DELEGATED POWERS AND REGULATORY REFORM COMMITTEE

INVESTIGATORY POWERS BILL

MEMORANDUM BY THE HOME OFFICE

- 1. This memorandum identifies the provisions of the Investigatory Powers Bill (the Bill) which confer powers to make delegated legislation, and explains why these powers have been taken and the nature of, and reason for, the procedure selected for exercising those powers.
- 2. The purpose of the Bill is to modernise and update the legal framework governing the state's ability to acquire private data.
- 3. The Bill is in 9 Parts:

Part 1 sets out general protections for privacy; Part 2 provides for the lawful interception of communications; Part 3 provides for the obtaining of communications data; Part 4 makes provision for the retention of communications data; Part 5 concerns equipment interference; Part 6 makes provision for bulk warrants; Part 7 provides safeguards in respect of the intelligence agencies' processing of bulk personal datasets; Part 8 sets out oversight arrangements;

Part 9 contains miscellaneous and general provisions.

PART 1: GENERAL PROTECTIONS

Clause 10(3): power to designate an EU or other international mutual assistance agreement

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Negative

4. Clause 10 provides that the Secretary of State must ensure that no request for assistance in connection with the interception of communications is made under an international mutual assistance agreement on behalf of a person in the

United Kingdom unless a warrant has been issued. Clause 10(3) provides for the Secretary of State, by regulations, to designate an international agreement to which the UK is a party as an international mutual assistance agreement for these purposes.

- 5. The delegation ensures that, if the UK enters into a new international agreement providing for mutual assistance in relation to the interception of communications, the provisions of clause 10, ensuring that a warrant must be in place, can be applied in relation to that agreement without the need for primary legislation.
- 6. The effect of any exercise of the delegated power will be to apply the safeguards in the Bill requiring a Secretary of State to issue a warrant, approved by a Judicial Commissioner, to any applications made for assistance under that agreement. Accordingly, the power adds safeguards and the negative procedure is appropriate.
- 7. The power replicates that under section 1(4) of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000, which is also subject to the negative procedure.

Clause 12(4): power to modify statutory provisions providing for public authorities to obtain communications data

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative where any provision of primary legislation is amended or repealed, otherwise negative

- 8. Clause 12 restricts the use of general information powers by public authorities to obtain communications data from telecommunications or postal operators. Subsection (2) prevents public authorities using such powers except where the operator has given consent or the power involves a court order or other judicial authorisation or warrant. Schedule 2 to the Bill amends the information powers most commonly used by public authorities to obtain communications data, repealing them so far as they enable public authorities to secure the disclosure by operators of communications data without the consent of the operator.
- 9. Subsection (4) provides that the Secretary of State may modify any enactment in consequence of subsection (2). The Secretary of State may accordingly amend legislation to restrict public authorities' powers to require disclosure of communications data, so that subsection (2) no longer needs to be relied on.

- 10. There are a large number of general information powers in existence, so that it is difficult to be sure that the Bill identifies and amends every relevant power. If public authorities were to continue to rely on these powers following the coming into force of the Bill, the protections in the Bill for obtaining communications data would be circumvented. Subsection (4) will enable amendments to be made to any general information powers on which public authorities continue to rely. Subsection (4) will also mean that the Secretary of State can take steps in respect of any new information powers which are enacted following the coming into force of the Bill. While a new power would, in principle, be caught by subsection (2), it may be preferable to use the power in subsection (4) to amend the offending provision to provide clarity and certainty for operators and public authorities.
- 11. Regulations under subsection (4) which amend or repeal any provision of primary legislation are subject to the affirmative resolution procedure. This ensures that such regulations are afforded the appropriate degree of parliamentary scrutiny. Other regulations under subsection (4) i.e. those which amend or repeal secondary legislation are subject to the negative procedure. It is appropriate that a higher level of scrutiny applies to the power to amend primary legislation.

PART 2: LAWFUL INTERCEPTION OF COMMUNICATIONS

Clause 44(2): power to authorise interception in the course of carrying on business etc.

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 12. Clause 6(1) provides that conduct is authorised for the purposes of the offence of intercepting a communication in the course of its transmission if it is in accordance with regulations made under subsection (2).
- 13. Under subsection (2), the Secretary of State may make regulations authorising conduct which is a legitimate practice reasonably required for the purpose of monitoring or keeping a record of communications relating to the relevant activities carried on by the person. Such conduct might include, for example, monitoring communications to investigate or detect unauthorised use of telecommunication systems or to secure effective system operation. The

interception must take place in the course of transmission on apparatus or services provided by or to the person carrying on the relevant activities for use in connection with those activities.

- 14. Given the pace of developments in technology and the conduct which might need to be undertaken by businesses in order to keep up with these developments and threats to the security of their systems, it is appropriate that the details of the conduct is contained in secondary legislation. That is particularly the case given that legitimate practices by businesses might otherwise risk breaching the prohibition on unlawful interception.
- 15. Since this secondary legislation sets out exceptions to the criminal offence in clause 3 of the Bill, it is appropriate that the power should be subject to the affirmative procedure. The power replicates that under section 4(2) of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000, which is also subject to the affirmative procedure.

Clause 50(4): power to specify conditions for the interception of communications at the request of a third country

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 16. Clause 50 sets out the conditions under which interception may be lawful at the request of the authorities of a country or territory outside the UK. The interception must be in accordance with an international agreement to which the UK is a party. Subsection (4) provides for the Secretary of State, by regulations, to set out additional conditions which must be met in order for the interception to be authorised.
- 17. For example, the Mutual Legal Assistance Convention provides for interception at the request of another country without the need for a warrant in certain limited circumstances. The regulations allow those conditions to be reflected in legislation.
- 18. As different agreements may contain different conditions, it is appropriate that the particular detail of the conditions is contained in secondary legislation. This also permits the Secretary of State to provide for any future international agreements to which the UK may become a party.

19. Since this secondary legislation sets out exceptions to the criminal offence in clause 3 of the Bill, it is appropriate that it should be subject to the affirmative procedure. The power replicates that under section 4(2) of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000, which is also subject to the affirmative procedure.

