Strategy

Effective from April 2016



Nuclear Decommissioning Authority

Nuclear Decommissioning Authority **Strategy** Effective from April 2016

Strategy presented to Parliament pursuant to Schedule 2 of the Energy Act 2004.

Strategy presented to Scottish Parliament pursuant to Schedule 2 of the Energy Act 2004.

March 2016

© Nuclear Decommissioning Authority copyright (2016)

The text of this document (this excludes, where present, the Royal Arms and all departmental or agency logos) may be reproduced free of charge in any format or medium provided that it is reproduced accurately and not in a misleading context.

The material must be acknowledged as Nuclear Decommissioning Authority copyright and the document title specified. Where third party material has been identified, permission from the respective copyright holder must be sought.

Any enquiries related to this publication should be sent to us at:

Strategy Herdus House Westlakes Science & Technology Park Moor Row Cumbria CA24 3HU

This publication is available at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications

Print ISBN 9781474130431 Web ISBN 9781474130448

ID P002798558 03/16

Printed on paper containing 75% recycled fibre content minimum

Printed in the UK by Williams Lea on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office



Some images supplied courtesy of site licence companies. Every effort is taken to ensure the accuracy of material or images produced or used by the NDA. However, the NDA cannot guarantee that the images shown will always be current, accurate or complete and does not warrant or endorse the accuracy or completeness of the images.

© Nuclear Decommissioning Authority (2016)

Contents

Preface

Preface		9	7
1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3	Introduction Background Next Steps Our Funding	11 11 13 15	
2.0 Strategy Overview			
2.1 2.2 2.3	Our Approach to Strategy Theme Overview Lessons from 2011	17 19 22	
3.0 Site I	Decommissioning and Remediation	24	
	Case Study: Legacy Ponds and Silos Waste Treatment	27	
3.1	Decommissioning	28	
	NDA Vision: Optimum timing and Sequencing of Magnox reactor dismantling	30	
3.2 3.3	Land Quality Management Site Interim and End States	32 34	
	Case Study: Winfrith	36	
3.4	Land Use	38	8
			٩

4.0 Spent Fuels

4.1 4.2	Spent Magnox Fuel Spent Oxide Fuel	42 44
	reprocessing	46
4.3	Spent Exotic Fuel	48
5.0 Nucl	ear Materials	50
5.1 5.2	Plutonium Uranics	52 54
	Case Study: Capenhurst hazard reduction	56
6.0 Integ	rated Waste Management	58
6.0 Integ 6.1 6.1.1	rated Waste Management Radioactive Waste Higher Activity Wastes	58 61 62
6.1 6.1.1	rated Waste Management Radioactive Waste Higher Activity Wastes NDA Vision: Geological Disposal Facility	58 61 62 64
6.0 Integ 6.1 6.1.1	rated Waste ManagementRadioactive WasteHigher Activity WastesNDA Vision: Geological DisposalFacilityCase Study: Consolidation	58 61 62 64 65
6.0 Integ 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2	Mathematical mathematical system Radioactive Waste Higher Activity Wastes NDA Vision: Geological Disposal Facility Case Study: Consolidation Solid LLW	58 61 62 64 65 66
6.0 Integ 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2	rated Waste Management Radioactive Waste Higher Activity Wastes NDA Vision: Geological Disposal Facility Case Study: Consolidation Solid LLW NDA Vision: The NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy -	58 61 62 64 65 66
6.0 Integ 6.1 6.1.1 6.1.2	rated Waste ManagementRadioactive Waste Higher Activity WastesNDA Vision: Geological Disposal FacilityCase Study: Consolidation Solid LLWNDA Vision: The NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy - a lifecycle approach	58 61 62 64 65 66 67

7.0 Critical Enablers			
7.1 7.2	Health, Safety, Security, Safeguards, Environment and Quality Research and Development	74 76	
	Case Study: Benefits from the NDA's investment in Research and Development	78	
7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7	People (incorporating Skills and Capability) Asset Management Contracting Supply Chain Development Information Governance (including Information & Knowledge Management)	79 81 83 85 87	
	Case Study: NDA Nuclear Archive	89	
7.8 7.9 7.10 7.11 7.12 7.13	Socio-Economics Public and Stakeholder Engagement Transport and Logistics Revenue Optimisation International Relations Land and Property Management	90 92 94 96 98 100	
8.0 Non NDA Liabilities			

9.0 Site Licence Companies and Designated Sites and Installations

References		126
Append	dices	128
А	Strategy Management System - Developing our Strategy	128
В	Summary of Expected Expenditure and Income	129
С	Summary of the Integrated Impact Assessment	130
D	Information on our Subsidiaries	134
Glossary		139
Abbreviations		143



Government-funded strategic body

17 historic sites dating from post-war decades

The estate comprises -The Magnox fleet of power stations, research centres, fuel-related facilities and our largest, most hazardous site, Sellafield

1,000 + hectares of nuclear licensed land

10,000 + plants and buildings to be demolished

16,000 employees across the estate

Sites grouped into Site Licence Companies (SLCs)

3 SLCs managed through private-sector consortia

7 subsidiaries including Sellafield Ltd

£3 billion annual budget

Tackling the UK nuclear I

Our ultimate goal - to achieve the e



. 2013 2018 2023 2028 2033 2038 2043 2048 2053 2058 2063 2068 2073 2078 2083 2088 2093 2098

egacy safely and cost-effectively

end state at all sites by 2125





2103 2108 2113 2118

Skills

- Expertise vital for progress
- Ageing workforce
- Support for future skills
- From apprentices to university researchers

Supply Chain

- **3,500** contractors across all parts of the UK
- £12 billion spent with suppliers since 2005

Research & Development

- Unique, complex technical challenges
- £90 million invested every year
- Bulk of R&D spend at Sellafield
- Funding doubled by UK and overseas partners

Reprocessing





Top left - The DRAGON reactor at Winfrith undergoing decommissioning. Only 2 out of the original 9 experimental reactors at Winfrith remain. **Bottom left** - LLWR has secured a longterm route for LLW from the NDA estate.

Preface

The Energy Act (2004) requires the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority (NDA) to review and publish its strategy every 5 years.

In 2005, the NDA was established as a Non-Departmental Public Body (NDPB) under the Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)* to ensure that the UK's nuclear legacy sites are decommissioned and cleaned up safely, securely, cost-effectively and in ways that protect people and the environment.

The NDA's sponsoring department is the Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC), with additional obligations to Scottish ministers for matters affecting Scotland.

We work with the UK government and devolved administrations to ensure their policies are reflected in our strategy and implemented at our sites.

The UK government is responsible for reserved matters including nuclear energy, security and safety. The devolved administrations are able to exercise powers in relation to certain areas, including environmental protection and radioactive waste management. When the term government is used in this Strategy, it refers collectively to the UK government in Westminster and devolved administrations of Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

Our clean-up mission covers 17 sites, 14 in England and Wales as designated by the Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change and 3 in Scotland also designated jointly by the Scottish ministers. We also have a range of supplementary functions including supply chain development, research and development, skills, socio-economic support for local communities, and stakeholder engagement.

We are also responsible for implementing both geological disposal and the UK nuclear industry's Solid Low Level Radioactive Waste Strategy.

We perform certain advisory functions to the Secretary of State. These additional responsibilities include reviewing decommissioning plans for the UK's nuclear new build programme and providing oversight of the decommissioning plans for the existing fleet of nuclear power stations operated by EDF Energy (EDFE). For more detail on our additional obligations see p20.

To achieve our mission, we work in partnership with government, regulators, communities around our sites and other stakeholders. We seek to involve them in open dialogue and recognise their views as an important part of our strategic considerations.

Since mid-2014, we have engaged with a range of stakeholders to produce the third version of our strategy for public consultation. In it we present the challenges, our proposed direction over the next 5 years and the actions we are planning to progress our mission.

9

Top left - Magnox stations are progressing well towards Care and Maintenance. Spent fuel has now been removed from 8 out of the 10 Magnox reactor sites, reducing the radiological hazard at each site by 99%. Bottom left - Ponds at 5 of the Magnox sites have all been cleaned out, including this one at Chapelcross.

III

10

HAND RAIL BEFORE CONNECTING TEST TROLLET

REMOVE

111

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background

The UK's nuclear legacy represents one of the largest environmental remediation programmes in Europe.

The UK nuclear landscape began to take shape in the immediate post-war period and has evolved over many decades. The 17 sites of our estate reflect this and include the first fleet of nuclear power stations, research centres, fuel-related facilities and our key site, Sellafield, which houses our most challenging legacy facilities. Some of our facilities continue to form an essential part of the UK's nuclear infrastructure and will not be ready for their next planned use for several decades.

In 2005, the UK government appointed the NDA to take responsibility for developing nuclear decommissioning plans and implementing them through an estate-wide strategy. Our previous strategies *(ref 2) (ref 3)* developed a clear understanding of what is required to deliver our mission. This approach has served us well and provides a strategic focus and coherent approach to decommissioning that did not exist before.

Because of its nature and scale, decommissioning the UK's nuclear legacy remains subject to significant uncertainties and complexities. These are associated with the condition of the assets and the nature of the decommissioning programmes and projects that have no national or international precedent.

Our current plans indicate that it will take around 110 years to complete our core mission of nuclear clean-up and waste management.

For solid Low Level Waste (LLW) management, we have made major progress in encouraging waste diversion, recycling, and alternative treatments that ensure sufficient capacity is preserved at the Low Level Waste Repository (LLWR) for the foreseeable future.

Our subsidiary, Radioactive Waste Management Limited (RWM), is responsible for implementing geological disposal to manage Higher Activity Waste (HAW) in England and Wales. In response to recent developments in government policy, RWM has carried out a public consultation on the geological screening process. We are also working with RWM to consider the implications of the Scottish government's HAW policy and implementation strategy, and how best to deliver this at our Scottish sites.

Other key activities that enable our core mission are the management of spent fuel and nuclear materials. We have developed detailed strategies for these and accordingly continue to consolidate spent fuels and nuclear materials in facilities at Sellafield and Capenhurst.

Since we published our previous Strategy (*ref 3*), we have concluded one of our key responsibilities under the Energy Act (2004) (*ref 1*), by introducing private-sector expertise to our sites through the Parent Body

Organisation (PBO) competition programme.

Our original operating model was based on the PBO concept. This market-led model introduced private sector expertise while providing stability and moving the focus onto nuclear clean-up and waste management.

Since 2011, we have completed competitions for the ownership and management of the Magnox/ RSRL and Dounreay Site Licence Companies (SLCs). The process for decommissioning these sites is well understood with a relatively high degree of certainty over the activities required. This enables highly leveraged, commercial target cost contracts to be awarded, which will result in significant projected savings over the contract period. The contract for managing the LLWR meanwhile, was extended for a further 5 years. At Sellafield, particularly for the legacy facilities, the scope of work is more complex, hazardous and uncertain making it harder to accurately forecast the progress of the decommissioning work being delivered.

After 6 years of operating the PBO model at Sellafield, we made the significant decision to take direct ownership of the SLC as a subsidiary. The decision was reached after detailed consideration and engagement with UK government on the most appropriate model for the management and operation of the site given the uncertainties and complexities in the work required.

In the new model, Sellafield Limited will engage with the private sector at a strategic level to achieve more effective delivery. We call this the Market Enhanced Model.

We strongly believe the new model, and the closer alignment between the NDA and its subsidiary, will create the environment for success at Sellafield by:

- empowering leadership
- clarifying shared objectives and the long-term view
- increasing the appetite for business risk
- stimulating change.

We will support Sellafield in this new arrangement where improved performance will continue to be a key focus.

We have continued to act as a strategic authority, ensuring that government policies are reflected in our strategy and implemented at our sites by clearly specifying our requirements to SLCs. The development and management of our strategy is a continuous process, part of which makes visible the rationale that underpins our strategic decisions (see Appendix A).

1.1 Background

On the highest risk programmes we collaborate closely with regulators and SLCs to deliver proportionate and pragmatic solutions. Among the achievements since our previous Strategy, we have:

- delivered major projects including:
 - the commencement of radioactive sludge removal from Sellafield's First Generation Magnox Storage Pond, one of the most hazardous plants in Europe
 - retrieval of canned fuel from Sellafield's Pile Fuel Storage Pond (PFSP) for the first time in 50 years
 - constructing new storage facilities for Intermediate Level Waste (ILW) at Sellafield
 - removing Sellafield's Windscale Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor (WAGR), leaving only the outer sphere in place
 - completing phase one of the programme to transfer nuclear 'breeder' material from Dounreay's Fast Reactor (DFR) to Sellafield for reprocessing
 - the management of one of the most hazardous legacies from Britain's earliest atomic research, by destroying the highly radioactive sodiumpotassium coolant, or NaK, used in the experimental DFR
 - completing the construction of 2 LLW vaults at Dounreay
 - demolishing the Harwell Liquid Effluent Treatment Plant (LETP)
 - completing the defuelling at Oldbury, Chapelcross, Dungeness A and Sizewell A
 - pioneering the transfer of fuel between reactors, enabling extended electricity generation at Oldbury and Wylfa and earning £1 billion of additional income
 - releasing a quarter of Harwell site for reuse as a science, innovation and business campus
- secured £10 billion of revenue from electricity generation, reprocessing and the sale of land and other assets
- gained a better understanding of asset condition and improved reliability and value for money by applying Publicly Available Specification-55 (PAS-55)
- exceeded our targets for increasing levels of spending with Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs), reaching almost £1 billion in the last 3 years
- supported UK government as it develops a policy for plutonium
- identified and started the implementation of the preferred options for oxide and Magnox spent fuels, which will see reprocessing operations

conclude this decade, while enabling the management of lifetime arisings of EDF Energy (EDFE) Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor (AGR) fuel

- developed consolidation strategies for waste and nuclear material which will see a simplified, more efficient approach to storing these materials
- changed the approach to LLW disposal in the UK, diverting more than 85% of LLW away from the LLWR and extending it's life for decades
- established RWM as a subsidiary to enable effective implementation of geological disposal
- progressed work on proportionate regulatory controls for site remediation
- assisted Japan as it deals with the damaged Fukushima Daiichi plant.

As the owners of one of the largest nuclear decommissioning and remediation programmes in Europe we are in a strong position to lead the sector. However, we must ensure we learn from others where best practice is identified. This leadership stance supports government's aspiration for the UK to act as a global leader in the civil nuclear industry, as stated in their Nuclear Industrial Strategy (*ref 4*). In doing so, our main priority is to provide leadership for our estate, followed by acting as an exemplar for the wider decommissioning industry, both in the UK and overseas.

Our supplementary role in funding nuclear research and development in the UK puts us at the forefront of pioneering technology and innovation for decommissioning. In doing so we must ensure our estate-wide activities promote best value for money, balancing the benefits of generic decommissioning techniques against the risks and opportunities associated with novel, untried technologies. Where we see benefit for our mission, or to the UK's wider aim to be a global leader in decommissioning, we will support the development of new technologies.

In the area of nuclear skills, capability and the supply chain, we are in a unique position to show strong leadership nationally. The expertise and skills of the wider industry are vital to our mission. However, we recognise that there are significant challenges as nuclear new build and other large-scale infrastructure projects develop.

Through this Strategy and our leadership, we aim to provide an effective platform for our next phase of work.

1.2 Next Steps

Our recent progress has been achieved amid a major global economic downturn. The UK situation remains challenging and pressure on public expenditure is set to tighten further. We continue to prioritise funding towards the highest risks and hazards, while making steady progress on decommissioning and operations associated with spent fuel and waste management.

To make progress in such a difficult fiscal environment we must continue to secure income, operate innovatively and more efficiently, and prioritise resources to best effect. We will consider how best to progress our mission while maintaining the focus on our priorities. Some work may need to be deferred and some options ruled out. However, we will continue with our hazard reduction programme and ensure that short-term efficiencies do not leave future liabilities for the next generation to deal with.

We will work with government and our contractors to review our strategy where necessary in order to realise greater efficiencies.

We also operate in an ever-changing political environment. Events at Fukushima, following the Great East Japan earthquake, led to a renewed global emphasis on health, safety and environmental protection across the nuclear industry, resulting in more resilient arrangements introduced at UK nuclear sites. The impact of the earthquake on the Japanese nuclear industry also influenced our decision in 2011 to close the Sellafield MOX Plant (SMP), as it was no longer commercially viable.

At that time the pace of international nuclear new build slowed down. This, together with a global shift towards early decommissioning, altered the nuclear supply chain dynamics. In addition, security issues have come under increased scrutiny since the publication in 2012 of the National Counter Proliferation Strategy *(ref 5)* which aims to strengthen security in the nuclear sector.

The UK government has continued to develop policy positions on nuclear energy and new nuclear power stations are planned in England and Wales. This does not change our mission but it does mean we need to consider our impact on the nuclear new build programme, and, conversely, its impact on our own mission. For example, we will look for opportunities to work together in areas such as skills and industry infrastructure. Much of the UK's expertise in spent fuel management, reprocessing, waste management and decommissioning is held in the NDA estate. It is important that this knowledge is available to the UK nuclear industry.



After a 5 year lifetime extension, Wylfa finally stopped generating in December 2015.

1.2 Next Steps

The next phase of our mission will build on the progress made since our last Strategy in 2011, with continued focus on the strategic objectives and strategy development. During this Strategy period our priorities are to:

The ultimate goal is to achieve the end state at all sites by 2125. Nearer-term goals over the course of our mission are captured in the 'NDA estate roadmap' in figure 1. The focus for the next few years will include:

- define individual site interim and end states with greater clarity, ensuring the definitions take into account the next planned use for the land
- enable the release of sites approaching their site end state, and ensure continued environmental safeguards, through proportionate regulatory control
- complete reprocessing at THORP and the Magnox reprocessing plants, together with addressing associated hazards and the return of overseas owned products, in line with contracts
- continue ongoing consolidation at Sellafield of nuclear materials from Dounreay and Harwell
- continue focus on identifying alternative waste treatments (e.g. Thermal) and disposal options, where appropriate
- optimise the whole Waste Hierarchy (not just LLW) for greater flexibility, which will ensure waste treatment is based on the best disposal options rather than, for example, rigid category definitions
- enable waste management planning and decisionmaking based on a pragmatic view of risks and benefits.

The ultimate goal for our mission is to achieve the end state at all sites by 2125.

ESTATE WIDE 2020 2030 2040 2050 2060 2100 2110 2120 2070 2080 100 80 Operation 60 ntensitv Transition to 40 New Construction 20 Waste and Nuclear Materials Managemen 2105: Wylfa - Final Decommissioning achieved Quiescent 2101: Oldbury - Final site clearance achieved 2040: Geological Disposal Facility becomes available Demolition 2097: Dungeness A / Sizewell A - Final site clearance achieved 2030-2033: Dounreay - Interim Land Quality Management 2095: Chapelcross - Final site clearance achieved Site Close Out 2092: Bradwell - Final site clearance achieved 2022-2023: Winfrith - Interim End State achieved 2090: Hinkley Point A - Final site clearance achieved 2020: Magnox reprocessing completed 2083: Trawsfynydd - Final site clearance achieved 2018-2019: THORP reprocessing completed 2080: Hunterston A - Final site clearance achieved 2079: Berkeley - Final site clearance achieved 2064: Harwell - Final site clearance achieved

Over the next few years, the specific focus will include:

- ensuring the retrieval of high hazard materials is under way at all Sellafield legacy ponds and silos
- completing vitrification of bulk Highly Active Liquor (HAL)
- conclusion of spent fuel reprocessing at Sellafield by around 2020
- retaining the capability to continue receiving and managing AGR fuel from the operating fleet of nuclear power stations, in support of UK electricity generation
- completing the programme to return waste to overseas customers
- continued transfer of nuclear materials from Dounreay and Harwell to Sellafield, where they can be managed more securely and cost effectively
- entry of 2 Magnox reactor sites, Bradwell and Trawsfynydd, into period of quiescence known as Care and Maintenance
- completing all physical decommissioning and remediation work at Winfrith and delivering its next planned use as publically-accessible heathland (the first UK site to reach such a significant milestone)
- ongoing pursuit of new management routes for Low Level Waste (LLW), preserving capacity at Low Level Waste Repository
- ongoing progress in developing safe, secure waste management facilities across the estate prior to geological disposal for English and Welsh Higher Activity Waste (HAW)
- updating plans at sites in Scotland to reflect Scottish government policy for managing HAW.

Figure 1. Timeline with key milestones and the relative intensity of work (manpower and resources) required for the different phases of decommissioning across the NDA estate.

Nearer-term goals are captured in the 'NDA estate roadmap' in figure 1.

1.3 Our Funding

As a Non-Departmental Public Body (NDPB), the NDA's annual spending limits are set by parliament, combining government grant with income from our commercial activities (figure 2). We welcome government's recognition of the vital importance of safe and secure nuclear operations and decommissioning and the need for continued investment in dealing with the nuclear legacy. This is reflected in the Spending Review 2015 settlement which will allow us to pursue our strategy and continue to make broad progress across our estate.

While funding has been secured to continue decommissioning across our estate, our income is projected to reduce. Revenue from electricity generation decreased significantly with the closure of Wylfa in December 2015, while revenue from spent fuel management will reduce as we conclude some of our contracts. Nevertheless we believe we can achieve progress across our estate by providing better value for money through a combination of efficiency improvements and innovative approaches.

We secure and prioritise funds to work programmes across our estate based on criteria derived from our Value Framework *(ref 6)*. These include:

- safety and environmental impact (including risk and hazard reduction)
- value for money
- deliverability

- socio-economics
- government policy impact
- affordability (short, medium and long-term).

There are significant risks to current operations because they rely on fragile and ageing assets. Failure of these assets could result in increased variability in both income and cost.

A projection of expected income and expenditure for delivering our mission is shown in appendix B.

To secure additional income for the NDA mission we will continue to explore all available options to maximise revenue from existing assets and continue to discuss other options for generating additional commercial revenue with government.

As a result of a new contract for the Magnox sites (including Harwell and Winfrith) and the allocation of funds following the Spending Review 2015, the lifetime plans of our SLCs are currently under review. The dates indicated for milestones in this Strategy are potentially subject to change as the plans are further optimised. It is anticipated that by the time our Business Plan for 2017-2020 is approved, SLC plans will have been updated allowing us to reflect any changes to milestones.



Figure 2. A bar chart showing the combination of grant and income since 2005.



Top left - working on Europe's largest asbestos strip at Chapelcross Bottom left - industrial robots are now used in waste treatment processes at Sellafield.

2.0 Strategy Overview

Our mission:

Deliver safe, sustainable and publicly acceptable solutions to the challenge of nuclear clean-up and waste management.

Our strategy describes our high level approach to delivering our mission. We work on strategic issues all the time and our strategy evolves as a result, so the periodic publication of our Strategy can only be a snapshot of the status of strategic topics at the time of publication.

Early decommissioning plans inevitably focused on site-by-site solutions, which was reflected in our first Strategy *(ref 2)*. As we have developed our strategies, more sophisticated generic approaches were introduced to improve the delivery of our mission and secure best value for money.

Currently each of our 17 sites is operated by a Site Licence Company (SLCs) under contract to the NDA. SLCs are responsible for day-to-day operations and the delivery of site programmes. To secure the implementation of our strategy through site programmes, our strategic requirements are translated into action by issuing Site Strategic Specifications (SSS) or Client Specifications (CS). These specifications detail to our SLCs what our Strategy means for a particular site, which then become embedded in its Lifetime Plan (LTP). The NDA subsequently monitors and measures the SLCs' delivery performance against the agreed LTP.

2.1 Our Approach to Strategy

In our previous Strategy (*ref 3*) we identified 6 strategic themes under which we grouped all our activities. This approach allowed us to bring a clear focus to our mission and better understand the relationships between its different aspects. It has served us well and our strategy continues to be based on this approach, although we have now reduced the number of themes to 5, as follows:

See p24 Site Decommissioning and Remediation defines our approach to decommissioning redundant facilities and managing land quality in order that each site can be released for its next planned use.

- See p40 Spent Fuel Management defines our approach to managing the diverse range of spent nuclear fuels for which we are responsible, including Magnox, oxide and exotics.
- See p50 Nuclear Materials defines our approach to dealing with the inventory of uranics and plutonium currently stored on some of our sites.

See p58 Integrated Waste Management considers how we manage all forms of waste arising from operating and decommissioning our sites, including waste retrieved from legacy facilities.

See p72 Critical Enablers support the overall delivery of our mission and, in some cases, reflect the

supplementary duties assigned to the NDA by the Energy Act (2004) (ref 1).

This Strategy is structured to reflect the strategic themes and colour coding is used to indicate the strategic themes and their interactions (figure 3). All the strategic themes are summarised in the next section, with further detail available in the corresponding sections and on our website www.gov.uk/nda

Business Optimisation has been removed from the list of strategic themes. This is because we see limited, and much reduced, opportunities to generate significant revenue through our activities in the future. However, the aspects of this theme that continue to remain relevant are captured under the Critical Enabler theme.

Our 5 strategic themes are further divided into individual topic strategies. Our Strategy is structured to reflect the strategic themes and topics. Organising our work in this way provides clarity and a consistent basis for communicating with our contractors and stakeholders.

There is a great deal of interdependence between the themes and hence limited discretion to stop activities under a particular theme without impacts on other themes. These impacts are not limited to our estate. For example, our spent fuel management strategy can affect electricity generation.

This Strategy covers the duration of our mission. However, our strategy is continually evolving and decisions are continually being made. As such, each 5 yearly Strategy document summarises the position at the time of publication.

To manage the many interactions between the different parts of our strategy we have the Strategy Management System (SMS) *(ref 7)* (see Appendix A). This simple, gated decision-making process enables us to:

- develop strategy in a controlled fashion through distinct stages allowing us to engage effectively with government, nuclear regulators, SLCs and other stakeholders on its development and possible changes in strategic direction
- ensure the strategy is robust and coherent at all
 times, recognising the numerous interdependencies
- effectively respond to internal and external events that impact our strategy
- ensure compliance with the regulatory framework
- transparently underpin the decisions we make on preferred strategic options.

2.0 Strategy Overview



Our SMS approach is aligned to HM Treasury guidance, using a business case approach to build up the underpinning rationale for a strategic decision. In selecting a preferred strategy we consider the options against a wide range of factors, which is our Value Framework (*ref 6*). Value Framework factors balance our top priority of risk and hazard reduction alongside socio-political and affordability considerations (figure 4.).



Figure 4. NDA Value Framework (ref 6).

Through the Value Framework we incorporate the specific requirements of statutory assessments into the heart of our strategy development and decision-making.

An overarching Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) has been carried out for this Strategy. The environmental, health and socio-economic impacts of our driving strategic themes outlined in the IIA report (*ref 8*) are summarised in appendix C. In addition, as part of strategy development, individual topic strategies will be subjected to the assessment criteria identified in the overarching IIA.

For each topic in this Strategy we have considered 4 questions under the following headings:

- Objective What is the objective of the strategy?
- Our Strategy What is our current strategy, and any associated risks and opportunities?
- Strategy Development What strategy development do we plan to undertake in the future?
- Delivery What have we delivered so far and how do we plan to implement our strategy?

In each Strategy Development section we make it clear if an individual strategy is undergoing development or is mature and being implemented. Further information on how we develop strategy is provided in appendix A.

2.2 Theme Overview

In essence our strategy is to maximise the progressive and cost effective reduction of risks and hazards as we make our sites suitable for their next planned use. Decisions about pace and priority of decommissioning, strategic decisions such as consolidation of nuclear materials, spent fuel and waste, and decisions on the level of investment in critical enablers are all taken in the light of their contribution to reducing risk to people and the environment, focusing first on the highest risks, while ensuring that decisions take into account all the relevant factors through application of the Value Framework (*ref 6*).

See p24 Site Decommissioning and Remediation

Our mission will be complete when we release our designated sites for other uses. We aim to complete this mission as soon as reasonably practicable with a progressive reduction of risk and hazard.

Defining the objective of decommissioning and remediation requires a site-specific assessment of the benefits and detriments of clean up. This recognises that, in some cases, removing all traces of a site's industrial use will do more harm than good. Furthermore we believe that there are opportunities for the beneficial reuse of waste on site, for example, using decommissioning rubble for landscaping and void filling. In these cases, it is our strategic preference to undertake enough remediation to enable the beneficial reuse of a site. Accordingly, our strategy is to be proactive in promoting beneficial reuse of our sites.

For many sites, the end state will not be achieved for many decades. In these cases, interim states help to focus delivery on nearer term goals. They typically mark a stepped reduction in risk or hazard on the way to the site end state. Interim states enable SLCs to plan more effectively.

An interim state can be followed by continuous or deferred decommissioning, i.e. a decision may be taken to work towards the next interim state or to pause. Given that an interim state is typically a stable state, it is important that the route to the next interim state is clear before starting to work towards it.

How quickly we progress through the interim states depends on the priority that is given to a particular facility or site. In order to prioritise delivery of decommissioning and remediation projects, we take into account a range of relevant factors as set out in our Value Framework *(ref 6)*. Our approach is influenced strongly by the level of risk to people or the environment. Where the risks are intolerable we will take urgent action to reduce them. Where the risk is less significant, prioritisation takes greater account of other factors in the Value Framework. This recognises that whilst risks might be tolerable or broadly acceptable, there are other advantages to progressing with hazard and risk reduction that influence prioritisation. With this in mind, our strategy is to progress decommissioning on a broad front as far as resources allow.

Our preference is for continuous decommissioning except where there are clear benefits to be had from deferring work. In some cases we would choose to defer decommissioning, for example, to take benefit from radioactive decay. In addition, there are a number of constraints that might prompt us to consider a deferred decommissioning strategy, notably availability of resources and waste management infrastructure. Whatever the reason for a deferral, it must be a conscious decision.

To support optimisation, the NDA provides strategic direction and guidance on decision-making which SLCs can deploy in the development of lifetime plans. We also maintain an overview of decommissioning and remediation projects to encourage a 'lead and learn' culture across the entire NDA estate.

Definition of Hazard: Hazard is the potential for harm arising from an intrinsic property or ability of something to cause detriment.

Definition of Risk: Risk is the chance that someone, or something that is valued, will be adversely affected by the hazard.

Spent Fuels

Our strategy is to secure and subsequently implement the most appropriate management approach for spent Magnox and oxide fuels and, where possible, take advantage of these approaches to manage spent exotic fuels. In making strategic decisions we consider the lifecycle of the fuels, their products, wastes and discharges and all of the existing or potentially new facilities that are required to manage them.

We engage with government, regulators and stakeholders on the strategic options before finalising our strategic decisions and implementing them.

Our strategy is to reprocess all Magnox fuel in line with the Magnox Operating Programme. For our oxide fuels, we aim to reprocess the contracted amount of spent fuel in the Thermal Oxide Reprocessing Plant (THORP). We plan to place the remaining and future arisings of Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor (AGR) spent fuel into interim storage pending a future decision on whether to declare them as waste for disposal in a Geological Disposal Facility (GDF). We intend to consolidate all of our exotic fuels at Sellafield. Some of these fuels can be managed in much the same way as our bulk Magnox and oxide fuels, but some present particular challenges which may require specifically tailored solutions for their long-term management and final disposition.

In the next 5 years we expect that the THORP and Magnox reprocessing plants will complete their committed reprocessing programmes. The completion of the Magnox and oxide reprocessing programmes

See p40

2.0 Strategy Overview

represents a major milestone along the NDA's longterm mission of clean-up and decommissioning.

There are risks with both Magnox and oxide reprocessing that mean it may not be possible to reprocess all of the fuels that are currently scheduled to be reprocessed. We will, therefore, continue to invest in developing alternative options and contingency plans in the event that our reprocessing and storage facilities cannot fulfil their current commitments, or are not available.

