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Introduction

This report presents the key statistics on activity in the Criminal Justice System (CJS) for England and Wales. It provides information for the latest twelve months (June 2015 to June 2016) with accompanying commentary, analysis and presentation of longer term trends.

The data provides users with information about proven offending and its outcomes in England and Wales. The contents of this bulletin will be of interest to government policy makers in the development of policy and their subsequent monitoring and evaluation. Others will be interested in the way different crimes are dealt with in the CJS. Where appropriate, comparisons are made with different sources covering activity in the CJS – in particular, numbers of crimes recorded by the police, often the starting point for crimes dealt with by other CJS agencies.

In this publication, criminal offences are divided into four main offence groups:

- **Indictable proceedings** cover the more serious offences such as violent and sexual offences and robbery. Initial proceedings are heard at magistrates’ courts but they then may be passed on to the Crown Court, either for sentencing, or for a full trial with a judge and jury. They are split into two categories:
  - **Indictable only** offences, which can only be tried on indictment in the Crown Court by a judge and jury; and
  - **Triable-either-way** offences, which are triable either summarily in a magistrates’ court or on indictment in the Crown Court;

- **Summary proceedings** cover typically less serious offences which are almost always handled entirely in magistrates’ courts when dealt with in court, with the majority being completed at the first hearing. They are split into two categories:
  - **Summary non-motoring** proceedings, such as TV license evasion and less serious criminal damage; and
  - **Summary motoring** proceedings, such as speeding and driving whilst disqualified.

---

1. Findings from the Crime Survey for England and Wales and police recorded crime data are published together in statistical bulletins by the Office for National Statistics.

2. All offences committed by a child or young person (someone aged 10-17 years old) can be dealt with by a youth court, subject to the following exceptions which mean that the offence must be dealt with by the Crown Court: homicide; grave crimes where the youth court has determined that, if convicted, a sentence beyond its powers should be available; firearms possession by 16-17 year olds; offences that would attract at least four years custody under the dangerousness provisions; and where it is necessary in the interests of justice to try a youth and adult together.
The majority of information presented in this publication is reported on the principal offence and principal sentence. Where proceedings involve more than one offence, the principal offence is reported. The basis for the selection of the principal offence is as follows:

- Where a defendant is found guilty of one offence and acquitted of another, the offence selected is the one for which they are found guilty;
- Where a defendant is found guilty of two or more offences, the offence selected is the one for which the heaviest sentence is imposed;
- Where the same disposal is imposed for two or more offences, the offence selected is the one for which the statutory maximum penalty is the most severe.

The offence shown for each court proceeding is the one for which the court took its final decision and is not necessarily the same as the offence for which the defendant was initially prosecuted. Unless otherwise stated, the sentence shown is the most severe sentence or order given for the principal offence (i.e. the principal sentence) with secondary sentences given for the principal offence and sentences for non-principal offences not being counted in the tables.

The data presented in this publication are provisional. Final data for each calendar year is published in May each year in our Criminal Justice Statistics annual bulletin, following further data cleaning and the incorporation of additional cases not available in our original extracts of administrative data.

In the previous quarterly release, we observed a substantial fall in the number of defendants prosecuted in magistrates’ courts that we received relating to March 2016 (relative to what we expected based on March 2015 and recent trends), together with a smaller fall in February 2016. On investigation, we have been able to partially rectify this source data issue through an interim solution, with figures on a principal offence basis now aligned with expected trends where the number of defendants prosecuted in magistrates’ courts remain broadly stable. We have not, however, been able to rectify a related issue with the secondary offences. As a result, figures on an ‘all offence’ basis (i.e. including secondary as well as principal offences) typically presented quarterly are being withheld from this bulletin for the latest year. We are continuing to work towards a permanent solution to this data issue, with the aim of providing robust figures on both a principal and all offence bases in future bulletins. We continue to advise caution in interpreting any changes in trends until this solution is in place.
Contents of Criminal Justice Statistics quarterly publication

We are publishing the following products as part of this release:

- This statistical bulletin, with commentary on trends in criminal justice statistics.
- A technical guide providing further information on how the data is collected and processed, as well as information on legislation relevant to sentencing trends and background on the functioning of the criminal justice system.
- A set of overview tables, covering each section of this bulletin: an overview, out of court disposals, prosecutions, convictions, remand decisions and sentencing; as well as a diagram showing flows through the criminal justice system. (Tables 1.2 and 3.4 and the flow diagram do not contain all offence breakdowns for the latest year, due to the data issue described above.)
- A set of offending histories summary tables, alongside three data tools providing further information on first time entrants and offending histories.