Clause 55(7) and 124(6): power to set out circumstances in which statistics may be published

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Negative

- 20. Clause 54(1) prohibits certain persons (specified in subsection (2)) making an unauthorised disclosure of a number of matters concerning interception warrants. Those matters include the existence or contents of a warrant, details of the issue of a warrant, and the steps taken in pursuance of a warrant. Failure to comply with the prohibition is an offence. Clause 55 sets out exceptions to the prohibition.
- 21. The prohibition reflects that in section 19 of RIPA, and there has been some confusion as to the extent to which the publication of statistics, which some communications providers are keen to do, is prohibited. Subsection (7) provides that a telecommunications operator may publish the number of warrants to which it has given effect, provided that publication is in accordance with regulations made by the Secretary of State. The regulations will specify how those statistics may be published and might, for example, permit numbers of warrants to be published within a specified numerical range.
- 22. Clause 124 similarly sets out exceptions to the prohibition on unauthorised disclosure in clause 123(1), for the purposes of equipment interference warrants. Subsection (6) replicates clause 55(7).
- 23. It is appropriate that detail of this nature should be left to the Secretary of State, who is best placed to judge what disclosures will not damage national security. As the matters specified may apply to more than one operator, it is appropriate for those matters to be set out in legislation, subject to the negative procedure.

PART 3: AUTHORISATIONS FOR OBTAINING COMMUNICATIONS DATA

Clause 61(5): power to provide for alternative person to cancel an authorisation

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Negative (but may be affirmative)

- 24. Clause 61 provides for the duration and cancellation of authorisations granted under clause 58. A designated senior officer who has granted an authorisation must, by virtue of clause 61(4), cancel the authorisation if satisfied that the authorisation is no longer necessary and proportionate.
- 25. Subsection (5) provides a regulation-making power to cater for the possibility that the person who has the duty under subsection (4) is no longer available to perform it. In particular, subsection (6) provides that the regulations may provide for the person on whom the duty is to fall to be a person appointed by the regulations. This clause mirrors the equivalent power in section 23(9) of RIPA.
- 26. It is appropriate for this power to be delegated to the Secretary of State, given the range of circumstances in which an alternative person might need to be appointed.
- 27. The negative procedure provides the appropriate level of parliamentary control for a power of this nature. The power in section 23(9) of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 is also subject to the negative procedure. However, regulations made under this power may also be subject to the affirmative procedure, essentially so that they may be combined with regulations made under another power subject to the affirmative procedure.

Clause 67(1): power to modify section 66 or Schedule 4 [designated public authorities]

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Enhanced affirmative procedure (except where regulations remove a public authority or modify the office, rank or position of designated senior officer which does not involve replacing with a lower office, rank or

position, in which case the negative procedure applies)

- 28. Clause 66 introduces Schedule 4, which lists those public authorities with powers to obtain communications data ('relevant public authorities'). Schedule 4 sets out the office, rank or position of the designated senior officer in each public authority, the purposes for which the public authority may acquire communications data, and the kinds of communications data which may be obtained.
- 29. Clause 67 permits the Secretary of State, by regulations, to add or remove a public authority to or from the list, modify the list of functions of that authority in respect of which communications data may be obtained, impose or remove restrictions on authorisations that may be granted by the designated senior officer, and impose or remove restrictions on the purposes for which communications data may be obtained by an authority.
- 30. The power in subsection (1) includes power to modify any enactment as appropriate in consequence of a person becoming, or ceasing to be, a relevant public authority.
- 31. The powers in clause 67 reflect those in section 25 of RIPA, which have been used to add a number of public authorities to the list of relevant public authorities in Chapter 2 of Part 1 of RIPA (see S.I. 2010/480, as amended).
- 32. The power in subsection (1) is most likely to be used to address changes to the list of relevant public authorities as a result of the abolition of a body, or the transfer of its functions to a successor body. However, the power may also be used to designate new bodies as relevant public authorities. When a new body is created by statute, for example, the need for the body to acquire communications data to carry out its functions can be overlooked. Similarly, circumstances may change over time such that an existing body may be able to present a compelling case for designation. The power in subsection (1) will ensure that public authorities obtain the communications data they need to carry out their functions in accordance with a statutory framework which provides the safeguards considered necessary by Parliament to ensure that investigatory powers are exercised compatibly with the ECHR.
- 33. The addition of a new public authority to Schedule 4, and any amendments to primary legislation consequent on the exercise of the power, are both significant matters. Accordingly, the enhanced affirmative procedure set out in clause 239 is considered appropriate to enable these matters to be debated in both Houses,

and to ensure that those who will be affected by the change are consulted and that the regulations are subject to proper oversight and control.

- 34. We note the Committee's views in paragraph 9 of its Memorandum for the Joint Committee on the Draft Investigatory Powers Bill. Any exercise of the power which has the effect of modifying the specified offices, ranks or positions in a way which replaces them with a lower office, rank or position is also now subject to the enhanced affirmative procedure, since such a change would have the effect of reducing the safeguards contained in the Bill.
- 35. The negative resolution procedure is considered sufficient for any regulations which do not contain such provision, for example those which simply remove a public authority from the list in Schedule 4.

Clause 69(4): power to amend the definition of a designated senior officer in a local authority

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Enhanced Affirmative procedure where the regulations replace an office, rank or position with a lower office, rank or position, otherwise negative

- 36. Subsection (2) of clause 69 provides that a designated senior officer within a local authority is an individual holding a specified position (or a higher position) with that authority. Local authorities are relevant public authorities for the purposes of Part 3. The designated senior officer of a relevant public authority may accordingly grant authorisations to obtain communications data.
- 37. Subsection (4) provides that the Secretary of State may by regulations amend the definition of designated senior officer. The Secretary of State may not under this power amend local authorities' powers to obtain communications data, which are subject to restrictions in clauses 69(3), 70 and 71.
- 38. Given the number of local authorities and changes in management structures, there is a risk that the definition of 'designated senior officer' in subsection (2) will not be applicable to all local authorities in future. Accordingly, it is appropriate for the Secretary of State to have power to amend the definition.
- 39. Similar provision is contained in section 25(2) of RIPA (see S.I. 2010/480). We note paragraph 10 of the Committee's Memorandum for the Joint Committee on

the Draft Investigatory Powers Bill. Where the effect of the changes is to substitute a lower office, rank, or position, the enhanced affirmative procedure set out in clause 239 applies. This reflects that such a change could constitute a lowering of the safeguards contained in the Bill, and enables these matters to be debated in both Houses, ensuring that those who will be affected by the change are consulted and that the regulations are subject to proper oversight and control. The negative resolution procedure provides the appropriate level of parliamentary scrutiny where the office, rank or position is being replaced with an equivalent or higher position.