With UK government agreement we will, if requested, supply advice and information to parties involved in the nuclear new build programme.

See p50 Nuclear Materials

Implementing a solution for the management of all of our nuclear materials is essential to enable us to decommission our sites and deliver our mission.

Our strategy is to safely and securely store our nuclear materials while we develop cost-effective lifecycle solutions for their management in line with UK government policy.

The priority for UK government policy is to provide a solution that puts UK owned plutonium beyond reach.

The UK government proposed a preliminary policy view to pursue reuse of UK civil separated plutonium as Mixed Oxide fuel (MOX) subject to a suitable business case. We are continuing our work to develop options capable of delivering the policy objective of putting the plutonium beyond reach including disposal and reuse options.

See p67 Our nuclear materials are held at a number of sites in the UK. We are consolidating our nuclear materials at sites which we consider are best suited to their safe, secure and cost-effective management.

Overseas owned nuclear materials are the responsibility of the owners. These materials are managed in line with UK and the foreign government policy requirements, contractual commitments and customer requirements.

See p58 Integrated Waste Management

Strategic decisions about waste management are informed by the following key principles, we will:

- support key risk and hazard reduction initiatives by enabling and delivering a flexible approach to long-term waste management
- apply the Waste Hierarchy
- promote timely characterisation and segregation of waste

- where appropriate, provide leadership aimed at integrating waste management delivery across the estate and the supply chain
- support and promote the use of robust decision-making processes to identify the most advantageous options for waste management
- enable the availability of sustainable, robust infrastructure for continued operations, hazard reduction and decommissioning.

Our Higher Activity Waste (HAW) strategy is to implement the UK government's policy of geological disposal and the Scottish government policy for longterm management in near-surface facilities. For Low Level Waste (LLW) our strategy is disposal in fit for purpose facilities that reflect the nature of the wastes to be managed.

Within this overall framework our priority is to achieve risk reduction by dealing with waste in ageing storage facilities (for example legacy facilities at Sellafield) and placing it into safer, more secure modern storage conditions. Diverse radioactive waste management and disposal solutions will be pursued where these offer benefits over previous arrangements. Where appropriate we will continue to investigate opportunities to share waste management infrastructure across the estate and with other waste producers including EDF Energy (EDFE) and the Ministry of Defence (MOD).

We believe there are opportunities for a more flexible approach in the management of radioactive waste. This is reflected in the structure of the Integrated Waste Management section where our HAW and LLW topic strategies are reported under the heading of Radioactive Waste. Our vision is stated in **The NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy – A lifecycle approach.** This approach takes into consideration the entire waste management lifecycle, including how waste management is needed to support other NDA strategic or wider UK initiatives such as large-scale decommissioning programmes.

New waste management approaches will often be a matter of great interest to our stakeholders. We will continue to engage with interested parties from an early stage, irrespective of whether such developments represent new investments proposed by us or by other organisations on our behalf. We will work with key organisations, for example local authorities, to build on the feedback we have received on how engagement should happen and develop an appropriate framework for engagement.

We recognise that in future the radioactive waste management landscape will change, particularly as a result of the nuclear new build programme. With UK government agreement we will supply advice and information to utilities involved in the programme. This will ensure both an integrated approach to radioactive waste management and that our facilities, some of which support both the civil and defence nuclear industries, can plan effectively for the future.

See p72 Critical Enablers

Critical Enabler topics support the NDA mission and include a number of general duties and supplemental functions placed on us by the Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1).* Those Critical Enablers explicitly mentioned in the Act are shown in *italics*.

Health, Safety, Security, Safeguards, Environment and Quality (HSSSEQ) – Our strategy is to apply proportional approaches to HSSSEQ across our estate by requiring the application of appropriate contemporary standards which allow and encourage accelerated risk and hazard reduction.

Research and Development (R&D) – Our strategy is that, where possible, R&D is undertaken by the SLCs and their supply chain. Where necessary the NDA will maintain a strategic R&D programme that focuses on targeted, estate-wide R&D needs, to inform strategy, encourage innovation and support key technical skills.

People – We aim to ensure that there is a skilled workforce available at all times within the NDA, the SLCs and the supply chain. We will mitigate risks of skill shortages and wage inflation caused by current labour market developments by attracting the right people to the right place at the right time at optimum cost and quality. We will also retain, maintain and develop a competent and skilled workforce across the estate and enable mobility across our estate and within the wider nuclear industry.

Asset Management – Our strategy continues to address the enduring risk that asset performance adversely impacts our mission. We aim to secure and sustain asset management capability by utilising Publically Available Specification – 55 (PAS-55) across our estate.

Contracting – We recognise that a single contracting strategy does not exist in isolation but generates a series of individual contracting strategies that meet the needs of individual projects. To that effect our strategy is to retain the capability to act as an effective contracting authority.

Supply Chain Development – We acknowledge that we are dependent on the market to provide safe, affordable, cost-effective, innovative and dynamic services. Our strategy is to help maintain and, where necessary create and develop, a healthy, vibrant, effective and competitive supply chain.

Information Governance – To optimise the value from the knowledge of the estate, and our information assets in a compliant and secure manner we will implement the Information Governance programme, which ensures we invest only in what needs to be retained to deliver our mission.

Socio-Economics – Our strategy is to support the

economic development of communities affected by our activities. This focuses on employment, education and skills, economic and social infrastructure and diversification. In order to deliver our strategy we work with our SLCs, subsidiaries, our suppliers, new build organisations and EDFE to develop and share best practice to create synergies in our socio-economic activity.

Public and Stakeholder Engagement – One of the major considerations for the Public and Stakeholder Engagement strategy is how we take forward engagement at the national and local level, while offering good opportunities for discussion with all those who have an interest in our activities.

Transport and Logistics – We acknowledge that our mission depends on having transport systems that work. We will work together with our SLCs, subsidiaries and regulators to ensure transport meets the mission's needs.

Revenue Optimisation – We need to help fund our mission through revenue generation. We seek to develop commercial opportunities to maximise revenue from our existing assets, operations and people where it does not materially impact on our core mission, or increase our liabilities.

International Relations – Our strategy is to gain access to international good practice through developing targeted relationships, sharing know-how and collaborating with counterpart organisations in other countries. We are aware that we need to understand and influence international technical guidance and legislative developments while supporting UK government in international commitments in the nuclear sector.

Land and Property Management – We will ensure that our SLCs have the land and property they need to complete our mission. Where land and property is surplus to requirements we will determine how best to divest it and secure its beneficial reuse.

Additional Obligations

We also have additional obligations placed on us by the Secretary of State under provisions in the Energy Act (2004) (*ref 1*) to undertake specified tasks or to provide expert advice to the Secretary of State (or to third parties). Obligations from UK government or third parties for technical support are determined on a case-by-case basis and are implemented subject to availability of resources. These obligations are in addition to our core mission and currently cover a number of activities. Examples include:

- oversight of decommissioning plans for EDFE existing fleet of nuclear power stations
- expert advice to UK government on nuclear new build operators' Decommissioning and Waste Management Plans (DWMP)
- implementing geological disposal (A Framework

2.0 Strategy Overview

for the Long-Term Management of Higher Activity Radioactive Waste)

- developing and implementing the UK Strategy for the Management of Solid LLW from the Nuclear Industry
- provide support and resource to Major Projects Authority (MPA)
- accountability to Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) for ensuring the UK has a route for the disposal of redundant sealed sources.

2.3 Lessons from 2011

Following publication of our last Strategy in 2011, we re-examined the way we approach stakeholder engagement as part of strategy development. We identified a clear need to regularly engage with our key stakeholders, particularly regulators.

Strategy development has entailed extensive engagement with stakeholders since 2011, with a number of targeted and focused strategy groups and interactions in operation. These groups consist of representatives from the full range of organisations including government, regulators, our SLCs, broader industry and the public. These, now well-established, forums will continue to support strategy development and delivery over the coming years.

We have recognised the need to avoid including tactical and operational information in our strategy and instead focus on our high level approach. This tactical and operational information is of significant interest to our stakeholders, and we now place greater emphasis on linking our strategic approach to the tactical and operational information presented in our Business Plan and Annual Report and Accounts.

We ask that our Strategy is read alongside the annual Business Plan (*ref 9*) which sets out our objectives and plans for the following 3-year period and the Annual Report and Accounts (*ref 10*), which reports our performance against these activities. We have received feedback that greater emphasis should be placed upon strategy implementation and to this end we have added several more case studies which, whilst not actually providing a strategic input, provide useful context for the reader. In addition we have expanded the sections that cover our sites, and included information about our subsidiaries in appendix D.

Oversight of EDF Energy's Existing Fleet of Nuclear Power Stations:

The NDA is nominated to act as agent for UK government to provide oversight of EDFE plans, budgets and funding claims for the eventual decommissioning of its existing fleet of 8 nuclear power stations.

These liabilities are funded by The Nuclear Liabilities Fund (NLF), established by UK government in 2005 as part of the restructuring of British Energy Group Plc (now EDFE). The NLF is backed by the UK taxpayer and a key function of the NDA is to ensure that EDFE's plans represent value for money, that relevant learning from the NDA's decommissioning programmes is available to inform EDFE plans, that funds are disbursed appropriately, and that any recourse to the taxpayer is minimised.





As part of work to implement the UK Strategy for the Management of Solid LLW from the nuclear industry, we are focused on preserving the Low Level Waste Repository capacity to meet the nation's needs.

3.0 Site Decommissioning and Remediation

Objective:

To decommission and remediate our designated sites, and release them for other uses.



Top right - workmen remove pipework from one of the reactors at Berkeley. Bottom left - the demolition of Dungeness A turbine hall.

3.0 Site Decommissioning and Remediation

Site decommissioning and remediation is our primary focus and all other strategic themes support or enable its delivery.

The decommissioning and remediation of our sites presents a number of major challenges:

- legacy plants in excess of 60 years old containing significant quantities of corroding radioactive material which represent some of our largest hazards and our highest risk
- deteriorating infrastructure
- ground and groundwater contamination resulting from a variety of past uses, including non-nuclear activities

See p40 See p50 See p58

We can only complete our decommissioning and remediation mission if we secure and integrate management solutions for spent fuels and nuclear materials and establish effective waste management solutions (see **Spent Fuels**, **Nuclear Materials** and **Integrated Waste Management**).

Our Strategy

The Site Decommissioning and Remediation theme comprises 4 topic strategies, namely Decommissioning, Land Quality Management, Site Interim and End States and Land Use (figure 5). Experience has shown that the target for decommissioning and remediation is best communicated using an end state and interim states for each site. Together they describe the journey from the state of the site today through to where we want it to be. Site decommissioning and remediation must take account of non-NDA liabilities managed at our sites, but owned by others, for example, the Ministry of Defence (MOD), EDF Energy (EDFE).

The NDA's role is to define what should be achieved at our sites. This means that we are responsible for defining both the target and timing of decommissioning and remediation, allowing the Site Licence Companies (SLCs) to determine how best to deliver this outcome.

This theme was previously called Site Restoration. It has been renamed to emphasise the component parts of the strategic theme, particularly decommissioning.

Our strategy remains to employ pragmatic, riskinformed remediation objectives for our sites that balance the benefits and detriments of site decommissioning and remediation. The end state that results from this balancing act will be case specific. As part of our strategy development, we continue to work with the regulators to ensure that the regulatory regime is flexible enough to accommodate a range of end states, and that regulatory controls are proportionate to the residual risk.





As far as possible, we want the end of each journey to result in the beneficial reuse of our sites. This ambition influences our approach to defining site end states; our preference is to clean up our sites to a condition suitable for their next planned use. Although the next use will be defined by the next land owner in consultation with stakeholders, it is necessary to understand which land use(s) would be credible for our sites so that we can make informed decisions about the removal or reuse of structures

3.0 Site Decommissioning and Remediation

and infrastructure, and the most appropriate way to manage residual contamination. Our strategy is to identify credible uses for our land, which informs site end states and enables us to be proactive in promoting beneficial reuse of our sites (see Land and Property Management).

Interim states help to focus delivery on near-term goals. They typically mark a stepped reduction in risk or hazard on the way to the site end state. Interim states can align to phases of decommissioning and contract delivery milestones.

How quickly we progress through the interim states depends on the priority that is given to a particular facility or site and the pace at which we are able to tackle that priority given the availability of resources (skilled people, funding, etc.) and other enablers such as waste management infrastructure and appropriate technology.

In order to prioritise delivery of decommissioning and remediation projects, we take into account a range of relevant factors as set out in our Value Framework (ref 6). Our approach is influenced strongly by the level of risk to people or the environment, as shown in figure 6.



we are taking a lifecycle view of risk to people and the environment (see HSSSEQ).

Even when risks are tolerable, our approach is still focussed on reducing risk. We will monitor existing risk levels and act proportionately to ensure that the net level of risk does not increase in the long term.

Where risks are reduced, the driver for further work is

Figure 6. Summary of our approach to prioritisation of risk.

Where the risk is less significant, as is the case for the majority of facilities within the NDA estate, prioritisation takes greater account of other factors in the Value Framework (ref 6). This recognises that whilst risks might be tolerable or broadly acceptable, there are other advantages to progressing with hazard and risk reduction that influence prioritisation. For example, all decommissioning and remediation projects have potential to minimise the burden of asset management; maintain and develop skills for future decommissioning and remediation projects; test emerging technologies; release land for reuse by the SLC or society; and demonstrate progress that instils confidence in our industry (see Asset Management, Research and Development, and Land Use). Furthermore, allocating unlimited



See p100

See p74

resources to intolerable risk may not yield the commensurate benefits, for example as a consequence of limited workfaces. With this in mind, our strategy is to progress decommissioning on as broad a front as resources allow.

For both the target and timing of decommissioning and remediation, the optimum solution will be casespecific. To support optimisation, the NDA provides strategic direction and guidance on decision-making, which SLCs can deploy throughout development of lifetime plans. We also maintain an overview of decommissioning and remediation projects to ensure helpful precedents are set, and to encourage a 'lead and learn' culture across the entire NDA estate.

NCREASING RISK TO PEOPLE AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Case Study

Legacy Ponds and Silos Waste Treatment

The legacy ponds and silos (LP&S) at Sellafield were historically used to prepare fuel for reprocessing and to store the resulting waste. Radioactive materials accumulated and have remained in the facilities since routine operations ended.



Arrival of new equipment for waste retrieval at the Magnox Swarf Storage Silos.

Over the decades the condition of the LP&S has deteriorated and there is increased urgency to reduce the intolerable risks they pose. These facilities were not designed with decommissioning in mind and were not properly cleaned out at the end of operations which makes their decommissioning more complex and uncertain. Managing uncertainty is one of the main drivers of this work.

See p62

For the Higher Activity Waste (HAW) contained in the LP&S, this urgency has resulted in a decision to retrieve the wastes from the ageing facilities and place them into safer and more secure, modern storage conditions, without necessarily converting them immediately into a disposable waste-form. This offers benefits to programmes where complex waste management challenges exist by breaking down the tasks of retrieving the waste and packing it for disposal into more manageable steps. This means that, while the waste may not be ready for disposal, we achieve the overall goal of risk reduction by placing it under more modern storage arrangements.

This approach has started to yield some benefits but will not fully deliver its potential until the capability to retrieve wastes is in place. Installation of waste retrieval equipment is currently being progressed for all the LP&S facilities. The developments in retrieval equipment in the First Generation Magnox Storage Pond (FGMSP) will allow for the transfer of some spent fuel into a more modern pond and storage of other spent fuel and waste in self-shielded boxes, where the boxes themselves provide the containment and shielding required.

We believe that in some circumstances there is merit in this approach as it allows the separation of final treatment from retrievals. As the challenges are divided into discrete steps, the tasks will be less difficult to accomplish allowing us to undertake better characterisation of wastes as they are retrieved. This could lead to cost savings in the long term.

3.1 Decommissioning

Objective:

To deliver Site End States as soon as reasonably practicable with a progressive reduction of risk and hazard.

Decommissioning involves decontamination and full or partial dismantling of facilities following cessation of operations and the removal of operational material and waste (sometimes known as Post Operational Clean Out or POCO). The approach to decommissioning is developed on a case-by-case basis reflecting the specific nature of the facility in question. The NDA estate includes reactors, chemical plants, research facilities, waste management facilities, fuel fabrication and reprocessing plants, all of which present different decommissioning challenges. with decommissioning in mind. Furthermore, there are cases where POCO has been delayed, thereby exacerbating the decommissioning challenge. We must learn from these mistakes as we operate and maintain existing and future facilities.

Our previous Strategy (*ref 3*) introduced the concepts of continuous and deferred decommissioning. We stated that we will decommission our sites as soon as reasonably practicable taking account of lifecycle risk to people and the environment and other relevant factors. We continue to implement this strategy and have acted on our commitment to develop a consistent set of relevant factors for consideration during decision-making, which are described in our Value Framework (*ref 6*). We have continued to explore the important interdependencies between decommissioning and the management of assets and waste.

See p27

The legacy ponds and silos at Sellafield are our greatest decommissioning challenge and remain our highest priority (see Case Study: Legacy Ponds and Silos Waste Treatment). The ponds and silos were historically used to prepare fuel for reprocessing and to store waste respectively. They, like many other legacy facilities, were neither built nor operated

Our Strategy

Our strategy remains to decommission our sites as soon as reasonably practicable, taking account of lifecycle risks to people and the environment and other relevant factors.

Our preference is for continuous decommissioning except where there are clear benefits to be had from deferring work. In some cases we would choose to defer decommissioning, for example to realise an opportunity for reusing a facility or to take benefit from radioactive decay or natural attenuation of risks to people and the environment. In addition, there are a number of constraints that might divert us from our preferred approach and prompt us to consider a deferred decommissioning strategy. Notable constraints include accessibility of a facility, the availability of waste management infrastructure and affordability.

Whatever the reason for a deferral, it must be a conscious decision. The decision must be underpinned by records of the associated interim state and confirmation that the asset can be maintained in a safe condition with appropriate, cost-effective asset management and institutional control. There will be a point at which the cost of asset management is greater than the cost of decommissioning (see **Asset Management**). This may justify a continuous decommissioning strategy that incurs cost now to avoid unproductive maintenance at a later date. The role of interim states is described further in the **Site Interim and End States** strategy.

Both decommissioning and land quality management can make use of *in situ* and *ex situ* solutions. *In situ* solutions involve leaving parts of a facility (or land contamination) in place and regarding them as having been disposed of or beneficially reused, as opposed to *ex situ* solutions where items are removed for management elsewhere. These are both credible options. The preferred option will be case-specific, and will require consideration of the **Site Interim and End States** strategy and strategies within the **Integrated Waste Management** theme.

There is an important interface between decommissioning and waste management. A sound understanding of the waste arising from a decommissioning project, and how that waste will be managed, informs the approach to decommissioning. It is good practice to map how waste will be managed before creating it. This is known as waste-informed decommissioning. Our approach to decommissioning is influenced strongly by the Waste Hierarchy and decommissioning wastes will be managed in accordance with our Radioactive Waste and Non-radioactive Waste strategies. Conversely, the timing of and approach to decommissioning will influence our waste management requirements such as waste processing and treatment, and the need for waste storage and disposal facilities (see NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy - A lifecycle approach).

See p34 See p58





Demolition of the auxiliary turbine hall at Bradwell, with dust suppression implemented through water sprays.

Strategy Development

We will play our part in understanding and, where possible, reducing the influence of constraints on continuous decommissioning. For example, there might be a compelling case for continuous decommissioning of a facility but the pace of progress is constrained by the lack of waste management infrastructure such as the Geological Disposal Facility (GDF), in which case it might be appropriate to consider developing alternative waste management solutions. We will also work with Site Licence Companies (SLCs) and international decommissioning experts to understand which constraints have the greatest effect on the pace of progress and try to determine whether the impact is proportionate and justified.

We will work with UK government to understand the extent to which discounting should influence decisions on the timing of decommissioning activities, and try to clarify the circumstances that justify a 'spend to save' approach.

We will develop guidance on how to record the condition of assets in a manner that informs the choice between continuous and deferred decommissioning.

Delivery

Our Value Framework (*ref 6*) describes in more detail the influence of relevant factors on our decisionmaking process. SLCs will use this guidance to inform periodic reviews of the decommissioning plans in the light of emerging opportunities and constraints.

operations to decommissioning (including POCO) will

be prompt unless exceptional circumstances justify deferral. Where there has already been a significant

On cessation of operations, the transition from

delay, as is evident in some legacy facilities, a conscious decision may be made to assign the removal of operational material and waste to the

decommissioning phase.

To improve the efficiency of strategy delivery, decommissioning experts from the NDA estate and beyond have formed a Decommissioning Working Group to share experience and learning. They also explore common research requirements (acting as a working group of the Nuclear Waste and Decommissioning Research Forum) (see **Research and Development**), examine potential shared solutions, discuss requirements for skills development and, where appropriate, arrange training workshops.

See p76

Optimum timing and sequencing of Magnox reactor dismantling

The NDA's Strategy outlines our commitment to decommission sites as soon as reasonably practicable, and to reach case-specific decisions taking relevant factors into account.

At our Magnox reactor sites, the baseline strategy is to defer reactor dismantling for around 85 years following shutdown. Whilst we will celebrate as the first few sites are made safe and secure for a long period of quiescence, it is hard to ignore the question of what comes next. Increasingly we find ourselves questioning whether the baseline strategy is appropriate as a blanket strategy for all reactors in the Magnox fleet.

Our current strategy

The deferred dismantling strategy involves preparing each reactor for many decades of quiescence known as Care and Maintenance. As well as ensuring that the reactor is physically safe, preparations for quiescence must also put in place appropriate management arrangements, including those required for site security, monitoring, maintenance and records management.

Preparations for quiescence are phased across the Magnox reactor sites, which reflects their different ages and also enables learning from experience. The sites will enter quiescence at different times but there will be a period of around 30 years where all sites are in quiescence at the same time before reactor dismantling begins at the first site.

The drivers for this deferred reactor dismantling strategy are:

 benefitting from radioactive decay in terms of dose rate reductions that enable dismantling to be undertaken with significant worker access, and changes in the categorisation of radioactive wastes

- avoiding the need for interim storage of reactor waste pending consignment to the Geological Disposal Facility (current plans are predicated on the GDF being available for Magnox reactor waste at around 2060)
- the substantial reduction with increasing deferral time of lifecycle costs on a discounted or Net Present Value (NPV) basis.

Balanced against the benefits of a lengthy deferral period are a number of risks which include: loss of skills, knowledge and capability to carry out final site clearance; loss of records and information; potential for increased costs from the complexity of dismantling assets that have deteriorated over the years; taking up land that could be used for other purposes; and uncertainty over future economic circumstances and regulatory standards.

Magnox Limited is making good progress in considering how best to mitigate the potential consequence of these risks.



What Hunterston A will look like on reaching the Care and Maintenance stage.

Optimum timing and sequencing of Magnox reactor dismantling contd

Why review this strategy?

The industry has for many years assessed the benefits and detriments of undertaking Magnox reactor dismantling sooner in recognition of the risks outlined above. Since the last full review, there have been developments that change the decommissioning landscape:

- advances in remote decommissioning techniques and international experience demonstrate that nuclear power reactors can be dismantled promptly without the need for significant worker access
- there is now considerable experience in remote handling, packaging and storage of HAW at Magnox reactor sites
- government policies on the long-term management of HAW aim to investigate alternative disposal options for some of the inventory where Scottish policy does not support deep geological disposal (see HAW Strategy)
- new waste routes have become available for the management of LLW to permitted landfill, for the recycling of metals, and for the interim storage of HAW

• increasingly, international bodies such as the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) hold the view that reactor dismantling should be carried out as soon as possible.

Prompted by these developments, the NDA has worked with Magnox Limited to research the implications of alternative decommissioning strategies. The findings of this early research support a review of the decommissioning strategy. Firstly, an improved understanding of the implications of radioactive decay have shown us that after the long period of quiescence a large amount of the reactor waste will still not be suitable for management as LLW, despite broadly fitting into the LLW category, due to high inventories of long-lived radionuclides.

Secondly, a preliminary high level cost model suggests that as the deferral time increases, the reduction in decommissioning costs (resulting from increased worker access) is largely offset by the increased cost of preparing for and managing quiescence. Furthermore, even after a significant period of deferral, it is likely that remote dismantling techniques would be applied to Magnox reactor dismantling as a matter of best practice to help minimise conventional safety risks and doses to workers.

Why undertake this review now?

Work is ongoing to prepare the Magnox reactor sites for quiescence. If an alternative, shorter period of deferral proves preferable then some of these preparations might not be necessary. At some sites there may also be opportunities to defer the decommissioning of waste plants and infrastructure, to make beneficial use of these assets for reactor dismantling.

The way forward

On behalf of the NDA, Magnox Limited will develop and evaluate credible options for the alternative timing of reactor dismantling, including assessing implications of the nuclear new build programme. They will focus first on those sites for which the benefits of early reactor dismantling are particularly evident, for example sites with a high land value or sites likely to yield the greatest learning for other sites.

Magnox Limited will consider the sequencing of reactor dismantling. There would be potential advantages in a decommissioning programme that avoids fleet wide quiescence. This would provide the existing skilled workforce with increasing experience in decommissioning that could be deployed to manage the reactor dismantling programme, while continuing to monitor and maintain sites in quiescence. A continuous decommissioning strategy of this type would deliver wider benefits by demonstrating progress in reactor decommissioning, contributing to the socio-economic wellbeing of communities by retaining skilled employment for longer, and enabling land to be released earlier for reuse.

Magnox Limited will ensure that this review does not adversely impact or divert attention from delivery of the baseline plan.

3.2 Land Quality Management

Objective:

See p58

To ensure that land quality is managed to protect people and the environment.

Land quality management involves managing risks to people and the environment (including flora and fauna) from radioactive and non-radioactive contamination in ground and groundwater. In line with regulator expectations (*ref 11*) and industry good practice guidance (*ref 12*), the key activities for land quality management should be to:

- prevent leaks, spills and the spreading of residual contamination
- develop a land quality management strategy and plan, taking consideration of both radioactive and/or non-radioactive contamination and involving stakeholders
- identify and characterise contamination as soon as practicable
- evaluate management and remedial options and prioritise activities
- keep good records and manage knowledge appropriately.

Each of our sites has land contamination as a result of previous land uses. It is essential that we understand the extent of the contamination through effective characterisation to ensure that the chosen remedial target (e.g. site end state) and the approach to achieving that target are proportionate to risk, now and in the future.

The UK has a comprehensive regulatory framework to manage contamination in ground and groundwater. Aligned with this framework, risk to people and the environment is our primary and enduring consideration in deciding how to manage land contamination. The extent to which people and the environment are at risk depends on the properties of the contaminant, how much contamination is present, and how people and the environment could come into contact with the contamination. The aim of remediation is to break the pathway between the contaminant and people and the environment.

The remediation of land has the potential to generate large volumes of material. In addition the demolition of redundant facilities will also generate large volumes of concrete and brick rubble. Large-scale remedial excavation can have significant negative effects on eco systems as well as posing other environmental and safety risks. Ensuring an appropriate balance between the benefits and detriments of remediation is the core of this strategy. The majority of the waste arising is either not contaminated or lightly contaminated. This waste represents a significant liability to the NDA and it is a major challenge for the NDA and Site Licence Companies (SLCs) to decide how this waste is managed. This is an important interface with our Integrated Waste Management theme.

Our previous Strategy *(ref 3)* focused on developing our understanding of site conditions and demonstrating that risks posed by land contamination are being managed. Guidance for land quality reporting has been developed by the NDA with the Environment Agency in consultation with the Office for Nuclear Regulation, Natural Resources Wales and the Scottish Environment Protection Agency. We must develop this approach to support our reporting by SLCs. Consistency of reporting will continue to build stakeholder confidence and enable us to demonstrate the progression of the land quality programmes at each of our sites.

3.2 Land Quality Management

Our Strategy

Our strategy for land quality management is to employ early, risk-based decision making to ensure remediation is proportionate to the level of risk. Our focus is on dealing with both radioactive and nonradioactive contamination which poses the greatest risk to people and the environment.

Aligned with our Decommissioning strategy, our preference is to decommission and remediate our sites as soon as reasonably practicable, taking account of lifecycle risks to people and the environment and other relevant factors. However, sometimes we may have to defer remediation, for example where the contamination exists beneath buildings that are still to be demolished. Choosing to defer remediation must be the result of a conscious decision and will depend on site specific factors.

See p87

We recognise that decommissioning and land remediation activities cannot be considered in isolation as they are linked. If decommissioning activities are not carefully implemented they could lead to contamination. Our strategy is to minimise the amount of material being excavated and disposed of as waste. This could include using *in situ* remediation techniques (e.g. Monitored Natural Attenuation) to remediate the land. When waste is generated from remediation (or demolition), our strategy is to explore opportunities for its beneficial reuse on site. For example, the waste could be a valuable resource for landscaping or void filling. This approach has the additional advantage of minimising the use of new materials and reduces environmental impacts associated with the work (e.g. transport movements and the protection of natural resources). Reuse of waste must represent a net benefit and allow the site end state to be achieved. Reuse of waste will be subject to regulatory control.

To enable the reuse of land, it is essential we ensure appropriate records are kept and knowledge is managed (see **Information Governance**). This is particularly important where residual contamination is being remediated *in situ* for a period, or waste has been disposed on site. Our records will be available to future users and owners of the site and must meet the needs of regulators and the land development industry.

Strategy Development

This strategy is developing. We will focus on 2 key areas of work.

Firstly, we will continue to work with regulators and our SLCs to facilitate the beneficial reuse of wastes generated from land remediation and demolition. We will also explore options for beneficial reuse of waste from one site on another site, for example to help cap the Low Level Waste Repository (LLWR). Legislation requires that beneficial reuse of radioactive waste is classed as waste disposal and we are working with regulators to understand whether this may have any unintended consequences.

We are also supporting the environmental regulators

in the preparation of guidance for the revocation of Environmental Permits (in England and Wales) or Radioactive Substance Authorisations (in Scotland). This guidance supports the Waste Hierarchy (figure 7) by enabling the beneficial reuse of waste on site, while ensuring protection of people and the environment. This is a common approach on nonnuclear development sites.

Secondly, we are further developing our instructions to SLCs on the reporting of land quality to better demonstrate the status of the site, the associated risks and the progress in managing them.

Delivery

SLCs will continue to deliver the strategy through plans and procedures that minimise contamination and evaluate existing contamination. SLCs will continue to appraise options for managing contamination on a case-specific basis ensuring action is timely and proportionate to risk. Options should take account of impacts on the site end state.

See p76

To ensure consistency in strategy delivery we convene regular meetings of land quality management experts from the nuclear industry at the Nuclear Industry Group for Land Quality (NIGLQ) to share good practice and lessons learned. The group also provides an opportunity for regulators to engage early with the wider nuclear industry on emerging regulatory guidance. NIGLQ also explores common research and development requirements (supporting the Nuclear Waste and Decommissioning Research Forum), examines potential shared solutions, discusses requirements for skills development and, where appropriate, arranges training workshops. This has facilitated, via the NDA Direct Research Portfolio (see **Research and Development**), the publication of 2 industry good practice guides covering qualitative risk assessment (*ref 13*) and routine water quality monitoring (*ref 14*). These guides will benefit the nuclear industry by outlining a consistent approach which will improve planning and working practices and demonstrate NDA leadership.

3.3 Site Interim and End States

Objective:

To define credible objectives for the decommissioning and remediation of each site (or part of a site).