Alongside this bulletin we are publishing some experimental statistics on failure to appear (FTA) by Police Force Area, as derived from court management information systems (see Data Sources section below for further details). Until Criminal Justice Statistics: September 2015, we had published quarterly FTA warrant information derived from police recording, but the removal of this data from the Home Office Annual Data Requirement and resulting reduction in coverage meant credible data could no longer be provided. In response to user feedback to our consultation, in May 2016 we committed to investigating the suitability of court data as an alternative source of information on FTA; these experimental statistics are intended to provide users with an opportunity to comment on the value and credibility of what we may seek to include in future bulletins, based on court recording of FTA.

Please note that these FTA statistics refer to calendar year 2015 data, which does not align with the time period covered by the statistics in this quarterly bulletin.

All feedback and comments about these experimental statistics would be welcomed, and can be addressed to:

David Dawson, Head of Criminal Justice Outcomes and Equalities Statistics
Ministry of Justice, 7th Floor, 102 Petty France, London, SW1H 9AJ
Email: CJS_Statistics@justice.gsi.gov.uk
Data Sources

This report draws on data from the following data sources:

- Data on Penalty Notices for Disorder (PNDs) from 2013 onwards are drawn from the PentiP system. Prior to this, data were collected from individual police forces.

- From April 2011 all cautions data are collected from the Police National Computer (PNC). Prior to this, data were collected from individual police forces. Cautions and sentencing data within the offending histories section are also collected from the PNC.

- Data on cannabis / khat warnings, community resolutions and offences taken into consideration (TICs) are supplied by the Home Office.

- Statistics on proceedings, convictions, remand and sentencing are either derived from the LIBRA case management system, which holds magistrates’ court records, or the CREST system, which holds Crown Court trial and sentencing data. Prior to November 2008 the police reported on magistrate court proceedings.

A technical document titled “A Guide to Criminal Justice Statistics” is available alongside this bulletin, which provides users with detailed information on the concepts and methods used in compiling this bulletin, including the quality of the data, along with guidance on statistical revisions and forthcoming changes.

Interpretation

This publication presents information for the latest 12 month period (the twelve months ending June 2016) alongside the same rolling 12 month periods for the previous ten years. The comparison of 12 rolling month periods has the advantage over reporting on shorter timeframes – for example, covering only the latest quarter – of avoiding misinterpretation of short-term fluctuations caused by seasonality (for example, reduced court volumes every December when many of the courts are closed over the Christmas period), and enables the presentation of longer-term trends across comparable reporting periods.

In this publication:
- the "latest year" refers to the 12 months ending June 2016;
- the "previous year" refers to the 12 months ending June 2015; and
- any other reference period will be referred to explicitly.

Further guidance on the symbols and conventions used in the bulletin is provided in the ‘Explanatory notes’ section.
Key Findings

The total number of individuals (which includes people and companies) who have been dealt with formally by the CJS in England and Wales, has been declining since 2007, and is now at a record low level (period 1970 to June 2016), with 1.7 million individuals dealt with in the 12 months ending June 2016.

In the latest year the use of out of court disposals has continued to decrease, while the number of defendants proceeded against at magistrates’ courts has remained broadly stable; with an observed fall in those proceeded against for indictable offences offsetting both summary non-motororing and summary motoring offences having continued to increase.

The numbers of offenders convicted remained broadly stable in the 12 months ending June 2016. The conviction ratio was unchanged at 84% in the latest year.

The total number of offenders sentenced has also remained broadly stable, increasing by 1% in the year ending June 2016. The number of offenders sentenced for summary offences increased 4% while the number of offenders sentenced for indictable offences fell by 8%. The custody rate for indictable offences has increased year on year for the past five years, and in the most recent year rose to 27%. The average custodial sentence length (ACSL) in the latest year was 16.4 months, an increase of 1% on the previous year.

Offending Histories

Offenders dealt with for indictable offences with no previous convictions and cautions are for the first time more likely to go to court and be convicted (51%) as they were to receive a caution, compared to only 23% 10 years ago.

38% of adults convicted of an indictable offence in the 12 months ending June 2016 had a long criminal record (15 or more previous convictions or cautions) compared to 29% in the 12 months ending June 2006.