Clause 75(5): power to direct a public authority to enter into a collaboration agreement

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Direction
Parliamentary procedure:	None

- 40. Clause 74 makes provision for collaboration agreements, under which public authorities may share designated senior officers and single points of contact for the purposes of granting authorisations for the acquisition of communications data, and other functions under Part 3 of the Bill. Clause 76 makes separate provision in relation to collaboration between police forces.
- 41. Clause 75 makes additional provision in respect of collaboration agreements. A code of practice under Schedule 6 must include guidance to public authorities about such agreements.
- 42. In general, a public authority will enter into a collaboration agreement on a voluntary basis. However, subsection (5) provides that the Secretary of State may direct a public authority to enter into a collaboration agreement. The Secretary of State must consider that entering into the agreement would assist the effective exercise by the authority, or another public authority, of its functions under Part 3 of the Bill.
- 43. The Secretary of State must consult a public authority before directing it to enter into a collaboration agreement. The guidance in the code of practice must include the criteria the Secretary of State will use in considering whether a collaboration agreement is appropriate for a public authority.
- 44. It is appropriate that a decision of this nature should be left to the Secretary of State, who is best placed to judge whether entering into an agreement will assist the effective exercise of functions under Part 3 by a public authority, having

consulted that authority. Since a direction will be specific to the circumstances of a particular public authority, it is appropriate for this to be an administrative direction rather than included in legislation.

Clause 79(1): power to provide for transfer of functions relating to filtering arrangements

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 45. Clauses 63 to 64 make provision for the Secretary of State to establish, maintain and operate filtering arrangements for facilitating the obtaining of communications data by relevant public authorities. The filtering arrangements are a system for automatically filtering communications data obtained from operators in accordance with predetermined rules in order to provide public authorities with the subset of data relevant to their request. The wider set of data obtained by the filtering arrangements will be immediately deleted at the point the subset of relevant data is disclosed to the public authority making the request. Clause 65 imposes further duties on the Secretary of State in connection with the operation of the filtering arrangements.
- 46. In the long term, it may be that it is desirable for another public authority to assume responsibility for operating the filtering arrangements. Accordingly, clause 79(1) provides for the Secretary of State to transfer functions under clauses 63 to 65 to another public authority, and to transfer those functions back to the Secretary of State. Clause 79(2) confers the power to modify any enactment about a public authority. This may, for example, enable statutory bars to be removed from public authorities.
- 47. These powers will ensure that the Department retains the flexibility to determine which body is best placed to operate the arrangements, taking into account any relevant considerations which apply at the time.
- 48. Given that the Bill vests these functions in the Secretary of State and the expected level of public interest in the operation of these arrangements, the Government considers that any transfer of functions should be subject to prior parliamentary scrutiny and approval through the affirmative procedure.

PART 4: RETENTION OF COMMUNICATIONS DATA

Clause 83: power to require the retention of communications data

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Notice
Parliamentary procedure:	None

- 49. Clause 83(1) of the Bill provides a power for the Secretary of State to give a notice to a telecommunications operator requiring the operator to retain relevant communications data.
- 50. The Secretary of State must consider that the requirement to retain data is necessary and proportionate for one or more of the purposes set out in clause 58(7) of the Bill.
- 51. Relevant communications data is defined in clause 83(9) as communications data which may be used to identify, or assist in identifying any of a list of information about a communication for example the sender or recipient of a communication, or the location of the system by which the communication is transmitted.
- 52. The effect of the provision is that the Secretary of State may, by notice, require a person providing communication services to persons in the UK to retain the relevant communications data they generate or process.
- 53. Clause 83(2) contains further provision about the requirements that may be imposed by a notice. The notice may be given to one individual service provider, or to a description of operators. The extent of the requirement to retain data will be set out in the notice, which may require the retention of all communications data related to a particular service or all services offered by the operator, or a sub-set of that data.
- 54. The notice may also set out security or other requirements with which the provider is required to comply in relation to the retained data, or restrictions on how the data may be stored.
- 55. The notice may provide for different requirements in relation to different types of data, so an operator may be required to retain a certain type of data for 12 months (the maximum period permitted by clause 83(3)), but another type of data for only 3 months. The notice may, accordingly, be tailored to the specific circumstances of the provider and what is considered necessary and proportionate having regard to the purposes.

- 56. The power to give a notice, the definition of communications data that may be subject to a notice, and the persons who may be given a notice, are all specified on the face of the Bill. The specific details of the requirements to be imposed on a particular operator to retain data are left to the discretion of the Secretary of State.
- 57. It is appropriate that the Secretary of State should be able to determine the precise retention requirements to be placed on a particular operator, taking into account that operator's particular circumstances, the necessity of retaining the communications data processed by that particular operator for one or more of the relevant purposes, and the proportionality of doing so. The power to impose these requirements by notice means that these requirements can be tailored to the specific circumstances of the case, ensuring that only that communications data which it is necessary and proportionate to retain is subject to a retention notice. Decisions can be taken on the advice of experts who work closely with the providers in question and are familiar with the services they provide. This allows for a more flexible approach than would be the case if uniform requirements for all providers were contained in primary or secondary legislation.
- 58. The scope of the Secretary of State's discretion is set out in primary legislation. It is appropriate that, within that framework, decisions as to the precise requirements to be placed on providers should be taken by the Secretary of State.
- 59. Clause 85 provides that an operator can refer a notice back to the Secretary of State for review. In such circumstances the Secretary of State must consult the Technical Advisory Board and the new Investigatory Powers Commissioner and allow the operator to make representations before making a determination on the review. The giving of retention notices will also be subject to oversight by the new Investigatory Powers Commissioner. The power reflects that in section 1 of DRIPA, which is also a direction-giving power.