The NDA owns significant quantities of land, of which around one quarter is designated, i.e. land that has been assigned by UK government to us for decommissioning and remediation. As part of our responsibilities to government we are required to propose the end state for the designated land at each of our sites. The site end state describes the condition to which the site (land, structures and infrastructure) will be taken and, where necessary, should be accompanied by a description of the controls required to protect people and the environment from any residual hazards.

For many of our sites, the site end state is not scheduled to be achieved for many decades. For these sites, it is difficult to define the site end state in detail without ruling out credible options prematurely. To support the development of plans and maintain clarity of the decommissioning journey, our previous Strategy (*ref 3*) introduced an aspiration to make better use of interim states as natural milestones and decision points on the way to the site end state. An interim state is typically a stable state that marks a stepped reduction in risk or hazard.

Site interim and end states together define objectives for ongoing management of structures, infrastructure and land quality as well as having implications for the management of spent fuels, nuclear materials and waste arising from operations, decommissioning and remediation.

Our Strategy

Our strategy remains to employ pragmatic, riskinformed remediation objectives for our sites that balance the benefits and detriments of site decommissioning and remediation. This recognises that, in some cases, removing all traces of a site's industrial use does more harm than good and does not represent sustainable development. It is our strategic preference to undertake enough remediation to enable the beneficial reuse of a site, and, if needed, use institutional controls (e.g. land use restrictions) to protect people and the environment from residual hazards.

In other words our preference is to decommission and remediate our sites to a condition suitable for their next planned use. This is consistent with conventional land development and with controls implemented routinely under the UK land use planning regimes.

It is essential that we keep appropriate records of the site end state and associated controls. This ensures that land will be used safely and sustainably in the future.

As a site gets closer to the end of its decommissioning journey, the end state will need to be defined in increasing levels of detail. As far as possible, this should be informed by a view of future land use to ensure the safety of future users and maximise beneficial reuse of structures, infrastructure and land (see Land Use).

See p28 See p38

In cases where the site end state will not be

achieved for many decades, fixing a site end state now could rule out options currently not envisaged or risk pursuing an unsuitable end state. It also presupposes what society may desire for a site at the time it will be remediated. Instead, it is more appropriate to develop an overarching vision for the site. Without this overarching vision there is a risk of inadvertently foreclosing options for an end state. Furthermore, it is difficult to set objectives for ongoing decommissioning and remediation projects.

As important as the vision is a clear articulation of the next interim state. No matter how broad the definition of the site end state, there will be work that is common to all potential decommissioning journeys. Once this work is complete, a decision will be required as to the next step. At this point, the number of potential decommissioning options reduce, and so the cycle continues. In some cases, the next step might rule out an end state option, for example deciding to decommission infrastructure or demolish a building removes the opportunity for its reuse. If an end state option is being ruled out then this must be a conscious decision with appropriate underpinning and justification.

Identifying an interim state does not necessarily imply a period of quiescence. An interim state can be followed by continuous or deferred decommissioning, i.e. a decision may be taken to work towards the next interim state or to pause (see **Decommissioning**). Given that an interim state is typically a stable state, it is important that the route to the next interim state is clear before starting to work towards it. Furthermore, in all but exceptional circumstances, facilities should not move away from a stable interim state until it is clear how waste arising will be managed.

Interim states are a good communication tool to align expectations, increase motivation and secure commitment to decommissioning plans for internal and external stakeholders. They allow SLCs to plan more effectively and can also be used as contract milestones. In accordance with our strategy of taking sites to a condition suitable for their next planned use, we do not anticipate the preservation of our facilities for the benefit of national industrial heritage. However, the preservation of facilities for this purpose will be subject to case-specific assessment in line with planning policy. Furthermore one of the objectives of the NDA Archive (see **NDA Archive**) is to preserve the history of the UK nuclear industry.

Strategy Development

We will prepare guidance for SLCs on the optimisation of site end states. We are sharing our draft guidance with a subgroup of the Nuclear Energy Agency's (NEA) Working Party for Decommissioning and Dismantling, which is tasked with recommending approaches for the development of remediation plans to enable timely delivery of interim and end states.

We will continue to work with the regulators to explore options for more proportionate regulatory control of sites as they progress towards their end state. We want to ensure that the regulatory regime is flexible enough to accommodate a range of end states and that residual controls do not restrict future use of the land unnecessarily, deter developers or impair local amenity. On behalf of government, the NDA and regulators are exploring alternative approaches that will afford the same level of protection for people and the environment and enable beneficial reuse sooner rather than later, for example making better use of our well-established land use planning regimes.

We will prepare guidance for SLCs on the role of interim states in describing and enabling delivery of the longer-term mission.

We will work with key stakeholders to agree the information that should be recorded by our SLCs about interim and end states to ensure that assets are used appropriately and safely by current and future users. Records will play an important role in ensuring the control of risks to people and the environment from residual hazards (see Information Governance).

We will work with local authorities to ensure that site end states and statements on the next planned use of sites are consistent with local waste and development plans.

Delivery

See p30

See p87

See p89

The NDA has issued a new specification for the Winfrith site that moves the end of physical decommissioning and remediation from 2048 to the early 2020s, thereby accelerating the opportunity for beneficial reuse as publically-accessible heathland by over 20 years. Consequently the site end state needs to be defined in more detail in consultation with stakeholders. This gives the SLC an opportunity to ensure the right balance between removing hazards and controlling risks to people and the environment (see **Case Study: Winfrith**).

See p36 See p92 See p79

At many other sites, the focus is on developing appropriate interim states. For example, Sellafield Limited is reviewing its decommissioning strategy and proposes to describe options in terms of interim states, which will aid stakeholder engagement in due course. Magnox Limited is working with the NDA and regulators to determine the level of decommissioning and remediation that is required to make each site safe for decades of quiescence (see **Optimum timing and sequencing of Magnox reactor dismantling**).

Site interim and end states have the potential to affect the local community and local authority development plans, for example in terms of employment and skills retention. This emphasises the need for ongoing stakeholder engagement which is covered in our **Public and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy** and **People Strategy**.

Case Study

Winfrith

Located near the Dorset coast, Winfrith was opened in 1961 to provide additional space for the UK's civil nuclear research programme.

The Winfrith site hosted a number of experimental reactors and other fuel cycle research facilities. A substantial amount of decommissioning has already taken place and a significant proportion of radioactive waste has already been removed from the site. The remaining facilities include the DRAGON reactor and a prototype steam-generating heavy water reactor (SGHWR), which ceased generating electricity in 1990.

Our plan for the Winfrith site is to complete all physical decommissioning and remediation work in the near term. Our current target is to achieve this within the next 10 years. We refer to this target as an interim end state and it represents an interim state in which no further physical work is planned.

The reference to physical work is important because after the physical work, natural processes will continue to work towards reaching the conditions required to deliver the site end state. We are working with Magnox Limited to understand where there are opportunities to reduce the amount of physical work now, which may include leaving some residual contamination *in situ* to take advantage of radioactive decay and natural degradation of contaminants. This could also have the benefit of reducing the amount of material that will need to be imported to the site to bring about the interim end state.

These decisions will be subject to demonstrating that conditions at the interim end state are safe for people and the environment and will be supported by continuing the useful discussions that have already taken place with stakeholders.

Our plans for the Winfrith site are therefore different to the Magnox reactor sites where the near-term target is an interim state after which there will be a period of quiescence (for some decades) followed by further physical decommissioning and remediation required to deliver the site end state.

Once the interim end state has been achieved it is likely that the Winfrith site will still be subject to regulation, particularly in areas where residual contamination is being managed. It will also remain designated to the NDA under the Energy Act (2004) (*ref 1*), despite there no longer being any facilities on the surface. However, it is our aspiration that, even with these regulatory controls, we will be able to deliver the site to its next planned use as heathland open to the public for recreational purposes. The management of the site once the interim end state has been achieved will be an important area of work over this strategy period and in particular will require close working with regulators to ensure that their expectations are met and that the management of the site is compliant with the relevant regulations. Within the NDA estate there are examples of licenced land where there is public access, however, it is recognised that reaching this state for a whole site will be a first for the UK.

Winfrith will be the first reactor site in the NDA estate to be "cleared" and provides an important opportunity for the NDA and Magnox Limited to demonstrate that we can clear sites and make a whole site available for its next planned use. This is important to us because it has the potential to set a precedent for the future remediation of other sites in the NDA estate.

Following the commencement of the new contract for the Magnox sites (including Winfrith and Harwell) the lifetime plans are currently under review. As a consequence the milestone dates indicated against these sites are subject to change as the plans are further optimised.






Artist's impressions showing how the Winfrith site will eventually return to heathland.

3.4 Land Use

Objective:

To optimise the reuse of NDA sites.

See p34

See p100

Our Site Interim and End States strategy describes the condition to which our designated land and associated structures and infrastructure need to be taken. In support of this, our Land Use strategy explores how our land can be used either when our mission is complete or on an interim basis prior to achieving the site end state (an interim use). Examples of land use vary from industrial use through to public open space. Our Land and Property Management strategy describes how our land is managed and divested to achieve these uses.

Previous discussions with stakeholders about site end states have highlighted the important link between end state and land use. For example, it is important to understand whether the burden of achieving a specific end state can be justified by the value a land use provides.

The 'value' a user can get from land can be measured in many ways. Typically it is measured by how much income can be achieved from a land use. However, there is recognition of the wider socio-economic and environmental benefits land can provide (see **Socio-Economics**) (*ref 15*) (*ref 16*). With an understanding of these benefits, we may be able to use them to drive early land release or support a different remediation approach.

The link between end state and end use has been further highlighted by the recent work undertaken at the Winfrith site. The site is expected to reach its interim end state within the next 10 years when all physical works will be completed. To facilitate this work it has been necessary to provide greater detail to the end state definition, for example, should the roads be removed, should drainage of the site stop, etc. To enable these decisions to be made, the next use of the site needs to be understood. Although it is helpful to assume a next land use when defining the site end state, we only have responsibility for defining the latter. The next land use will be defined by the next owner in accordance with the planning regimes and incorporating consultation with stakeholders as appropriate. However, to enable decommissioning and remediation to progress and offer greatest value for money, it is necessary to understand which land use(s) would be credible for our sites. We can therefore make decisions about which structures and infrastructure should be removed and what is the most appropriate way to manage residual contamination or dispose of waste.

A further benefit of understanding credible next land use(s) for our sites is to support the release of land and property. It can also lead to identifying opportunities for interim use(s) that could provide income for our mission or for the socio-economic benefit of the local community. An example of where this has already happened is the reuse of the former Berkeley Technology Centre by South Gloucestershire and Stroud College as a renewable energy, engineering and nuclear centre. Where a next use is identified, this may influence the priority and pace of site decommissioning and remediation to facilitate early reuse.

Many things can affect how a site could be used. Of significance is the location of the site and in particular its distance from towns and transport links. Other factors to consider include the physical characteristics of the site, commercial interest, environmental designations and local planning policy. Evaluating these factors is important when defining the end state, especially for sites where the next owner, and consequently the next use, is unknown.

Our Strategy

We recognise that land is a national asset which supports society's ability to grow and prosper. It is a finite resource and we must use it wisely. Our strategy is to identify credible uses for our land either when our mission is complete or on an interim basis prior to achieving the site end state.

We commit to encouraging the reuse of brownfield land over the development of greenfield land. This is in line with government policy (*ref 17*) (*ref 18*) (*ref 19*) and the principles of sustainable development.

Rather than waiting for the next use to be identified through market interest, we want to be aware of the reuse opportunities. This is an essential feed in to our **Land and Property Management** strategy. This ensures we can promote the reuse of our

Strategy Development

We have undertaken initial research, data gathering and stakeholder engagement regarding the factors that influence land use. We will continue this work with the ultimate aim of identifying credible uses for our land and informing the optimisation of site interim and end states by our SLCs. An important part of this work will be to develop with key stakeholders a common language of the different types of land use.

Working with key stakeholders, we will develop our understanding of the appropriate controls that should be in place to ensure our sites can be reused where residual contamination is being managed.

Delivery

In response to our guidance on the factors to consider when identifying suitable next use(s) of our sites, the NDA and SLCs will gather information to increase our understanding of credible next use options and hence credible site end states. our decommissioning and remediation activities to support its reuse. This will also enable us to identify potential interim uses.

land and property in a timely manner and improve

Understanding how a site can be used will inform remedial targets (e.g. site end state), and the extent to which controls can be used. These controls need not stop the land from being reused but control the risk presented by any residual contamination that may remain. This approach is widely used in property development.

This is a new strategic topic and interfaces closely with the Land and Property Management strategy. We will develop our understanding of the interfaces further to ensure integration of these topic strategies.

To facilitate beneficial reuse, we will identify the socio-economic and/or environmental benefits as well as the commercial value of our land. This will be consistent with national and international best practice for determining the value of a given land use.



The old Berkeley Centre research complex before development.



Artist's impression of what South Gloucestershire and Stroud College will look like.

4.0 Spent Fuels

Objective:

To ensure safe, secure and costeffective lifecycle management of spent fuels.



Top right - Multi-element bottles being removed from the THORP pond. Bottom left - Inside the Fuel Handling Plant at Sellafield.

4.0 Spent Fuels

The NDA inventory of spent nuclear fuels consists of large quantities of Magnox and oxide fuels, along with smaller quantities of non-standard and diverse fuel types which we refer to as 'exotic fuels'.

UK government policy *(ref 20)* states that spent fuel management is a matter for the commercial judgement of its owners, subject to meeting the necessary regulatory requirements.

See p61

See p69

Historically the UK's approach has been to reprocess, separating the spent fuel into its component parts of uranium and plutonium, various waste streams and authorised discharges.

Plutonium recovery is no longer required for either civil or military purposes. However, some fuels continue to be reprocessed to support ongoing electricity generation and some are reprocessed because they are unsuitable for long-term storage.

An alternative approach to reprocessing is interim storage of spent fuel in purpose built ponds or dry

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to secure and subsequently implement the most appropriate management approach for spent Magnox and oxide fuels and, where possible, take advantage of these approaches to manage spent exotic fuels.

In making strategic decisions we consider the lifecycle of the fuels, their products, wastes and discharges and all of the existing or potential facilities that are required to manage them. We engage with government, regulators and stakeholders on the strategic options before finalising our strategic decisions and implementing them.

We aim to complete the reprocessing of Magnox fuel as soon as is practicable. Magnox fuel reprocessing is expected to complete by around 2020.

For our oxide fuels, we aim to reprocess the contracted amount of spent fuel in the Thermal Oxide Reprocessing Plant (THORP). After the closure of THORP our plan for the remaining Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor (AGR) and other spent oxide fuels is interim storage, pending a future decision on whether to declare them as waste for disposal in a GDF. Placing spent fuel in interim storage will not foreclose future options for its management, including the options to dispose of in a GDF or to reprocess.

See p76

We intend to consolidate all of our exotic fuels at Sellafield. Some of these fuels can be managed in much the same way as our bulk Magnox and oxide fuels, but some exotic fuels present particular challenges which may require specifically tailored solutions for their long-term management and final disposition. stores pending a future decision on disposition. If spent fuel were subsequently declared as Higher Activity Waste (HAW) it would be consigned to a Geological Disposal Facility (GDF) in line with UK government policy (*ref 21*) (see **Radioactive Waste**).

Managing our spent fuels effectively is essential to enable us to remediate our sites and release them for other uses. We will ensure effective solutions for the management of spent fuels and, where appropriate, meet the contractual commitments of our customers.

Reprocessing of spent fuels gives rise to permitted liquid and gaseous discharges which must be managed in line with the UK discharge strategy commitments (see Liquid and Gaseous Discharges).

In the next 5 years we expect that the THORP and Magnox reprocessing plants will complete their committed reprocessing programmes. This represents a major milestone in our long-term mission.

There are risks with both Magnox and oxide reprocessing that mean we could reprocess less than the scheduled amounts before operations cease. It may simply not be possible to reprocess all of the fuels that are currently scheduled. We will, therefore, continue to invest in developing alternative options and contingency plans in the event that our reprocessing and storage facilities cannot fulfil their current commitments, or are not available. In some cases this could mean integrating their management plans with those for legacy fuels and materials from the legacy ponds and silos (LP&S). This is because the technologies and approaches being developed for managing legacy fuels and materials may be applicable to the management of relatively small quantities of fuel remaining at the end of reprocessing.

We will continue to undertake research to support the development of spent fuel management options (see **Research and Development**). By having options available we will be able to bring Magnox and THORP reprocessing programmes to a timely conclusion and ensure the continued safe, secure and cost-effective management of remaining fuels. We will continue to work and engage with government, regulators and stakeholders before finalising future strategic decisions and implementing them.

With the agreement of UK government we will, if requested, continue to supply advice and information to third parties involved in the UK's nuclear new build programme.

Objective:

To ensure the safe management and disposition of spent Magnox fuel, completing Magnox reprocessing as soon as practicable.

The Magnox reactors were the first generation of commercial nuclear power stations to operate in the UK. All 26 reactors have been shut down.

The NDA has the responsibility to defuel and decommission all of these Magnox reactors. Prior to decommissioning, spent fuel is removed from reactor cores and sent to Sellafield, resulting in significant reduction in radioactivity and hazard at the reactor sites. We aim to transfer the fuel to Sellafield as soon as practicable.

Right from the start Magnox fuel has been reprocessed because of its susceptibility to corrosion. As of March 2016 there will be less than 2,100 tU of Magnox fuel to reprocess, which means over 96% of Magnox fuel will have been reprocessed. Based on typical plant performance, reprocessing is expected to complete around 2020. Further details of the delivery of the strategy are included in the Magnox Operating Programme (MOP) (*ref 22*). In 2011 we took the decision to transfer Dounreay Fast Reactor (DFR) material from Dounreay to Sellafield. This material has now been included in the MOP inventory. Sellafield has the facilities and capability to manage this material and consolidation there also enables Dounreay to achieve its interim end state.

In 2014 we decided to retrieve legacy Magnox spent fuel from the First Generation Magnox Storage Pond (FGMSP) at Sellafield and consolidate it alongside buffer stocks of Magnox spent fuel scheduled for reprocessing. This material is heavily degraded and not suitable for reprocessing, however, it will need to be safely managed along with the inventory associated with MOP.



Good progress has been made in defuelling the Magnox reactors. The last of the fuel from the Oldbury reactors was removed 3 months ahead of schedule.

Our Strategy

See p94

See p81

Our strategy is to reprocess all Magnox fuel in line with the MOP. Delivery of the MOP requires consistently high performance of defueling, transport infrastructure (see **Transport and Logistics**) and the ageing reprocessing facilities at Sellafield. Due to the age of the facilities there are inherent technical and engineering issues, (see **Asset Management**) which may lead to gradual loss of performance or sudden, acute failure. These issues could result in delay to the MOP and additional costs; because of this we continually monitor the health of our strategy.

We have published our contingency options for the management of spent Magnox fuel (*ref 23*) including the status of the technology for drying Magnox fuel. The development of drying technology, particularly to manage wetted Magnox fuel, is now at an advanced stage and there is high confidence that this option is deployable if required. Further technologies to dry store and/or immobilise Magnox fuels continue to

Strategy Development

For a number of reasons it may not be practicable to reprocess all of the spent Magnox fuels in the MOP inventory. When Magnox reprocessing operations cease there are likely to be relatively small amounts of fuels left over to manage. Projections of this inventory range from a few tonnes to a few hundred tonnes of fuel depending on a number of factors including reprocessing performance and the amounts of fuels recovered from legacy facilities.

We are working with the relevant Site Licence Companies (SLCs) on alternative options (see **Research and Development**) to treat these fuels, so that any remaining fuel can be safely and be developed as part of the programmes to manage materials held within legacy ponds and silos (LP&S) at Sellafield.

An economic assessment of the Magnox contingency options compared with continued reprocessing has been undertaken. Continued reprocessing has been shown to be much more cost-effective compared to the contingency options and there is greater certainty associated with it.

For these reasons we consider that no case for change exists with regard to the Magnox strategy and we remain committed to the completion of Magnox reprocessing operations as soon as practicable in line with the MOP.

cost-effectively managed. We aim to complete an analysis of these options by the end of 2016. We will discuss the options with government and regulators.

This work will inform how the MOP is optimised to balance the types and amounts of unreprocessed fuel, if any, at the end of reprocessing. This may result in a future revision to the MOP once the analysis has been undertaken and the options developed and assessed.

See p76

Delivery

The MOP is designed to deliver the NDA strategy to reprocess all Magnox spent fuel. In our previous Strategy we set out our aim to complete the MOP around 2016. Due to a number of technical and operational difficulties this has not been possible. In 2012 we revised our forecast for completion of reprocessing with the publication of our most recent MOP (*ref 22*). This explicitly recognises the operational and throughput uncertainties associated with Magnox reprocessing due primarily to the age of the plants involved which has led to variable delivery performance. Based on a lower bound performance of 450 tU pa, Magnox fuel reprocessing will complete by December 2020. We will continue to closely monitor performance and plant condition. To sustain and improve reprocessing performance levels a Magnox Throughput Improvement Plan (MTIP) was concluded in 2015. This programme targeted investment in the existing infrastructure and assets.

We will also continue to invest in order to maintain the readiness of our contingency options in the event of sudden, irreversible failure of Magnox reprocessing by undertaking research and development where appropriate.

4.2 Spent Oxide Fuel

Objective:

To ensure management and disposition of UK owned oxide and overseas origin fuels held in the UK, and to complete THORP reprocessing as soon as practicable.

When we took over the UK's legacy nuclear liabilities, we inherited a range of spent fuel management contracts with domestic and overseas customers.

See p102

We are contractually committed to receive and manage all of the spent fuel arising from the 7 EDF Energy (EDFE) AGR power stations in England and Scotland. The management of AGR spent fuel is a major source of commercial income for the NDA. (see **Revenue Optimisation**). EDFE has publicly declared its intention to operate these stations for as long as it is safe and economic to do so and to seek significant life extensions for its AGR reactors. We must maintain the capability at Sellafield to receive and manage AGR spent fuel from EDFE in line with our contractual commitments to them (see Non-NDA Liabilities).

Fewer than 150 tonnes of overseas origin Light Water Reactor fuels remain at Sellafield, which are scheduled for reprocessing in THORP.



The operation of THORP has been an essential enabler to electricity production from EDFE's fleet of AGRs.

Our Strategy

In our previous Strategy (*ref 3*) we committed to undertake a study to determine how much spent fuel we should reprocess in THORP and how we should manage any remaining fuels including future arisings of AGR spent fuel.

Our options were set out in our Credible Options paper for oxide fuels, assessing them against a number of criteria. We concluded that the delivery of the current strategy – to reprocess the contracted amount of spent fuel in THORP – remains the most viable and cost-effective option and confirmed our position in 2012.

In delivering the current strategy we will have created sufficient space to receive and manage all the AGR

Strategy Development

In 2012 we highlighted the risks that could impact on the delivery of our strategy to complete the THORP reprocessing contracts. For some small quantities of overseas origin fuels it will not be possible or economic to reprocess them before we cease commercial operations in THORP. We proposed to UK government that these fuels should be retained in the UK and that products and wastes are allocated to customers as if reprocessing had been carried out, and, where appropriate, returned to customers in line with contractual commitments. Following public consultation the UK government approved our proposal. We will therefore take this approach where these fuels cannot be reprocessed economically in THORP. fuel from EDFE power stations, which avoids having to build additional storage capacity for AGR fuel. If we were to extend reprocessing we would have to gradually replace many of the plants that support THORP's operations at great expense. This would potentially divert resources from our core mission of nuclear clean-up and waste management.

After the closure of THORP our plan for the remaining AGR and other spent oxide fuels is interim storage, pending a future decision on whether to declare them as waste for disposal in a GDF. Placing spent fuel in interim storage will not foreclose future options for its management, including the options to dispose of in a GDF or to reprocess.

We will continue to work with EDFE and stakeholders to optimise our plans for receiving AGR spent fuel in line with EDFE's intentions to operate and defuel their AGR power stations.

For planning purposes we are assuming that spent oxide fuel is disposed of in a GDF. We will continue to work with Sellafield Limited and Radioactive Waste Management Limited (RWM) on the storage, packaging and disposal of oxide fuels, including work on dry storage as an alternative to wet storage.

Delivery

When we published our first Strategy *(ref 3)* THORP was expected to complete reprocessing contracts by 2010. However, due to operational and throughput difficulties at Sellafield this has not been possible. THORP is now expected to complete reprocessing contracts in 2018.

See p61

See p46

The future performance of THORP and supporting plants remains uncertain and is therefore a significant concern. Nevertheless, current throughputs in THORP remain at the rates required to complete the strategy. Additional facilities being built at Sellafield to support decommissioning can also be used to support the completion of THORP reprocessing (see Case Study: Completion of THORP Reprocessing).

We aim to ensure THORP reprocesses sufficient AGR spent fuel to avoid building further interim spent fuel storage capacity at Sellafield. Even with significant lifetime extensions to EDFE's AGR fleet, our strategy for the receipt and management of AGR fuel remains robust.

Sellafield Limited has continued to develop its approach for the interim wet storage of AGR spent fuel to the point of packaging for disposal (see **Radioactive Waste**). This approach is based on the considerable operational experience and technical knowledge base which Sellafield Limited has accumulated over 30 years of managing AGR spent fuel.

We will continue to monitor performance and plant conditions and develop options to manage risks and uncertainties. We are working with Sellafield and key stakeholders to these ends and the outcome of this work will be shared with regulators.

Case Study

See p24

Completion of THORP Reprocessing

When our first Strategy was published our plans showed completion of reprocessing in the Thermal Oxide Reprocessing Plant (THORP) around 2010. This proved to not be possible due to the cumulative effect of several equipment failures in THORP and associated support facilities.

Our most recent Strategy (*ref 3*) stated that 'we plan to complete the reprocessing contracts for the UK and overseas contracts as soon as possible' subject to a number of constraints. We also committed to undertake a study into the most cost-effective lifecycle management option and subsequently adopt it.

We published our credible options analysis for the management of oxide fuel in 2011 and gathered stakeholder views. As a result of this and further studies we identified our preferred option in 2012 and concluded that the delivery of the current strategy to reprocess the contracted amount of spent fuel in THORP remained the most viable and cost-effective option. We noted that to complete reprocessing in THORP we had to ensure that:

- there was sufficient capacity to store any fuel remaining, including future arisings, and that it could be safely and securely stored pending a future decision on whether to dispose of in a GDF
- the optimum storage conditions could be implemented for the fuel that remains at the end of reprocessing
- the site infrastructure could support the demand placed on it when reprocessing ceased.

This strategy would see THORP reprocessing complete by the end of 2018. In delivering this strategy we will have created sufficient space to receive and manage all the AGR fuel from EDFE's fleet of power stations, which avoids having to build additional storage capacity for Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor (AGR) fuel. If we were to extend reprocessing we would have to gradually replace many of the plants that support its operations at great expense. This would potentially divert resources from our primary focus of decommissioning and remediation.

We highlighted a number of performance risks that could impact on the delivery of the strategy. In some scenarios operational difficulties could result in the reprocessing of less than the currently planned amount of spent fuel by the date when reprocessing in THORP is expected to be completed. To manage these risks, we have continued to develop alternative options for relatively small amounts of fuels that cannot be reprocessed before THORP operations conclude. The decision on when to complete THORP reprocessing has informed wider asset and investment decisions, in particular the High Active Storage Tanks (HASTs) which store the highly active liquor resulting from reprocessing. Earlier plans had assumed that new HASTs would be required to support ongoing reprocessing. A significant amount of work was undertaken by Sellafield Limited to underpin the long-term asset condition of the existing tanks. Given the clear end of reprocessing, Sellafield Limited determined that there are significant margins of safety and contingency with the current fleet of HASTs and that their replacement is not required on safety grounds. This has saved approximately £600 million.

This decision also signalled a clear end to reprocessing operations in THORP which, when taken together with MOP, provides a clear transition point for Sellafield from operations to decommissioning with continued management of spent fuel and waste (see **Site Decommissioning and Remediation**).

46 4.2 Spent Oxide Fuels - Case Study



An aerial view of THORP.

4.3 Spent Exotic Fuel

Objective:

To ensure the management and ultimate disposition of all our exotic fuels, developing options for those fuels which cannot be effectively managed through our routes for Magnox or oxide fuels.

> In addition to the bulk Magnox and oxide fuels we also manage a smaller inventory of non-standard fuels, commonly referred to as 'exotics'. These fuels include metallic, oxide and carbide materials. They are a legacy we inherited from earlier nuclear industry activities such as the development of research, experimental and prototype fuels and reactors.

> Examples of exotic fuel types include fuels arising from the Dounreay Fast Reactor (DFR), the Dounreay Prototype Fast Reactor (PFR), the DRAGON reactor, the Steam Generating Heavy Water Reactor (SGHWR) and highly enriched uranium (HEU) fuels.

Some, but not all, of these fuels share common characteristics with our bulk Magnox and oxide

fuels and can be managed in much the same way, for example through reprocessing. However, although much smaller in quantity than our bulk fuels, some of the exotic fuels present their own particular management challenges due to their diverse and sometimes unique properties. In some cases specifically tailored solutions for their long-term management and disposition will be required.

We are also contracted to receive and store irradiated fuels from the Ministry of Defence (MOD) arising from the development and operation of the UK Defence Nuclear Programme (see **Non-NDA Liabilities**).



Inside the Dounreay Fast Reactor preparing for fuel retrieval.

Our Strategy

We have taken a series of decisions to transfer all of the exotic fuels to Sellafield for management. This strategy of consolidation provides better value to the UK taxpayer as it allows us to accelerate clean-up and decommissioning of the Dounreay and Harwell sites making it more cost-effective in the long term. With this approach we can also optimise the use of suitable facilities, skills and capabilities at Sellafield to treat and manage these fuels (see Asset Management and People).

See p48 See p81+79

We have decided to reprocess specific exotic fuels alongside bulk fuels which have common

Strategy Development

The individual nature of exotic fuels means that the approach for managing each fuel type is made on a case-by-case basis. We have arranged our exotic fuels into groups and will develop business cases to manage each fuel group.

See p65

65 Consolidation (see Case Study: Consolidation)

of the exotic fuels at Sellafield will provide a costeffective approach to managing these fuels until final disposition options can be developed and implemented. It will not be possible to reprocess all of the exotic fuels using existing facilities so alternative management options will need to be developed. For each option we are working to better understand the issues associated with their storage, treatment and in some cases disposal. Specifically tailored solutions for long-term management and disposition could be required.

We continue to consolidate all of the DFR material at Sellafield. In the event that not all of this material can be reprocessed, we will develop an alternative option characteristics. For example, we are reprocessing DFR fuel alongside spent Magnox fuel. This maximises the opportunity to use existing facilities and provides best value for money.

In other cases, such as the mixed oxide fuels from the PFR, we have decided to store this fuel alongside AGR fuel in THORP facilities (see **Spent Oxide Fuel**). This is because this fuel is compatible with the storage conditions for AGR spent fuel. For the DRAGON reactor fuel we have chosen to encapsulate the fuel to simplify our approach to storage pending disposal.

for the DFR material so that it can be managed at Sellafield.

On behalf of the MOD we currently receive irradiated fuels and store them on an interim basis in specialised facilities at Sellafield. These fuels are owned by the MOD. The decisions and strategy for their long-term management and disposition, beyond interim storage, rests with the MOD. We will continue to work closely with the MOD to support them in developing options for the long-term disposition of these fuels. Where there is potential benefit to the UK taxpayer to manage these fuels alongside the NDA owned fuels, we will explore options with the MOD. We will make available the skills, capability and, if appropriate, planned-for facilities at Sellafield to find an optimised solution.

