Key to the MDR One Page Assessment Summaries

The MDR Assessment framework

The MDR Assessment Framework is made up of 16 separate **Assessment Questions**, which are grouped into 6 different areas, known as **Components**. The first three components together make up the **'Match with UK Priorities Index'**. Components four to six collectively make up the **'Organisational Strengths Index'**. The different parts of the MDR assessment framework are shown below.

Multilateral agencies were awarded a score between 0.5 and 4 for each of the 16 assessment questions, with scores taking half point values beginning at 0.5 and going up to 4 (i.e. 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4). Questions were assessed and scored using the labels shown below, chosen to suggest progression of performance.

Descriptor	Score
Strong	4
Satisfactory +	3.5
Satisfactory	3
Weak +	2.5
Weak	2
Unsatisfactory +	1.5
Unsatisfactory	1 or less

Assessment Question Scores and Descriptors

Assessment question scores were averaged together to produce scores for each agency for each of the six components; for its match with UK priorities; and for its organisational strengths. The formula used for calculating these component and index scores is shown within the MDR Assessment Framework diagram below. Unlike the assessment question scores, component and index scores were categorised using a four colour traffic light categorisation. The table below shows the thresholds chosen for each traffic light rating, along with the descriptor used.

Descriptor	Traffic Light	Score
Very Good		3.01 to 4
Good		2.51 to 3.0
Adequate		2.01 to 2.5
Weak		0 to 2.0

Component and Index Scores and Descriptors

DFID Funding Chart

The funding chart included on each summary assessment page shows DFID's latest published multilateral core and bilateral through multilateral Official Development Assistance (ODA) to the organisation, as included in our National Statistics release, 'Statistics on International Development'. This data is based on payments made in each calendar year.

UK Burden Share

This is the UK's latest burden share, and represents our core funding to the agency as a proportion of all of the core funding it received. Depending on the frequency with which we provide funding to the agency, the burden share could relate to a specific year, a biennium or to a particular replenishment. This has been made clear in the text.

MDR Assessment Framework Structure

Index	Component	Assessment Question
Match with UK Priorities (average of 1+2+3)	1. What it does (average of A+B)	A: Critical role: does the agency have a critical role in delivering DFID's Strategic Objectives, including achieving the Global Goals and improving resilience and response to crises?
		B: Comparative advantage : does the agency provide an advantage over UK bilateral aid?
	2. How it Delivers (average of C+D+E+F)	C: Partnership : does the agency work well with others to achieve UK and international development outcomes?
		D: Leave No-one Behind : does the agency take action to meet the Global Goal to leave no-one behind?
		E: Gender : does the agency ensure a suitable focus on girls and women in its policies, investment choices and partnerships?
		F: Climate: does the agency support 'climate smart' development, and resilience to disasters and other climate shocks?
	3. Where it works (average of G+H)	G: Geography and Resources : does the agency work in the right places for its particular role and mandate, informed by an appropriate graduation strategy?
		H: Performance in fragile states: does the agency perform well in fragile and conflict-affected states?


Index	Component	Assessment Question
Organisational strengths (average of 4+5+6)	4. Results and value (average of I+J+K+L)	I: Results: does the agency demonstrate delivery against results and objectives?
		J: Controlling Costs: does the agency take action to drive down costs to secure value for money?
		K: Efficiency : does the agency demonstrate efficiency in managing its operations and programme and investment choices?
		L: Human Resources: does the agency deploy Human Resources for maximum impact?
	5. Risk and assurance (average of M+N)	M: Risk and assurance: does the agency promote risk management and assurance in its corporate governance?
		N: Fraud : does the agency prevent, detect and take sanctions against fraud and corruption?
	6. Transparency and accountability (average of O+P)	O: Transparency : does the agency strive to exceed global aid transparency standards?
		P: Accountability : Is the agency accountable to partner governments or clients and beneficiaries through all of its work?

European Commission Development Cooperation Instrument and European Development Fund (EU)

Assessment Summary: The EU is a key development partner with a significant role in meeting UK and international objectives through its neutrality, influence and convening power.

The EU works well in fragile and conflict-affected states and targets programmes at those most in need. It is also committed to transparency, as a member of the International Aid Transparency Initiative (IATI). Steps to improve the efficiency of programme processes and improvements in risk management are welcome, but more evidence of the impact of these measures would make it clearer where more work needs to be done. Since the 2013 MAR Update, the EU has made good progress on transparency, and taken important steps to improve reporting of results and gender equality. While there has been progress on getting the right staff to the right places, this remains an area for improvement.

Britain has voted to leave the European Union. While we remain a member we will continue to effectively use our position to produce real changes in the EU development programme in line with UK priorities and ensure that the EU helps us keep our promise to the world's poorest people.



UK Engagement: The UK is one of 28 Member States of the EU, and contributed approximately 15% of the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and European Development Fund (EDF) in 2014. The EU's Overseas Development Assistance budget is sizeable: EDF = &30.5 billion and DCI = &19.7 billion for 2014-20.

The European Commission's Directorate-General for International cooperation and Development works according to legal obligations set up by Member States and the European Parliament. The UK uses its position in the Council of the EU to influence EU development assistance policy and allocations. DFID officials attend EU Council Working Party Meetings on Development (CODEV) as well as the Member State-led Management Committees of the DCI and EDF.