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Important note about the data in this report

With the exception of figure 3, all analyses in this report are residence-based. Information about an individual’s place of residence is not collected by the HIV and AIDS New Diagnoses and Deaths system (HANDD). Reports to this database are cross-linked to the annual survey of people accessing care for HIV (SOPHID). Please see section 3 for more information on data sources.

If a report could not be linked to a corresponding SOPHID report, the individual’s PHE centre (PHEC) of residence, but not their local authority (LA) of residence, was imputed using the location of the centre at which they were diagnosed.

For most years in the period covered by this report (2006 to 2015) a PHEC of residence can be obtained via this linkage/imputation process for 100% of UK new HIV diagnosis reports.

Of those assigned as residents of London, 1,975 (79%) were known to be residents (identified through linkage). This number will correspond to the numbers provided in the Sexual and Reproductive Health Profiles (http://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/sexualhealth). For the remaining 538 (21%) PHEC of residence was imputed from PHEC of diagnosis.

Imputation was not used to supplement the linkage process in the HIV Spotlight report produced in 2014. This means that the numbers in the new diagnosis section of those reports cannot be compared directly with the numbers in this report.

Numbers may change as more information becomes available to assign area of residence to cases and as historical data is refreshed accordingly.
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1. Summary

HIV remains an important public health problem in London with high and increasing rates of HIV and evidence of sustained HIV transmission in men who have sex with men (MSM).

In 2015, an estimated 40,300 people were living with HIV (PLWH) in London (95% credible interval (CrI) 38,500-43,600) which is 40% of all people living with HIV in the UK. This figure includes both those diagnosed and undiagnosed. It is estimated that one in seven MSM (135 per 1,000 (CrI 101-184)) in London were living with HIV.

New diagnoses

In 2015, an estimated 2,513 London residents were newly diagnosed with HIV (1,975 known residents and 538 where residence has been imputed from PHEC of diagnosis. See page 3 for more information). Numbers may change as more information becomes available to assign area of residence to cases. All residence-based numbers and rates at PHEC level in this report include both known and imputed residents.

For robust trend data we need to examine the number of people newly diagnosed in London clinics (not all of whom are resident in London). In 2015, this was 2,603, a fall of 3% from 2014. There has been a long term trend for a decline in the overall number of new diagnoses due in the main to a fall in the number of new diagnoses in black Africans who have acquired HIV abroad.

There has been a long-term trend for an increase in the number of new HIV diagnoses in MSM, in the context of increased HIV testing, although this has plateaued in recent years. The number of MSM London residents newly diagnosed with HIV in 2015 (1,307, adjusted for missing information) was 31% higher than in 2006.

The new diagnosis rate for London residents aged 15 years or older (35 per 100,000) was above that of England in 2015 (12 per 100,000).

In 2015, 63% of all new diagnoses in London residents were in MSM (compared with 63% in 2014 and 41% in 2006). Of the MSM newly diagnosed with HIV, 71% were white and 40% were UK born.

Heterosexual contact was the second largest exposure route for new diagnoses in London residents in 2015 (32%). African-born persons accounted for 42% of all heterosexually acquired diagnoses in 2015 (n=242), compared with 74% (n=1,036) in
2006. UK born persons accounted for 35% of all heterosexually acquired diagnoses in 2015.

Injecting drug use accounted for 2% of new diagnoses in London in 2015.

Black Africans represented 23% of all newly diagnosed London residents in 2015 (compared to 23% in 2014 and 42% in 2006). A small proportion of new diagnoses in 2015 were in black Caribbeans (4%).

In 2015, the number of new diagnoses among men was highest in the 25-34 year age group, whereas the number of new diagnoses among women was highest in the 35-44 year age group.

**Late diagnoses**

It is of particular concern that a large proportion of people with HIV are diagnosed late in London (34% from 2013 to 2015, compared to 40% in England), as defined by a CD4 count of less than 350 cells/mm$^3$ at diagnosis. Reducing late HIV diagnoses is one of the indicators in the Public Health Outcomes Framework. People who are diagnosed late have a tenfold increased risk of mortality within one year of diagnosis compared to those diagnosed promptly and often have increased healthcare costs.

Heterosexuals were more likely to be diagnosed late (55% among men, 50% among women) than MSM (23%). By ethnic group, black Africans were more likely to be diagnosed late than those of white ethnicity (53% and 25% respectively).

**People living with diagnosed HIV**

The 35,972 people living with diagnosed HIV in London in 2015 was 2% higher than in 2014 and 52% higher than in 2006. This increase is partly due to the effectiveness of HIV treatment, which has reduced the number of deaths from HIV.