40% of persistent offenders (those with 8 or more convictions or cautions) in the 12 months ending June 2016 were given their first official sanction for a theft offence.
1. Overview of the Criminal Justice System

Figure 1.1: Flows through the CJS, 12 months ending June 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defendants proceeded against at magistrates’ courts</td>
<td>1,491,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number found guilty at Crown Court</td>
<td>88,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number committed for sentence at Crown Court</td>
<td>19,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number sentenced by the Crown Court</td>
<td>68,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilty Plea</td>
<td>64,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Guilty Plea</td>
<td>4,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custody</td>
<td>1,187,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Guilty Plea</td>
<td>9,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custody</td>
<td>75,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>41,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation</td>
<td>164,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sentenced to custody</td>
<td>88,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average custodial sentence length</td>
<td>15.4 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison receptions</td>
<td>56,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sentenced to be served in the community</td>
<td>154,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison receptions</td>
<td>142,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation starts</td>
<td>32,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sentences to be served in the community</td>
<td>154,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average custodial sentence length</td>
<td>15.4 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prison receptions</td>
<td>56,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sentenced to be served in the community</td>
<td>154,721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total number of individuals (which includes people and companies) who have been dealt with formally by the CJS in England and Wales has been declining since 2007, with 1.7 million individuals dealt with in the year ending June 2016.

In the latest year, the use of out of court disposals has continued to decrease, while the number of defendants proceeded against at magistrates’ courts has been broadly stable. There has been a fall in prosecutions for indictable offences, but this has been offset by the number of prosecutions for motoring and non-motoring summary offences having continued to increase.

3 As outlined in the Introduction section, an interim solution has been put in place to correct an unexplained drop in volumes observed for February and March 2016 in the number of defendants proceeded against at magistrates’ courts. The data presented in this publication are provisional and are subject to change for the 2016 Criminal Justice Statistics annual bulletin, which is scheduled for publication in May 2017.
Comparisons with crime statistics

Latest figures from the Crime Survey for England and Wales (CSEW); year ending June 2016 showed that, for the offences it covers, there were an estimated 6.4 million incidents of crime against households and resident adults (aged 16 and over) in England and Wales. This was not significantly different compared with the previous year’s estimate (6.5 million in the year ending June 2015). The CSEW covers a broad range of victim based crimes and includes crimes which do not come to the attention of the police. Furthermore, following the addition of new questions to the survey, it was estimated there were also 3.6 million fraud and 2.0 million computer misuse offences experienced by victims in the 12 months prior to their interview (interviews took place between the beginning of October 2015 and end of June 2016).

In contrast to the CSEW, there was a 7% increase in police recorded crime compared with the previous year, to 4.6 million recordings. The renewed focus on the quality of crime recording is thought to have led to improved compliance with national recording standards, leading to proportionally more crimes reported to the police being recorded by them.

This is thought to have particularly affected the recording of “violence against the person” offences, which have increased by 24%. In contrast, the number of defendants proceeded against in the courts for this offence group decreased by 1% in the year ending June 2016. As demonstrated by this apparent disparity in trends, care should be taken when making comparisons between estimated victims data, crime data, counted on a crime basis and courts data, counted on a defendant basis.

---

4 Individuals includes people and companies. A single individual can be counted more than once in a given year if they are dealt with by the CJS on more than one separate occasion. Excludes individuals dealt with by way of a community resolution.

5 See Crime in England and Wales, year ending June 2016
   www.ons.gov.uk/releases/crimeinenglandandwalesyearendingjune2016

6 This is the published figure for the overall change in police recorded crime. It may not align with the change shown in our overview tables, due to differences in which offences are included (we exclude fraud to allow for consistent comparisons over our time series).
2. Out of Court Disposals

Out of court disposals (OOCDs)\textsuperscript{7} are sanctions that are used by the police, with reference to the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS), to address offences without recourse to the courts. OOCDs have an important role to play in the criminal justice system. They allow the police to deal quickly and proportionately with low-level, often first-time offending which does not merit prosecution at court, freeing them up to spend more time on frontline duties and tackling serious crime. OOCDs can also provide reparation and a prompt resolution for victims.

The OOCDs available to the police and CPS up to the 12 months ending June 2016 included: cannabis and khat warnings\textsuperscript{8}, Penalty Notices for Disorder (PNDs), simple\textsuperscript{9} and conditional cautions\textsuperscript{10}, and community resolutions\textsuperscript{11}.