Delivery

All of our exotic fuels are being safely and securely stored while plans for their final disposition are implemented or developed, as appropriate. Development of these plans may need to be supported by research (see **Research and Development**).

The exotic fuels at Dounreay and Harwell are progressively being transferred to Sellafield for management. We have included DFR material in the MOP inventory and started to transfer it from Dounreay to Sellafield (see **Spent Magnox Fuel**). Work is continuing to develop detailed plans for the transfer of the remaining irradiated fuels held at Dounreay.

Some of the exotic fuels at Sellafield such as the SGHWR fuel are scheduled to be reprocessed. However, some exotic fuels are not suitable for reprocessing in our current facilities before they cease operations due to the small quantities, their physical properties or level of enrichment. These fuels will continue to be safely and securely stored pending development of final disposition options.

We have also received fuel from the CONSORT reactor at Imperial College. We are continuing to store this fuel alongside other materials with similar properties.

We are continuing to receive and store fuels on behalf of the MOD until the strategy for their long-term management and disposition is decided.



5.0 Nuclear Materials

Objective:

To ensure safe, secure and costeffective lifecycle management of our nuclear materials.



Top right - Plutonium containers placed in safe storage at Sellafield. Bottom left - Getting containers ready for lifting within the uranics store at Capenhurst.

5.0 Nuclear Materials

The NDA manages large stocks of civil uranium and plutonium arising from fuel cycle activities such as reprocessing and enrichment. The majority of these stocks are UK owned. However, some of the nuclear materials managed by the NDA are overseas owned.



The priority for UK government policy (*ref 24*) is to provide a solution that puts UK owned plutonium beyond reach. This is because of the continuing and extensive safety and security (see Health, Safety, Security, Safeguards, Environment and Quality) arrangements needed for the storage of these materials alongside international non-proliferation objectives to reduce separated plutonium stocks worldwide. Whilst we continue to support the development of UK government policy on plutonium, we will continue to implement our strategy of safe and secure storage.

Our stocks of uranium have the potential to be reused in nuclear fuel for generating electricity. Accordingly, our uranics stocks are held in storage at nil value pending the development of disposition options. If it were decided that some of these materials have no future use they may need to be managed as waste (see **Radioactive Waste**).

Overseas owned nuclear materials held by the NDA (see Non-NDA Liabilities) are the responsibility of the owners. These materials are managed in line with UK and the foreign government policy requirements, contractual commitments and customer requirements.

Implementing a solution for the management of all of our nuclear materials is essential to enable us to decommission our sites and deliver our mission. In the meantime our nuclear materials are managed in safe and secure facilities in line with regulatory requirements.

Nuclear Materials

Our Nuclear Materials strategy is made up of Plutonium and Uranics topic strategies. Our strategy is to safely and securely store our nuclear materials while we develop cost-effective lifecycle solutions for their management in line with UK government policy.

Our nuclear materials are held at a number of sites in the UK. In our previous Strategy *(ref 3)* we proposed that it may be appropriate for reasons of security and economy to consolidate the storage of some of our nuclear materials. Since then we have taken a number of decisions to consolidate nuclear materials at sites which we consider are best suited to their safe, secure and cost-effective management.

5.1 Plutonium

Objective:

To ensure the safe and secure management of separated plutonium stocks held by the NDA and to work with the government to develop a long-term solution.

On completion of reprocessing operations there will be around 140 tonnes of civil separated plutonium stored safely and securely in the UK. The NDA manages all of the civil separated plutonium in the UK. The vast majority of this material is held at Sellafield, with a relatively small amount currently held at Dounreay arising from historic activities at this site.

Our Strategy

In 2011, informed by our strategic options work, the UK government proposed a preliminary policy view to pursue reuse of UK civil separated plutonium as Mixed Oxide fuel (MOX). This would see the vast majority of UK plutonium converted into fuel for use in civil nuclear reactors. Any remaining plutonium unsuitable for conversion into MOX would be immobilised and treated as waste for disposal (see **Radioactive Waste**).

See p61

In addition, UK government decided that overseas owned plutonium in the UK, which remains the responsibility of the owners, could be managed alongside UK plutonium or transferred to UK ownership subject to acceptable commercial terms (see **Revenue Optimisation**).

See p96

Whilst reuse of plutonium is the preferred policy position there is currently an insufficient

The priority for UK government policy (*ref 24*) is to provide a solution that puts the vast majority of UK held plutonium beyond reach.

Implementing a solution for the management of all our plutonium stocks is essential to enable us to deliver our mission.

understanding of the options to confidently move into implementation. In the meantime, our strategy for plutonium stocks is to continue to safely and securely store them on our sites in suitable facilities in line with regulatory requirements.

Following engagement and consultation we have taken the decision to consolidate the plutonium stocks currently held at Dounreay at Sellafield. This means that all significant stocks of civil plutonium will be stored at Sellafield. A strategy of consolidation helps to optimise the safe and secure storage of UK held plutonium stocks and enables the decommissioning and remediation of the Dounreay site. The consolidation of materials at Sellafield can be achieved without compromising decommissioning activities at this site.



THORP Product Store showing safe and secure storage of plutonium.

Strategy Development

We continue to work with UK government in developing strategic options for the implementation of its policy to put plutonium beyond reach by undertaking further strategic work on its behalf. This work covers both reuse and disposal options.

In 2014 we published an update detailing our progress on approaches to the management of separated plutonium which included our plans for significant future work with 3 potential suppliers of reuse technologies. Since then we have further developed our understanding of the reuse options as well as immobilisation of plutonium and provided additional advice to government.

Our advice concluded the right approach in the nearterm is to continue to work with technology suppliers, developers and UK government to establish how the re-use option could be secured and implemented. Additionally, we should continue to fund technology development for the immobilisation of plutonium.

This work will focus on technical and implementation aspects enabling us to develop a fuller understanding of potential approaches to acquisition and procurement of a re-use option that would meet UK government policy requirements and deliver best value for money. We will continue to develop an approach to immobilisation of plutonium for that part of the inventory which is unsuitable for re-use and in the event that re-use cannot be successfully implemented.

We continue to engage with regulators and stakeholders on the options for the management of plutonium due to the importance of this strategy and its relevance to national policies and international arrangements. We will report on our progress in line with UK government expectations.

The schedule for developing, selecting and implementing the preferred option depends on many factors including the nuclear new build programme and GDF. We will work with UK government to understand the relevant conditions that need to be met so that a decision can be made with confidence and at the right time.

The UK government will decide when and how to progress and select a long-term solution for plutonium. Only when it is confident that its preferred option could be implemented safely and securely, in a way that is affordable, deliverable, and offers value for money will UK government be in a position to proceed.

Delivery

Our stocks of plutonium are contained in custom built stores that ensure safe and secure storage. Over the past 5 years we have continued to retrieve materials from older stores and consolidate them in state of the art facilities such as the Sellafield Product and Residue Store (SPRS).

We are in the process of consolidating, at Sellafield, the relatively small stocks of plutonium currently held at Dounreay. A specialised facility to package materials to prepare them for transport is required at Dounreay to support this strategy.

In late 2011 we took the decision to close the Sellafield MOX Plant (SMP) because it was no longer

commercially viable as a consequence of the Great East Japan earthquake and the subsequent impact on the Japanese nuclear industry. This decision was made in order to ensure that the UK taxpayer does not carry a future financial burden from SMP.

To optimise the management of overseas owned plutonium we have reached commercial settlements with some of our European customers and taken ownership of their plutonium. Discussions are continuing with overseas organisations and utilities on how to manage their stocks of plutonium held by the NDA in line with UK government policy (*ref 24*) and that of relevant foreign governments.

5.2 Uranics

Objective:

See p96

See p102

See p98

To ensure the management and disposition of our uranics inventory.

Uranics are materials containing uranium which have been produced from fuel cycle operations such as enrichment, fuel fabrication and reprocessing since the 1950s.

We manage significant stocks of uranics which are held safely and securely at several locations. We own the majority of the uranic materials on our sites, while the remainder is owned by others including Ministry of Defence (MOD), EDF Energy (EDFE) and overseas utilities. We manage our customer-owned uranic materials in accordance with the terms of those contracts (see **Revenue Optimisation** and **Non-NDA Liabilities**).

The NDA-owned inventory comprises the following groups:

 Magnox Depleted Uranium (MDU), a product of spent Magnox fuel reprocessing

- Uranium Hexafluoride tails (UF₆ tails, also known as "Hex"), a by-product of legacy uranium enrichment
- THORP Product Uranium (TPU) in the form of UO₃, a product of spent oxide fuel reprocessing
- High Enriched Uranium (HEU) from research
 reactor fuel development and production
- low-enriched, natural and depleted unused uranium in a variety of forms as recovered materials from fuel manufacturing processes.

Our uranics inventory will change as we continue to reprocess spent fuels, sell our uranic materials, where possible, and return it to customers according to their requirements.

Our Strategy

Our strategy ensures the safe and secure management of our uranic materials while continuing to provide best value for the UK taxpayer. We also foster collaboration between our sites and international entities to ensure continued application of good practice (see International Relations).

We will continue to manage our customers' uranics material in line with contractual obligations and UK government policy (*ref 25*).

Owing to the diverse nature of our uranics inventory there is no single preferred management option for

Strategy Development

Continued storage does not provide an end point for our uranics. Where our uranics have commercial value we will return them to the fuel cycle through sale to a third party.

For a significant part of our inventory, such as the depleted uranium arising from enrichment and reprocessing, there is currently very limited opportunity to sell this material. Work is underway to define how uranic material with no foreseeable resale value could be disposed of in a GDF or by using an alternative approach. the whole inventory; the preferred option will need to be determined on a group-by-group basis.

The management options are:

- continued safe and secure storage
- sale to a third party for recycling and reuse
- conditioning to an appropriate form for disposal.

Through our subsidiary, Radioactive Waste Management Limited (RWM), we are evaluating potential approaches to the disposal of uranic materials in a GDF in the event that these materials were to be subsequently declared waste.

This work will inform whether or not alternative approaches to the disposal of uranic materials should be developed and it will provide input into our work on alternative disposal options supporting a future UK government decision on UK policy (see **Radioactive Waste**).



Delivery

See p83

See p81

See p56

See p48

To support continued storage, we have contractual arrangements in place with our site operators. They are required to maintain the assets used to store our uranic materials including the storage buildings, containers and security arrangements (see **Contracting**). The maintenance regime includes regular inspections to ensure packaging meets the required containment standards and identifies potential degradation mechanisms in advance (see **Asset Management**).

To optimise the management of uranics we are consolidating the storage of our uranics inventory (other than TPU and HEU) at Capenhurst (see **Case Study: Capenhurst Hazard Reduction**) alongside MDU and the oxide from converted Hex. The transfer of uranic materials from Harwell and Dounreay is planned to be completed in the next few years. TPU is stored in purpose-built facilities at Sellafield.

In our **Spent Exotic Fuel** strategy we set out our plans to consolidate material at Sellafield including the stocks of unirradiated HEU. However we recently

identified that a large part of this material may be suitable for reuse, for example in the production of medical isotopes. Where it is economic to do so we will seek to transfer our HEU to a third party to enable such reuse in line with regulatory requirements.

We are utilising existing infrastructure to recover uranium from residues at Springfields and materials from Harwell and Winfrith to make it more manageable or saleable. Materials not sold are being transferred to Capenhurst for storage. We will continue to evaluate opportunities for further such processing and sale.

Subject to NDA estate-wide funding and hazard reduction priorities we will reduce the hazard associated with the continued storage of uranics such as Hex.

In line with our customers' requirements we are continuing to export TPU product uranium for sale or recycling. More than 500 tonnes of TPU has been exported since publication of our previous Strategy.



'Hex' awaiting final disposition at Capenhurst.

Case Study

Capenhurst Hazard Reduction

The Capenhurst site historically consisted of 2 licenced sites, operated by Sellafield Limited and URENCO, surrounded by a single site boundary. The site stores approximately 20,500 tU of our tails uranium Hexafluoride (Hex), in approximately 10,000 storage cylinders, as well as Hex owned by URENCO.

These cylinders are the highest hazard on the Capenhurst site. We committed to converting our stored Hex into uranium oxide, which is much less hazardous and more suitable for long-term management.

To deliver a sustainable future for the Capenhurst site, while maximising the return from our asset holding, we decided to enter into modified contractual arrangements for managing the site and the material stored there.

In 2012, following a significant transformation and transition process, the NDA site was transferred to URENCO with consolidation under a single nuclear licensee. Existing activities undertaken by Sellafield Limited have been transferred to URENCO.

The NDA and URENCO also signed agreements for the deconversion of our Hex at a Tails Management Facility (TMF) constructed at the Capenhurst site. TMF is being designed to process tails Hex from URENCO's normal enrichment activities stored in modern cylinders. However, most of our Hex is in cylinders of an obsolete and ageing design. Some contain impurities which may not be compatible with TMF. To address this we have agreed for a facility to be built on our behalf to transfer Hex from the legacy cylinders into new cylinders and to address the issues arising from impurities. The process of repackaging and deconversion will take some 25 years. Once deconverted, the inherent hazard will have been removed and the resulting materials will be packaged and stored alongside URENCO's deconverted material in a modern, purpose-built store.

These agreements reduced our net liabilities for managing and clearing the site, while also making it possible for URENCO to invest in new facilities on the site.



Capenhurst site.

6.0 Integrated Waste Management

Objective:

To ensure that wastes are managed in a manner that protects people and the environment, now and in the future, and in ways that comply with government policies and provide value for money.

> Top right - ILW being moved into storage at the interim waste store at Trawsfynydd pending ultimate disposal. Bottom left - On the Vitrification Store charge floor at Sellafield-. Ensuring the safety of the most hazardous waste on the NDA estate.

6.0 Integrated Waste Management

Nuclear site operations and successful site decommissioning and remediation depend on the availability of a robust, sustainable waste management infrastructure. Effective waste management is an essential requirement for the delivery of our mission and is a significant part of our programme.

> Waste management is not a straightforward process of retrieval and disposal. It includes a series of lifecycle steps: pursuing opportunities for waste minimisation, reuse and recycling, waste processing, packaging, storage, records management, transport and then final disposal where required. This theme includes the full spectrum of waste types from solid radioactive wastes, gaseous and liquid discharges to non-radioactive wastes.

> The NDA needs to ensure that effective waste plans are being implemented across our estate, recognising the need to manage risks and pursue opportunities at site and estate level. To help with this process we require each of our sites to deliver an Integrated Waste Strategy setting out their approaches to managing the full range of waste they generate.

We continue to support UK government and devolved administrations in the development of their radioactive waste management policies and provide essential waste management services for

Our Strategy

The development and implementation of each of the topic strategies within the Integrated Waste Management theme are informed by the following key principles:

- supporting key risk and hazard reduction initiatives by enabling a flexible approach to long-term waste management. For some wastes it may be necessary to adopt a multi-stage process to achieve a final disposable product, which could include the separate management of bulk retrievals and residual material to support hazard reduction programmes
- taking into consideration the entire waste management lifecycle, including how waste management is needed to support other NDA strategic or wider UK initiatives such as largescale decommissioning programmes
- applying the Waste Hierarchy (figure 7), which is recognised as good practice and should be used as a framework for waste management decision-making. This enables an effective balance of priorities including value for money,

the UK as a whole. Radioactive Waste Management Limited (RWM) is a wholly owned subsidiary of the NDA, established as a delivery body to work with the producers of radioactive waste, to develop waste management solutions and deliver geological disposal for HAW in England and Wales (*ref 21*) (*ref 26*). Scottish policy for the management of HAW is long-term management in near-surface facilities (*ref 27*). We are also responsible for the implementation of the UK Nuclear Solid Low Level Wastes Strategy (*ref 28*), which is being delivered by Low Level Waste Repository Limited (LLWR Limited) with support from Site Licence Companies (SLCs) and the wider nuclear industry.

Background information on quantities and the nature of radioactive waste is available in the UK Radioactive Waste Inventory *(ref 29)*.

affordability, technical maturity and the protection of health, safety, security and the environment

- promoting timely characterisation and segregation of waste, which delivers effective waste management
- where appropriate, provide leadership giving greater integration across the estate and the supply chain, in particular by seeking opportunities to share treatment and interim storage assets, capabilities and learning
- supporting and promoting the use of robust decision-making processes to identify the most advantageous options for waste management
- enabling the availability of sustainable, robust infrastructure for continued operations, hazard reduction and decommissioning.

Current UK policy classifies radioactive waste into 3 categories: High Level Waste (HLW), Intermediate Level Waste (ILW) and Low Level Waste (LLW), depending on their radioactive concentration and

6.0 Integrated Waste Management

whether or not they generate heat. The NDA, with support from the nuclear site regulators, advocates an approach where wastes are managed according to the nature of the waste (radiological, physical and chemical properties) rather than simply the radioactive waste category they fall into. To achieve this we are developing a radioactive waste strategy that will integrate Higher Activity Waste and Low Level Waste management (see **The NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy – A lifecycle approach**). Successful delivery of this approach



See p92

NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy – A lifecycle approach). Successful delivery of this approach involves a number of steps taking the waste from a raw form to final disposal. The key strategic stages within the lifecycle are:

- planning and preparation
- treatment and packaging
- storage
- disposal.

This approach will allow us to consider in detail the nature of the waste stream and identify the most appropriate way to manage it (see **Radioactive Waste**).

See p58 See p24 See p40 See p50

See p61

Integrated Waste Management supports the delivery of Site Decommissioning and Remediation, Spent Fuels and Nuclear Materials themes. We recognise that in the near future the radioactive waste management landscape will change, particularly as our sites progress into quiescence and a decreasing number of sites will have ongoing waste management programmes. As our waste management operations reduce we will need to remain flexible, and consider:

- ongoing legacy waste management
- entry into quiescence known as Care and Maintenance for the Magnox reactor sites
- waste management routes to enable site clearance
- long-term storage arrangements.

To achieve this flexibility we will pursue diverse radioactive waste management and disposal solutions where they offer benefits over previous arrangements. For instance, we continue to investigate opportunities to share waste management infrastructure across the estate and with other waste producers. We will manage these opportunities on a case-by-case basis, while engaging with stakeholders irrespective of whether such developments represent new investments proposed by us or by other organisations on our behalf (see **Public and Stakeholder Engagement**).



Figure 7. Summary of the Waste Hierarchy.

6.1 Radioactive Waste

Objective:

See p76 + p98

To manage radioactive waste and dispose of it where possible, or place it in safe, secure and suitable storage, ensuring the delivery of UK and devolved administrations policies.

> The successful implementation of radioactive waste management strategies requires effective delivery by the SLCs with support from RWM, LLWR Limited and the wider supply chain.

Radioactive waste strategy is divided into 2 topics: HAW and LLW, which have specific definitions (see Glossary). The interface between the current HAW and LLW topic strategies is an important development area for the NDA that requires careful management and may offer significant opportunities. The existing HAW and LLW strategies are described below, as well as our position in respect of category boundary wastes, for example, ILW and LLW. We encourage innovation and open market solutions, and sustain research and development matched to the challenges of waste management both by direct investment and indirectly through the programmes of our SLCs. We also track international developments as a benchmark and collaborate with other countries to share good practice (see **Research and Development** and **International Relations**). We support and lead a number of radioactive waste management fora and as part of this overall approach to strategy development we will review these fora and ensure they continue to meet our current and future needs.



70% of the radioactive hazard has now been removed from the Pile Fuel Storage Pond at Sellafield.

6.1.1 Higher Activity Wastes

HAW comprises of HLW, ILW and a relatively small volume of LLW that is unsuitable for disposal at the LLWR or the LLW facility at Dounreay.

HAW arises from a broad range of activities including storage of legacy wastes, management of spent fuel and decommissioning (see **Spent Fuels** and **Decommissioning**). We have published an overview report on HAW management which provides detailed information on waste types, volumes and management routes (*ref 30*).

For HAW, the long-term management policy of the UK government is to package and hold wastes in secure interim storage facilities until they can be transferred to a Geological Disposal Facility (GDF). The 2014 white paper on Implementing Geological Disposal sets out the UK government's framework for managing HAW in the long term through geological disposal recognising that a GDF will be "implemented alongside ongoing interim storage and supporting research". The Scottish government published its policy on HAW in January 2011 and an implementation strategy consultation in 2015 (*ref 31*). Their policy for HAW is long-term management in near-surface facilities.

We are also accountable to the Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC) for ensuring the UK has a route for the disposal of redundant sealed sources.

See p28

See p40

See p40

See p28

The principles of the Waste Hierarchy apply equally to HAW as to all other forms of waste. However in some cases application of the Waste Hierarchy for HAW may not be possible due to the levels of radioactivity and/or the condition of the materials to be managed or the facilities within which they are held. HAW management is multi-faceted. As well as considering the different types of waste to be managed it is important to appreciate how the

Our Strategy

Our overarching strategy is to treat and package HAW into a form that can be safely and securely stored for many decades. Our current planning assumptions are that, at the appropriate time, the stored waste in England and Wales will be transported to and disposed of in a GDF. For HAW arising in Scotland long-term management will be in near-surface facilities. Overseas owned HAW products are being returned to customers under existing contracts, which typically includes waste substitution. The NDA HAW strategy supports policy implementation and subsequent development.

Strategy Development

We continue to develop our HAW strategy in a number of areas where there is the potential for beneficial change. In particular there is a need to place greater emphasis on the entire waste management lifecycle, and undertake strategic tasks or support SLC practices that have a greater impact on the earlier stages of the lifecycle. Taking waste management requirements into account early in the wastes are currently being managed or are to be generated in the future. In the development of our strategy we address the following 3 areas:

Legacy wastes – raw wastes in storage, which are typically wet or mobile ILW, that need to be retrieved from ageing facilities and converted into a form suitable for long-term interim storage and/ or disposal. In some circumstances it may not be practicable to achieve a disposable product in a single management step especially where there is an overriding need for risk reduction. Other ILW streams are also considered in this area although they are inherently less hazardous (e.g. graphite fuel element debris, scrap metal). Our current priority is to expedite the retrieval of HAW from ageing facilities.

Operational wastes – wastes associated with current operating facilities that have a clear and underpinned waste management route in place, including the continued operation of vitrification and encapsulation plants to support reprocessing (see **Spent Fuels**).

Decommissioning wastes – typically, large volume solid ILW and graphite wastes associated with decommissioning including Sellafield active plant and equipment and Magnox reactors. Many of these waste streams may not arise for many decades and their form and volume depend on the **Decommissioning** strategy. Due to the high volumes of decommissioning waste arisings and the timescales involved, there are potentially significant strategic development opportunities to be realised for integrated waste management.

We are also accountable to the Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC) for ensuring the UK has a route for the disposal of redundant sealed sources.

Our strategy of treatment and packaging followed by storage is well developed and remains focused on realising opportunities, addressing key delivery risks and improving baseline delivery at all stages of the waste management lifecycle. We pursue strategy development on a project basis and undertake supporting research and development (see **Research and Development**). We will also continue to develop an estate-wide integrated approach to waste management and, as appropriate, seek collaborative working opportunities with other waste owners.

design phase of new facilities, and applying timely and effective characterisation of waste will allow early application of the Waste Hierarchy through waste avoidance, minimisation and improved sorting and segregation to provide long-term value for the UK.

To support this lifecycle approach we intend to establish further strategic guidance, which could

support national Best Available Technique (BAT) positions for certain radioactive waste types or groups (e.g. small volume problematic wastes). We are also working to realise the synergies between HAW and LLW management.

Waste treatment technologies: The baseline treatment option for radioactive wastes is often cement encapsulation, which is unlikely to be the optimal solution for all future waste streams. We will continue to support the development of a range of waste treatment technologies with the strategic aim of reducing overall volumes and making best use of current and future assets. We will continue to sponsor activities in support of HAW treatment applications including targeted R&D, monitoring of SLC related programmes and studies investigating specific decay storage opportunities and the treatment of problematic wastes. Integrated Project Teams have been launched to coordinate and support a range of thermal treatment and problematic waste initiatives to enable technology transfer to the industry.

Boundary wastes: We acknowledge that the boundary between different waste categories and associated routes needs careful management. Due to the nature of the wastes, geological disposal may be more appropriate for some LLW, while for some HAW, particularly those containing short-lived radionuclides, a more appropriate management route could be in a near-surface environment. It is estimated that up to 10% of the total ILW and LLW inventory (*ref 32*) is contained within the HAW and LLW classification boundary. The management approach for boundary wastes should be closely aligned with the lifecycle approach to radioactive waste management to ensure optimised waste

management decisions while making best use of capacity and capability within the industry. We will work with SLCs and regulators to help determine opportunities for management of boundary wastes and continue to sponsor activities, including collaboration between LLWR Limited and RWM.

Alternative disposal options in support of UK and Scottish government policies: The UK policy for the long-term management of HAW recognises that it is appropriate to investigate alternative options to a GDF for some of the inventory where there could be the potential to improve the overall management of HAW. To support this policy position, and Scottish government policy of near-surface management of HAW, we will explore a range of disposal options together with RWM and our SLCs. We expect to have a leading role in determining credible options for the disposal of HAW in the near-surface environment where we will work with other waste owners and secure expert support from RWM and our SLCs including LLWR Limited. We will report our proposed options to the Department for Energy and Climate Change (DECC), Scottish government and the regulators. As the work progresses we will undertake appropriate engagement with stakeholders (see Public and Stakeholder Engagement).

Delivery

Our SLCs will continue to package HAW into a form that is suitable for storage and ultimate disposal. New storage facilities are being built across the estate to store HAW until disposal routes become available. Our plans for new and existing stores need to include maintenance programmes, refurbishment and, if required, replacement of some older stores (see **Asset Management**). To support this planning process we developed industry guidance for longer-term storage of HAW (*ref 33*). The current generic approach for waste treatment is to immobilise the waste and store it within purpose-built facilities.

At facilities where our immediate priority is near-term risk reduction we will, where appropriate, retrieve wastes and provide waste storage (containerisation) arrangements knowing that further waste treatment steps may be necessary prior to disposal. We will continue to work with RWM and Sellafield Limited to improve this important risk reduction programme.

Our HLW treatment and storage programme is mature. We use vitrification technology to reduce the hazard posed by highly active liquor created by spent fuel reprocessing at Sellafield. The vitrified HLW products are stored at Sellafield prior to geological disposal and a proportion of HLW is being returned to overseas customers under existing contracts.

RWM supports wider programme integration by providing support to NDA strategy and is working with waste producers in applying the Waste Hierarchy to practices carried out on site over the whole lifecycle of the wastes during retrieval, treatment, packaging and storage to ensure optimised and cost effective solutions.

See p92

Geological Disposal Facility



An illustration of what the Geological Disposal Facility may look like, showing the underground disposal tunnels and vaults (shown not to scale).

In July 2014, UK government published the Implementing Geological Disposal *(ref 34)* white paper setting out its framework for the long-term management of higher activity radioactive waste. The white paper reaffirmed UK government's policy for geological disposal of higher activity waste and its commitment to working with communities that are willing to participate in the siting process and providing them upfront information (e.g. geology, socio-economic impacts and community representation/investment).

The NDA's wholly-owned subsidiary, RWM, is the developer for a Geological Disposal Facility (GDF). A number of initial actions were set out in the white paper and these will be undertaken by UK government and RWM.

The initial actions are:

- national geological screening led by the developer
- establishment of the policy framework for planning decisions in England

 developing a process of working with communities, including community representation, community investment, and a means of obtaining independent views

Formal discussions between interested communities and RWM will not begin until the initial actions set out in the white paper have been completed.

Case Study

Consolidation

We have considered storage consolidation opportunities since our inception in 2005 and it was an important commitment in our first Strategy.

Early on it was identified that storage consolidation of wastes from multiple sites on a single site may result in:

- reduction in site footprint early de-licencing or de-designation of parts of an existing site may lead to reduced overhead and support costs and potential commercial opportunities
- hazard and security level reductions minimising the number of sites storing nuclear materials, spent fuel and high hazard HAW can give a clear reduction in security and hazard levels while not having a significant impact on the recipient site
- optimal use of infrastructure an opportunity to develop an industry-wide approach to optimising the waste management lifecycle by reducing the number of storage and treatment facilities and creating capabilities that address key issues such as waste characterisation, mobile treatment facilities, mobile workforce, transport and logistics
- early site clearance progressing the mission at one or more sites sooner than declared in lifetime plans resulting in significant lifetime cost savings and safety, security and environmental impacts should be neutral or even positive.

The effect of any proposed transfer on the recipient site(s) needs to be taken into account and should consider: programme schedule, regulatory positions, planning consents and the views of local stakeholders.

In 2009 we published the UK HAW Storage Review *(ref 35)* which gave detailed consideration to waste consolidation opportunities. It was noted that there is limited scope to affect the overall ILW interim storage position because the proportion of ILW disposal units that might be affected by the application of alternative storage consolidation options is only a few percent of the total ILW interim-stored inventory across our sites.

Our previous Strategy continued to highlight the importance of nuclear material, spent fuel and waste consolidation where opportunities can be realised. We have sponsored work focussing on the opportunities across our estate, and where appropriate broader opportunities from working with other waste owners.

Consolidation strategic projects include:

- Exotic Fuels, Nuclear Materials and Waste Management – Harwell, Credible & Preferred Options (*ref 36*)
- Intermediate Level Waste Storage Solutions -Central and Southern Scotland (ref 37)
- Optimising the number and location of ILW Storage and Fuel Element Debris (FED) Treatment (Dissolution) Facilities in Magnox Limited *(ref 38)*.

As stated above, the inventory suitable for waste consolidation is relatively small and therefore the number of further opportunities is limited. We continue to engage with stakeholders and any updates will be presented at relevant fora.



Better understanding of waste volumes has allowed us to consider consolidation on a number of sites. In this case the management of ILW at Bradwell.

6.1.2 Solid LLW



Containers of LLW arriving at the repository by train.

LLW from the nuclear industry is divided into operational and decommissioning waste. Operational LLW arises from routine monitoring and maintenance activities and includes wastes such as plastic, paper, clothing, wood and metallic items. LLW from decommissioning mostly comprises building rubble, soil and various metal, plant and equipment (see Decommissioning and Land Quality Management).

See p28 See p32

> In March 2007, the UK government published its policy for the management of solid low level radioactive waste. This tasked the NDA with the production of a UK strategy for the management of solid low level waste from the nuclear industry, to

establish treatment and disposal routes to support past, present and future decommissioning and remediation activities and manage operational LLW that continues to be created by the nuclear industry.

The implementation of the UK strategy has proved successful and has resulted in the development of a number of alternative waste management routes and diverted significant volumes of LLW away from the LLWR. However the UK is predicted to generate significantly more LLW than the planned disposal capacity at the LLWR and focus on the implementation of the LLW strategy needs to be maintained to ensure success.

Our Strategy

Our strategy for managing solid LLW, which includes very low level waste (VLLW), is to implement the UK Nuclear Solid Low Level Wastes Strategy (*ref* 28), which focuses on preserving capacity at LLWR by diverting materials to alternative management routes in accordance with the Waste Hierarchy. The successful delivery of this strategy will provide capability and capacity to manage LLW for many decades. The UK LLW Strategy was reviewed and the update was published in early 2016.

Delivery

Central to the delivery of the strategy is the long-term provision of a robust, sustainable waste management infrastructure underpinned by the availability of appropriate characterisation and waste forecasting/ inventory information. This will enable waste management decisions to be made in a transparent manner and underpin strategy implementation.