The diagnosed prevalence rate of HIV in London in 2015 was 5.8 per 1,000 residents aged 15-59 years. This was over twice as high as the rate of 2.3 per 1,000 observed in England as a whole. All (33) local authorities in London had a diagnosed HIV prevalence rate in excess of 2 per 1,000 population aged 15-59 years in 2015, which is the threshold for expanded HIV testing. Eighteen LAs in London had a diagnosed HIV prevalence above 5 per 1,000.

The two most common routes of transmission for London residents living with diagnosed HIV in 2015 were sex between men (52%) and heterosexual sex (44%).
In 2015, 48% of those living with diagnosed HIV in London were aged between 35 and 49 years, and 33% were aged 50 years and over (up from 13% in 2006). Men represented 71% of London residents living with diagnosed HIV in 2015 and women represented 29%.

In 2015, 48% of London residents living with diagnosed HIV were white and 32% were of black African ethnicity. However, due to the relative sizes of the white and black African populations, the rate per 1,000 population was much higher in black Africans (27.7 per 1,000) than in the white population (4.8 per 1,000).

**People living with undiagnosed HIV**

It is estimated that in 2015, 11% (95% CrI 7%-18%) of people living with HIV in London were undiagnosed, although there is considerable uncertainty in this estimate.

This equates to an estimated 4,420 people living with undiagnosed HIV in London (CrI 2,810-7,809) in 2015, which is one third of all people living with undiagnosed HIV in the UK.

It is estimated that among MSM living with HIV in London, 10% (CrI 4-23%) were unaware of their infection (n= 2,129 MSM (CrI 719-5,400)). An estimated 2,140 heterosexuals (CrI 1,520-3,090) were undiagnosed in London, including 1,101 black Africans.

**HIV treatment cascade**

In the UK, free and accessible HIV treatment and care has resulted in large-scale treatment coverage. In 2015, 84% (33,700/40,300) of all adults living with HIV in London (diagnosed and undiagnosed) were receiving anti-retroviral therapy (ART) and 79% of all adults living with HIV (32,000/40,300) had an undetectable viral load (less than 200 copies/mL).

London is estimated to exceed the ambitious UNAIDS target of 73% of all people living with HIV being virologically suppressed, as laid out in the 90-90-90 goals (90% of people living with HIV being diagnosed, 90% of those diagnosed receiving ART and 90% of those receiving ART to be virally suppressed by 2020).

The equivalent figures for adults in London are:
- an estimated 89% of people living with HIV are diagnosed (although there is considerable uncertainty in this estimate)
- 94% of those diagnosed are receiving ART
- 95% of those on ART are virally suppressed
Implications for prevention

Free and effective ART in the UK has transformed HIV from a fatal infection into a chronic, manageable condition. People living with HIV in the UK can now expect to live into old age if diagnosed promptly. For many people, treatment means one daily tablet with no or few side effects.

There are a number of approaches to the prevention of HIV transmission and continued funding of prevention activities remains critical to curb the HIV epidemic. The key risk groups continue to be MSM and black Africans.

The London HIV Prevention Programme (LHPP) is a London-wide sexual health promotion initiative, funded by every London local authority. The initiative delivers a range of services, including a free MSM condom and lubricant distribution scheme across the capital, online and venue-based outreach, as well as the multi-channel public health campaign “Do It London”. This promotes HIV testing, condom use and safer sex to all residents in the capital http://doitlondon.org/.

Condom use

Correct and consistent condom use remains an extremely effective way to prevent HIV transmission. Investment in HIV prevention has resulted in moderately high rates of condom use in key populations. Work to improve condom use should address underlying factors that lead to risk taking behaviour, especially among MSM. These are diverse and may include low self-esteem, ‘chemsex’ and sero-adaptive behaviour (modifying of sexual behaviour based on one’s own HIV sero-status, the perceived HIV sero-status of a sexual partner, and/or differences in risk of transmission by different sexual acts).

HIV testing

While testing and treatment for HIV in the UK is free and available to all, over 4,400 people living with HIV remain undiagnosed in London and rates of late diagnosis remain high. Late HIV diagnosis is associated with poorer health outcomes, including premature death. Furthermore, since the vast majority of people diagnosed with HIV are effectively treated, most new HIV infections are passed on from persons unaware of their infection.