Clause 85(1): power to make provision in respect of a review of a retention notice

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State	
Power exercisable by:	Regulations	
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative	

60. Clause 85 provides that a telecommunications operator to whom a retention notice is given under Part 4 may refer the notice (or any aspect of it) back to the

Secretary of State for review. The effect of such a referral is to suspend the requirement on the operator to comply with the notice.

- 61. Subsection (1) gives the Secretary of State power, by regulations, to provide for the circumstances in which, and period within which, a review may be requested.
- 62. The requirements on the Secretary of State in respect of such a review, including consultation with the Technical Advisory Board and the Investigatory Powers Commissioner who must each provide an opportunity to the operator concerned to make representations and report their conclusions to the operator and to the Secretary of State, are set out in primary legislation. The Secretary of State may, under clause 85, vary or revoke a notice after considering the conclusions of the Board and Commissioner, or give a notice confirming the effect of the retention notice.
- 63. It is appropriate to delegate the power to set out the circumstances in which a review may be requested to the Secretary of State. The circumstances in which it is appropriate for a review to be requested, and the time period within which a review may be requested, may vary over time depending on developments in technology and other matters, and it is appropriate that the Secretary of State has flexibility to reflect that in secondary legislation. Secondary legislation also permits the Secretary of State to provide for the circumstances in more detail than is appropriate for primary legislation.
- 64. However, as the power affects the circumstances in which an operator may request a review of a notice which may impose significant obligations on it to retain communications data, the affirmative procedure is considered appropriate.

PART 7: BULK PERSONAL DATASET WARRANTS

Clause 201(3): power to direct the regime applicable to a bulk personal dataset

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State (with approval by Judicial Commissioner)
Power exercisable by:	Direction
Parliamentary procedure:	None

- 65. Clause 201 makes provision for cases where a bulk personal dataset has been obtained by one of the intelligence agencies under one of the other powers in the Bill (except a bulk acquisition warrant under Chapter 2 of Part 6).
- 66. In such cases, subsection (3) gives the Secretary of State power to give a direction, the effect of which is that any power which would otherwise allow the retention or examination of a bulk person data set ceases to apply. It would then be necessary for the retention and examination of the dataset to be authorised by warrant under Part 7 of the Bill. So, for example, if a bulk personal dataset is acquired under a targeted interception warrant but which was not identifiable as being so acquired, a direction could be to the effect that the Part 2 regime ceases to apply to that dataset. If a warrant under Part 7 allowed the retention and examination of the dataset, the Part 7 restrictions and safeguards will apply instead. The direction may provide that requirements and safeguards that apply to the means through which the dataset was acquired continue to apply in addition to those in Part 7.
- 67. By subsection (7), the Secretary of State may only give such a direction with the approval of a Judicial Commissioner.
- 68. It is appropriate to delegate the power to the Secretary of State. In the example above, the dataset will not identify itself as the product of interception and it is appropriate that it should be subject to the same safeguards as other bulk personal datasets, rather than interception. The decision will be taken on the basis of the specific facts relating to a particular dataset, which will govern how it is appropriate for it to be held. Accordingly it is appropriate for the Secretary of State to give a direction based on the particular circumstances of the case. The requirement for Judicial Commissioner approval provides an additional safeguard in the process.
- 69. Since a direction will be specific to the circumstances relevant to a particular dataset, it is appropriate for this to be an administrative direction rather than included in legislation.

PART 8: OVERSIGHT ARRANGEMENTS

Clause 206(1): power to direct the Investigatory Powers Commissioner

Power conferred on:	The Prime Minister
Power exercisable by:	Direction
Parliamentary procedure:	None
	14

- 70. Clause 206(1) provides that the Prime Minister may direct the Investigatory Powers Commissioner to keep under review the carrying out of any aspect of the functions of an intelligence service, a head of an intelligence service, or any part of Her Majesty's forces, or of the Ministry of Defence, so far as engaging in intelligence activities.
- 71. This power of direction does not apply in relation to anything that is already required to be kept under review by the Investigatory Powers Commissioner under clause 205. The Prime Minister may give a direction at the request of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner, the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament or otherwise.
- 72. The power of direction enables the Prime Minister to increase the functions of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner and to ensure that the work of an intelligence service and other bodies who are carrying out intelligence work is subject to the appropriate levels of scrutiny. It is appropriate to achieve this by a power of direction, which enables the Prime Minister to respond quickly and flexibly to developing situations and to requests from the Commissioner for additional functions. The additional functions are intended to cover specific aspects of the functions of an intelligence service or a body carrying out intelligence work which may change over time and may be in response to a particular situation. It is not possible to provide an exhaustive list of the additional functions for inclusion in primary legislation and this level of detail is not appropriate for primary legislation.
- 73. A direction under clause 206 must be published except where publication would be contrary to the public interest or prejudicial to national security, the prevention or detection of serious crime, the economic well-being of the United Kingdom, or the continued discharge of the functions of any public authority whose activities are subject to review by the Intelligence Services Commissioner.
- 74. The Investigatory Powers Commissioner already has wide powers under clause 205 to keep under review the exercise by public authorities of statutory functions relating to the powers under the Bill. The power of direction will be used to further enhance those safeguards by identifying specific additional functions that are appropriate for oversight by the Investigatory Powers Commissioner. It will not detract from the existing oversight regime or alter the nature of the safeguards that have already been approved by Parliament. It is therefore appropriate for the power of directions will also be published unless that would be contrary to the public interest or prejudicial to one of the matters set out above. This ensures increased transparency of the functions of the

Investigatory Powers Commissioner and of the oversight of the intelligence services.

75. The power of direction mirrors that in section 59A of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000, as amended by the Justice and Security Act 2013.