Diverse radioactive waste management and disposal solutions are being pursued where these offer benefits over previous arrangements. We continue to investigate opportunities to share waste management infrastructure across the estate and with other waste producers where we can see benefit and these will be managed on a case-by-case basis. A range of The review demonstrated that the strategy was mature and the key strategic themes remain valid:

- application of the Waste Hierarchy
- development of alternative waste management routes
- best use of existing assets.

LLW treatment routes are available for metallic and combustible wastes to support the implementation of the LLW Strategy. These routes are made available to the NDA estate through the LLWR Limited Waste Services Framework.

Delivery of the LLW Strategy is enabled through a national programme managed by LLWR Limited in collaboration with our SLCs.

Some wastes such as very low level contaminated soils and rubble could potentially be reused on site either as landscaping or void fill materials subject to suitable assessment and evaluation (see Site Interim and End States and Land Quality Management).



The NDA is now moving towards a single radioactive waste strategy for its estate that will need to demonstrate how it will support all relevant policies in the UK. Our radioactive waste strategy will evolve to place greater emphasis on the nature of wastes (radiological, chemical and physical properties) rather than the classification (e.g. ILW and LLW). This will help identify the most appropriate waste management route while recognising the challenges posed by waste classification boundaries as the strategy will not replace the use of categories. Considerable stakeholder engagement will be required as the strategy develops over the next few years.

As a first step, the NDA is highlighting a lifecycle approach to waste strategy that involves the following

key steps: planning and preparation, treatment and packaging, storage and disposal. Figure 8 shows these key steps in the lifecycle for the main categories of waste including out of scope and the opportunities to provide greater integration at the classification boundaries.

This lifecycle approach to waste management is not new and is supported by all our existing UK waste strategies and the Integrated Waste Management principles including the Waste Hierarchy. The main difference will be in developing a single radioactive waste management framework for all our sites that will provide greater clarity of our strategic needs, promote cross-category opportunities and support a risk-based approach to waste management.



The NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy - A lifecycle approach contd

Planning and Preparation

Planning and preparation is an essential process for successful waste management. We need to ensure that effective waste plans are being implemented across our estate, recognising the need to manage risks and pursue opportunities at a site and estate level. Waste producers should seek to identify and implement opportunities for managing wastes as soon as reasonably practicable, in accordance with the Waste Hierarchy, good practice and in ways that optimise value and benefit. Waste producers recognise that there are options throughout the lifecycle where some deliver benefits now and others could accrue benefits in the future. For example, a proportion of HAW could be managed safely and securely in a near-surface environment by applying a risk-based approach rather than through radiological classification. For some wastes reclassification may be possible through natural decay and the NDA expects SLCs to implement this in response to strategic guidance from the NDA.

Characterisation plays an important role in the decommissioning of nuclear facilities. It is the basis for planning, identification of the extent and nature of contamination, assessment of potential risk impacts, cost estimation, implementation of decommissioning and waste management, radiation protection, protection of the environment, as well as supporting decisions to release the site and buildings.

To support planning for LLW our SLCs will continue to produce Joint Waste Management Plans in accordance with the National LLW Programme requirements (*ref 39*).

Appropriate waste characterisation data and forecasting estimates help to underpin waste management plans. The NDA is responsible for managing the compilation of the UK Radioactive Waste Inventory (*ref 29*) on behalf of DECC, currently on a 3-yearly basis. It is the latest national record of radioactive wastes and materials in the UK, including data from both NDA and non-NDA estate producers. Information contained within the inventory helps us to plan appropriate waste and material management routes, communicate with stakeholders and ensure that the UK can meet its international reporting obligations.

Treatment and Packaging

The main purpose of treatment and packaging is to process raw waste into a form that is suitable for long-term storage and/or disposal and will cover a number of steps and technologies including:

retrieval of waste – the safe removal of waste from temporary storage facilities or legacy storage facilities for further management. In some circumstances it may not be possible to remove the entire inventory. In such circumstances SLCs may consider alternative options for residual waste including *in situ* treatment to support decommissioning programmes

- sorting and segregation an activity where types of waste or material are separated or are kept separate on the basis of radiological, chemical and/or physical properties to facilitate waste handling and/or processing
- size reduction a treatment method that

decreases the physical size of a waste item

- decontamination chemical or physical
- thermal/chemical/physical treatment operations intended to benefit safety, security and/or economy by changing the characteristics of the waste
- conditioning/immobilisation operations that produce a waste package suitable for handling, transport, storage and/or disposal. Conditioning may include the conversion of the waste to a solid waste form, enclosure of the waste in containers and, if necessary, provision of an overpack.

The NDA Radioactive Waste Strategy - A lifecycle approach contd

Storage

Storage is defined as the holding of radioactive waste or material in a facility that provides for its containment, with the intention of retrieval. New storage facilities are being built across the estate to store wastes until disposal routes become available.

Our plans for new and existing stores need to include maintenance programmes, refurbishment and if required, store replacement for some older stores. To support this planning process we developed industry guidance for longer-term storage of HAW. The current typical approach for waste treatment is to immobilise the waste in cement and store within purpose-built facilities. However, we continue to support innovation that would help NDA sites to optimise treatment, waste packaging and storage. At times it will be necessary to store containerised raw waste in modern interim storage facilities, which may place different demands on the storage system that will need to comply with SLC safety procedures. For such wastes an additional treatment step will be required prior to disposal. We are reviewing our guidance on HAW interim storage and we will publish an update when it is complete.

Radioactive decay during storage could lead to a change in the category of the waste or in the way the packaged waste may be handled, (i.e. remote handled to contact handled). The SLCs should identify storage opportunities as early as possible and where appropriate, share learning with the wider industry.

Disposal

Disposal of wastes is the final stage in the waste lifecycle and involves the emplacement of waste in an appropriate facility without the intention of retrieval. Disposal of radioactive wastes is based on a riskbased approach. The NDA owns the UK LLWR which is managed by LLWR Limited on our behalf. Some of our SLCs also carry out on or near-site disposal of LLW and/or VLLW. Dounreay Site Restoration Limited (DSRL) Limited operates a VLLW and LLW disposal facility adjacent to their site and Sellafield Limited operate an on-site VLLW disposal facility. A number of commercially available landfill sites capable of accepting low activity LLW are also available through the LLWR Waste Services Framework.

The NDA and RWM will continue to provide effective support for UK government's Implementing Geological Disposal Programme. RWM is responsible for the programme that delivers a GDF and will continue to develop as an effective delivery organisation for geological disposal. RWM actively engages with the wider nuclear industry to help deliver waste packaging solutions. The NDA will continue to support Scottish government in delivering its Implementation Strategy for the long-term management of HAW. RWM will review its current Letter of Compliance process in support of the development of near-surface disposal concepts for wastes arising in Scotland.

Waste routes will expand the demand for safe and secure transport requirements in the future. We will seek to encourage consistency, to improve efficiency, secure value for money and ensure security of supply and capability. The implementation of the radioactive waste strategy depends on having timely and efficient transportation, through integrated transport systems that work.

6.2 Liquid and Gaseous Discharges

Objective:

See p40

See p28

To reduce the environmental impact of radioactive liquid and gaseous discharges in accordance with the UK Strategy for Radioactive Discharges.

Liquid and gaseous discharges are generated by SLCs during operations and decommissioning. Such discharges are generated at all stages of the nuclear fuel cycle. Discharges are primarily associated with fuel fabrication, spent fuel storage, decommissioning and most significantly spent fuel reprocessing (see **Spent Fuels** and **Decommissioning**). In June 2009 the government published its revised UK Strategy for Radioactive Discharges (*ref 40*) to inform decision-making by industry and regulators. This sets out how the UK will implement its obligations in respect of the OSPAR Radioactive Substances Strategy 2020 intermediate objective (*ref 41*). We have a significant role in its implementation and consequently do not believe that a separate strategy for the NDA estate is either required or would add value.

the 'polluter pays' principle where those

responsible for producing the waste bear the

the preferred use of 'concentrate and contain'

in the management of radioactive waste over 'dilute and disperse' in cases where there would

be a definite benefit in reducing environmental

The UK Strategy for Radioactive Discharges (ref 40) includes the anticipated arisings from UK sites. Spent fuel

reprocessing represents a significant factor in the delivery of the UK strategy and it is important that we monitor our

ability to achieve this in the light of developing strategy

and operational performance. Should issues arise that threaten our ability to deliver, we will need to engage with

government and other stakeholders early to determine

costs of prevention, control and reduction

•

measures

pollution.

the appropriate way forward.

Our Strategy

We require our SLCs to implement the UK Strategy for Radioactive Discharges and comply with relevant UK legal requirements. These are driven by the following general principles:

- unnecessary introduction of radioactivity into the environment is undesirable
- sustainable development
- use of Best Available Technology (BAT) in England and Wales and Best Practicable Means (BPM) in Scotland
- the 'precautionary principle' which allows for decisions to be made in situations where there is evidence of potential harm in the absence of complete scientific proof

Strategy Development

The UK Strategy for Radioactive Discharges updates government policy and describes how the UK will continue to implement the agreements reached at the 1998 OSPAR Ministerial Meeting (*ref 42*) and subsequent OSPAR meetings on radioactive substances, particularly the Radioactive Substances Strategy (RSS) (*ref 40*). Current understanding is that there will be a review of the UK Discharge Strategy commencing in 2015/16 and the production of the UK's 7th BAT report to the OSPAR commission. We will continue to support government in the production and implementation of a revised UK Discharge Strategy and BAT report.

Delivery

Liquid and gaseous discharges must be managed alongside other radioactive and non-radioactive wastes on a nuclear site. We also need to recognise the potential for significant waste volumes to arise from the management of contaminated ground and groundwater (see Land Quality Management). Waste management decisions remain the responsibility of the SLCs, in accordance with the regulatory framework. This requires robust decision-making based on a wide range of criteria, informed by UK policy and strategy. Outcomes of such decisions will be captured in site level Integrated Waste Strategies, developed by the SLCs.

6.3 Non-radioactive Waste

Objective:

To reduce waste generation and optimise management practices for non-radioactive wastes at NDA sites. This includes hazardous and inert wastes.

NDA sites generate non-radioactive waste including demolition rubble, packaging, paper and food waste. Some non-radioactive waste is hazardous, such as asbestos, process chemicals, oil and other general waste. The nuclear industry's contribution to total UK waste volumes is very small compared to that of UK households and non-nuclear industry, (approximately 0.2% of hazardous waste and 0.04% of other Directive waste). This strategy also covers waste that has radioactivity levels which are so low that

Our Strategy

The UK has a well-established, comprehensive and prescriptive regulatory regime for the management of non-radioactive waste. Waste management strategies have been developed at national, regional and local level by UK government and devolved administrations, local and regional authorities. We have collated the established practices and principles that underpin these strategies, which we implement across our estate:

- adopt and implement the Waste Hierarchy for non-radioactive hazardous and non-hazardous waste management
- adopt, where appropriate suitable decisionmaking criteria (e.g. BAT) to ensure effective application of the Waste Hierarchy

Strategy Development

This strategy is established and no further strategy development work is anticipated. We have reviewed how our SLCs manage non-radioactive wastes and did not identify any strategic issues. We will continue to work with SLCs, stakeholders and regulators to monitor and review implementation. they do not require specific regulatory controls as radioactive wastes. These wastes are termed 'out of scope' wastes under the Environmental Protection Regulations 2010 (*ref 43*) in England and Wales and the Radioactive Substances Act 1993 (*ref 44*) in Scotland. Out of scope waste is managed to meet the requirements of conventional waste legislation.

- apply a rigorous approach to waste characterisation and segregation
- identify and use appropriate waste treatment routes
- consider the proximity principle which aims to manage wastes in the nearest appropriate facilities
- consider incentivising desirable waste management activities.

These practices and principles set out the appropriate strategic context to ensure effective management of these wastes from our sites. We require our SLCs to follow these principles and industry practices to ensure full regulatory compliance.

Delivery

Our SLCs manage their waste in accordance with the principles set out above. In doing this they continue to use the well-established capability that exists in the wider waste industry as well as within their own sites. Plans for how wastes will be managed are set out by the SLCs in their Integrated Waste Strategies.

7.0 Critical Enablers

Objective:

To provide the stable and effective implementation framework that enables the delivery of our mission.

Our Critical Enabler theme comprises of the following topic strategies:

- 7.1 Health, Safety, Security, Safeguards, Environment and Quality
- 7.2 Research and Development
- 7.3 People (incorporating Skills and Capability)
- 7.4 Asset Management
- 7.5 Contracting
- 7.6 Supply Chain Development
- 7.7 Information Governance (including Information and Knowledge Management)
- 7.8 Socio-Economics
- 7.9 Public and Stakeholder Engagement
- 7.10 Transport and Logistics
- 7.11 Revenue Optimisation
- 7.12 International Relations
- 7.13 Land and Property Management
7.0 Critical Enablers

Delivery of our strategy is only possible if a stable and effective implementation framework exists. This framework must ensure that once the 'right thing' has been identified it can be delivered effectively and efficiently.

The Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)* recognised this and gave the NDA responsibility to develop skills (People), carry out research and development (R&D), develop the supply chain and requires us to operate with due regard to socio-economics and stakeholder engagement. In addition to these specific responsibilities, it is important that we define our approach in a number of other areas. These areas of strategy development are critical to our overall mission and provide best value for assets owned by us. These responsibilities and strategies are known as Critical Enablers. "to create an environment where existing revenue can be secured and opportunities can be developed against criteria agreed with government". This theme comprised 2 topics, Revenue Optimisation and Land and Property Management. The theme has been removed from this Strategy and the 2 topics have been included in the Critical Enablers theme.

Critical Enabler strategies apply across our other strategic themes and enable their delivery. The future pace of development will be driven by the needs and influences of our strategic themes for **Site Decommissioning and Remediation, Spent Fuels, Nuclear Materials and Integrated Waste Management.**



In our previous Strategy (ref 3) we had a separate theme of Business Optimisation with an objective

Our Strategy

The Critical Enabler strategies differ in maturity and in urgency. In the development of this Strategy we have taken the opportunity to review all the Critical Enabler strategies through the Strategy Management System (SMS) (see Appendix A), taking into account the fundamental changes in the landscape that have affected us over the last 5 years and recognising that the NDA has a wider leadership role in some areas.

We recognise that there is an urgency to progress some of our Critical Enabler topic strategies to secure an early benefit to delivery of the near-term programmes and achieve enduring risk reduction. Health, Safety, Security Safeguards, Environment and Quality (HSSSEQ) strategy is fundamental to our mission, and we recognise that we need to be more proactive in addressing HSSSEQ issues associated with risk and hazard reduction. The NDA mission depends on having timely and efficient transportation, through integrated transport systems that work. The existing transport infrastructure, systems, processes and skilled workforce have been in place for a significant period. We have established the Transport and Logistics Working Group, a knowledgeable expert group, to help develop, promote and review our Transport and Logistics strategy and to monitor progress of its implementation.

We acknowledge the need for a healthy supply

chain. Our Supply Chain Development strategy has helped to establish the market for the supply chain to provide the necessary skills and capability. The issue of capability ties the Supply Chain strategy to the People strategy, the importance of which is clearly understood as we try to develop and retain the skills and capabilities required for the delivery of the mission. Our People strategy and similarly, our Research and Development (R&D) strategy, are implemented mainly through others, and their urgency is mitigated by their clear implementation plans, which is reflected in the maturity of these strategies.

This review process is ongoing and we will continue to develop the Critical Enabler topic strategies further. Where appropriate, the development and implementation of Critical Enablers will follow the Strategy Management System (SMS) (*ref 7*), as described in appendix A.

7.1 Health, Safety, Security, Safeguards, Environment and Quality

Objective:

To reduce the inherent risks and hazards of the nuclear legacy, by proportionate application of contemporary standards and improving environment, health, safety and security performance across the NDA estate.

> The Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)* requires the NDA to put in place approaches that ensure safe, secure, sustainable and publicly acceptable hazard and risk reduction on the sites that we own. We have particular regard for the protection of the environment, the health and safety of people, and nuclear and information security. In delivering our mission, we look to secure the adoption of what we consider to be good practice.

We discharge our HSSSEQ obligations through the monitoring, audit and review of environment, health, safety, security and safeguards at Site Licence Company (SLC) and subsidiary level. Good performance and effective management systems are contractual obligations and assist in the implementation of our strategy. This approach allows us to manage our operational risks and maintain oversight of the NDA estate. In security and safeguards, we have acknowledged the changing environment and worked with government, Office for Nuclear Regulation (ONR) and Centre for the Protection of National Infrastructure (CPNI) to respond to new and emerging risks, implementing relevant government policy and good practice together with the Civil Nuclear Constabulary (CNC).

In our 2011 Strategy, we identified and embedded strategic principles to ensure delivery of our HSSSEQ

obligations. These principles provide a foundation for the implementation of our HSSSEQ strategy.

Whilst we are confident that the systems already in place meet our HSSSEQ obligations, our wider role is to provide leadership to our SLCs and subsidiaries and across the decommissioning sector. We will do this by developing a clear understanding of where current regulatory requirements have the potential to result in conflicting demands, and ensure that we influence the development of legal and regulatory approaches.

The integration of HSSSEQ into NDA processes comes through application of the Value Framework (ref 6) (see Appendix A). The publication of our 2011 Strategy was supported by an Environmental and Sustainability Report (ref 45), which formed part of a Strategic Environmental Assessment. This Strategy has been enhanced by our development of an Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) (ref 8), which considers not only environmental sustainability, but also health and socio-economic impacts. Overall, this work supports our view that, independent of the options selected, the implementation of our Strategy is likely to result in a positive effect across the spectrum of health, safety, security, safeguards and environment activities in the long term following the completion of decommissioning.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to apply proportionate approaches to HSSSEQ across our estate by requiring the application of contemporary standards which allow and promote accelerated risk and hazard reduction.

We will work proactively with our SLCs to support their delivery of site outcomes. This means working collaboratively with our SLCs, regulators and government and on occasion challenging the interpretation of regulations to ensure our work delivers benefit to our mission and value to society. For instance, we recognise that to deliver the NDA's mission we will need to accept near-term increases in risk in order to achieve enduring risk and hazard reduction. Any decision to accept an increase in risk must be entirely conscious and fully compliant with the legal obligation to exercise an appropriate degree of control (see **Decommissioning**). In making a decision we will consider work practices that avoid harm or loss, the condition of the asset and its ability to tolerate the scope and methods of working (see

Asset Management), and the overall benefits of the work, with respect to value for money and public acceptability.

We will work with regulators and government in responding to new and emerging threats to nuclear and information security.

We have drafted our cyber security policy and are developing our capability through a number of ongoing projects. To support our policy we will enhance the sharing of intelligence in the civil nuclear sector through our involvement with the Cyber Security Information Sharing Partnership (CSISP).

In the broader context, we need to understand the effect of emerging technical and legislative approaches many of which have international origins (see **International Relations**). We will work to influence their development and adoption.



See p81

This strategy is evolving and is designed to build on the progress made in our previous strategies.

To account for the implications of HSSSEQ strategy across all our sites, and to ensure that projects with the greatest benefit are prioritised, we will integrate the approach and the guiding questions of the IIA into our Value Framework (*ref 6*).

We have identified that we need to be more proactive in supporting our SLCs. We will focus particularly on effective collaboration with our SLCs, subsidiaries, regulators and government to ensure the adoption of proportionate approaches for accelerated risk and hazard reduction opportunities. In doing so, we will respect the responsibilities and obligations of each party, make full use of the existing legal framework, and consider programmatic approaches to assessment and permissioning. There are opportunities to use new technologies for example remote cutting techniques (see Case Study: Benefits from NDA's R&D investment) to improve HSSSEQ performance, but also an ongoing requirement for effective management systems that deliver high quality, high value benefits. We will encourage these approaches, by seeking good practice and securing its adoption, and by engaging with regulators, nuclear and other industry sectors.

We will support the move to outcome-focused regulation for security by engaging with our SLCs, subsidiaries, regulators and government in the development of the next version of the National Objectives, Requirements and Model Standards (*ref* 46) document, and be proactive in the development of robust, evidence-based assurance processes for security arrangements across the estate, which are in line with regulatory expectations.

Delivery

We consider that there is a clear division between work that we will do, and work that will be carried out on behalf of the estate by our contractors, SLCs and subsidiaries.

Operational responsibility for HSSSEQ and the associated regulations lies with our contractors, individual SLCs and subsidiaries. To ensure that HSSSEQ practices are identified, shared and embedded across the estate we will continue to develop cultural maturity by building on the recent safety and security (Secure 3) survey outcomes (*ref 47*).

To provide greater transparency and clarity to our estate and regulators, we will expect our SLCs to take the lead in identifying appropriate decommissioning standards, and we will facilitate the capture and codification of good practice. To drive better HSSSEQ performance, we will require environment, health, safety and security improvement plans from our SLCs at site level, and we will ensure that these plans meet our expectations for performance, delivery and affordability.

To support the identification of accelerated risk and hazard reduction opportunities we will promote the development of programmatic As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP), Best Available Techniques (BAT) and Best Practicable Means (BPM) assessment approaches which we will develop in cooperation with regulators, SLCs and contractors.

We will participate in cyber security exercises and improve the capability of our estate to respond to cyber security incidents.

7.2 Research and Development

Objective:

To ensure that the delivery of the NDA's mission is technically underpinned by sufficient and appropriate Research and Development.

Under the Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)* the NDA is required to promote and, where necessary, carry out research in relation to its primary function of decommissioning and clean-up. There are close links to other Energy Act (2004) requirements such as supply chain development and developing skills (see **Supply Chain Development** and **People**).

Research and development (R&D) is fundamental to ensuring the cost-effective delivery of our mission. Together with innovation and the sharing of good practice both nationally and internationally, the intelligent application of R&D can improve safety and security and reduce costs, timescales and environmental impact. We have seen significant advances in technical areas such as the mobilisation of sludge in legacy ponds and silos, the deployment of innovative characterisation technologies in challenging environments and the development of advanced cutting tools that have improved our knowledge and reduced timescales.

Our strategy is mature and recognises the technical basis of our mission. It reflects the NDA's role as a UK funder of nuclear R&D in relation to our mission.

Our approach continues to develop through the implementation of our University R&D and Technical Innovation strategies. We will continue to review whether the scope of the R&D strategy is appropriate and consider how best it supports wider issues such as supply chain development, technical skills development and supporting the export of UK technologies abroad (see International Relations).

Recently we have successfully collaborated with other UK R&D partners to fund innovation relevant to our mission. This sustained funding has enabled us to foster the right environment for technical innovation to succeed. This has also brought innovators and end users together, accelerating deployment on our sites. There is now a vibrant R&D supply chain working in this area including established organisations and new entrants to our market. Gaining and sharing good practice is essential. We recognise the important role effective communication plays in this (see Information Governance) and share our work more widely through our own R&D publications.

See p87

See p85

See p79

See p98

See p24 See p40 See p50

See p58

Our Strategy

Our strategy remains that, where possible, R&D is undertaken by our SLCs, subsidiaries and their supply chains as it is an integral part of delivery plans. Where necessary, we will directly maintain a strategic R&D programme (see **Site Decommissioning and Remediation, Spent Fuels, Nuclear Materials** and **Integrated Waste Management**). Overall strategic coordination for R&D is provided by the NDA.

Using an integrated and transparent approach, and working closely with our SLCs, we will identify and manage technical needs, risks and opportunities to ensure progress on our sites. We will seek to create an environment where innovations can be realised on a timely basis and the relevant technical skills are available when required.

Where required, our strategic R&D programme will focus on R&D to inform strategy, deliver innovation across multiple sites and/or maintain and develop vital technical skills. Our approach is flexible to ensure we can adapt to support the wider UK and international nuclear R&D portfolios as required.

The NDA and our estate will continue to work with other organisations to encourage and leverage

investment in R&D. This includes research councils and academia, other government organisations such as Innovate UK, National Laboratories (e.g. National Nuclear Laboratory (NNL), National Physical Laboratory (NPL), Culham Centre for Fusion Energy (CCFE)), Ministry of Defence (MOD) and the wider supply chain including EDF Energy (EDFE) and in particular Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs). We will seek to pursue collaborative programmes and match funding opportunities to promote gaining and sharing of experience and avoid duplication, thus reducing costs. These collaborations could be within the UK and beyond and in other related markets (e.g. defence, oil and gas). This will bring additional benefits through further development of UK technologies, while supporting UK businesses to export technologies abroad.

Communication of our R&D requirements as well as the progress achieved is central to implementing this strategy. This will be particularly relevant in the shortto medium-term as technologies are successfully implemented. We will ensure the knowledge gained from successful implementation of technologies is shared across our estate.

The strategy is mature. Ongoing developments include:

- continuing to seek opportunities for collaboration and innovation in the UK and internationally to reduce costs
- working with government to ensure our R&D programme is part of the wider UK nuclear R&D picture to ensure effective spending of UK funds
- continued active gaining and sharing of experience and expertise between and beyond our sites
- ensuring that this strategy is supported by the required technical facilities
- considering how our R&D strategy supports UK organisations' competitiveness abroad.

Delivery

Our approach to delivery of the strategy is flexible. Implementation of the strategy will identify where and who undertakes the following activities in relation to R&D:

- lead and commission (e.g. fund)
- collaborate (e.g. co-fund, provide technical supervision or access to facilities)
- influence (e.g. through dialogue or via other parties)
- observe (ensure we maintain a good understanding of the current landscape and emerging issues in relation to our needs).

We have established governance routes for decommissioning R&D including the NDA's independently chaired Research Board and the Nuclear Waste and Decommissioning Research Forum (NWDRF). The latter is a key communication channel to share common R&D needs, risks and opportunities, share good practice and work collaboratively on innovation. The NDA and our estate also attend key UK nuclear R&D meetings such as the Nuclear Innovation and Research Advisory Board (NIRAB). This ensures opportunities for collaboration are maximised and the potential for duplication removed.

This R&D strategy supports other enabling strategies such as International Relations, Supply Chain Development, Socio Economics and People. Our Strategic R&D portfolio supports strategy development and implementation.



Innovative use of remote technology has helped in classification of radioactive areas.

See p98

See p85

Case Study

Benefits from the NDA's investment in R&D

Innovation has the potential to address the challenges we face more effectively, more efficiently and where possible, for less cost. The NDA has worked collaboratively with other UK R&D funders to provide maximum opportunity for innovations to be realised across our mission on a timely basis.

Since 2012, the NDA has committed up to £6 million of funding to support more than 25 decommissioning-related projects with Innovate UK. Through our investment programme we are supporting growth in the UK supply chain, particularly with SMEs and bridging the gap between innovators and end-users.

Laser cutting

The NDA supported an early stage investigation into the use of lasers as an alternative to conventional cutting technologies. This technology has matured significantly over the last few years and has recently been successfully used at a Magnox reactor site to cut up fuel skips. This has been done more quickly, for less cost and with reduced dose to operators, highlighting the improvements in safety and security that innovation can bring. Under the NDA collaborative programme with Innovate UK this technology is being combined with snake-arm robot technology to provide a flexible and highly manoeuvrable lightweight tool.



Laser cutting technology has become a reality due to support from the NDA.

Radiation mapping

Following an early investment by the NDA, Createc developed a new software system combining mapping of gamma radiation with laser scanning and dose modelling. This information can better inform future decommissioning projects. The technology

On-site characterisation of concrete

An NDA-funded PhD (with help from UK microcompany Viridian Partnership, Sellafield Limited and NDA innovation investment) developed ViridiScan, a technology to determine contamination levels in concrete on site as an alternative to sampling and has been deployed in the UK at Sellafield and is now being used internationally to support clean-up at the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant.

removing material. This highlights the benefit of funding research to develop and maintain skills, and the benefit of NDA innovation funding in ensuring the progress of fundamental research through to technology demonstration.

7.3 People (incorporating Skills and Capability)

Objective:

To ensure that the NDA, its subsidiaries and the estate can attract and retain the necessary skills, diversity of talent and capability to deliver the NDA mission efficiently and effectively through leading the estate-wide People strategy

The Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)* requires the NDA to "promote and to secure the maintenance and development in the UK of a skilled workforce able to undertake the work of decommissioning nuclear installations and of cleaning up nuclear sites".

Successful delivery of our mission requires people with appropriate skills and capabilities. In order to achieve this, the NDA estate will need to properly understand its resource demands going forward, and that these resource demands are understood in the wider UK skills environment. It is our experience that in order to address the resourcing, attraction, retention and development of skills, we need to address the processes and conditions and understand the demands of our estate in a wider context. The sharing of good practice across our estate builds on collaborative approaches to people issues.

Even though the overall demand for skills is forecast to reduce over the coming decades (figure 9), the predicted impact of an ageing workforce and competition from nuclear new build, major national and international infrastructure projects and from other regulated industries will lead to an increase in the civil and defence nuclear workforce of 35% by 2021. To address these challenges we need to grow workforce capability and attract and retain a mobile, skilled and diverse workforce.



Figure 9. A graph showing civil and defence nuclear workforce demand over the next 20 years.

See p98

The UK Nuclear Industrial Strategy (*ref 4*) asserts that the "UK will once more be at the forefront of global revival in nuclear interest and is well positioned to reap the very considerable dividends that will result from a resurgent nuclear sector". To realise this opportunity a nuclear industry wide workforce with the appropriate skills, capability and capacity is required. The People strategy supports the delivery of this objective for its own employees and via its supply chain (see **Supply Chain Development** and International Relations). In this strategy we explore the opportunities for growing the workforce with our collaborative partners within our estate and throughout the wider nuclear industry. Whilst responsibilities for the development of the future nuclear workforce lie within the People strategy, there will be interdependencies with socio-economic initiatives driven by SLCs, which need to be aligned where these include skills development initiatives.



Our Strategy

Our strategy is to mitigate the risks of skill shortages and wage inflation caused by current labour market challenges. We acknowledge it is important to retain and develop the skills and talent we need, and improve the mobility of people who already work for our estate. This can be summarised in 3 strategic priorities:

- ensure the attraction and supply of the right people in the right place at the right time at optimum cost and quality
- retain, maintain and develop a competent and skilled workforce across the estate
- enable the mobility and transferability across

Strategy Development

See p90

See p87

See p76

This strategy is mature and has been designed to build on the progress made in our previous strategies. We will continue to work in conjunction with our collaborative partners to gain a better understanding of skills shortages in the future, and to raise the skill levels of the UK's nuclear workforce. (see **Research and Development** and

Delivery

We have helped to deliver major skills and training facilities across the UK including: Energus, a nuclear skills training centre in west Cumbria; the Dalton Cumbrian Facility, a world-leading nuclear research facility also in west Cumbria; the Engineering, Technology and Energy Centre (ETEC) at the North Highlands College in northern Scotland; the Energy Skills Centre at Bridgwater College in Somerset; and the Energy Centre at Coleg Menai in Wales. These facilities are important in growing the skills needed in the future by the nuclear industry.

Through our work with the Nuclear Energy Skills Alliance (NESA) we have delivered a comprehensive Nuclear Workforce Assessment Model facilitating clear identification of future workforce demand and skills 'pinch points'. To address this demand, we have increased our intakes of both graduates and apprentices and redesigned jobs in areas with skills shortages to maximise the use of existing skills while growing skills for the future.

To improve collaboration and resource/vacancy sharing across the wider nuclear industry we are using the Talent Retention Solution (TRS) and Skills and Competency Management System (NS4P) which promote the successful transfer of critical skills.

Building on our success and looking at the future challenges we will address our strategic priorities to:

 ensure the attraction and supply of the right people in the right place at the right time at optimum cost and quality; we will focus on co-ordinated approaches to developing Science, our estate and within the wider nuclear industry including nuclear new build programme.