Undiagnosed HIV infection and onward transmission can be reduced through further HIV testing. HIV testing is particularly important for MSM as more than 2,000 MSM were living with undiagnosed HIV infection in London in 2015 and incidence has remained high. It is also important to promote HIV testing within black African
communities as there are estimated to be more than 1,000 black Africans living with undiagnosed HIV infection in London.

In December 2016, joint PHE and NICE guidelines to increase uptake of HIV testing among people who may have undiagnosed HIV were published, recommending the following:

- services in areas of high HIV prevalence (between 2 and 5 cases of diagnosed HIV per 1,000 people aged 15-59 years) should: Offer and recommend HIV testing to everyone who registers at their GP practice or who is attending a GP practice and is undergoing blood tests for another reason and has not had an HIV test in the previous year; Offer and recommend HIV testing to everyone who attends an STI clinic; Offer and recommend HIV testing on admission to hospital to everyone who has not previously been diagnosed with HIV and who is undergoing blood tests for another reason
- services in areas of extremely high prevalence (5 or more cases of diagnosed HIV per 1,000 people aged 15-59 years) should also: Offer and recommend HIV testing on admission to hospital to everyone who has not previously been diagnosed with HIV; Consider HIV testing opportunistically at each consultation at a GP surgery, based on clinical judgement

In addition, the first 2016 PHE report on HIV testing in England recommended the following to increase testing:

- specialist sexual health clinics (SHCs) should increase HIV testing among all attendees, but especially black African women and MSM
- specialist SHCs should improve the notification and testing of sexual partners of people with HIV
- MSM should be encouraged to have regular HIV tests at specialist SHCs, at other venues, or by ordering self-sampling HIV kits on-line (www.freetesting.hiv).
- HIV testing should improve for patients with hepatitis B and hepatitis C and for people who inject drugs
- two further HIV testing programmes should continue to be developed - the prison based opt-out testing programme for HIV and other blood borne viruses and the latent tuberculosis infection testing and treatment programme
- the current high levels of HIV testing in antenatal care, blood, tissue and organ donation services, among patients with TB and among men attending specialist SHCs, should be maintained

Innovative ways of HIV testing have the potential to be a cost-effective way of increasing testing, especially in key risk groups, such as MSM. PHE established the HIV home-sampling project, where participating local authorities can offer individuals access to ordering a self-sampling kit, taking their own sample in the privacy of their
own home and returning it to a laboratory for testing and results management (www.freetesting.hiv). Sexual health services are evolving across London to include ordering STI self-sampling kits through the internet. Increasingly accurate point-of-care tests are also available for use in community and clinic settings.

Symptoms due to HIV and AIDS may not appear for many years, and people who are unaware of their infection may not feel themselves to be at risk. However, anyone can acquire HIV regardless of age, gender, ethnicity, sexuality or religion and it is essential to challenge assumptions about who is at risk of HIV. As well as increasing awareness of HIV, efforts to reduce stigma and other socio-cultural barriers that prevent people from testing and seeking long-term care must be strengthened.

Partner notification following the diagnosis of HIV infection is a highly effective way to detect undiagnosed HIV infections: in 2015 in England, 5.3% of partners of people diagnosed with HIV were also positive for HIV.

**PrEP**

HIV Pre Exposure Prophylaxis (HIV–PrEP) is the use of antiretroviral agents by people who do not have HIV prior to a potential exposure to HIV to prevent acquisition of infection. Studies have shown that consistent use of HIV-PrEP can be an efficacious and effective prevention intervention. HIV–PrEP has the potential, within a combination prevention approach, to have a significant role in the control of HIV transmission. The first phase of implementation will be the launch of a large scale clinical trial early in the 2017 to 2018 financial year. Although the evidence around the clinical effectiveness of PrEP is strong, advice from PHE has highlighted significant outstanding implementation questions that should be answered prior to using PrEP in a sustained way on a substantial scale in England. These questions will be answered by the clinical trial, paving the way for full roll-out. NHS England will fully fund the cost of the clinical trial phase and will work in partnership with local authorities, the Local Government Association and PHE to implement the findings as part of a wider national roll-out.

**Treatment as prevention (TasP)**

It has been demonstrated that the advantages of ART extend beyond personal clinical benefit. It is now widely understood that effective HIV treatment results in an ‘undetectable’ viral load which is protective from passing on the virus to others.

Revised guidelines from the British HIV Association and World Health Organisation have recently been published which recommend that patients start ART at diagnosis regardless of CD4 count both for clinical benefits and preventing onward transmission. People living with HIV and their health care providers are encouraged to discuss starting ART to reduce their risk of transmitting HIV to their sexual partners.
As rates of other infections transmitted sexually such as gonorrhoea, syphilis, lymphogranuloma venereum, hepatitis C and *Shigella* have been shown to be higher in MSM who are HIV positive, it is important that MSM living with HIV are specifically made aware of the risks of these infections and how to prevent them.