Clause 214(1): power to modify the functions of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 76. Clause 214(1) provides that the Secretary of State may modify the functions of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner or any other Judicial Commissioner. The power includes power to modify any provision made under any enactment, including the Bill.
- 77. The main functions of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner are set out in clause 205. The Commissioner has far-reaching powers to keep under review the exercise by public authorities of statutory functions relating to the powers under the Bill. Given the wide range of public authorities with statutory functions under the Bill, it is important that there is power to respond quickly and flexibly to, for example, changes in the statutory functions of those authorities, or changes in circumstances affecting the exercise of such functions, to ensure that all the public authorities who are carrying out such functions are subject to appropriate levels of scrutiny and that the Investigatory Powers Commissioner has the appropriate powers to carry out his important oversight functions.
- 78. While the Bill provides a broad description of the functions of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner, it is not possible to provide an exhaustive list of the Commissioner's functions in primary legislation and to the extent that it is necessary to add additional functions or further detail of a function, that is appropriate for secondary legislation.
- 79. Subsection (2) restricts the scope of the power by providing that it may not be used to modify the functions of a Judicial Commissioner in relation to the approval, quashing or cancellation of an authorisation or warrant, or the variation or renewal of an authorisation or warrant.

- 80. This power complements that of the Prime Minister in clause 206 to direct the Commissioner in respect of any aspect of the intelligence services' work. The regulation making power of the Secretary of State is broader and may be exercised in respect of any of the functions of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner.
- 81. Given the importance of the Commissioner's oversight role, and the existence of the power to modify primary legislation in subsection (2), it is appropriate that this power should be subject to the affirmative procedure.

Clause 217(1) – power to specify criteria to be applied by the Investigatory Powers Tribunal in specifying the court with jurisdiction to hear the appeal

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 82. Clause 217(1) amends Part 4 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 to introduce a right of appeal from the Investigatory Powers Tribunal. Currently, no appeal lies from a decision of that Tribunal, and claimants must take cases to the European Court of Human Rights. New section 67A of RIPA will provide for an appeal on a point of law from a decision of the Tribunal. The Tribunal must grant leave to make an appeal.
- 83. A person may appeal against a decision of the Tribunal to the Court of Appeal in England and Wales, the Court of Session or the Court of Appeal in Northern Ireland. Subsection (2) of new section 67A of RIPA will provide that the Investigatory Powers Tribunal must specify the court which is to have jurisdiction to hear the appeal. New subsection (4) will confer a power on the Secretary of State to specify in regulations criteria to be applied by the Tribunal in making the decision as to the appropriate appellate court.
- 84. The cases in which it is likely to be appropriate for a court in Scotland or Northern Ireland include, for example, cases in which the Investigatory Powers Tribunal has considered a complaint relating to a police force in Scotland or Northern Ireland, or a warrant issued by the Scottish Ministers.
- 85. There may, however, be circumstances in which it would nevertheless be appropriate for an appeal to be heard in the Court of Appeal in England and Wales for example where the appeal concerns a reserved matter under the devolution settlements. The regulation making power gives the Secretary of State flexibility to specify the factors that may be taken into account by the

Investigatory Powers Tribunal in making a decision on the appropriate court. Given the sensitivity of the matters determined by the Investigatory Powers Tribunal, it is important that the Secretary of State retains the flexibility to set out factors which are relevant to the Tribunal's decision.

86. Given that the right of appeal is intended to cater for important points of law, and given the nature of the potential intrusion into individuals' rights which is likely to be at issue in any such appeal, it is appropriate that the affirmative procedure should apply.

Clause 220(1): power to provide for membership of the Technical Advisory Board

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 87. Clause 220 provides for the continued existence of the Technical Advisory Board, established under the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000. The Technical Advisory Board is made up of experts from the telecommunications industry and from those public authorities who may apply for warrants or authorisations.
- 88. The Board's functions under the Bill include providing a report to the Secretary of State on any notice under Part 4 or Part 9 referred to the Secretary of State for review. The Secretary of State also has a duty to consult with the Board before making regulations under Part 9 imposing general obligations in respect of capability to comply with warrants under the Bill.
- 89. Subsection (1) provides that the Secretary of State may, by regulations, specify the number of persons to be appointed to the Board. By subsection (2), the regulations must make provision to ensure that the membership of the Board includes representatives of both the telecommunications industry and public authorities who exercise functions under the Bill, as well as any other persons the Secretary of State considers appropriate. The regulations must also ensure that the Board fairly represents the balance of competing interests. The regulations may, in addition, make provision for the filling of vacancies and for how many members of the Board must be present for the Board to exercise its functions.
- 90. Given the rapid pace of change in the telecommunications industry, and the likelihood of changes to the public authorities who have powers under the Bill,

it is appropriate that the Secretary of State has flexibility to reflect the current situation in secondary legislation, and that this level of detail is left to secondary legislation.

91. The affirmative procedure is appropriate for these regulations given the important role performed by the Technical Advisory Board in reporting on powers under the Bill. The power reflects that in section 13 of RIPA which is also subject to the affirmative procedure.

PART 9: MISCELLANEOUS AND GENERAL PROVISIONS

Clause 225(1): power to give a notice requiring the taking of steps necessary in the interests of national security

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Notice
Parliamentary procedure:	None

- 92. Clause 225(1) provides for the Secretary of State to give a notice to a telecommunications operator, specifying steps that the Secretary of State considers necessary in the interests of national security. This notice, referred to as a 'national security notice' requires the person to whom it is given to take all the steps specified in the notice.
- 93. Such a notice can be given only if the Secretary of State considers that the notice is necessary in the interests of national security and that the conduct required by the notice is proportionate to what is sought to be achieved by that conduct. The notice can only be given if the Secretary of State's decision to give the notice has been approved by a Judicial Commissioner.
- 94. Subsection (3) provides examples of what may be required by a national security notice. In particular, a notice may require the telecommunications operator to whom it is given to carry out any conduct, including the provision of services or facilities, for facilitating anything done by an intelligence service under any other Act, or for dealing with an emergency.
- 95. Subsection (4) provides that a national security notice may not impose a requirement the main purpose of which is to do something for which a warrant or authorisation under the Bill would be required.