Our strategy and the strategic priorities have been developed together with our collaborative partners – the Parent Body Organisations (PBOs), SLCs, subsidiaries, National Skills Academy for Nuclear (NSAN), Engineering Construction Industry Training Board (ECITB), Nuclear Industry Council (NIC) Nuclear Skills Strategy Group (NSSG) and Cogent. We are the strategic authority for development of the People strategy, but we share the responsibility for its implementation with our estate, subsidiaries, nuclear new build operators and key collaborative partners.

Socio-Economics).

We will work with our estate to ensure that appropriate knowledge management arrangements are in place to support retention of skills and resourcing to deliver our mission (see **Information Governance**).

Technology, Engineering and Maths (STEM) skills in the potential future workforce, collaborative resourcing solutions; estate wide forecasting principles and a targeted yet diverse attraction strategy, branding the nuclear decommissioning industry as a clear choice for new entrants by emphasising transferrable skills, well-defined career paths and interesting challenges in a safe working environment

- retain, maintain and develop a competent and skilled workforce across the estate; we will work together with our estate to close priority skill gaps; deliver synergies that ensure return on investment and focus on the targeted deployment of industry-wide solutions and defined career paths for professions
- enable mobility and transferability across our estate and within the wider nuclear industry; we will work together to enable transfer conditions, standard operating principles and shared transition and redeployment processes which deliver value for money solutions.

In collaboration with our partners (PBOs, SLCs, Subsidiaries, NSAN, ECITB, NSSG and Cogent), we continue to work with all sectors of the nuclear industry to raise the skill levels of the UK's nuclear workforce. We will focus on the retention of skills and resources needed to deliver our mission in the light of increased requirements from others in the nuclear sector including nuclear new build and major infrastructure projects.

7.4 Asset Management

Objective:

To secure reliable, value for money performance by making the best use of UK assets thereby enabling delivery of the site end states.

The Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)* requires the NDA to secure environmentally considerate and cost effective asset performance.

Good practice asset management provides improved safety, security, and environmental performance through reliable asset lifetime performance (see **HSSSEQ**). It can reduce the lifetime cost of achieving the respective end states (see **Site Interim and End States**), while providing improved confidence in asset lifetime plans, investment decisions and funding.

Many of our assets have far outlived their functional lifetime, others are still operational while some are not yet in use. In some instances we will need our older assets to remain functional for many years to come (Spent Fuel, Nuclear Materials and Integrated Waste Management). Effective asset management focuses on risk-based performance, reliability and value for money.

Since the publication of our previous Strategy in 2011 the Asset Management strategy has successfully implemented Publicly Available Specification – 55 (PAS-55) *(ref 48)* across our estate, improving the capability of our SLCs and enabling risk-based, reliable asset performance. These developments have been supported by the regulators.

Our strategy continues to address the enduring risk that asset performance adversely impacts our mission, but there are many other challenges to asset management. Our experience has demonstrated that to ensure asset management performance we need to continue to improve SLC capability and develop integrated asset plans which enable the delivery of our mission.



See p74

See p34

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to secure and sustain asset management capability within SLCs and subsidiaries utilising PAS-55 to provide objectivity across all aspects of good asset management. We rely on independent professional supply chain experience coupled with appropriate contracts, incentives and performance management to achieve a fit for purpose, strategically aligned asset portfolio and reliable performance of critical assets.

Strategy Development

Our strategy is mature, but we will continue to work towards reliable asset performance through the application of PAS-55. As asset performance improves, we will be in a position to consider the development of an integrated asset management strategy which will bring together the condition of assets, associated risks, cost of asset maintenance and performance of assets across our estate (see **Decommissioning**). In addition to implementing our strategy we will continue to work collaboratively with SLCs, subsidiaries, regulators and other industry sectors, to maintain a common understanding and application of good practice.

A new international suite of standards ISO 55000 *(ref 49)* has been published and we will assess the benefits of adopting this as an alternative to PAS-55.

See p28

Delivery

We will secure and maintain value for money, good practice asset management, capability and asset performance of the SLCs and subsidiaries through contracting and incentivisation. This will be done in collaboration with regulators, SLCs, subsidiaries and independent professional experts.

See p87

See p79

See p90

Improved asset capability and knowledge presents opportunities for us to push the boundaries beyond immediate asset issues and lead at a strategic level. The plan is to:

- develop approaches to better inform asset management decisions and strategies based on asset condition, risk and lifetime costs. This will enable the best use of existing assets, minimise the need for new assets and allow earlier decommissioning of redundant assets (e.g. use of Asset Transfer Scheme)
- consider opportunities for UK assets (e.g. sharing of waste management facilities)

- actively collaborate with other strategies to reduce as far as is practicable the number of NDA assets by:
 - 1. unifying asset information to enable consistent decision-making across the estate (see Information Governance)
 - 2. devising and implementing common asset management competencies, which support our skills and socio-economic agendas (see **People** and **Socio-Economics**)
- facilitate the development of nuclear industry specific asset management guidance consistent with wider industry good practice (e.g. PAS-55 and ISO 55000)
- learn from the experience of other sectors (e.g. oil and gas)
- continue to lead good practice meetings with SLCs and subsidiaries.



Dounreay Waste Receipt Assay Characterisation and Supercompaction Facility (WRACS) compactor - a piece of equipment transferred under the Asset Transfer Scheme.

7.5 Contracting

Objective:

To ensure that the NDA procures the best capabilities the market has to offer, through contracts which represent value for money, particularly in respect of appropriate transfer of risk. We will manage these contracts effectively and use contractual incentives, both positive and negative, to optimise outcomes.

See p56

See p52

See p36

See p79

During the development of our previous Strategy, we had an approved programme of key competitions to appoint PBOs for the SLCs. The existence of this programme meant that 'competition' was taken to mean simply 'PBO competition' and that there was a medium-term resource requirement which could be planned for and retained as the organisation moved progressively from one PBO competition to another.

Since our previous Strategy, the PBO/SLC contracts for Dounreay Site Restoration Limited (DSRL) and Magnox and Research Sites Restoration Limited (RSRL) have been placed. Our experience has shown that the ability to bring fresh commercial thinking to each new competition has brought continued improvements in value for money and risk transfer. Both these contracts are based on an outcome specification – for example the achievement of the interim end state for Winfrith (see **Case Study: Winfrith**) and defined interim states for the other sites. They are also based on an incentivised target cost contract which offers the opportunity to save over £2.5 billion for the taxpayer over the life of the 2 contracts.

In 2014 a decision to change the management model at Sellafield was taken by UK government with support from the NDA. Under the new arrangements Sellafield Limited continues to operate the site, but will no longer be under the temporary ownership of a PBO. Instead ownership will belong to the NDA and Sellafield Limited will acquire market support to assist in the effective delivery of its programme.

We have also changed our contracting approach at Capenhurst in 2012, following a significant transformation and transition process, the site was transferred to URENCO along with the existing activities. Additionally the NDA and URENCO signed agreements for the deconversion of our Hex at a Tails Management Facility constructed at the Capenhurst site (see Case Study: Capenhurst Hazard Reduction). These agreements reduced our net liabilities and enabled URENCO to invest in new facilities on the Capenhurst site.

All of these arrangements are designed to endure for the lifetime of this Strategy and beyond. However the recent decision for model change at Sellafield has highlighted the importance of providing an agile response to changing strategy in support of our SLCs. Meanwhile other projects, apart from those relating directly to the SLCs, may come onto the horizon (e.g. plutonium reuse), which would entail major procurement (see **Plutonium**).

In terms of continuing contract management the Low Level Waste Repository (LLWR) Limited contract has been renewed with a revised fee structure taking on board the lessons learnt from the first 5 years of operation. The contract is designed to increase alignment with our long-term objectives. In particular fee earning is now based on the achievement of targets. It reflects LLWR Limited's contribution to the national Low Level Waste (LLW) programme as well as to the running of the LLWR near Drigg. This contract will be up for renewal in 2018.

It is clear that contracting is critical to us, as we spend 95% of our funding externally. Contracting in its widest sense is an important capability for the whole estate to retain (see **People**). This capability includes the ability to provide effective governance for the contracting lifecycle. The combination of Competition and Contracting and Incentivisation topic strategies into one topic strategy reflects commercial best practice. The full acquisition lifecycle is managed coherently from identification of need through procurement, into contract management, lessons learnt and planning for the next steps once the contract term ends.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to retain the capability to act as an effective contracting authority through a period of uncertainty about specific requirements. We recognise that a single contracting strategy does not exist in isolation but generates a series of individual contracting strategies developed to meet the needs of individual projects.

See p83 See p90 See p85 Our strategy is mature and we have identified and captured critical success factors and lessons learnt from the PBO competition programme. We understand and are realistic in assessing resources both internal and external required in procurements, which will ensure that future procurements can be run effectively from scratch.

We will continue to develop our contracting practice. We will refer to external sources for best practice. This will include both general professional standards (e.g. from the Chartered Institute of Procurement and Supply) and UK government specific standards available in Cabinet Office Procurement Policy Notes (*ref 50*). We will integrate the **Contracting, Socio-Economics** and **Supply Chain Development** strategies, working with SLCs in particular to foster an effective supply chain for the decommissioning sector and to pursue contracting options that will enable our supply chain to contribute to our socio-economic agenda. We will also engage with and develop the Tier 1 market appropriately.

We will work with our SLCs to understand availability of resource internally and externally should there be a need to embark on a new major procurement project.

Delivery

The delivery of this strategy directly impacts upon the delivery of the projects which are supported by procurement.

To deliver the Contracting strategy we will:

- resource and manage future procurements effectively, identifying early those projects with a significant procurement element
- regularly review existing contracts such that there are 'no surprises' when questions of renewal/funding have to be considered
- continue to actively manage existing contracts as part of benefits realisation, in particular adjusting incentivisation through the contracts to optimise outcomes in support of our objectives

- recognise that incentivisation can be applied to behaviours as well as to the delivery of milestones
- maintain experienced and effective governance for major procurements, ensuring a future programme of NDA procurements is identified and adequate plans and resources are in place
- ensure that the contracting and incentivisation aspects of projects and programmes proposed by the SLCs for approval by the NDA are appropriately scrutinised at the Technology and Delivery Option Selection stage.

All ongoing NDA internal procurement must be legally compliant, conform with Cabinet Office controls and achieve value for money.



Magnox/RSRL Share Transfer Event, September 2014. Through the Magnox/RSRL competition NDA has created the opportunity to secure £1bn of savings for the tax payer relative to the previous approach.

7.6 Supply Chain Development

Objective:

To ensure that the supply chain available to the NDA estate is optimised to enable a safe, affordable, cost effective, innovative and dynamic market to support our mission, and for the NDA estate to be seen as a nuclear client of choice.

Since our previous Strategy, 2 significant external developments have occurred: the financial crisis which has led to an increased focus on collaboration, Small and Medium Enterprises (SME) and the UK Growth agenda, and also the UK's nuclear new build programme.

Our supply chain development initiatives have resulted in a collaborative procurement programme amounting to £2.8 billion of spend and delivering over £140 million savings since 2010. We have provided suppliers with access to even more information (e.g. annual procurement plans and early market engagement sessions) to enable better planning. To support easier entry into the supply chain we have implemented SME-friendly payment terms and reduced some of the contractual burdens by simplification and standardisation of Terms and Conditions (see **Socio-Economics**).

The Health of the Supply Chain study (*ref 51*) concluded that the Supply Chain Development strategy "was largely found to cover almost all of the factors and issues affecting the health of the supply chain, indicating that the focus is correct". The areas highlighted in this study requiring additional attention were covered under the Shared Services Alliance (SSA) Strategy 2013-16 (*ref 52*) and the SME Action plan 2013 as updated in 2014 (*ref 53*). These included areas such as consideration of SMEs in procurement strategies, standardisation and simplification of processes.

The estate's dependency on a safe, affordable, cost-effective, innovative and dynamic market represents both a risk and an opportunity. The NDA's role remains associated with the broader estate position and influencing wider government policies. The challenge for individual SLCs remains their ability to enable successful contracting at portfolio, programme and project level. This will require the continued attention and support of the NDA to ensure that good decisions are made both by the SLCs and also, critically, the Tier 2 community where the majority of spend and performance occurs. Across our estate we need the SLCs to take to market requirements which support and or create competitive solutions with appropriate and proportionate risks and rewards that enhance supply chain performance, growth, sustainability and diversity, with a 'right first time' approach. We therefore need SLC procurement teams to be proactive and help drive value through working with project teams, engineers and suppliers.

Due to fluctuations and uncertainty in the market, there are a number of sectors that have been identified with capability and capacity issues associated with people and infrastructure/assets. Where our estate is the dominant client, and where the activity has the ability to directly impact upon our mission, we will work with the SLCs and other bodies to enhance these areas and encourage new entrants.

Our Strategy



See p90

Our strategy is to help maintain and, where necessary, create and develop a healthy, vibrant, effective and competitive supply chain. Such a supply chain will be successful, deliver value for money, be affordable, and manage risk and opportunities appropriately.

This strategy has been expanded to reflect the need for our estate to be seen as the nuclear client of choice. To achieve this we will seek to remove inefficiencies for both the supply chain and our estate. This reflects the importance of the supply chain to our mission (the largest proportion of the NDA's total budget is spent at Tier 2 level in the supply chain) and the challenge from a resurgent global nuclear industry for supply chain capability and capacity while recognising our role and that of the estate in helping to enable the supply chain to be successful.

Delivery and success of this strategy will also rely on the continued support of and alignment with the following critical enabling strategies: Contracting, Socio-Economics, People, Research & Development and International Relations.

To monitor the success of our strategy we will undertake supply chain capability and capacity analysis. We will establish an integrated and common approach to key supplier management with our SLCs and government. We will also apply category management and in doing so support longer-term growth of the supply chain, where appropriate.

See p98

We will integrate the Supply Chain Development and Contracting strategies, working together with

Delivery

See p24 See p40 See p50 See p58 This strategy is being delivered in collaboration with our SLCs and subsidiaries. The delivery of the supply chain development strategy is an important enabler for NDA performance and delivery of our driving strategies (see Site Decommissioning and Remediation, Spent Fuels, Nuclear Materials and Integrated Waste Management).

Through the implementation of our strategy we seek to make sustained savings via collaborative procurement. We will promote greater coordination



procurement teams across our estate to foster an effective supply chain for the decommissioning sector.

We will undertake and publish periodic Health of the Supply Chain reports. Where appropriate we will represent the UK supply chain abroad to support UK export opportunities (see International Relations).

and cooperation in the nuclear supply chain (e.g. by hosting annual supply chain events) and continue to remove blockers to a healthy, vibrant and integrated supply chain.

We will also seek to better integrate supply chain management across our estate, create a new SME programme and seek specific opportunities to work with other government departments on common supply chain issues.

Key

Direct

Influence

Nuclear Industry Supply Chain

Supply Chains Supporting Other Industries

A diagram illustrating the structure of our supply chain.

7.7 Information Governance (including Information and Knowledge Management)

Objective:

To optimise value from NDA knowledge and information assets in a compliant and secure manner, investing only in that which needs to be retained to deliver the NDA's mission.

The NDA owns most of the information contained in its estate. With ownership comes legal and regulatory accountability for all this information, regardless of its location, current custodian, age or condition. We are obliged to improve information governance and associated services across our estate in line with government and regulatory requirements.

The absence of a consistent approach has resulted in some of our information assets and data being retained unnecessarily and in isolation, often in bespoke systems. This represents a risk in terms of obsolescence and information recovery and leads

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to promote the efficient management and reuse of NDA information assets. We will achieve this by establishing estate-wide communities who share business processes, collaborative procurement opportunities, procedures and policies. We will also adopt common standardised technologies and solutions for information governance where it is practical to do so.

Our Information Governance strategy consists of 5 interdependent strands:

- 1. Information Management to ensure compliance, promote wider openness and transparency and reduce risk and baseline costs.
- 2. Knowledge Management to improve business efficiency by sharing information and encouraging learning; capturing and transferring that knowledge which is necessary to the decommissioning mission.

to additional costs and missed opportunities. An uncoordinated approach does not allow us to work in an open and transparent manner and has resulted in the inappropriate release or loss of information.

To address these issues we have developed a comprehensive and robust Information Governance strategy and we are delivering this through an Information Governance National Programme.

- 3. Information Risk Management to improve information assurance and reporting by building confidence in our ability to manage risk effectively.
- 4. Information and Communication Technology to use common standards and technologies, enabling collaboration through shared solutions and procurement strategies.
- 5. Intellectual Property Management to protect information, knowledge and know-how and exploit its value where appropriate.

Our overarching Information Governance strategy is developing and the individual strands are at different stages in their development and implementation.

See p74 See p24

See p50 See p58 See p72 See p98 See p135 Our Information Management and Knowledge Management strands are mature, but they apply to all our strategic themes through statutory duties on information management and Energy Act (2004) (*ref 1*) requirements on sharing of good practice (see **Site Decommissioning and Remediation**, **Spent Fuels, Nuclear Materials and Integrated Waste Management** and **Critical Enablers**). We will continue to discuss these strategic needs and issues in our internal development meetings and in estatewide fora to encourage greater collaboration. The remaining strands are still evolving. We will develop our Information Risk Management strand through continual review of information assurance assessment criteria across our estate (see **HSSSEQ**). We will further develop shared solutions and procurement strategies enabling the use of common standards and technologies, as required by our Information and Communication Technology strand. We will continue to develop our Intellectual Property Management strand to establish the extent of intellectual property owned by our estate and support the strategic direction of **International Relations** and **International Nuclear Services Limited** (INS).

Delivery

We are delivering this strategy together with our SLCs and subsidiaries. Through the Information Governance National Programme we have established an estate-wide knowledge management policy and appropriate training and tools (e.g. NDA Knowledge Hub and Knowledge Management Maturity Assessment). To support Information Management across our estate we have published a Records Retention Schedule (*ref 54*) and associated guidance for the management of NDA-owned records and established the NDA's Information Asset Register and Publication Scheme.

To support the implementation of information risk management we have instigated an Information Risk Management Assurance programme across our estate.

We have established an estate-wide approach to Information and Communication Technology (ICT) by publishing the NDA Information and Communication Technology strategy (*ref 55*), and are establishing a collaborative procurement programme seeking to provide appropriate ICT support contracts across our estate. To manage the huge amounts of information accumulated across our estate, we have begun construction of the NDA Archive in Caithness. The archive will be managed by an appointed commercial partner under contract with the newly established NDA subsidiary NDA Archives Limited. Through the development of the NDA Archive we will create a fitfor-purpose Place of Deposit for information currently held across our estate.

To improve information management we will ensure that suitable rules and tools are in place for the effective management of information in an open and transparent manner while protecting sensitive nuclear and personal information.

We also recognise that there is a need for better knowledge management across our estate, and we will develop systems, practices and solutions that enable efficient information and knowledge capture, management, transfer and exchange.

Case Study

NDA Archive

A new purpose-built archive facility constructed at Caithness to manage records and other archive material from the nuclear industry.

A vast number of civil nuclear records, plans, photographs, drawings and other important data and information, some dating back to the beginning of the UK nuclear industry, are currently stored in various locations around the country. Some are held at NDA sites and others with a variety of commercial organisations. Very few of these collections, however, are managed to the standards required of the NDA as a public authority; some of them are even stored in buildings scheduled for demolition. The NDA is accountable for these records now and has a solution to preserve relevant records ensuring that they remain secure, that their integrity remains intact (many of them will be required for hundreds of years) and that they are accessible in line with legislation and the relevant regulations. The NDA embarked upon the project in 2005 following a careful evaluation of the options and costs.

The NDA decided to find a single UK home for all the relevant material. As part of its socio-economic remit, the NDA focused the search for a suitable site within its 4 priority regions. These are areas where ageing nuclear sites have long been a dominant influence in the local economy and where site closures will have greatest local impact. Caithness, with 2,000 people working in decommissioning, was selected as the region most likely to benefit. The closure of its major employer, Dounreay, is set to become a reality by 2030. The Archive will be located near Wick Airport,

not far from the Dounreay site, and will be built to all of the relevant archive standards in the UK today. The NDA's aim is to develop the Archive as a base for training archivists and offering apprenticeships, linking up with the University of the Highlands and Islands, and North Highland College. Much of the information will eventually be digitised and made available for online access.

The Archive will also provide a permanent home for the existing North Highland archive which has outgrown its current location above the Wick library. This archive is a popular attraction for visitors seeking information about their and others' Scottish heritage. The NDA hopes that the Archive will help sustain and add to the level of interest in local history as well as history of the UK nuclear industry. Around 20 full-time jobs will be created within the Archive, while the construction phase is likely to generate dozens of additional temporary posts and will lead to opportunities for local contractors. Planning permission was granted in March 2015 and a commercial partner, to operate the facility on our behalf, was appointed in July 2015. The development of the design and build of the Archive is led by NDA Properties Limited on behalf of the NDA and NDA Archives Limited with support from Highland Council and other key stakeholders.



An artist's impression of the NDA Archive in Caithness.

7.8 Socio-Economics

Objective:

To support the maintenance of sustainable local economies for communities living near our sites and, where possible, contribute to regional economic growth objectives.

The Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)* gave the NDA a socio-economic role, recognising the importance of delivering the decommissioning programme in a socially responsible way and learning from other industry sectors.

While the overarching principles of our socioeconomic strategy remain the same we have taken the opportunity to review and refresh our approach to socio-economic activities and interventions.

Historically, much of our socio-economic activity has been in the form of funding support. This was delivered either by the NDA or via our SLCs, to whom we have delegated increasing amounts of funding and decision-making responsibility. SLCs use their local knowledge to work with local development organisations to make investment decisions that support local needs and the UK government growth agenda. We have made significant socio-economic contributions since the launch of our first Strategy in 2006, including but not limited to the Albion Square development in Whitehaven and NDA Archive in Caithness; the construction of new further education centres; supporting our workforce into alternative local employment; investment in key local infrastructure, such as the Port of Workington and Scrabster Harbour and local supply chain support, including support to the £40 million West Cumbrian Regional Growth Scheme.

Our ability to contribute to the socio-economic agenda is not limited to funding and it is this theme that we intend to pursue. Over the last 10 years, the situation for many of our sites has changed considerably. We now see the real prospect of

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to fulfil our socio-economic requirements under the Energy Act (2004) by supporting economic development organisations in our communities. To help maintain sustainable communities leading up to and after site closure, where practicable we will support them to:

- enhance the opportunity for local people to be involved in decommissioning work and other economic activity through education, retraining and skills development (see People)
- increase the attractiveness of areas near NDA sites as places to live, work and invest, in an effort to secure future economic sustainability

nuclear new build in Cumbria, Anglesey and south-west England, while in Caithness and the North Highlands the emerging renewable energy sector is creating new economic opportunities. At the same time, some of our Magnox reactor sites will enter quiescence. Of those, some have adjacent EDFE stations which provide potential for redeployment. Some sites have neither nuclear new build prospects nor adjacent sites and will depend on access to alternative economic activity. Our strategy needs to take this situation into account making the most of the significant opportunities while minimising its adverse effects and supporting activities to enhance the achievement of our mission.

Our priorities of employment, education and skills, economic and social infrastructure and economic diversification remain unchanged. We originally identified west Cumbria, Caithness and north Sutherland, Anglesey and Meirionnydd and the Gretna-Lockerbie-Annan corridor as our geographic priorities. At that time, those areas were where we judged the impacts of our programme to be most significant. We now have a better understanding and appreciate that our socio-economic response has to be flexible to meet the very different challenges in each of our communities. We will now prioritise interventions based on key phases in a site's lifecycle that have consequences for the local socio-economic situation. In prioritising our socio-economic support we will continue to give consideration to our own business needs.

- work with nuclear new build and adjoining site organisations to ensure that the SLC workforce and local communities are best placed to maximise the benefits and opportunities presented
- support the diversification of local economies into other sectors – reducing the reliance of communities on nuclear sites for employment by increasing the number, variety and vibrancy of local businesses, promoting entrepreneurship and taking steps to attract new enterprises.

See p79

See p89

See p79
See p85
See p38
See p76
See p87

See p83

We want to secure greater socio-economic benefit for communities around our sites. A number of strategies already deliver, or have the potential to deliver, socio-economic benefits. We will work together with People, Supply Chain Development, Land Use, Research and Development and Information Governance strategies to develop tailored socio-economic strategies for communities and look for opportunities to link our activities to regional economic growth strategies.

To ensure that socio-economic impacts are better integrated into our decision-making we will include the Integrated Impact Assessment (*ref 8*) approach and the guiding questions of the Socio Economic Impact Assessment (SeIA) in our Value Framework (*ref 6*).

As well as integrating socio-economics into our Value Framework, we will increasingly expect our wider supply chain to contribute to the socio-economic agenda and will pursue contracting options that enable us to do that (see **Contracting**). This industrywide pursuit of socio-economic benefit will make a considerable difference to how much we are able to achieve.

Delivery

See p79

To deliver our strategy we work with our estate and suppliers and engage with new build organisations and EDFE to develop and share best practice and create synergies in our socio-economic activity. We will also work with organisations in the wider nuclear industry (e.g. the Nuclear Industry Council), in their initiatives and work to capture knowledge from the entire industry.

See p38 See p100

See p85

We require the SLCs to develop locally-tailored socio-economic plans and report on their delivery. The support given needs to consider the specific issues faced by communities around our sites. For example, with no prospect of new nuclear activity near our Dounreay site, we are supporting that community to exploit opportunities in the oil and gas and renewables market. In north Wales, as Wylfa's electricity generation has ceased and Trawsfynydd moves towards closure, we need to work with Welsh government and local authorities to help retain important skills in the region, whether for the Wylfa Newydd project or other regional developments. In west Cumbria, the prospect of nuclear new build at Moorside in addition to the long-term programme at Sellafield presents the possibility of significant skills shortages.

We also work with our local economic development organisations, particularly by funding specific projects linked to evidenced local needs. Our experience over the last 10 years means that we have been involved with a number of successful schemes that have the potential to be rolled out to communities across the estate, as and when the need occurs. We will work with communities to share and support this best practice particularly in the areas of:

See p79 See p76

Skills retention/transition/development: In order to deliver our mission we need to maintain sufficient skills in and around our sites, and this is addressed in our People Strategy. We will work with our PBOs and SLCs to ensure that apprenticeships are created locally and that apprentices are still attracted to working on our sites because of the transferable skills they will gain (see **People**).

Reuse of NDA land: The inclusion of the SelA approach and the guiding questions in our Value Framework will enable socio-economic opportunities to be considered when making land use and divestment decisions (see Land Use and Land and Property Management).

Development of the local supply chain: A healthy local supply chain is a key factor in maintaining a sustainable community once a site has closed. Some SMEs are almost completely reliant on their local site and lack access, expertise and experience to compete more widely so that when the site closes their viability may be affected. We will work with the Supply Chain Development Strategy to explore what proactive support can be given to small, local suppliers to improve their competitiveness (e.g. simplification and standardisation of Terms and Conditions) (see Supply Chain Development).

Improve links with education establishments: The long-term nature of our programme means that we have to look at how we can ensure the sustainability of skills in the communities where we operate. To provide the skills and capability to deliver our mission, we need to engage more young people in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM). We will work with our SLCs and other nuclear industry organisations to develop their STEM offering (see People and Research and Development).

To ensure transparency we will improve the public reporting of our socio-economic spend against agreed targets for each of our SLCs.

Objective:

See p65

To build a better understanding of our mission with the public and stakeholders and maintain their support, confidence and trust.

Our open and transparent approach to stakeholder engagement has helped us to deliver important strategic objectives for the NDA during our first 10 years.

The strength of our stakeholder engagement was recognised by the Major Projects Authority as an important feature of the Magnox/RSRL PBO Competition and the quality of our engagement throughout the competition process has been widely commended.

Effective public and stakeholder engagement is more than just engagement around our statutory documents. We regard public and stakeholder engagement as key to building the support, confidence and trust necessary for us to deliver our

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to pursue the goal of open and transparent engagement that is tailored and proportionate to the topic or issue. Engagement can take various forms and it is important to be clear whether the purpose is to inform, engage or consult.

Inform: This is about how we communicate information to our stakeholders. The general goal of this type of engagement is to provide stakeholders with balanced and objective information to make them aware of, and help them understand, the issues.

Our approach is heavily reliant on digital and social media. We continually refresh and update our website to ensure content is clear and up to date and send out e-bulletins to those who have registered to receive them. We are increasing our use of social media such as Twitter and LinkedIn to try to reach out to a wider range of stakeholders. We also continue to develop stakeholder briefing documents to simplify more complex issues, such as Nuclear provision - explaining the cost of cleaning up Britain's nuclear legacy (*ref 56*).

mission. It is important that our decision-making is informed by a diverse range of views and that the rationale for major decisions and the processes by which they are reached is clear. This has been identified in our latest revision of the Value Framework (*ref 6*).

The open dialogue with local stakeholders fostered by the creation of independently chaired Site Stakeholder Groups (SSGs) has allowed us to approach difficult subjects with communities, for example the consolidation of Intermediate Level Waste (ILW) storage (see Case Study: Consolidation). Effective stakeholder engagement remains central to the NDA's approach and a key consideration for us as we move forward.

Engage: The goal here is to work directly with stakeholders who have a declared interest, on an ongoing basis, to ensure that concerns and aspirations are consistently understood and considered.

We engage with stakeholders at the local level through SSGs and at the national level through our National Stakeholder Event. We also run a number of issue-led engagement processes when required such as selection of preferred options (see Appendix A). We continue to base our approach to engagement around a number of principles. These include ensuring engagement is done at a time to enable influence, is presented in a clear, transparent and accessible way, and is proportionate for the subject matter.

Consult: Consultation is the formal process of seeking stakeholder responses to statutory publications such as our Business Plan and Strategy.



Public and stakeholder engagement is key to building the support, confidence and trust necessary for us to deliver our mission.

In reviewing our Public and Stakeholder Engagement strategy we concluded that our approach of pursuing the goal of open and transparent engagement that is tailored and proportionate to the topic or issue, is still relevant. However, we identified the need to review the style of delivery. One of the main considerations was how we take forward our national engagement. Having analysed the feedback received from stakeholders during the engagement and consultation phases, we have concluded that there is a need to continue to host our National Stakeholder Events. These events are valued by stakeholders and the NDA as they provide the opportunity to bring stakeholders of all types together to discuss national issues. We will however continue to review our national engagement mechanisms to ensure we offer good opportunities for discussion with all those who have an interest in our activities. We will particularly look at how we can encourage more Non-Government Organisations (NGOs) to attend these events.

Feedback suggested that stakeholders would also value regional or SLC based events as appropriate. Our engagement will continue to be issue led and therefore national issues will be covered at our National Stakeholder Event. When an issue only affects certain sites or regions, we will host regional or site based events.

We need to improve our engagement with local authorities around our sites. Regional and SLC based events should help us to improve engagement with these key stakeholders but we will also look at other ways of working together. This will include working with Nuclear Legacy Advisory Forum (NuLeAF) and Scottish Councils Committee on Radioactive Substances (SCCORS) and better aligning our processes with those of local government.

Delivery

While the overarching strategy and principles of public and stakeholder engagement remain the same, our continuing experience and the evolving circumstances in which we operate will influence the way in which we engage.

We consider that there is a clear distinction between the engagement that we do and the engagement that will be carried out by our SLCs and our subsidiaries. We take the lead on engagement where the issue is strategic or affects multiple SLCs. Our SLCs and subsidiaries are responsible for site specific engagement.