**HIV risk reduction messages**

Always use a condom correctly and consistently, and until all partners have had a sexual health screen.

Unprotected sex with partners believed to be of the same HIV status (serosorting) is unsafe. For the HIV positive person, there is a high risk of acquiring other STIs and hepatitis. For the HIV negative person, there is a high risk of acquiring HIV infection as well as of acquiring STIs and hepatitis.

Early diagnosis of HIV infection enables better treatment outcomes and reduces the risk of transmitting the infection to others. Have an HIV test if you think you may have been at risk.

How to get an HIV test:
- go to an open-access STI clinic (some clinics offer ‘fast-track’ HIV testing) or a community testing site (www.aidsmap.com/hiv-test-finder)
- ask your GP for an HIV test
- request a self-sampling kit online (www.freetesting.hiv) or obtain a self-testing kit

Gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men are advised to test for HIV and other STIs at least annually and every three months if having sex with new or casual partners.

Black African men and women are advised to have an HIV test and a regular HIV and STI screen if having condomless sex with new or casual partners.
2. Charts, tables and maps

Figure 1: New HIV diagnoses per 100,000 population aged 15 years or older by PHE centre of residence, 2015

The number of new diagnoses will depend on accessibility of testing as well as infection transmission.

Figure 2: New HIV diagnoses per 100,000 population aged 15 years or older by upper tier local authority of residence, London residents, 2015

The number of new diagnoses will depend on accessibility of testing as well as infection transmission.
**Figure 3: New HIV diagnoses and deaths, reported from London, 2006-2015**

Please note that this chart is based on the PHEC from which the report originated (which is not necessarily the same as the PHEC of residence) as PHEC of residence is not available for death reports.


The number of new diagnoses will depend on accessibility of testing as well as infection transmission.

*Numbers may rise as further reports are received. This will impact upon interpretation of trends in more recent years.*

**Figure 4: New HIV diagnoses by probable route of infection (adjusted for missing route of infection information), London residents, 2006-2015 (please see footnote on interpreting trends)*


The number of new diagnoses will depend on accessibility of testing as well as infection transmission.

*Numbers may rise as further reports are received and more information is obtained on area of residence of those diagnosed. This is more likely to affect more recent years, particularly 2015. Please see important note on data earlier in this report. This will impact upon interpretation of trends in more recent years.*
Figure 5: Number of new HIV diagnoses by age group and gender (A) and probable route of infection in males (B), London residents, 2015

The number of new diagnoses will depend on accessibility of testing as well as infection transmission.

Figure 6: Number of new HIV diagnoses by ethnic group (adjusted for missing ethnic group information), London residents, 2006-2015 (please see footnote on interpreting trends)*

The number of new diagnoses will depend on accessibility of testing as well as infection transmission.

*Numbers may rise as further reports are received and more information is obtained on area of residence of those diagnosed. This is more likely to affect more recent years, particularly 2015. Please see important note on data earlier in this report. This will impact upon interpretation of trends in more recent years.
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**Figure 7: Number of new HIV diagnoses by world region of birth (adjusted for missing world region of birth information), London residents, 2006-2015 (please see footnote on interpreting trends)**


The number of new diagnoses will depend on accessibility of testing as well as infection transmission.

*Numbers may rise as further reports are received and more information is obtained on area of residence of those diagnosed. This is more likely to affect more recent years, particularly 2015. Please see important note on data earlier in this report. This will impact upon interpretation of trends in more recent years.*

**Figure 8: Percentage of new HIV diagnoses that were diagnosed late by upper tier local authority of residence, London, aged 15 years and over, 2013-2015**


*Only includes new diagnoses for which CD4 count was reported within 91 days of diagnosis; late diagnosis defined as CD4 count <350 cells/mm³.*

The underlying population will impact on the proportion diagnosed late, egg MSM are less likely to be diagnosed late.
Figure 9: Percentage of new HIV diagnoses that were diagnosed late by probable exposure category (A) and ethnic group (B), London residents, aged 15 years and over, 2013-2015*

(a) Probable exposure category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>% diagnosed late</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex between men</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Het. contact - M</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Het. contact - F</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting drug use</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Ethnic group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Group</th>
<th>% diagnosed late</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black African</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Caribbean</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


* Only includes new diagnoses for which CD4 count was reported within 91 days of diagnosis; late diagnosis defined as CD4 count <350 cells/mm³.