\textbf{OOCDs have continued to decrease since the year ending June 2007}

The use of OOCDs (excluding community resolutions) decreased by 39,600 (17\%) in the latest year, with 200,100 individuals issued an OOCD. This continues the steady decline in the use of OOCDs; a decrease of 463,800 (70\%) since June 2007.

The observed decrease was driven by a number of factors: the replacement in April 2008 of a target to increase offences brought to justice (OBTJ) with one placing more emphasis on bringing serious crimes to justice, which was subsequently removed in May 2010 (it is thought the change in target had a greater impact on OOCDs than on convictions); the introduction of community resolutions, a non-statutory disposal available to the police since 2008/09; and the restriction of the use of PNDs to adults only from 8\textsuperscript{th} April 2013. In addition, there has been a steady decrease in police recorded crime across England and Wales from 2003/04 until 2013/14 and in police stops and searches since 2010/11.

\textsuperscript{7} A pilot scheme was implemented from early November in Leicestershire and Staffordshire police forces and from late November in West Yorkshire to reduce the types of out of court disposals available for adult offenders. In the pilot areas, the only out of court disposals available are community resolutions and conditional cautions.

\textsuperscript{8} Khat warnings are available from 24 June 2014.

\textsuperscript{9} Available to adults only until 8\textsuperscript{th} April 2013 at which point youth cautions were introduced, replacing reprimands and warnings for youths.

\textsuperscript{10} From 8\textsuperscript{th} April 2013, youth conditional cautions were made available for all 10-17 year olds in England and Wales. Originally, youth conditional cautions were only available for 16 and 17 year olds in five pilot areas from 26 January 2010.

\textsuperscript{11} Community resolutions statistics provided by the Home Office show those community resolutions which (with or without formal Restorative Justice) have been applied in accordance with College of Policing guidance.
Cautions, PNDs and Cannabis and Khat warnings have each individually continued to decline

**Penalty Notices for Disorder**

There were 41,400 PNDs issued in the 12 months ending June 2016, which represents a 14,800 (26%) decrease from the previous year; the largest decline amongst the different forms of OOCD. The use of PNDs has been decreasing year on year since the peak in the 12 months ending June 2007 when 213,700 were issued. The decrease in the number of PNDs issued followed a number of reforms associated with the decline in OOCDs more generally.

**Cannabis and Khat Warnings**

Cannabis warnings could be issued to adults from 2004, while khat warnings could be issued to adults from June 2014. There were 36,300 cannabis and khat warnings issued in the latest year, a decrease of 6,300 (15%) from the previous year.

**Police Cautions and Cautioning Rate**

There were 122,300 offenders given simple and conditional cautions in the latest year. This represents an 18,600 (13%) decrease compared with the previous year. Offenders cautioned for indictable offences (including triable-either-way offences) decreased by 11,300 (13%) to 73,500.

Theft, drug and criminal damage offences accounted for 56,500 (77%) of all offenders cautioned for indictable offences. The number of offenders administered a caution for a sexual offence in the latest year was the lowest over the past ten years. The number of offenders cautioned for sexual offences decreased by 100 (8%) compared with the previous year and 860 (44%) compared with the 12 months ending June 2007.
The cautioning rate presents the proportion of offenders who were either cautioned or convicted that were given a caution. This gives a measure of the share of all offenders either admitting guilt or being found guilty in a given period who are dealt with by a caution out of court as opposed to being processed through the courts.

The overall cautioning rate in the latest year was 14%, which was a decline from a peak of 32% in the 12 months ending June 2007 and down by two percentage points compared with the previous year.

**Community Resolutions**

Community resolution is a non-statutory disposal available to the police and was rolled out in 2008/09. It is a new form of OOCD presenting a proportionate method of dealing with an offender for a lower-level crime, intended to be used in some cases where previously other disposals like PNDs and cannabis and khat warnings might have been used. Resolutions can be offered when the offender admits an offence and, in most cases, where the victim has agreed that they do not want more formal action taken.

The Home Office began centrally collecting data from forces on community resolutions from April 2014, and published national figures for the first time in July 2015. Their latest publication shows that 119,300 community resolutions were administered by the police in the 12 months ending June 2016; an increase of 1,200 (1%) from the 12 months ending June 2015. However, we are unable to isolate the direct effect of their availability on the decreasing volumes of other forms of OOCDs, in particular how individuals may have been displaced from another category of disposal to community resolutions.