At the local community level, we will see several sites approaching quiescence (known as Care and Maintenance at reactor sites) through this Strategy period (see **Optimum timing and sequencing of Magnox reactor dismantling**). With activity shifting towards monitoring and surveillance on these sites during this period (see **Land Quality Management**), it is clear that the current structures and operation of SSGs will need to evolve to be appropriate to their changing circumstances. Some of these sites will have existing EDFE stations next to them which are heading, in due course, towards closure, defueling and eventual decommissioning and some may be adjacent to sites planned for nuclear new build.

We have been considering the appropriate mechanisms for local engagement as our sites enter

into quiescence and this will continue to be the subject of discussion with local stakeholders and the relevant SSGs. We do not believe that a 'one size fits all' approach is appropriate. Each community is unique with different challenges, and we will work with each community involved to agree a bespoke solution that meets their aspirations. We propose to engage with EDFE and any relevant new build companies to exchange experience of community engagement to help map out appropriate solutions in partnership with each community. We will also review the guidance that we provide to SSGs to ensure that the groups receive better and more consistent presentational material and that the membership is fit for purpose as sites move into this new phase.

To improve the development of our statutory documents, we will consider proposals aimed at increasing stakeholder engagement and involvement in the Business Plan process.

We will continue to look at ways of improving our broader engagement and aim to reach out to a wider audience through enhanced use of social and digital media.

As well as the stakeholder groups mentioned previously (NGOs and local authorities), we are also specifically interested in improving our engagement with young people and will actively look at how we can improve links with this group.

See p30

See p32

7.10 Transport and Logistics

Objective:

To ensure the effective transportation of materials to enable the delivery of the NDA mission.

The previous Strategy identified that the NDA mission depends on having integrated transport systems that work. Our experience has shown that effective delivery of our mission relies heavily on the ability to transport radioactive materials (e.g. spent fuel, radioactive waste, contaminated items) and bulk materials (e.g. spoil, concrete, new raw materials) to, from and between sites.

The existing transport infrastructure, systems, processes and skilled workforce have been in place for a significant period of time to meet requirements of the nuclear industry. We established the NDA Transport and Logistics Working Group (T&LWG) to help develop, promote and review our Transport and Logistics strategy, monitoring progress and improving implementation (e.g. introduction of mixed loads). T&LWG considers both the ongoing plant and decommissioning operations. These could involve transporting waste from the site to an intermediate or final storage and disposal facility, or transporting materials for on-site facilities. Some of these are new operations for which new transport systems will need to be established.

As part of the strategic review and ongoing strategy development, the strategic options presented in our previous Transport and Logistics strategy were reviewed by the T&LWG and they remain unchanged.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to work with SLCs, subsidiaries and regulators to define principles under which transport services are procured to achieve integrated transport systems that work.

We require SLCs and subsidiaries to adopt the following principles in delivering the Transport and Logistics strategy:

- ensure the safety and security of material movements and protect people and the environment and consider the impact on the resulting carbon footprint
- optimise movements between sites considering all transport modes while enabling other strategic themes
- seek to reduce the adverse impact of all transport modes throughout the transport routes

- find common and reliable packaging and coordinate transport arrangements to support movement and disposal requirements
- use rail over road where practicable
- maximise the use of existing assets rather than develop new ones.

By following these principles we want to ensure transport takes place in a timely fashion to meet the implementation needs of the NDA mission. This requires us to work with other stakeholders to maintain and develop key infrastructure transport systems.

Strategy development is undertaken in co-operation with the T&LWG which has membership across our estate. Following a review of transport assets and planned SLC and subsidiary requirements a list of issues were identified for strategic development:

 a Strategic Rail Asset Review to understand the future requirements for assets and infrastructure

 working with RWM and the NDA estate through the GDF logistics working group to develop integrated transport solutions

• a solution for plutonium contaminated material transport.

We will also maintain a fleet-wide overview to ensure transport assets are available when required.

We will work with other government departments to ensure that access and egress routes remain available for our sites.

We are aware that the Transport and Logistics strategy interfaces with many other strategies such as **Site Decommissioning and Remediation**, **Integrated Waste Management**, **Spent Fuels Management** and **Nuclear Materials**. We will work closely with these strategies to improve our understanding of these interfaces which include, but are not limited to, movements of all forms of waste, spent fuel and nuclear materials.

Our intention is to ensure that sufficient transport and logistics skills and capabilities are developed and retained in the nuclear industry (see **People**).

Delivery

See p24

See p58

See p40

See p50

See p79

SLCs work with each other, the supply chain and our subsidiaries to ensure transport services will be available to complete the effective delivery of ongoing plant operations and decommissioning operations. The responsibility for people transport services lies with the SLCs.

We will continue to use the T&LWG as the main forum for transport and logistics-related issues across our estate, and to improve the communication of transport and logistics issues within member organisations. T&LWG will seek to optimise transport and logistics across the NDA estate by developing and maintaining a list of NDA transport assets, to help identify opportunities and cost-effective utilisation of these transport assets.

In implementing our strategy we will work with our SLCs and subsidiaries in identifying the appropriate level of engagement with stakeholders and local communities.

We will work with our supply chain and our SLCs to procure the required transport services and packages to align with our 'rail over road' strategic principle.



Transporting mixed loads by rail.

7.11 Revenue Optimisation

Objective:

To create an environment where existing revenue can be secured, and opportunities can be developed against criteria agreed with government.

The NDA is partly funded by a grant from UK government, the remainder of its funding is derived from commercial income. Unfortunately, our income reduced due to the end of Magnox electricity generation and other commercial income is not guaranteed, as much of it depends on the operation of fragile and ageing infrastructure.

The development of commercial opportunities to maximise revenue from our existing assets, operations and people will continue. These opportunities may include:

- deploying existing facilities and resources to our commercial advantage
- disposing of surplus assets and reducing liabilities

• working with others to share costs to the benefit of the UK taxpayer.

Successful past examples of this approach are the sale of land and the transfer of Springfields Fuels Limited and the Capenhurst site to the private sector (see **Contracting**).

Some further opportunities may arise from the UK's nuclear new build programme. However, expansive ideas for additional commercial activities remain out of scope without the express approval of government (see Non-NDA Liabilities).

Our Strategy

See p48 See p50

See p83

See p102

The NDA inherited responsibility for the commercial contracts between British Nuclear Fuels Limited (BNFL), United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority (UKAEA) and external customers. Our subsidiaries INS, Direct Rail Services Limited (DRS) and Pacific Nuclear Transport Limited (PNTL) also have contracts which they manage on our behalf.

Our strategy is to honour these contracts and generate commercial revenue from:

- management of spent oxide fuels for domestic and overseas utilities
- return of wastes and products to overseas customers
- transport of nuclear fuels and materials
- sale of electricity produced by our facilities.

Our revenue optimisation strategies include:

Spent Fuel Management: The NDA has historic contracts for the reprocessing and storage of AGR fuel for EDFE and reprocessing other fuels for overseas customers (see **Spent Oxide Fuel** and **Non-NDA Liabilities**).

MOD Services: We provide storage facilities for MOD irradiated fuels and nuclear materials (see **Spent Exotic Fuels** and **Nuclear Materials**).

Marine Transportation Services: INS and PNTL undertake national and international shipments of nuclear materials and will continue to provide safe and secure sea transportation services for fuel and radioactive waste products.

Rail Transportation Services: DRS provides safe and secure rail transportation services for nuclear and non-nuclear materials within the UK. DRS will continue to explore profitable opportunities in commercial markets where they support the efficient delivery of the NDA core mission.

Electricity Generation: None of the original 26 Magnox reactors now generate electricity. Other generating assets include Fellside combined heat and power plant and Maentwrog hydroelectric station in north Wales.

Intellectual Property: Exploitation of NDA intellectual property internationally.



The strategy is mature and is being implemented for each revenue stream. Our strategy needs to be responsive and requires constant review and adjustment.

We will periodically evaluate the opportunities to dispose of assets depending primarily on their potential value and alignment with our overall mission. We will continue to discuss other options for additional commercial revenue with government. The UK's nuclear new build programme may offer commercial opportunities relating to the future ownership and management of UK nuclear infrastructure. Asset performance and condition remains a key risk to delivery of our contracts and influences the consideration of potential commercial revenue opportunities.

Delivery

See p81 See p56 Strategic delivery on commercial projects since the publication of our previous Strategy has included the initiative to maximise the return from our asset holdings at both **Capenhurst** and the land at Moorside, near Sellafield. The renegotiation of the option for the disposal of surplus land adjacent to Sellafield with NuGen for nuclear new build will generate approximately £200 million when concluded (see Land and Property Management).

We will manage our assets to ensure their performance and condition is maintained to maximise revenues from our commercial activities (see Asset Management).





A map showing the Moorside land adjacent to the Sellafield site.

7.12 International Relations

Objective:

To ensure the NDA estate maximises the benefit of international experience in delivering its Energy Act requirements for adopting good practice, securing value for money and supporting government policy, through targeted collaboration with international organisations.

> The NDA recognises the importance of making use of international experience to help deliver its mission. This was formalised in our previous Strategy in 2011 identifying International Relations as a Critical Enabler in its own right, covering 4 main areas which largely derive from the requirements of the Energy Act (2004) (*ref 1*):

- access to good practice through developing bilateral relationships
- understanding and influencing international technical and legislative developments
- maintaining good relations with overseas communities
- supporting government policy in international matters.

These areas are still valid and implementation throughout our estate is well established. Since 2013 there has been increased activity on 2 fronts:

- working with INS in the delivery of their strategy, as endorsed by the NDA, with a focus on exploiting the NDA's intellectual property
- increased working with UK Trade and Investment (UKTI), Scottish Development International (SDI) and INS in helping to promote UK nuclear industry interests in overseas markets and develop inward investment opportunities.

Any decision to divert resources will be made in such a way that there is no significant impact on programme delivery.

In addition, we now liaise more closely with government and the regulators on aligning our respective approaches to international engagement.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to gain access to international good practice through developing targeted relationships, sharing know-how and collaborating with counterpart organisations in other countries to avoid duplication of effort and secure value for money.

To support our mission we need to understand and influence international technical guidance and legislative developments, while supporting relevant policy to assist the government in delivering its international commitments so that any potential opportunities can be realised. We will work with regulators and government to ensure a coordinated

Strategy Development

We will work with government and the UK nuclear industry to coordinate efforts to promote UK overseas trade aspirations and the government's growth agenda. approach to the development of international technical guidance and legislation.

In order to maintain good relations with overseas communities interested in our activities we work with government to provide balanced and objective information (see **Public and Stakeholder Engagement**).

We will exploit NDA intellectual property in accordance with our **Revenue Optimisation** strategy by enabling estate support for INS in the delivery of its strategy.

We will influence the development of international legislation and guidance through appropriate representation at international fora, with the aim of minimising risk to our mission.

See p92

See p96

Delivery

We will continue to work with regulators and government to ensure a coordinated UK-wide approach to international activities.

We will develop relationships with overseas counterpart organisations on behalf of our estate and ensure the benefits are available to all SLCs and our subsidiaries. These benefits include lessons learned from others' experiences, targeted joint R&D, benchmarking opportunities, process and technology advancements, promotion of UK experience and NDA intellectual property, peer review, joint working, and opportunities for developing our workforce.

We will take part in internationally coordinated joint R&D working groups or other collaborative mechanisms, such as through Euratom and the European Commission's (EC's) Horizon 2020 research and innovation framework programme including the Technology Platform for Implementing Geological Disposal, International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

We will support international academic projects

where we can see opportunities for skills

See p76 See p87

See p92

development and transfer of knowledge and technology (see Research and Development and Information Governance), working with organisations such as Nuclear Advanced Manufacturing Research Centre (AMRC) and NSAN. We will move to a more proactive engagement and encourage our SLCs to do the same. We will further share best practice in innovation among NNL, SMEs and SLCs, and others, and also exert strategic influence for the benefit of academia, and UKTI's and SDI's mission to support overseas business and inward investment opportunities, that lead to UK prosperity (see Supply Chain Development and People).



In coordination with DECC and the rest of government, we will engage with the IAEA, the NEA

and the EC in helping them develop guidance and legislation in areas relevant to our mission.

We, our SLCs and subsidiaries belong to international industry organisations such as the International Association for Environmentally Safe Disposal of Radioactive Material (EDRAM), the European Union's Club of Waste Management Agencies and World Association of Nuclear Operators. We will also take part in targeted international conferences, facilitate our UK stakeholders to engage in international networks and continue to host visits by overseas organisations to our sites.

We will engage at an appropriate level with foreign governments and non-governmental organisations and communities (see Public and Stakeholder Engagement).

We will work with INS to exploit our and others' intellectual property in overseas markets. This may require resources from our estate. Any decision to divert resources will be made in such a way that there is no significant impact on programme delivery. We will work with INS to help leverage UK nuclear industry entry in overseas markets and inward investment opportunities through the Nuclear Industry Council (NIC), UKTI and SDI.

We will also support DECC and other government departments in international nuclear matters including contributing to the clean-up following the Fukushima Daiichi accident in Japan, and the International Framework for Nuclear Energy Co-operation.

These and other aspects related to the delivery of the International Relations strategy are encompassed in a delivery plan which includes the engagement and communication requirements between all of the parties involved, identifies timescales, responsibilities, risks and key success factors.



NDA estate staff supporting decommissioning at Fukushima.

7.13 Land and Property Management

Objective:

To manage our land and property in support of the NDA mission and to make it available for alternative uses which optimise revenue and socio-economic benefit.

The NDA owns about 2,800 hectares of real estate mission. Divestment can be achieved via a lease, across the UK, a quarter of which is designated transfer arrangements or direct sale. Land and under the Energy Act (2004) (ref 1). All the designated property may even be transferred to another land is leased to our SLCs and contractors for government department, as is the case at Harwell. nuclear use. The rest of our land and property ranges from off-site offices, through to fields and woodland. Approximately one third of our land and property has been divested through a process of reorganisation and rationalisation. All decisions reflect the Our estate is complex, not least because land holdings which appear surplus to requirements are Government Estate Strategy (ref 57). intrinsically linked to nuclear operations (see Site See p24 Decommissioning and Remediation). Significant revenue has been raised from the divestment of that land and property. The final divestment of land and property is a demonstration that the NDA has completed its **Our Strategy** Our strategy is to ensure that our SLCs have the Where appropriate, our approach to divesting land and property will reflect any ongoing requirements land and property they need to complete the NDA's mission. We will acquire new interests in land and for institutional control of residual hazards (see See p34 develop assets to support business needs. We will Site Interim and End States). This is particularly manage this land and property in collaboration with important for the interim use of our land where we our SLCs. require control on how the land is used. Where land and property is surplus to requirements Our strategy it is be proactive in promoting beneficial (on an interim or permanent basis), we will determine reuse of our land and property. This includes how best to divest it and secure its beneficial reuse the interim use of land and property where our (see Land Use). There are also options about decommissioning and remediation activities allow. See p38 when to divest the land and property in order to maximise its value and accommodate future business requirements. **Strategy Development** We will be proactive in assessing and identifying the The Land Use strategy is a new strategic topic commercial requirements of our estate to ensure that which interfaces closely with Land and Property appropriate land and property assets are available. Management. We will develop our understanding of the interfaces further to ensure integration of these topic strategies. In collaboration with our SLCs, we will review our land and property to identify that which is surplus to requirements. The divestment strategy for that land and property will be determined on a case-by-case basis. Delivery See p89 By 2020, we will have all facilities management offices, training facilities and the NDA Archive. contracts aligned and procured collaboratively with SLCs and other government partners who may wish We will continue to follow UK government best See p83 to join us (see **Contracting**). practice guidance and conduct regular audits. When required, NDA Properties Limited will undertake non-nuclear property development work in support of the mission, such as the construction of



1,000 Sellafield workers moved off-site to new office accommodation at Albion Square in Whitehaven, Cumbria, thereby reducing overheads for these staff and contributing to the vitality of Whitehaven town centre.

8.0 Non-NDA Liabilities

Objective:

To ensure that the NDA identifies, assesses the impact of and decides how to address third party nuclear liabilities within the current roles and accountabilities of all the organisations involved.



Top right - MOD submarine in dock. The NDA works with other government departments to identify opportunities where there may be wider benefits to the UK. Bottom left - Storage of spent AGR fuel at Sellafield. THORP reprocessing allows the NDA to fulfill its contractual obligations to EDFE.

8.0 Non-NDA Liabilities

The NDA's primary function is the decommissioning and clean-up of our sites. However, some of our sites have third party-owned nuclear assets and materials located on them as a result of historic activities and inherited contracts. These are collectively termed non-NDA liabilities.

> The ownership of non-NDA liabilities remains with the third party but, where we are contracted to manage them, we will consider the owners' needs in developing our strategy and plans. These arrangements are incorporated in the Site Strategic Specification (SSS) and Client Specification (CS) for our Site Licence Companies (SLCs) and subsidiaries and are addressed through appropriate strategic themes (see Spent Fuels, Integrated Waste Management and Revenue Optimisation).

See p58 See p96

See p40

See p17

The strategy and management of non-NDA liabilities is well understood and being implemented.

Additional Obligations

The NDA is contracted to manage a number of third party liabilities which include domestic (e.g. EDF Energy and Ministry of Defence) and overseas customers. The range of contracted services includes spent fuel and radioactive waste management as well as continued safe storage of plutonium and uranic material.

Separate to these arrangements, the NDA has further duties placed on it to undertake specified tasks or to provide expert advice to the Secretary of State or to third parties (see **Strategy Overview**).

wider benefits to the UK and present these to

Where any new liability is identified to be beyond

our current remit, this will be subject to governance and agreement. We are currently managing existing contractual commitments, and this is reflected in the

government for consideration.

Lifetime Plans (LTPs) of our SLCs.

Our Strategy

Our strategy for the management of non-NDA liabilities is centred on 3 key themes:

- We will manage and deliver our existing contractual commitments.
- We will also take on new liabilities work where we are required to do so by UK government.
- We will work with other organisations in considering opportunities where there may be

Strategy Development

The strategy for non-NDA liabilities is mature and being implemented.

Delivery

New liabilities will be subject to a detailed assessment to determine their impact on our mission and other topic strategies. The assessment will identify the appropriate contracting options and pricing for the management of new liabilities to deliver value for money. Opportunities with other operators that may provide a wider benefit to the UK will also be considered on a case-by-case basis.

9.0 Site Licence Companies and **Designated Sites and Installations**

Sellafield Limited



Sellafield Ltd



Sellafield site.

Sellafield is a large and complex nuclear chemical facility in west Cumbria. The site has played a pivotal role within the nuclear industry since the 1940s. Site operations include fuel reprocessing, fuel fabrication and storage of nuclear materials and radioactive wastes. Calder Hall, located on the site, was the world's first commercial nuclear power station. Electricity generation started in 1956 and ceased in 2003. Windscale, also located on the site, comprises 3 reactors. 2 of the reactors were shut down in 1957 and the third one closed in 1981.

Sellafield Limited is the SLC responsible for the operation of the Sellafield nuclear site (including Calder Hall and Windscale). A change to the management arrangements of Sellafield Limited was proposed in 2014. This followed a detailed

review that concluded that the complex, technical uncertainties at the Sellafield site were less suited to the Parent Body Organisation (PBO) model that is working well elsewhere in the NDA estate. Under the new arrangements, Sellafield Limited will be established as a subsidiary of the NDA and will acquire the support of a strategic partner or partners from the private sector to assist in its delivery. This decision was the result of careful consideration and review of various commercial approaches in use where the public and private sector comes together to deliver programmes.

Strategy Implementation

The next 5 years will see a notable change at the Sellafield site. Under the proposed arrangements, Sellafield Limited will continue to operate the site but will no longer be under the temporary ownership of a private sector contractor. Sellafield Limited will work together with strategic partners to implement our strategy and deliver the associated programmes.

Since our 2011 Strategy period the active commissioning of the Sellafield Product and Residue Store (SPRS) was completed allowing the long-term safe and secure storage of nuclear material on the site in line with current policy. This allows the transfer of material from older stores as they reach the end of their design life.

Significant progress has also been made in transferring spent Magnox fuel to Sellafield. Defueling and fuel transfers will be completed by 2018. In addition, Sellafield will continue to receive and safely store fuel from the Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor (AGR) stations until their ultimate closure around mid-2030s.

By 2020 reprocessing operations at Sellafield will be complete. The Magnox reprocessing programme is due to complete in 2020 and reprocessing at the Thermal Oxide Reprocessing Plant (THORP) will complete in 2018. As the reprocessing programme comes to an end, our attention will increasingly turn to the decommissioning of the rest of the site. Plans are being made to allow this to begin, but the work has a lower priority than the work in legacy ponds and silos (LP&S).

The focus for LP&S has been on creating the infrastructure and capability to enable retrieval. Much of the early work to allow waste to be exported from the ageing storage facilities has been designed and some equipment has been installed allowing the start of sludge removal in the First Generation Fuel Storage Pond. In the Magnox Swarf Storage Silos (MSSS) the first waste removal machine is being installed in readiness for availability of facilities to receive the retrieved waste.

As we make progress on risk and hazard reduction, the interim and end states for the site will be defined in more detail. This will allow the best tools and techniques to be applied to the decommissioning programme.

In the short term, in order to minimise the cost of the programme, decommissioning activities will focus on areas where the buildings would need to be upgraded if decommissioning was not carried out. This work will focus primarily on some of the buildings associated with the original Windscale site, including the pile chimney.





Site End State

The designated land at Sellafield has been divided into 2 discrete zones for the purpose of defining the site end state; the 'Inner Zone' and the 'Outer Zone'. The boundary of the Inner Zone is currently assumed to include the Separation Area and the Windscale Piles. It is envisaged that any new disposal facilities or long-term storage activities will be located within the Inner Zone.

The site end state to be secured by the NDA for the Inner Zone comprises the following:

- the Inner Zone will be subject to institutional controls to manage risks to people and the environment
- remediation infrastructure will be used as necessary to ensure groundwater quality is consistent with the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime
- structures and infrastructure will be made safe or removed where necessary.

The site end state to be secured by the NDA for the Outer Zone comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control
- the physical state of designated land will be made suitable for the next planned use of the site; structures and infrastructure will be made safe or removed where necessary, having first explored opportunities for their reuse.





Hunterston A pond being jet washed.

Strategy Implementation

Since 2011, Harwell, Winfrith and the Magnox reactor sites have gone through notable changes, particularly how the SLC is operated to get the best results in delivering the NDA strategy. This includes the introduction of programmes to the business and a broad shift in focus from operations to decommissioning as electricity generation at Oldbury and Wylfa has ceased. The planned life of these stations was extended successfully making a significant contribution to the decommissioning effort. Related to electricity generation, all except Wylfa have been declared fuel free following the transfer of spent fuel to Sellafield for reprocessing.

Elsewhere, Magnox reactor sites have begun retrievals of intermediate level waste from temporary storage and packaged waste so that it is in a more passive state suitable for final disposal. Interim storage facilities have also been constructed to store this waste until the final disposal route is available.

Some site decommissioning and remediation work has been undertaken at most Magnox reactor sites. A key area has been the preparation of ponds for quiescence. Since 2011 the focus of decommissioning and remediation has been on Bradwell and Trawsfynydd, with significant effort to accelerate the preparation of the sites into a quiescent state. Alongside the physical work, extensive effort has gone into developing the approach, systems and regulatory interactions needed to manage a site in quiescence. The learning from all of these activities will inform future work as other sites are prepared for quiescence. Magnox sites include the Magnox reactor sites (Berkeley, Bradwell, Chapelcross, Dungeness A, Hinkley Point A, Hunterston A, Oldbury, Trawsfynydd, Sizewell A and Wylfa) and the research sites of Harwell and Winfrith (formerly part of Research Sites Restoration Limited). The PBO is Cavendish Fluor Partnership (CFP), a joint venture between Cavendish Nuclear and Fluor.

Magnox Limited is the SLC responsible for the operation and management of the Magnox reactor and research sites to final site clearance. For the Magnox reactor sites this includes defueling the reactors, the preparations to enter into a period of quiescence known as the Care and Maintenance phase.

Following the start of a new contract for the Magnox sites (including Winfrith and Harwell) the lifetime plans are currently under review. As a consequence, the dates indicated for key milestones against these sites are subject to change as the plans are further optimised.

The Harwell and Winfrith sites have followed a similar path since 2011, with waste retrievals and site decommissioning and remediation activities ongoing at both sites. At Harwell, parts of the site have been completely cleared and made available for their next use.

Key activities directly aligned to strategy implementation include the instigation of a waste, fuel and nuclear materials consolidation programme, securing best value for money by moving materials to the best location for them to be managed. For uranic materials this also includes enhancing the likelihood of recovery for reuse.

Looking forward, Magnox Limited is working towards a target of placing all of the sites into a quiescent state by 2028. In the near term this includes 3 Magnox reactor sites being quiescent in 2021 and the Winfrith site in a condition where no further physical decommissioning and remediation work will be required and an aspiration to make the site available for public access. Alongside these activities, Magnox will also put in place all the arrangements needed for managing the sites during the quiescent period up to final site clearance. Magnox Limited will also support the NDA as we review the period of time that the sites will be quiescent in order to ensure that we plan for the best overall outcome.

Berkeley



Berkeley site is located in Gloucestershire and was one of the UK's earliest nuclear power stations. Generation started in 1962 and ceased in 1989 with defueling completed in 1992. Work continues to prepare the site for entry into Care and Maintenance.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Berkley comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence, the licence may be

surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control

 the physical state of designated land will be made suitable for the next planned use of the site; structures and infrastructure will be made safe or removed where necessary, having first explored opportunities for their reuse.



Sludge canisters being removed from the Berkeley vaults.



Artist's impression of Berkeley in Care and Maintenance.
Bradwell



Bradwell is another of the UK's earliest power stations and is located in Essex. Electricity generation started in 1962 and ceased in 2002 with defueling completed in 2006. Work continues to prepare the site for entry into Care and Maintenance.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Bradwell comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require

a nuclear site licence the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control



Bradwell ponds drained and cleared.



Artist's impression of Bradwell in Care and Maintenance.

Chapelcross



Chapelcross site is located near Dumfries in south-west Scotland. It was the first Scottish nuclear power station, with electricity generation starting in 1959. Generation ceased in June 2004 and in 2007 the familiar landmark cooling towers were demolished. Defueling was completed in 2013 and now the site is preparing for Care and Maintenance.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Chapelcross comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control
- the physical state of designated land will be made suitable for the next planned use of the site; structures and infrastructure will be made safe or removed where necessary, having first explored opportunities for their reuse.



Working on Europe's largest asbestos removal project completed at Chapelcross.



Artist's impression of Chapelcross in Care and Maintenance.

Dungeness A



Dungeness A site is located in Kent. Electricity generation started in 1965 and ceased in 2006. Defueling was completed in 2012 and the site is now preparing for Care and Maintenance.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Dungeness A comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence, the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control
- the physical state of designated land will be made suitable for the next planned use of the site; structures and infrastructure will be made safe or removed where necessary, having first explored opportunities for their reuse.



Dungeness A turbine hall demolished.



Artist's impression of Dungeness A in Care and Maintenance.

Harwell



Harwell is located in Oxfordshire and was established in 1946 as the UK's first atomic energy research establishment. The majority of the facilities ceased operation in the early 1990s and decommissioning has been ongoing since then, with over 100 buildings and facilities removed from the site.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Harwell comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be

surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control



Demolition of former liquid effluent treatment plant buildings in 2015.



Artist's impression of Harwell in Care and Maintenance.

Hinkley Point A



Hinkley Point A site is located in Somerset. Electricity generation started in 1965 and ceased in 2000, with defueling completed in 2004. Work continues to prepare the site for entry into Care and Maintenance.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Hinkley Point A comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or

non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control



Decommissioning redundant buildings at Hinkley Point A.



Artist's impression of Hinkley Point A in Care and Maintenance.

Hunterston A



Hunterston A site is located in Ayrshire in south-west Scotland. Electricity generation started in 1964 and ceased in 1989, with defueling completed in 1995. Work continues to prepare the site for entry into Care and Maintenance.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Hunterston A comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or

non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control



Containers are moved into the new ILW store.



Artist's impression of Hunterston A in Care and Maintenance.

Oldbury



Oldbury power station is located in south Gloucestershire. Electricity generation started in 1967 and ceased in 2012. At that time it was the oldest operating nuclear power reactor in the world. Defueling was completed in 2016 and the site is now focusing on the retrieval, processing, storage and dispatch of waste.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Oldbury comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be

surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control



Oldbury reactor one finishes defueling.



Artist's impression of Oldbury in Care and Maintenance.

Sizewell A



Sizewell A site is located in Suffolk. Electricity generation started in 1966 and ceased in December 2006. Defueling commenced in 2007 and was completed in 2014. The focus of the site is now on preparing the site for Care and Maintenance.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Sizewell A comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence, the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or

non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control



The Sizewell A control room is finally switched off.



Artist's impression of Sizewell A in Care and Maintenance.

Trawsfynydd



Trawsfynydd site is located at Trawsfynydd in Gwynedd, north Wales. Electricity generation started in 1965 and ceased in 1991. Reactor defueling was completed in 1995. The site continues to prepare for entry into Care and Maintenance.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Trawsfynydd comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence, the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to

appropriate institutional control

- the physical state of designated land will be made suitable for the next planned use of the site; structures and infrastructure will be made safe or removed where necessary, having first explored opportunities for their reuse
- the asbestos disposal facility will remain in place consistent with current planning consent for the site.



Decommissioning the sludge filtering and drying vessel.



Artist's impression of Trawsfynydd in Care and Maintenance.

Winfrith



Winfrith is located near Poole in Dorset. It was established by UKAEA in 1957 as an experimental reactor research and development site. Decommissioning activities began in the early 1990s and the last reactor was shut down in 1995. All the nuclear fuel and the majority of hazards have now been removed from the site. The focus of work now is to deliver the site to an interim end state, which includes full decommissioning of the Steam Generating Heavy Water Reactor (SGHWR) and DRAGON reactors.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Winfrith comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be

surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control



Decommissioning the DRAGON reactor.



Artist's impression of Winfrith in its Interim End State.

<u>Wylfa</u>



Wylfa power station is located on Anglesey in north Wales. It was the last and largest power station of its type to be built in the UK. Electricity generation started in 1971 and ceased in 2015. Reactor defueling started in 2015.

The NDA also has designated powers to manage and operate the Maentwrog hydro-electric power station, which was opened in 1928 and is situated near the Trawsfynydd site.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Wylfa comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be

surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control



On top of Wylfa's second reactor that finished generating in 2015.



Artist's impression of Wylfa in Care and Maintenance.

Dounreay





Dounreay site.

Dounreay site has been the UK centre for fast reactor research and development since 1955. It supported a Materials Test Reactor (MTR) and 2 demonstration fast reactors as well as nuclear fuel reprocessing and fabrication. It has also supported commercial, world-wide, MTR fuel reprocessing and fabrication resulting in a range of nuclear and non-nuclear legacies including exotic fuels for conditioning and disposal, contaminated alkali metals, historic Intermediate Level Waste (ILW) and Low Level Waste

Strategy Implementation

(LLW) disposal sites and liquid ILW raffinates from the 3 distinctly different nuclear fuel cycles.

Dounreay Site Restoration Limited (DSRL) is the SLC responsible for the operation of the Dounreay site in Caithness, Scotland. The current PBO is Cavendish Dounreay Partnership Limited (CDP), a consortium comprising Cavendish Nuclear Limited, CH2MHill and URS.

DSRL continues to deliver the programme for reaching the defined interim end state by 2029 under a target cost closure contract. Since the 2011 Strategy the mission of prompt decommissioning to an interim end state has seen significant increases in the scope of work. These changes have been as a result of increased security requirements and a change in the management of spent exotic fuels. The changes have not altered the fundamental strategy of risk and hazard reduction or the overarching objective to ensure that the interim end state, along with any residual contamination, does not pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.