- 96. Clause 228 makes further provision about national security notices under clause 225. The Secretary of State must consult the person in question before giving a notice, and must take into account the factors listed at subsection (3), including the likely benefits of the notice, the likely number of users of the service in question and the technical feasibility and cost of complying with the notice.
- 97. A notice must also specify a reasonable period to take the steps described in the notice. A notice must be kept under review by the Secretary of State. The Secretary of State may also, by regulations (see below) make further provision about the giving of notices.
- 98. A person to whom a notice is given is under a duty to comply with it, but may refer it to the Secretary of State for a review in accordance with clause 230.
- 99. The intention is that a notice will contain steps which are specific to the particular operator to whom it is given, taking into account their technical architecture and other relevant circumstances. It will accordingly contain detail specific to that operator which is not appropriate for primary or secondary legislation. A notice may also contain sensitive matters of national security which need to be kept secret.
- 100. A notice-giving power is the appropriate way of meeting these requirements. The safeguards provided for in the Bill, and particularly the requirement for the prior approval of a Judicial Commissioner, will ensure that the interests of telecommunications and postal operators and the privacy of users of those services are protected. The notice reflects that provided for in section 94 of the Telecommunications Act 1984, but the notice-giving power is more restricted and subject to greater safeguards.

Clause 226(3): power to set out obligations which may be imposed on postal or telecommunications operators in relation to securing assistance with warrants

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

101. Clause 226 provides for Secretary of State to impose obligations on postal or telecommunications operators, to secure their ability to provide assistance in relation to warrants or authorisations under Parts 2, 3, 5 or 6 of the Bill.

- 102. For each of the warrants or authorisations provided for in those Parts of the Bill, there is an attached duty on persons served with a warrant or given a notice to provide assistance in giving effect to that warrant or notice.
- 103. Subsection (1) provides for the Secretary of State, by notice, to impose obligations on all or some such operators. The obligations which may be imposed by the notice will be specified by the Secretary of State in regulations. Subsection (4) provides that the Secretary of State must consider it reasonable to impose the obligations in order that it is practicable to impose requirements on those operators to provide assistance with warrants, and practicable for the operators to comply.
- 104. Subsection (5) provides an inclusive list of obligations that may be imposed by regulations. These obligations will be general ones, requiring the operator to meet standards relating to security, or to provide certain facilities or services. For example, such obligations might include providing a mechanism for ensuring interceptions of communications can be effected within 1 working day of service of a warrant under Part 2 of the Bill.
- 105. Before making such regulations, subsection (6) provides that the Secretary of State must consult the Technical Advisory Board, persons likely to be subject to the obligations in the regulations and their representatives, and persons with statutory functions in relation to such persons (for example the Investigatory Powers Commissioner).
- 106. It is appropriate that the detail of obligations such as this is contained in secondary rather than primary legislation. The regulations will permit detailed obligations to be set out in relation to particular categories of operator, and to reflect technological developments and representations made by the persons who must be consulted under subsection (6).
- 107. Given the nature of the obligations to be imposed on providers, it is appropriate that the affirmative Parliamentary procedure applies to regulations under this clause. The subsection (3) power is similar to the power in section 12 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 in relation to interception capability, which is also subject to the affirmative procedure.

Clause 226(1): power to give a notice requiring steps to be taken in relation to securing assistance with warrants

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Notice
Parliamentary procedure:	None 21

- 108. Clause 226(1), as mentioned above, provides for the Secretary of State to give a notice to a person imposing obligations specified in the notice and requiring the person to take all the steps specified in the notice for the purpose of complying with the obligations.
- 109. The Secretary of State may only give a notice if the Secretary of State considers that it is necessary for securing that the operator has the capability to provide assistance that might be required under a warrant, authorisation or notice. The Secretary of State must also consider that the conduct required by the notice is proportionate to what is sought to be achieved by that conduct. The notice can only be given where the decision to give the notice has been approved by a Judicial Commissioner.
- 110. The only obligations that can be imposed by a notice are those set out in the regulations described above. By subsection (7), a notice must also specify a reasonable period to take the steps described in the notice.
- 111. Clause 228 makes further provision about technical capability notices, and national security notices under clause 225. The Secretary of State must consult the person in question before giving a notice, and must take into account the factors listed at subsection (3), including the likely benefits of the notice, the likely number of users of the service in question and the technical feasibility and cost of complying with the notice. The Secretary of State may also, by regulations (see below) make further provision about the giving of notices.
- 112. Clause 229 provides that a notice must be kept under review by the Secretary of State, and may be varied or revoked.
- 113. A person to whom a notice is given is under a duty to comply with it, but may refer it to the Secretary of State for a review in accordance with clause 230.
- 114. The intention is that a notice will contain steps which are specific to the particular operator to whom it is given, taking into account their technical architecture and other relevant circumstances. It will accordingly contain detail specific to that operator which is not appropriate for primary or secondary legislation. A notice-giving power is the appropriate way of dealing with this. The safeguards provided for in the Bill, and in particular the requirement that the giving of the notice be approved by a Judicial Commissioner, will ensure that the interests of telecommunications and postal operators and the privacy of users of those services are protected. The subsection (1) power reflects the power in regulations made under section 12 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 in relation to interception capability. It is considered appropriate that the power should now appear in the Bill rather than in secondary legislation.

Clause 228(7): power to make further provision about the giving of national security and technical capability notices

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Negative

- 115. Clause 228(7) provides that the Secretary of State may, by regulations, make further provision about the giving of national security and permanent capability notices. Clauses 225-228 already contain certain requirements about giving such a notice, as set out above, but subsection (7) gives the Secretary of State power to set out further details in secondary legislation.
- 116. This power will give the Secretary of State flexibility to reflect technological developments and other changing circumstances in the requirements which must be met before a notice can be given. For example, the regulations might include additional factors to be taken into account or additional requirements to consult interested persons. It is appropriate that this detail is left to secondary legislation.
- 117. The regulations are subject to the negative procedure, which is appropriate given the nature of the power and the safeguards that already exist in the Bill.

