15)	Headline report 2010-11, Section 1, Table 1.1 should include some figures in italics to show they are based on small sample sizes (counts of between 30-50).	Impact slight. The table has been corrected in the 2010/11 Households report, Table 1.1.	Included in the errata note for Headline report 2010-11 which appears on same webpage.
July 2012 (16)	Headline report 2010-11, Section 2, Table 17. Data was updated between original analysis and final publication resulting in incorrect figures appearing in the table.	Medium impact.	The corrected table is included in the errata note for Headline report 2010-11 which appears on same webpage.
July 2012 (17)	Headline report 2010-11, Section 2, Figure 16 refers to Annex table 18. This should be Annex table 17.	Minor impact. Most users will realise which table should be consulted	Included in the errata note for Headline report 2010-11 which appears on same webpage.
July 2012 (18)	Headline report 2010-11, Annex 17 Data was updated between original analysis and final publication resulting in incorrect figures appearing in the table.	Medium impact	The corrected table is included in the errata note for Headline report 2010-11 which appears on same webpage
December 2011 (1)	Chapter 2, paragraph 2.31 of the 2009-10 English Housing Survey Report wrongly referred only to second homes owned by households, whereas the data also show rented second homes. Titles of Figures 2.14 and 2.15 in this section were not correctly titled. Annex table 2.11 and web table	The impact is likely to be only slight as the data in the report table and figures were correct. However, some confusion could be caused by the poor labelling, and by the error in FT2611. The error in Annex Table 2.11 was not significant.	A revised version of the section on Second Homes is included in an Errata note which appears on the same web page as the report. The supporting Excel file of data and Annex Tables has been revised. Details of correction to web table FT2611 and presentational improvements to other Second

	FT2611 contained incorrect data for 2009-10.		Homes web tables are given in an Errata note on the Live Tables web page.
December 2011 (2)	Chapter 3 Household Live Tables: Some tables had been incorrectly calculated on a sub-sample rather than all eligible cases. Rents after housing benefit were consistent with the previous Survey of English Housing tables rather than the current English Housing Survey Reports. Some terminology had not been fully explained in footnotes. Underlying cell counts for a small number of cells were very small.	New data provided with figures calculated on all eligible cases. Live Tables are now consistent with the Household Report and explanations of terminology are provided to facilitate interpretation of results and reduce the potential for confusion.	Re-calculation of rents after housing benefit. Improved labelling and footnotes. Some categories collapsed and cells with small sample sizes highlighted. Details of the individual tables and changes are contained in the Errata note on the Household Live Tables webpage. Nine tables were affected.
January 2011 (2)	The number of households including a person who has a long term sickness or disability was incorrect in the 2008 English Housing Survey Stock Report. A small number of missing cases were not reattributed.	The 2008 EHS Stock Report stated 6.35 million households include someone who had a long term sickness or disability. The correct figure is 6.38 million. This only affects the numbers of households quoted in Table 7.3. All percentages in the table and text are correct.	A revised version of Table 7.3 has been included in the Errata note for the 2008 EHS Housing Stock Report and appears on the same page as the report. The 2008 Summary Statistics Tables have been revised to correct the error.
January 2011 (1)	There was an error in the 2008 English Housing Survey Stock Report's estimate of the number of households with working	The 2008 EHS Stock Report incorrectly reported 91% of households had working smoke alarms. The correct estimate	A revised version of the section on smoke alarms (pp 49) is included in an Errata note which appears on the same web page as the report.

	<p>smoke alarms. There was a computational error when bringing together data from the 2007-08 English House Condition Survey (EHCS) and the new 2008-09 English Housing Survey (EHS). This came about due to some differences in the design of the questions from the EHCS and those in the new survey (which originated in the Survey of English Housing).</p>	<p>for this data series is 86% ownership of working smoke alarms for 2008.</p> <p>This error only appears in the 2008 EHS Housing Stock Report.</p>	<p>The relevant 2008 Summary Statistics Tables (SST10 – SST12) have been revised to correct the error.</p>
<p>October 2010 (2)</p>	<p>This revision arises from an error in the EHCS programming of the EPC recommended cost effective upgrades for the 2007 EHCS Annual Report. The programming error resulted in some dwellings that would benefit from modern storage radiators or biomass systems not being recorded as such. The dwellings affected were those from the 2007-08 fieldwork period (the 2006-07 dwellings being correctly programmed).</p> <p>This error affected the reporting of improvements in the 2007 EHCS Annual Report only. It did not affect any reported findings for the 2008-09 EHS Headline Report or</p>	<p>The impact is significant but is limited to the reporting of recommended energy improvements and the potential energy performance arising in the 2007 EHCS Annual Report only. As a result:</p> <p>a) the number of homes that would benefit from cost effective improvement work was 20.6 million in 2007 (rather than the 20.2 million as published); and</p> <p>b) the average SAP rating that could be obtained for the housing stock from these improvements was 61 (not 60 as published).</p>	<p>As the impact is significant and affects a key chapter of the 2007 EHCS Annual Report, a revised version of the two sections on energy improvement (pp 116-131) has been prepared and is available on the same web page as the report. This revision also takes on board the changes necessitated by Revision October 2010(1).</p> <p>The 2007 data file affected (<i>energy performance 06+07.sav</i>) has been corrected and will be included in the 2007 EHCS dataset at the UKDA. A corrected version of this file is also available on request from CLG ehs@communities.gsi.gov.uk</p>

	the EHS Housing Stock Report 2008.		
October 2010 (1)	This revision arises from an error found in the computation of tenure for 2007 EHCS grossing and analysis purposes . 105 housing association cases were mistakenly coded as local authority for 2007/08.	The impact on results is very small (see Action Taken). However it impacts on ALL published 2007 EHCS results (because the change impacts a little across the grossing for all 2007/08 cases, all tenures). This includes 2007 results reported in the 2007 EHCS Headline Report, the 2007 EHCS Annual Report and the 2008/09 EHS Headline Report.	As the impact is very small existing publications will not be corrected and reissued . Selective tables to illustrate the difference the revision makes will be included in the Errata pages for each of the publications affected. The corrected 2007 figures will be used in time series analyses for the 2008 EHS Annual Housing Stock Report (and future time series results). Revised versions of the 2007 EHCS data files (<i>general 06+07.sav</i> and <i>interview 06+07.sav</i>) with corrected tenure and grossing variables will be included in 2007 EHCS dataset at the UKDA (Data Archive) to enable analysts to reproduce corrected figures. Revised versions of these files can also be obtained from the EHS team on request ehs@communities.gsi.gov.uk

--	--	--	--