Further information about community resolutions can be obtained from the Home Office publication at the link below:
3. Court Proceedings and Convictions

Defendants will start proceedings at magistrates’ courts, regardless of the seriousness of the offence charged against them. Less serious offences (summary offences) will be entirely dealt with by magistrates’ courts. The more serious offences (indictable offences) may be dealt with by the Crown Court. The most serious indictable offences (indictable only offences) must be tried at the Crown Court, the remaining indictable offences (triable-either-way) can either be tried at a magistrates’ court or Crown Court.

The total number of defendants prosecuted in the latest 12 month period has remained broadly stable, but the trend differs by offence type.

In the 12 months ending June 2016, 1.49 million defendants\textsuperscript{12} were prosecuted at magistrates’ courts. The total number of prosecutions increased by 1% compared to the previous year. This figure was broadly declining until the 12 months ending June 2015 when it increased, and since then it has been fairly stable.

The number of defendants prosecuted at magistrates’ courts for indictable offences has seen an overall decline since the 12 months ending June 2011, from 470,000 to 340,000 in the latest year. Prior to the increase in defendants prosecuted for summary offences in the last two years, this had also been declining almost year on year since the 12 months ending June 2006.

Figure 3.1: Defendants prosecuted at magistrates’ courts, 12 months ending June 2006 to 12 months ending June 2016, and proportions of all proceedings for 12 months ending June 2006, June 2011 and June 2016, by type of offence

\textsuperscript{12} As outlined in the Introduction section, an interim solution has been put in place to correct an unexplained drop in volumes observed for February and March 2016 in the number of defendants proceeded against in magistrates’ courts. The data presented in this publication are provisional and are subject to change for the 2016 Criminal Justice Statistics annual bulletin, which is scheduled for publication in May 2017.
In the latest year, sexual offences and possession of a weapon were the only indictable offence groups which saw an increase in the number of defendants prosecuted. Sexual offences saw a 10% increase from 12,000 in the year ending June 2015 to 13,000 in the year ending June 2016. This figure is the highest in the decade, and sharply increased after the year ending June 2013.

Defendants prosecuted for theft offences made up a third of all defendants prosecuted for indictable offences in the latest year, and this proportion has been fairly stable over the decade. This is the highest volume indictable offence group. Within the group, shoplifting is the highest volume offence.

The conviction ratio has remained broadly stable over the past decade

In a similar trend to prosecutions, the total number of offenders convicted – that is, defendants who plead or are found guilty – had remained fairly stable in the latest year following an increase in the previous year. In the most recent year, the total number of offenders convicted of an indictable offence reduced overall by 7%. Within this offence type, however, the number of offenders convicted of possession of weapons, sexual offences and violence against the person increased (by 11, 9 and 6% respectively). The number of offenders convicted of summary offences increased by 4%. As with prosecutions, this increase in defendants convicted of summary offences is relatively recent; the number was declining until the 12 months ending June 2014.

Trends in the number of offenders convicted and sentenced at all courts are driven by two factors; the number of individuals dealt with through the courts (the trend in prosecutions) and the proportion of those individuals who are found guilty. Conviction ratios are calculated as the number of convictions as a proportion of the number of proceedings. This gives a measure of the relative number of defendants who are found guilty within a given year for a certain offence, when compared with the number who are prosecuted that year for the same offence. It is worth noting that offenders found guilty in a given year may well have been proceeded against in previous year. Furthermore, defendants may be found guilty of a different offence to that for which they were originally proceeded against.

Prior to the 12 months ending June 2008, the conviction ratio had been increasing, but has since remained broadly stable, ranging from 83% in the 12 months ending June 2008 to 84% in the latest year. A similar trend has been seen in summary offences, for which the conviction ratio was also 84% in the latest year. However, the conviction ratio for indictable offences is at its highest in a decade (83%).
Figure 3.2: Conviction ratio and defendant proceedings and convictions at all courts, 12 months ending June 2006 to 12 months ending June 2016
4. Remands

Police bail and custodial remands have been declining

Police remands are decisions made by a police officer on whether to detain or bail a defendant pending their first appearance in court or send a notice summoning them to appear in court. In the latest year, there were 1.56 million defendants directed to appear at magistrates’ courts (including those who failed to appear). The proportion of defendants remanded in custody by the police decreased to 10% in the latest year, whereas over the previous four years this varied between 11% and 12%. The proportion of defendants arrested and bailed by police decreased to 23% in the latest year, from 26% in the previous year, whilst the proportion being summonsed increased from 64% to 67%.