Clause 230(1): power to make provision for referring a national security or technical capability notice for review

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 118. Clause 230 provides that a person who is given a national security or technical capability notice may refer the notice back to the Secretary of State for a review.
- 119. Subsection (1) provides that the Secretary of State may, by regulations, provide for the period within which and the circumstances in which a notice may be referred back to the Secretary of State for a review.
- 120. Delegating this power gives the Secretary of State necessary flexibility to set time periods and other criteria which will ensure that both operators' interests and the interests of national security are protected.

121. The affirmative procedure is appropriate for a power of this nature, given that the ability of a provider to request a review constitutes an important safeguard.

Clause 241(2): power to make transitional, transitory or saving provision

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	None

122. Clause 241(2) confers power on the Secretary of State to make such transitional, transitory or saving provisions as he or she considers appropriate in connection with the coming into force of the provisions in the Bill. This is a standard power to enable the changes made by the Bill to be implemented in an orderly manner. Such powers are often included as part of the power to make commencement regulations and, as such, are not subject to any Parliamentary procedure on the grounds that Parliament has already approved the principle of the provisions in the Bill by enacting them. Although drafted as a free standing power on this occasion, the same principle applies and accordingly the power is not subject to any parliamentary procedure.

Clause 242(2): power to make consequential provision

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative where any provision of primary legislation is amended or repealed, otherwise negative

- 123. Clause 242(2) confers power on the Secretary of State to make such provision as he or she considers appropriate in consequence of the Bill. The power includes a power to modify any enactment (subsection (3)).
- 124. Clause 235 defines 'enactment' to mean an enactment whenever passed or made. Accordingly, the power to make consequential provision may extend to future enactments. This power is necessary because other Bills before Parliament at the same time as this Bill touch upon the powers and public authorities covered by this Bill (for example the Policing and Crime Bill). Since it is impossible to predict how those Bills or the Investigatory Powers Bill may

be amended during their Parliamentary passage and which Bill may achieve Royal Assent first, it is necessary to allow for the possibility of consequential amendment of future enactments.

- 125. However, this potentially wide power is constrained by the requirement in subsection (2) that the Secretary of State must consider the provision to be appropriate in consequence of this Act. Accordingly, the power is effectively time limited and does not provide a power to amend legislation at any point in the future.
- 126. To the extent that regulations under this clause amend or repeal primary legislation, they will be subject to the affirmative resolution procedure, otherwise they will be subject to the negative resolution procedure (see clause 238).
- 127. The Home Office notes the Committee's view, in paragraph 17 of its Memorandum for the Joint Committee on the Draft Investigatory Powers Bill, that modifications of primary legislation should be subject to the affirmative procedure.
- 128. The Government's position is set out in detail in its response to the Committee's report on the Enterprise Bill. In short, the Government accepts the general principle that changes made to primary legislation by secondary legislation should be subject to the affirmative procedure. The current approach to legislative drafting is such that, in the interests of clarity (and being helpful to the reader), changes to primary legislation are, wherever possible, made by textual amendment.
- 129. There are however, some cases where it is necessary to modify primary legislation. The Government does not agree that it is possible to make clear which kinds of modification of primary legislation should attract the negative procedure and which the affirmative procedure, without creating legal uncertainty.
- 130. The Government has undertaken to the Committee that where a non-textual modification is of the same weight as a textual amendment, it will exercise its power to combine instruments to include the modification in an affirmative instrument. The Government recognises that this amounts to conferring discretion on Ministers to decide whether a particular non-textual modification of primary legislation warrants the negative or affirmative procedure, but given the limited occasions on which substantive provision would be made by such a modification and given that it can be unclear whether a provision non-textually modifies primary legislation in some remote way, the Government thinks that this position is justified.

131. The Home Office notes that the Committee does not accept this position, but remains of the view that it is justified, and that the powers in clause 242 are subject to the appropriate level of parliamentary scrutiny.

Clause 243(1): power to appoint days for commencement

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	None

132. Subsection (1) of clause 243 contains a standard power to bring provisions of the Bill into force by commencement regulations. As usual with commencement regulations, they are not subject to any parliamentary procedure. Parliament has approved the principle of the provisions to be commenced by enacting them; commencement by regulations enables the provisions to be brought into force at a convenient time.

Clause 243(6): power to extend the Bill to any British overseas territory

Power conferred on:	Her Majesty
Power exercisable by:	Order in Council
Parliamentary procedure:	None

133. Clause 243(6) confers a power on Her Majesty, exercisable by Order in Council, to provide for any of the provisions of the Bill to extend, with or without modifications, to any of the British overseas territories. This power has been taken in order to allow the governments of such jurisdictions to adopt, subject to any modifications they feel necessary, the provisions of the Bill without having to legislate in their own jurisdictions. An Order under subsection (6) is not subject to Parliamentary procedure. This is because it is considered that legislation by means of an Order in Council without Parliamentary procedure is the appropriate way to reflect the constitutional status of the Overseas Territories. There are a number of similar provisions which also contain no Parliamentary procedure.

SCHEDULES

Paragraph 2(1) of Schedule 5: power to specify the contents of a report on the exercise of functions under a transfer scheme

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Negative (but may be affirmative)

- 134. Paragraph 2(1) of Schedule 5 provides that, where a public authority is exercising functions which have been transferred to it by regulations under clause 79(1) (in relation to the filtering arrangements in Part 3 of the Bill), it must make a report to the Secretary of State at least once in each calendar year. The report is on the discharge of the functions and any other matters that the Secretary of State may require by regulations.
- 135. The matters that may need to be specified in regulations are likely to depend on the nature of the body that takes over functions relating to the filtering arrangements, and the nature of any transfer of functions. Accordingly, it is appropriate that this power is delegated to the Secretary of State.
- 136. Given the nature of the power, and the safeguards that exist in Part 3 for the operation of the filtering arrangements, the negative procedure is considered appropriate here. However, regulations made under this power may also be subject to the affirmative procedure, essentially so that they may be combined with regulations made under another power subject to the affirmative procedure.

Paragraph 3 of Schedule 5: Power to make a transfer scheme.