Figure 10: Diagnosed HIV prevalence per 1,000 residents aged 15-59 years by PHE Centre, 2015

Rate per 1,000 population

- Expanded HIV testing threshold (2 per 1,000)
- Very high prevalence (5 per 1,000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Rate per 1,000 population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Midlands</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of England</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Midlands</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire and Humber</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11: Number of residents living with diagnosed HIV and accessing care, London, 2006-2015


Figure 12: Number of residents living with diagnosed HIV and accessing care by probable route of exposure (adjusted for missing information), London, 2015

Figure 13: Percentage of residents with diagnosed HIV and accessing care by age group, London, 2006 and 2015


Figure 14: Diagnosed HIV prevalence per 1,000 residents by ethnic group aged 15-59 years, London, 2015

Figure 15: Diagnosed HIV prevalence per 1,000 residents aged 15-59 years by local authority, London, 2015


Figure 16: Diagnosed HIV prevalence per 1,000 residents aged 15-59 years by local authority, London, 2015

Figure 17: The London HIV treatment cascade among adults living with HIV, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>People living with HIV (n=40,300)</th>
<th>People diagnosed with HIV (n=35,800)</th>
<th>On treatment (n=33,700)</th>
<th>Virally suppressed (n=32,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The number of diagnosed from multi-parameter evidence synthesis data. * Viral load (VL) <200 copies/ml
3. Information on data sources

- HIV & AIDS New Diagnoses and Deaths (HANDD) collects information on new HIV diagnoses, AIDS at diagnosis and deaths among people diagnosed with HIV. Information is received from laboratories, genitourinary medicine (GUM) clinics, GPs and other services where HIV testing takes place in England, Wales and Northern Ireland.). The Recent Infection Testing Algorithm (RITA) and CD4 surveillance scheme are linked to HANDD to assess trends in recent and late diagnoses. Data is deduplicated across regions and therefore figures may differ from country-specific data.

- The Survey of Prevalent HIV Infections Diagnosed (SOPHID) began in 1995 and is a cross-sectional survey of all adults living with diagnosed HIV infection who attend for HIV care in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. SOPHID collects information about the individual’s place of residence along with epidemiological data including clinical stage and antiretroviral therapy (ART). As of 2016, SOPHID has been replaced by the HIV & AIDS Reporting System (HARS).

- Date of data extract: October 2016. Updates to HANDD and SOPHID/HARS made after this date will not be reflected in this report.

- Confidence intervals for rates in the figures have been calculated to the 95% level using the Byar’s method; confidence intervals for percentages have been calculated to the 95% level using the Wilson Score method (see http://www.apho.org.uk/resource/item.aspx?RID=48457). Confidence intervals presented in the text are produced by Bayesian analysis.

- ONS mid-year estimates for 2015 were used as a denominator for rates for 2015.

- The data behind charts showing absolute numbers has been adjusted for missing information; however, unless stated otherwise, the numbers in the summary section are the numbers as reported, ie unadjusted counts. Where charts are displaying adjusted data this is indicated in the chart title.

- The denominators for all percentages exclude records for which information was unknown, ie the proportion of new diagnoses where probable route of infection was sex between men would be calculated using new diagnoses for which route of infection was known as the denominator.
4. Further information

Please access the online ‘Sexual and Reproductive Health Profiles' for further information on a whole range of sexual health indicators:
http://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/sexualhealth

For more information on local sexual health data sources please access the PHE guide:

For more information on STIs in London please access:

For more information on syphilis in London please access:

For more information on HIV and STIs in men who have sex with men please access:

Local authorities have access to LA HIV, sexual and reproductive health epidemiology reports (LASERs) and other HIV and STI intelligence via the HIV and STI portal. They should contact josh.forde@phe.gov.uk if they do not have access to this information.
5. About Field Epidemiology Services

The Field Epidemiology Service (FES) supports Public Health England Centres and partner organisations through the application of epidemiological methods to inform public health action.

FES does this in two main ways, firstly by providing a flexible expert resource, available, as and when needed, to undertake epidemiological investigations for key health protection work and secondly through the expert analysis, interpretation and dissemination of surveillance information to PHE Centres, local health partners, service providers and commissioners of services.

Within the FES network, excellence and innovation is encouraged, we foster academic collaborations and take active part and lead in research, development and training.

You can contact your local FES team at fes.seal@phe.gov.uk

If you have any comments or feedback regarding this report or the FES service, please contact josh.forde@phe.gov.uk.
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