The proportion of defendants remanded in custody at the Crown Court declined

Court remands are court decisions on whether a defendant charged with a criminal offence should be held in custody, or released on bail, during the period of their trial or while they are awaiting sentence.

Figure 4.1: Defendants’ remand status in magistrates’ courts and the Crown Court, 12 months ending June 2012 to 12 months ending June 2016

In the latest year, bail was granted to 18% of defendants proceeded against at magistrates’ courts, while 4% were remanded in custody and the remaining 78% had their case concluded at magistrates’ courts without being remanded.

Defendants are more likely to be remanded in custody for more serious, indictable offences than summary offences – as a result, the proportion of defendants remanded in custody at the Crown Court is higher than at magistrates’ courts. In the latest year, the proportion of defendants tried at the Crown Court who were remanded in custody was 36%, compared with 37% in the previous year. The proportion of defendants remanded on bail was 48%, compared with 49% in the previous year.
Defendants remanded in custody at the Crown Court were more likely to receive immediate custodial sentences.

The proportion of defendants remanded in custody then sentenced to immediate custody remained broadly stable in the latest year. Of those defendants remanded in custody at the Crown Court in the latest year, 71% were sentenced to immediate custody. 16% of defendants remanded in custody by magistrates’ courts were sentenced there to immediate custody; although given that 58% of defendants remanded in custody in magistrates’ courts were committed for trial or sentencing at the Crown Court, which likely reflects the more serious nature of their crimes, it is expected that considerably more will have ultimately received a custodial sentence.

Figure 4.2: Outcome of proceedings for defendants remanded in custody in magistrates’ courts and the Crown Court, 12 months ending June 2016
5. Sentencing

The total number of offenders sentenced at all courts has fallen since the 12 months ending June 2006, from 1.46m to 1.25m in the latest year\(^{13}\). The number of offenders sentenced has declined over the last decade for both indictable offences (by 19%) and summary offences (by 12%). In the most recent year, the number of offenders sentenced for summary offences has increased (by 4%) while the number of offenders sentenced for indictable offences has continued to decline (by 8%). The total number of offenders sentenced has remained broadly stable, increasing by 1% in the latest year. This mirrors the trend in proceedings and convictions.

Fines have become more prevalent

The most common sentence given is a fine, accounting for 73% of offenders sentenced in the latest year. The proportion of offenders sentenced to fines has increased by 8 percentage points since the year ending June 2011. This is due to changes in offence mix and legislation; mostly an increase in the proportion of summary offences (especially speeding and TV licence evasion). Fines are much less common for indictable offences, accounting for 18% of offenders sentenced.

Figure 5.1: Sentencing outcomes (percentage of all offenders sentenced) at all courts, 12 months ending June 2006 to 12 months ending June 2016

\(^{13}\) As outlined in the Introduction section, an interim solution has been put in place to correct an unexplained drop in volumes observed for February and March 2016 in the number of defendants proceeded against in magistrates’ courts. The data presented in this publication are provisional and are subject to change for the 2016 Criminal Justice Statistics annual bulletin, which is scheduled for publication in May 2017.
Offender outcomes vary by offence type

For indictable only offences, a greater proportion of offenders (75%) receive immediate custody than any other type of sentence. Triable either way offences receive a much wider variety of sentences; with the most common being community sentences, immediate custody, fines, suspended sentences and conditional discharges. See the technical guide for details of what each sentence entails.

Figure 5.2: Sentencing outcomes for indictable only, triable-either-way and summary offences (motoring and non-motoring) at all courts, 12 months ending June 2016

14 See the technical guide for details of what each sentence entails.
Considering these offence groups separately makes it easier to see trends in sentences other than fines for summary offences.

**Figure 5.3: Trends in sentencing outcomes for indictable offences at all courts, 12 months ending June 2006 to 12 months ending June 2016**

The use of suspended sentences has been increasing

Since the year ending June 2006, the proportion of offenders given suspended sentences has increased by 3 percentage points. They were rarely given before the introduction of the suspended sentence order with community requirements by the Criminal Justice Act (CJA) in 2003. Under the Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act (LASPO) 2012, these provisions were amended so that, since December 2012, custodial sentences of two years or less can be suspended and the imposition of community requirements is discretionary. This may have contributed to the increase in suspended sentences.