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Statutory scheme
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative resolution where included in regulations under clause 79(1), otherwise laid before Parliamentary only

137. Paragraph 3 of Schedule 5 confers power on the Secretary of State to make a transfer scheme in connection with regulations made under clause 79(1). A transfer scheme is a scheme providing for the transfer of property, rights and

liabilities (including rights and liabilities relating to contracts of employment) from the Secretary of State to another public authority.

- 138. Paragraph 3(3) lists consequential, supplementary, incidental and transitional provision that may be made by a transfer scheme. These include making provision the same as or similar to the TUPE regulations (the Transfer of Undertakings (Protections of Employment) Regulations 2006 (S.I. 2006/246)).
- 139. The Government considers it appropriate that the details of transfers of property, rights and liabilities, which may be very complex, should be set out in a transfer scheme. There are a number of precedents for such matters to be left to secondary legislation, including in section 90(1) of the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012.
- 140. A transfer scheme may be included in regulations made under clause 79(1) (in which case the order will be subject to the affirmative procedure) but, if not so included, must be laid before Parliament after being made. This procedure will ensure that details of the transfer scheme can be combined with regulations under clause 79(1) if that is considered appropriate; but provides flexibility for a transfer scheme to be made after such regulations have been made where this is considered the appropriate course of action.

Paragraph 4(1) of Schedule 5: power to vary the effect of a tax in relation to a transfer scheme

Power conferred on:	The Treasury
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Negative

- 141. Paragraph 4(1) of Schedule 5 confers power on the Treasury by regulations to make provision varying the way in which certain tax provisions apply either for anything transferred under a scheme made under paragraph 3 of that Schedule, or anything done for the purposes of, or in relation to a transfer under such a scheme. For the purposes of this power the relevant taxes are income tax, corporation tax, capital gains tax, stamp duty, stamp duty reserve tax and stamp duty land tax.
- 142. This power will enable the Treasury to ensure that appropriate tax provision is made, and at the appropriate time, to ensure that a transfer does not give rise to a tax change or confer a tax advantage on either party.

143. The power under paragraph 5 is exercisable by statutory instrument subject to negative procedure in the House of Commons (see clause 238(6)). This reflects the level of Parliamentary procedure applicable to equivalent powers in other Acts. The regulations are not subject to any procedure in the House of Lords, as the House of Commons is the correct forum for the determination of matters in respect of taxation.

Paragraphs 4(3) and 5(4) of Schedule 7: power to bring into force and to vary a code of practice

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 144. Schedule 7 makes provision for the Secretary of State to issue one or more codes of practice about the exercise of functions conferred by the Bill. Paragraph 4(3) provides for a code of practice to come into force in accordance with regulations made by the Secretary of State.
- 145. Paragraph 4 provides that the Secretary of State must publish a draft code and consider any representations made about it, and may modify the draft. The Secretary of State must consult the Investigatory Powers Commissioner.
- 146. After consultation, the code must be laid before parliament with the draft regulations which will bring it into force. The regulations are subject to the affirmative procedure.
- 147. Paragraph 5 provides that the Secretary of State may revise the whole or part of a code, and sets out the procedure for bringing into force a revised code. Paragraph 5(4) provides for the Secretary of State to make regulations to bring a revised code into force. The procedure for issuing a revised code is the same as that set out in paragraph 4.
- 148. The procedure set out in Schedule 7 differs from that currently provided for in section 71 of RIPA for issuing codes of practice under that Act. The new procedure responds to concerns raised by the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments in its 30th Report of Session 2002/2003 concerning S.I. 2003/3175 made under equivalent powers in section 103 of the Anti-terrorism Crime and Security Act 2001.
- 149. Codes of Practice under Schedule 7 to the Bill will contain detailed provision about the exercise of functions under the Act. It is appropriate that these details

should be contained in Codes of Practice brought into force by secondary legislation rather than in the Bill. Given the pace of technological change, and other developments, codes of practice will also need to be revised regularly.

150. Paragraph 7 sets out the effect of codes of practice. A person exercising functions to which a code relates must have regard to that code, and it is admissible in evidence in criminal or civil proceedings. It is appropriate that regulations under this power should be subject to the affirmative procedure.

Paragraph 33 of Schedule 8: power to make consequential provision

Power conferred on:	The Secretary of State
Power exercisable by:	Regulations
Parliamentary procedure:	Affirmative

- 151. Paragraph 33 of Schedule 8 confers power on the Secretary of State to make such provision as he or she considers appropriate in consequence of any provision in Schedule 8. The power includes a power to amend any enactment, including the Act itself.
- 152. Schedule 8 sets out the various warrants and authorisations under the Bill, and other legislation including the Intelligence Services Act 1994, Part 2 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000, the Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Scotland) Act 2000 and the Police Act 1997, which may be combined in a single warrant. The ability to combine warrants and authorisations in this way means that the Secretary of State or Scottish Ministers (or other person with power to issue warrants), and the Judicial Commissioner approving the issue of the warrant, have a complete picture of the intrusive techniques involved in a particular operation, and can assess the necessity and proportionality of the warrant accordingly.
- 153. While every effort has been made to take account of the implications of these combinations for those other Acts and to include provision in the Bill, these provisions are complex and it is possible that we will need to make further consequential amendments, including to references to warrants in those enactments, and to provide for unintended consequences of these provisions.
- 154. Clause 235 defines 'enactment' to mean an enactment whenever passed or made. Accordingly, the power to make consequential provision may extend to future enactments. This power is necessary because other Bills before Parliament at the same time as this Bill touch upon the powers and public authorities covered by this Bill. Since it is impossible to predict how those Bills

or the Investigatory Powers Bill may be amended during their Parliamentary passage and which Bill may achieve Royal Assent first, it is necessary to allow for the possibility of consequential amendment of future enactments.

- 155. However, this potentially wide power is constrained by the requirement in subsection (2) that the Secretary of State must consider the provision to be appropriate in consequence of Schedule 8. Accordingly, the power is effectively time limited and does not provide a power to amend legislation at any point in the future.
- 156. Regulations under this clause will be subject to the affirmative resolution procedure (see clause 238). The affirmative procedure is considered appropriate for a power of this nature.

8 June 2016