Community sentences have been declining for all offences but have increased in the latest year for indictable offences

From the year ending 2006, the use of various other sentence types, including community sentences, has decreased. The use of community sentences decreased more sharply since the year ending June 2011, from 14% to 9% of sentences given. This may be a result of the Criminal Justice and Immigration Act (CJIA) 2008, which restricted their usage and led to other sentences becoming more prevalent.

The overall custody rate has remained fairly stable, but increased for indictable offences

The custody rate (i.e. the proportion of sentences which are immediate custody) has remained fairly stable over the decade, between 6.7 and 8.2%. This is due to the custody rate for summary offences (which make up the majority of offences) remaining relatively low. It has been slowly falling from 2.0% in 2006 to 1.5% in 2016. Conversely, the custody rate for indictable offences has been increasing since the year ending June 2010, from 23% to 27%.
Average custodial sentence length has been increasing over the decade, but the increase has slowed in the latest year.

Average custodial sentence length (ACSL) has increased since the year ending June 2006, from 12.3 to 16.4 months in the latest year. The increase appears to have slowed in the latest year, with an increase of 1% over the last year, compared to a 6% increase between the years ending June 2014 and June 2015.

The increase over the decade is in part caused by the ACSL for sexual offences increasing by 21 months since the year ending June 2006. More people are being sentenced for sexual offences, and these sentences had been getting longer, which has driven up the overall average. In the latest year, the ACSL for sexual offences did not increase, which is reflected in the slowing of the overall increase. The total number of persons sentenced for sexual offences in the latest year was 7,100, up from 4,800 in the year ending June 2006. This change was mirrored in proceedings and convictions. The change could be related to improved reporting and recording of sexual offences, as well as increased public focus.

This may also be due to numerous changes in legislation over the decade, including:

- The LASPO Act, passed on 3rd December 2012, which abolished IPPs and Extended Sentences for Public Protection (EPPs) and replaced them with new Extended Determinate Sentences (EDSs). EDSs are included within the ACSL calculation, whilst IPPs and EPPs were previously excluded.

- The introduction of the Criminal Justice and Immigration Act (CJIA) in 2008 restricted the use of indeterminate sentences for Public Protection (IPPs), which are excluded from ASCL. Following this, there has been an increase in long determinate sentences (defined as for 10 years or more).
6. Offending Histories

An offender’s criminal history counts the number of occasions on which an offender has previously received a conviction, caution or youth caution for any offence which has been recorded on the PNC, including some offences committed outside of England and Wales. This count differs from First Time Entrants (FTEs) because all offenders prosecuted by an English or Welsh police force, irrespective of country of residence, are included.

**Offenders dealt with for indictable offences with no criminal history were more likely to go to court and be convicted as they were to receive a caution**

Offenders dealt with for indictable offences with no previous convictions and cautions are for the first time more likely to go to court and be convicted (51%) as they were to receive a caution, compared to 10 years ago, when less than 1 in 4 (23%) were convicted at court.

Over the last 10 years there has been a 15% fall in the number of offenders dealt with for indictable offences with no previous convictions and cautions who received a conviction for their first offence, whereas the number receiving a caution over the same period declined by 76%.

The decline in cautions has in part been driven by a number of factors as highlighted in chapter 2, most notably the replacement in April 2008 of a target to increase offences brought to justice (OBTJ) with one placing more emphasis on bringing serious crimes to justice, which was subsequently removed in May 2010.

---

15 Where there were multiple offences on the same occasion, only the primary offence as recorded on the PNC would be counted.

16 A first time entrant (FTE) to the criminal justice system is an offender residing in England and Wales at the time of the offence, who has been recorded on the Police National Computer (PNC) by an English or Welsh police force as having received their first conviction, caution or youth caution. Offences resulting in a Penalty Notice for Disorder are not counted as first offences.
In contrast to the longer term trend, in the latest year, the number of offenders with no previous convictions and cautions dealt with for indictable offences increased by 4%; a similar rise was also seen in the previous 2 years, when there were increases of 4% and 1% respectively. This is the first time an increase has been seen for three consecutive years. The increase has been seen across all groups of offences except fraud, robbery and theft.

Conversely, the number of offenders with at least one previous conviction and caution sentenced for indictable offences declined by 8%, continuing the downward trend since the 12 months ending June 2011.

**Offenders with long criminal records are more likely to receive immediate custody**

In the latest year, around 90,900 adult offenders convicted of an indictable offence had 15 or more previous convictions or cautions (long criminal records - on average 34 previous sanctions). 38% of adults convicted of an indictable offence had a long criminal record compared to 29% in the same period 10 years ago, when the average number of previous sanctions was 26.
As might be expected, given that sentencing decisions will typically take into account previous offending history, the proportion of offenders receiving immediate custody for an indictable offence is higher for those groups of offenders with longer criminal records. In the latest year, 44% of adults with 15 or more previous convictions or cautions received an immediate custodial sentence, compared to 14% of adults with no previous history of offending. The equivalent figures for juveniles were 43% and 2% respectively. For both adult and juvenile offenders with long criminal records who received an immediate custodial sentence for an indictable offence in the latest year, the most common offence for which they were sentenced was theft (56% and 42% respectively).

**Persistent offenders have on average 24 previous sanctions**

A persistent offender is defined as an offender with 8 or more conviction or cautions. Persistent offenders have on average 24 previous sanctions.

There were around 211,000 persistent offenders in the latest year who accounted for over 5 million crimes throughout their criminal careers. Of these 40% were given their first official sanction for a theft offence.
First Time Entrants (FTE)

The number of First Time Entrants to the criminal justice system has continued to fall since its peak in 2006/07. This decline has been much sharper for juveniles than for adults; the rate of decline for juvenile FTEs has halved since 2012.

Figure 6.3 Change in number of juvenile and adult first time entrants to the criminal justice system, 12 months ending June 2006 (index=100) to 12 months ending June 2016
Revisions Policy

In accordance with Principle 2 of the Code of Practice for Official Statistics, the Ministry of Justice is required to publish transparent guidance on its policy for revisions. A copy of this statement can be found at:


The Ministry of Justice aims to avoid the need for revisions to publications unless they are absolutely necessary and put systems and processes in place to minimise the number of revisions.

Within the Ministry of Justice’s statistical publications there can be three main reasons for statistics to be revised:

- Changes in how either source administrative systems collect information or a change in statistical methodology to improve accuracy and measurement.
- Receipt of subsequent information which alters our understanding of previous periods (for example – late recording on one of the administrative IT systems used operationally).
- Errors in our statistical systems and processes.

Our policy in handling revisions is to be transparent with users about:

- The need for revisions.
- How and when to expect revisions as part of our standard processes.
- The processes by which other revisions will be communicated and published.

To meet these commitments, all of our statistical publications will:

- Ensure that the need for major revisions for any series are pre-announced on the Ministry of Justice website.
- Include a detailed revisions policy within every release.
- Detail how users will be informed of the need for revisions.
- Give detailed and full explanations as to why the revisions were necessary.

In addition, the annual report from the Head of Profession to the National Statistician will:

- Provide information on how many revisions were required to our publications and the reasons for these.
- Publish a time-series of revisions due to errors in our statistical processes and procedures so we can monitor the quality of our outputs.
Explanatory Notes
The statistics in the bulletin relate to cases in the Crown Court and magistrates’ courts in England and Wales during 12 months ending June 2016. This bulletin subsumes a number of previous bulletins including Criminal Statistics: England & Wales, Quarterly Sentencing Statistics, and Young people aged 10-17 receiving their first reprimand, warning or conviction.

Symbols and conventions
The following symbols have been used throughout the tables in this bulletin:

- = Nil
* = Not applicable
.. = Not available
(R) = Revised data
(P) = Provisional data

Guidance on tables
This bulletin is supported by Excel spreadsheet workbooks and pivot tables. These workbooks can be accessed at:


Contacts
Press enquiries should be directed to the Ministry of Justice press office:

Tel: 020 3334 3536
Email: newsdesk@justice.gsi.gov.uk

Other enquiries about these statistics should be directed to the Justice Statistics Analytical Services division of the Ministry of Justice:

David Dawson, Head of Criminal Justice Outcomes and Equalities Statistics
Ministry of Justice, 7th Floor, 102 Petty France, London, SW1H 9AJ
Email: CJS_Statistics@justice.gsi.gov.uk

General enquiries about the statistical work of the Ministry of Justice can be e-mailed to:
statistics.enquiries@justice.gsi.gov.uk

General information about the official statistics system of the UK is available from:
statisticsauthority.gov.uk/about-the-authority/uk-statistical-system

Feedback
The structure and content of this report is continually being reviewed to reflect user requirements. If you have any feedback about the changes referred to in the Changes and Revisions section, or the report more generally, please contact the production team through the Justice Statistics Analytical Services division of the Ministry of Justice:

Email: CJS_Statistics@justice.gsi.gov.uk
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