Emptying the Dounreay Shaft and immobilising the highly radioactive liquid raffinate from the Dounreay Fast Reactor (DFR)/ Prototype Fast Reactor (PFR) fuel reprocessing, constitute some of the highest risks on Dounreay site. Good progress is being made in immobilising raffinates, with DFR expected to be completed by mid-2016 and PFR raffinates completed by 2020. Shaft emptying will complete in 2025 with final remediation of the shaft and silo area by 2028.

The removal of contaminated alkali metals and immobilisation of all MTR liquid raffinate streams has significantly reduced the hazard across the site. Work continues with residual alkali metal destruction in the PFR and DFR reactor vessels with all liquid metal residues planned to be destroyed by 2024.

Significant hazard reduction is also achieved through the transfer all spent nuclear fuels to Sellafield. It is expected the consolidation of exotic fuels from Dounreay will be completed by the early 2020s. Site decommissioning and remediation work is well underway with more than 100 buildings already demolished. The dedicated LLW repository for Dounreay solid wastes, adjacent to the nuclear site, is receiving operational and demolition wastes and it is expected that final remediation of the site will be achieved by the interim end state date in 2029.

Looking forward, the key milestones associated with strategy implementation are mainly to do with fuels

disposition from the Dounreay site, liquid raffinate immobilisation to minimise the mobile hazard, shaft and silo emptying of ILW, demolition of reactors and fuel handling plants followed by a practical level of land remediation to take the site to an interim end state by 2029. No physical work is required from interim to final end state. However, the ILW Stores will need to be managed in accordance with Scottish government HAW policy (*ref 27*) and the developing implementation Strategy (*ref 31*).



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Dounreay comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control
- the physical state of designated land will be made suitable for the next planned use of the site; structures and infrastructure will be made safe or removed where necessary, having first explored opportunities for their reuse
- existing waste disposal will either be emptied or engineered for closure as determined by the relevant environmental safety case
- ILW will be stored on the site to comply with current Scottish government HAW policy.



LLW Facility at Dounreay.



Artist's impression of Dounreay Interim End State.





Examples of Waste Management Services, top left - Metallic waste, top right - Packaging services, bottom left - Supercompactable wastes, bottom right - Combustible wastes.

LLWR is located near Drigg in west Cumbria. The site has operated as a disposal facility since 1959 and is of strategic importance to most producers of low level nuclear waste (including hospitals and research laboratories) across the UK. Wastes are compacted and placed in containers before being transferred to the facility. Low Level Waste Repository Limited (LLWR Limited) is the SLC responsible for the operation of the LLWR and delivering the national programme for lower activity radioactive waste on behalf of the NDA. The PBO of the company is UK Nuclear Waste Management Limited (a consortium comprising URS, Studsvik UK, AREVA and Serco Assurance).

Strategy Implementation

LLWR Limited leads the implementation of the UK Solid LLW Strategy on behalf of the NDA. Key initiatives that LLWR Limited has undertaken to implement the strategy include:

- development and implementation of a robust Environmental Safety Case
- opening up new waste routes so that LLW can be managed in ways other than direct disposal to the LLW repository
- establishing a National LLW Programme to coordinate implementation of the strategy
- share best practice
- facilitate use of the new waste routes and demonstrate progress.

These foundations act to preserve capacity at the repository and support the embedding of a culture of good practice in LLW management within the industry. During 2013/14, 86% of LLW arisings were diverted from the repository, saving over 1,000 Half Height ISO container equivalent of vault space.

LLWR Limited will continue to implement NDA strategy at the site through key projects to complete the clean-up and demolition of the plutonium contaminated material facilities and optimise operations at the site. LLWR Limited will also continue with its national role implementing the UK Strategy for the Management of Solid LLW from the Nuclear Industry through the national programme.



Site End State

The site end state for designated land at the LLWR is as follows:

- the disposed waste will remain *in situ* as determined by the site's Environmental Safety Case
- the physical state of the repository will reflect the optimised closure engineering described in the site's Environmental Safety Case
- access to the site will be managed in accordance with institutional controls
- the repository will remain subject to institutional controls for as long as required by the relevant regulatory regime to manage risks to people and the environment.



An artist's impression of Vault 9 after capping.

123

Springfields





Springfields site.

Springfields is a nuclear fuel manufacturing site is located near Preston in Lancashire. The site is used to manufacture a range of fuel products for both UK and international customers and for the decommissioning of historic uranic residues and redundant facilities. Springfields Fuels Limited is the SLC responsible for the nuclear fuel manufacturing site decommissioning of historic uranic residues. The ownership of Springfields Fuels Limited was permanently transferred to Westinghouse Electric in 2010.

Strategy Implementation

The ownership of Springfields Fuels Limited allows Westinghouse Electric to set strategy for the site including the freedom to invest for the future under the terms of a new 150-year lease.

Springfields Fuels Limited is contracted to provide decommissioning and clean-up services to the NDA to address historic liabilities agreed prior to the sale. These services will be provided in accordance with NDA strategy.

Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Springfields comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence, the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or non-radioactive contamination being subject to

A Residues Processing Agreement covers the processing of legacy uranic materials through a number of enriched and natural uranium processing routes.

A Decommissioning Agreement provides for the Post Operational Clean Out (POCO), Decommissioning and Demolition of historic facilities on the site.

appropriate institutional control

Capenhurst





Capenhurst site.

The Capenhurst site is located near Ellesmere Port in Cheshire and is home to both historic and operating uranium enrichment plants and associated facilities.

In 2012 the NDA-owned part of the site, containing legacy enrichment operations and materials, was transferred to URENCO UK, who were the owner/ operator of the adjacent licenced site and undertook a process of amalgamation into a single nuclear licence. Ongoing legacy-related activities previously undertaken by Sellafield Limited have been contracted with Capenhurst Nuclear Services (a URENCO Group subsidiary and tenant on the site). This includes agreements for processing NDA owned legacy materials, decommissioning of facilities and equipment, and ongoing storage of material.

As part of the transfer, some land had its Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)* designations revoked and was sold to URENCO UK. Other areas remain designated and are leased.

Strategy Implementation

NDA strategy on the Capenhurst site is implemented through 3 main agreements signed with URENCO and Capenhurst Nuclear Services.

The NDA and URENCO signed a Tails Management Agreement for the processing of UK government owned by-product/legacy material from uranium enrichment (known as Tails) through URENCO's Tails Management Facility. Decommissioning of legacy facilities and remediation of land is contracted

Site End State

The site end state for designated land at Capenhurst comprises the following:

- radioactive and non-radioactive contamination will be reduced to meet the requirements of the relevant regulatory regime for the next planned use of the site and the current use of adjacent land
- where the next planned use does not require a nuclear site licence the licence may be surrendered with any residual radioactive or

through a decommissioning agreement, while the uranics storage agreement provides for the ongoing safe storage of nuclear materials on the site.

These agreements are in line with NDA strategy and it is anticipated that it will reduce the NDA's net liabilities for managing and clearing the site while also paving the way for URENCO to invest in new facilities as required in order to meet future customer demand.

non-radioactive contamination being subject to appropriate institutional control

 the physical state of designated land will be made suitable for the next planned use of the site; structures and infrastructure will be made safe or removed where necessary, having first explored opportunities for their reuse.

125

References

1. Department of Energy and Climate Change. Energy Act 2004. 2004

2. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Strategy. 2006

3. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Strategy Effective from April 2011. 2011

4. Department for Business, Innovation & Skills, Department of Energy and Climate Change. Nuclear Industrial Strategy: the UK's Nuclear Future. 2013

5. Foreign and Commonwealth Office. National Counter Proliferation Strategy 2012-2015. 2012

6. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. The NDA Value Framework and our Approach to Decision Making. 2015

7. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Strategy Management System Short Description. 2009

8. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Strategy (2016): Integrated Impact Assessment Report (Volumes 1, 2 and 3). 2016

9. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Business Plan 2015-18. 2015

10. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Annual Report and Accounts Financial Year: April 2014 to March 2015. 2015

11. Office for Nuclear Regulation (ONR), Environment Agency (EA), Natural Resources Wales (NRW) & the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA). Regulatory Expectations for Successful Land Quality Management at Nuclear Licenced Sites. 2014

12. SAFEGROUNDS. Good practice guidance for the management of contaminated land on nuclearlicenced and defence sites. Ciria W29, Version 2. 2009

13. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Nuclear Industry Group for Land Quality (NIGLQ). Industry Guidance: Qualitative Risk Assessment for Land Contamination, including Radioactive Contamination. Version 1.1. 2012

14. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Nuclear Industry Group for Land Quality (NIGLQ). Nuclear Industry Code of Practice for Routine Water Quality Monitoring. Version 1.0. 2015

15. Environment Agency. Assessing the Wider Environmental Value of Remediating Land Contamination: A Review. Research and Development Technical Report. 2000

16. UK National Ecosystem Assessment. The UK National Ecosystem Assessment: understanding nature's value to society. Synthesis of the key findings. 2011

17. Department for Communities and Local Government. National Panning Policy Framework. 2012

18. The Scottish Government. Scottish Planning Policy. 2014

19. Welsh Government. Planning Policy Wales. Edition 7. 2014

20. 2010-2015 Government Policy Radioactive and Nuclear Substances and Waste. 2015

21. Department of Energy and Climate Change. Geological Disposal of Radioactive Waste: a Guide for Communities. 2013

22. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority, Magnox Limited, Sellafield Limited, Dounreay Site Restoration Limited. The Magnox Operating Programme (MOP9). 2012

23. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Magnox Fuel Strategy: Contingency Options. 2014

24. Department of Energy and Climate Change. Managing our plutonium stocks. 2011

25. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Uranics Credible Options. 2014

26. Welsh Government. Written Statement - Welsh Government policy on the management and disposal of higher activity radioactive waste. 2015

27. The Scottish Government. Scotland's Higher Radioactive Waste Policy 2011. 2011

28. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. UK Strategy for the Management of Solid Low Level Radioactive Waste from the Nuclear Industry. 2016

29. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. The UK Radioactive Waste Inventory. 2013

30. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Overview of Higher Activity Waste. 2015

31. The Scottish Government. Consultation on an Implementation Strategy for Scotland's Policy on Higher Activity Radioactive Waste. 2015

32. LLW Repository Limited. National Waste Programme. Guidance on decision making for management of wastes close to the LLW and ILW categorisation boundary that could potentially cross the LLW boundary. 2013

33. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Interim storage of Higher Activity Waste packages. 2012

34. Department of Energy and Climate Change. Implementing Geological Disposal White Paper. 2014 35. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. UK Radioactive Higher Activity Waste Storage Review. 2009

36. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Exotic Fuels - Nuclear Materials and Waste Management: RSRL Harwell Credible and Preferred Options (Gates A & B). 2011

37. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Intermediate Level Waste Storage Solutions - Central and Southern Scotland Preferred Option. 2013

38. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Optimising the Number and Location of FED Treatment Facilities and ILW Storage Facilities on Magnox Limited Sites, Final Preferred Option. 2015

39. LLW Repository Limited. Joint Waste Management Plans. 2015

40. Welsh Assembly Government, Department of the Environment, The Scottish Government, Department of Energy and Climate Change. UK Strategy for Radioactive Discharges. 2009

41. OSPAR Commission. Radioactive Substances Strategy. 2010

42. OSPAR Commission. Ministerial Meeting of the OSPAR Commission: Sintra, 22-23 July 1998 - The Main Results. 1998

43. Environmental Protection, England and Wales. The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations. 2010

44. Parliament of the United Kingdom. Radioactive Substances Act. 1993

45. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. NDA Strategy: Strategic Environmental Assessment -Environmental and Sustainability Report. 2010

46. Office for Nuclear Regulation. National Objectives, Requirements and Model Standards (NORMS).2012 (Note: this guidance document is protectively marked, it will not be made available to the public)

47. Health and Safety Laboratory. Safety Climate Survey Benchmark (b) Secure 3. 2014

48. British Standards Institution. PAS-55:2008 Publicly Available Specification for the Optimised Management of Physical Assets. 2008

49. International Standards Organisation. ISO 55000:2014 Asset management – overview, principles and terminology. 2014

50. Cabinet Office, Efficiency and Reform Group, Crown Commercial. Procurement Policy Notes. 2013 51. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Health of the Supply Chain study. 2013

52. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Shared Services Alliance (SSA) Strategy 2013-2016. 2013

53. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Supply Chain SME Procurement Action Plan. 2014

54. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. IMG02 NDA Records Retention Schedule. 2015

55. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Information and communication technology strategy for the NDA's estate. 2015

56. Nuclear Decommissioning Authority. Nuclear Provision – explaining the cost of cleaning up Britain's nuclear legacy. 2015

57. Cabinet Office and Efficiency Reform Group. Government's Estate Strategy 2014. 2014

58. European Parliament and of the Council. Directive (2001/42/EC) on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment. 2001

 Environmental Protection. The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations.
2004

60. Parliament of the United Kingdom. Nuclear Installations Act. 1965

61. Commission Recommendation of 6 May 2003 concerning the definition of micro, small and mediumsized enterprises (Text with EEA relevance) (notified under document number C(2003) 1422). Official Journal of the European Union. 2003

62. The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations. 2004

63. Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act. 2005

Appendices

A - Strategy Management System - Developing our Strategy

Strategy review and development is an ongoing process for the NDA and the options for delivering the strategy are continually evolving. To manage the complex interactions between the different parts of our strategy we have a Strategy Management System (SMS) *(ref 7)* which enables us to:

- develop strategy in a controlled fashion through distinct stages allowing us to engage effectively with government, nuclear regulators, SLCs and other stakeholders on its development and possible changes in strategic direction
- ensure the strategy is robust and coherent at all times, recognising the numerous interdependencies
- effectively respond to internal and external events that impact our strategy
- ensure compliance with the regulatory framework
- transparently underpin the decisions we make on preferred strategic options through the application of the Value Framework (*ref 6*) (see Our Approach to Strategy).

The SMS approach allows us to respond to our strategic needs and manages the effects of internal and external influences. The SMS allows the NDA to manage its Strategy development in distinct stages. This ensures that the ultimate strategy is robust and underpinned by rigorous business case analysis and the visibility of our rationale for decision-making is clear. We give great weight to stakeholder views and work closely with Site Licence Companies (SLCs), who will ultimately implement the strategies. Our SMS is staged process consisting of the following gates and stages:

Gate 0 – Research

The step wise process begins with research to define scope and confirm the overall objective and test how well the current strategy achieves that objective. The aim is to identify whether there are any issues or problems arising from the present strategy that might be overcome by a change in direction. In essence, this stage sets out the strategic case for carrying out any strategic work and indicates the potential scope of the programme and key interfaces and boundaries.

Gate A – Credible options

Work carried out in the next stage identifies all the potential options that could achieve the stated objective along with screening criteria based on the Value Framework that are applied to develop a list of credible options for taking forward for further analysis and consideration.

Gate B – Preferred option

The purpose of the next stage is to assess and select the preferred strategic option. In selecting a preferred option we consider a wide range of factors included in our Value Framework *(ref 6)* (see Our Approach to Strategy). It is designed to ensure value for money and build the requirements of statutory assessments into the heart of our strategy development and strategic decision-making.

Gate C – Approvals

The preferred option is taken forward for approval where funding and delivery mechanisms are considered in further detail.

Stage D – Implementation

Stage D is the first stage in the implementation of our strategy where our requirements are translated into action by means of specifications issued to the SLCs detailing what our strategy means for each site. Our strategic requirements are then translated into delivery plans by our SLCs, who are monitored and held to account for their performance against incentivised delivery milestones.

Gate E - Review

We continuously monitor the health of our strategy delivery and will review the continued appropriateness of the preferred option using strategic tolerances and periodic reviews.

The SMS is designed to ensure the development of a coherent and robust strategy for the delivery of our mission. The SMS has been used to develop the strategies covered in this document. The key outputs from the SMS are:

- the NDA Strategy, which is subject to periodic review, formal public consultation and approval by ministers prior to publication (this document)
- individual topic strategies (Gated Papers) which define the NDA's strategic position on a particular subject
- Site Strategic Specifications and Client Specifications that are issued to our SLCs to ensure our strategic requirements are incorporated into our SLCs' Lifetime Plans and delivered.
- strategic tolerances for monitoring the deliverability of the strategy and a defined set of contingent strategies to mitigate against the consequences of a failure of strategy.

B - Summary of Expected Expenditure and Income

		£m****				
		Decom & Clean-up Costs*	Total Operations Costs**	Commerical Revenue	Net Running Cost	Govern- ment Funding
SLCs	Sites	А	Running Cost B	С	D = (B-C)	E = (A+D)
	Magnox Central Cost	1504	-	4	(4)	1500
Magnox Limited	Berkeley	589	-	2	(2)	587
	Bradwell	210	-	-	0	210
	Chapelcross	664	-	-	0	664
	Dungeness A	525	-	-	0	525
	Hinkley Point A	651	-	-	0	651
	Hunterston A	600	-	-	0	600
	Oldbury	873	-	-	0	873
	Sizewell A	709	-	1	(1)	708
	Trawsfynydd	288	-	-	0	288
	Wylfa	728	77	6	71	799
	Harwell and Winfrith	1174	-	2	(2)	1172
Dounreay Site Restoration Limited	Dounreay	2394	-	17	(17)	2377
Sellafield Limited	Sellafield and Calder Hall, Windscale	53200	2756	10991	(8235)	44965
LLWR Limited	LLWR	352	901	551	350	702
Sub-Total		64461	6937	11574	(7840)	56621
	Electricity Sales	0	10	110	(100)	(100)
	Geological Disposal Facility	4216	-	-	-	4216
	Other NDA	97	2589	164	2425	2522
	Capenhurst	723	-	-	0	723
	Springfields***	387	-	103	(103)	284
Total		69884	6333	11950	(5618)	64266

*Figures are from NDA Annual Report and Accounts 2014-15 (Decommissioning and Clean-up costs as defined by inclusion in NDA's nuclear provision)

Operations costs other than those already included in the nuclear provision ***Springfields commercial revenue reflects income for lease of site to Westinghouse * Costs are discounted in accordance with HM Treasury Guidance for valuation of general provisions at the time of publication of NDA Annual Report and Accounts 2014-15

129

C - Summary of the Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA)

The Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) of this Strategy builds on the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) conducted for our previous Strategy (*ref 2*). We will use the methodology of the assessment to inform future selection of strategic options by incorporating it into our Value Framework (*ref 6*). The individual environmental, socio-economic and health topics considered in the IIA will assist in assessing strategic options, and identifying potential impacts associated with activities across the NDA estate.

The IIA was produced in accordance with the SEA Directive (2001/42/EC) *(ref 58)* and transposing UK regulations (Statutory Instrument 1633, 2004) *(ref 59)*. It comprises a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Health Impact Assessment (HIA) and Socio-economic Impact Assessment (SeIA). Each assessment was completed by relevant specialists, with ongoing dialogue to ensure consistency and effective information sharing across them. The results of the environmental and socio-economic assessments were used to inform the HIA.

A Scoping Workshop with representatives from statutory consultees such as the Environment Agency (EA) and Natural England, and other key stakeholders including the Office for Nuclear Regulation, helped to develop the scope of the IIA. At the workshop we presented the proposed methodology, noting differences with the SEA of the previous version of our Strategy and sought feedback on the approach being taken, with particular focus on the questions used to guide the assessment. These questions covered a range of topics in the SEA, HIA and SeIA.

As described in this Strategy, we group our activities into 5 strategic themes. The IIA is structured to reflect this by assessing the overarching strategic options for: Site Decommissioning and Remediation; Spent Fuels; Nuclear Materials and Integrated Waste Management.

As Critical Enablers support the delivery of the other strategic themes, in the IIA they have been considered, where relevant, under each of these 4 themes.

3 other topic strategies have been excluded from the IIA for the reasons set out below:

Solid Low Level Waste (LLW)

Our strategy for managing solid LLW, which includes VLLW, is consistent with the UK Nuclear Industry LLW Strategy *(ref 28)* and so there are no strategic decisions for us to make and no credible options to assess.

Non-radioactive Waste

The NDA and SLCs comply with the well-established UK regulatory regime for managing non-radioactive waste. As a result, there are no strategic decisions to be made and no credible options to assess.

Liquid and Gaseous Discharges

Our strategy for managing liquid and gaseous discharges is consistent with the UK Strategy for Radioactive Discharges *(ref 40)*. Therefore there are no strategic decisions for us to make and no credible options to assess.

The assessment was carried out at a strategic level, appropriate for the level of maturity of the individual topic strategies. Where strategic themes are in the early stages of development, or where no preferred option has been identified, the assessments were broader in scope.

This appendix presents an overview of the IIA process, including the strategic options that were considered, and refers to corresponding sections of the accompanying IIA volumes 1 and 2 where more information is provided.

Site Decommissioning and Remediation

With each site having a unique mix of issues and decommissioning requirements, there is no single baseline scenario. Decommissioning decisions are made on a case-by-case basis with a view to reducing hazards to human health and the environment effectively and efficiently across the estate, and meeting the site end states as soon as is reasonably practicable.

There are 2 broad decommissioning strategies: continuous decommissioning and deferred decommissioning. The strategy for particular sites and facilities will be determined in accordance with the Value Framework on the basis of minimising environmental and human health risks, as well as consideration of technical and logistical factors such as the availability of waste management facilities and the development of decommissioning technologies. The potential effects of the decommissioning options are discussed in Volume 1 section 8.2.1. The actual impacts for any given site will be determined by the individual site setting and the detailed means of implementation. In practice, these decisions will only be made after consideration of these detailed issues, for example in an Environmental Impact Assessment for a proposed project or facility.

Site Interim and End States

High level site end states have been identified for all sites (see **Site Licence Companies and Designated Sites**). There will be an ongoing process to determine the optimum end states in more detail as each site's decommissioning programme progresses. As site end states are based on a range of site-specific factors and constraints, there is no baseline scenario.

Our preferred option is to put each site into a condition suitable for the next planned or probable future use. Sites will be remediated as far as is required, in compliance with regulatory requirements. Any residual radioactive or non-radioactive

See p104

C - Summary of the Integrated Impact Assessment

contamination will be subject to appropriate institutional controls (legal or administrative tools or actions such as restrictions on land use, environmental monitoring requirements, and site access and security measures) to manage risks to people and the environment.

The extreme alternatives to the preferred option, which may prove to be appropriate in some cases are either to:

- leave the hazard where it is and prevent use of the site; or
- remove the hazard completely, which could be very expensive and will generate its own site-specific environmental and health impacts.

As with decommissioning, the balance of positive and negative impacts associated with the credible options depends on the site context, detailed design and implementation, which would be assessed separately. Potential impacts are discussed at a high level in Volume 1 section 8.2.2.

Land Quality Management

Decisions on how remediation is carried out are made on a case-by-case basis taking into account a range of relevant factors such as the nature of the contamination, the geology of the site and the site interim and end states. With decisions being made on a case-by-case basis, there is no baseline option but there are 4 credible options: *in situ* management without intervention; *in situ* management with intervention; *ex situ* management for reuse, and; *ex situ* excavation for disposal. These are discussed in Volume 1 section 8.2.3.

Land Use

Future land use is also a site-specific consideration affected by its nature and location, and by external factors such as commercial interest and local planning policy. There is therefore no baseline scenario. Our preferred option is to divest the land for beneficial reuse, but we recognise that there may be situations in which the land needs to be retained for other purposes. Land use is discussed in more detail in Volume 1 section 8.2.4.

See p40 Spent Fuels

Spent Magnox Fuel

Magnox fuel has been managed by reprocessing it to recover nuclear material for over 50 years. The original reprocessing facilities remain operational, although there are some performance issues due to the age of the facilities.

The baseline scenario is to continue reprocessing all Magnox spent fuel in the Magnox Reprocessing plant at Sellafield, with reprocessing expected to be complete by around the year 2020. The potential effects of this are discussed in Volume 1 section 8.3.1.

Spent Oxide Fuel

The strategy for oxide fuels has been to honour contractual obligations and complete all overseas reprocessing contracts in the Thermal Oxide Reprocessing Plant (THORP) where possible, while interim storing unreprocessed spent oxide fuel pending a decision to dispose of in a Geological Disposal Facility (GDF). In 2011, with the publication of a Credible Options paper, we set out options for the management of the existing oxides inventory and future arisings from the UK Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor (AGR) fleet. The conclusion reached through this assessment was that the optimum amount of spent fuel that should be reprocessed in THORP was comparable to the actual amount contracted for reprocessing. The NDA's preferred option is therefore to reprocess the contracted amount of spent fuel in THORP, placing any unreprocessed inventory into interim storage pending a future decision to dispose of in a GDF.

There are 2 credible alternatives to managing the oxides inventory using existing facilities: curtail reprocessing operations and store the fuel before conditioning and pending a future decision to dispose of in a GDF, and; build new multi-billion pound facilities to reprocess the spent fuel. The potential environmental, socio-economic and health impacts of these options are discussed in Volume 1 section 8.3.2 and are considered in detailed assessments in Volume 2 section 3.2.

Spent Exotic Fuel

The current baseline is to transfer the entire exotic fuel inventory to Sellafield for management through existing facilities. Any exotic fuels which cannot be managed in this way will be stored until a suitable disposition routes can be identified. Given the varying nature of the exotic fuels, different disposal routes may be needed for different parts of the inventory.

Where the properties of the exotic fuels share common characteristics with bulk fuels such as Magnox and Oxides, it may be practicable and economic to manage them using the same facilities. We have identified that the preferred option is to continue managing the exotic inventory using existing facilities, reprocessing the spent fuels, where possible, alongside bulk fuels.

Any part of the inventory which cannot be reprocessed alongside bulk fuels will be stored pending development of suitable disposition options. This work is ongoing, and is not currently at a stage where options can be assessed. Where part of the exotics inventory is suitable for management alongside Magnox and oxide fuels, the potential effects are covered under the assessments of those strategies. Further discussion is provided in Volume 1 section 8.3.3.

Nuclear Materials

Plutonium

The strategic position is to indefinitely store the

C - Summary of the Integrated Impact Assessment

plutonium safely and securely, renovating and replacing stores as required (see Volume 1 section 8.4.1). For the purpose of the assessment, this is the baseline scenario. In December 2011, informed by NDA strategic options work, the UK government proposed a preliminary policy view to pursue reuse of plutonium in civil nuclear reactors. Plutonium that could not be converted into fuel would be immobilised and treated as waste for disposal. For the detailed assessment results of the plutonium reuse option, see Volume 2 section 4.1.

The only other credible alternative is to construct treatment facilities to convert the material into a safe form and then store prior to eventual disposal in a geological facility. The potential environmental, socioeconomic and health effects of implementing the disposal option are considered in Volume 2 section 4.2.

Uranics

The NDA owns the majority of uranium hexafluoride tails stored at the Capenhurst and Springfields sites. This material currently has no monetary value and represents the greatest hazard on these 2 sites, so the NDA has decided to convert the material into a form of uranium oxide which is more suitable for longterm management.

Owing to the diverse nature of other uranic materials, there is no single preferred management option for the whole inventory. The preferred option therefore needs to be determined on a group-by-group basis. There are 3 broad credible options as discussed in Volume 1 section 8.4.2:

- continued safe and secure storage
- sale to a third party for reuse
- conditioning to an appropriate form for disposal.

We continue to manage these materials in line with contractual obligations and UK government policy.

See p58 Integrated Waste Management

The IIA focuses on the storage and treatment of Higher Activity Waste (HAW). Solid LLW management is covered by the UK Nuclear Industry LLW Strategy Strategy (2011), Liquid and Gaseous Discharges are covered by the UK Strategy for Radioactive Discharges and non-radioactive waste is managed according to an established, comprehensive and prescriptive regulatory regime.

Higher Activity Waste

The variability of materials designated as HAW mean that decisions on its management are made on a case-by-case basis. As such there is no baseline scenario. The NDA's overarching strategy is to treat and package the HAW inventory into a form that can be safely and securely stored for many decades until it can be disposed of in a GDF (for wastes in England and Wales) or managed in near-surface facilities for HAW arising in Scotland.

There are 3 broad credible options for implementing this strategy: treatment and storage of HAW locally (at or close to the sites where it arises); treatment and storage at regional hubs and; treatment (but not storage) at a national facility. Storage of HAW at a national facility is not credible as there are already a number of suitable facilities across the UK. These options are discussed in Volume 1 section 8.5.

Conclusions

Our strategy has evolved since it was first published in 2006 but since the publication of our previous Strategy in 2011, the objectives and the general approach remain the same. By implementing our strategy we will continue to reduce the hazards presented by UK nuclear legacy sites and facilities, and to minimise risks to human health and the environment.

The principal effects of strategy implementation still relate to energy consumption, waste generation and hazard reduction. These 3 areas, along with other health, environmental and socio-economic considerations, form part of our decision-making process as we and our SLCs balance the sometimes competing demands for, and challenges of decommissioning. For example, the greater waste generation that tends to follow from earlier and more extensive remediation.

Many of the potential effects of implementing this Strategy are difficult to assess in the absence of detailed programme and project specifications. We have incorporated the methodology and guide questions used in this IIA into the Value Framework (see Our Approach to Strategy) (*ref 6*)). By doing this we will ensure that the results of the assessment, and the assessment methodology, will inform future decision making on strategy implementation and the selection of preferred strategic options. The Value Framework will also ensure that all significant health, socio-economic and environmental effects are considered in the development of implementation plans and projects for specific sites and facilities.

The effects of this Strategy will depend on which options are selected and how these are implemented. They will also be shaped by policy decisions made by the UK government, and devolved administrations. These decisions can affect the range of strategic options and their effects. For example, the Scottish government's policy that HAW is to be managed in long-term management facilities which are as near to the sites where the waste is generated as practicable, means that this aspect of the NDA Strategy may be implemented differently in Scotland than in England and Wales.

The environmental, sustainability, health and socio-economic issues identified in the IIA will be considered as we continue to develop our strategy. Mitigation measures will be identified and

C - Summary of the Integrated Impact Assessment

implemented where appropriate, and optimised at the site or project level. Measures will be taken to monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of this Strategy. Monitoring will focus on significant effects that may give rise to irreversible damage, with a view to identifying trends before such damage is caused. Monitoring will also aim to identify significant effects where there is uncertainty in the strategy, and identify preventative or mitigation measures to be applied.

The requirements of our strategy are implemented through site strategic specifications and client specifications, issued to our SLCs, which set out what the strategy means for each site. These requirements are then translated into lifetime plans by the SLCs who will be evaluated and held to account for their performance.

Post adoption

The Integrated Impact Assessment Report *(ref 8)* was published alongside this Strategy. No feedback was received from consultees in relation to the IIA. A Post Adoption Statement has been produced to highlight the recommendations of the IIA. This document also describes how we will ensure that effects during the implementation of the Strategy are monitored.

The IIA Report and the Post Adoption Statement are available on our web-site.

133

Direct Rail Services Limited



Direct Rail Services Limited (DRS) is approaching 20 years of operation, 9 of which have been under the ownership of the NDA. DRS provides rail and road transport capability to the NDA estate. We also contract with nuclear generators, Site Licence Companies (SLCs), Tier 1, 2 and 3 contractors, International Nuclear Services Limited (INS) and the Ministry of Defence (MOD) as well as other commercial customers to the rail industry.

Strategy

DRS is owned by the NDA in order to provide security of supply for nuclear rail transport. To help ensure sustainability and reduce costs, DRS pursue non-nuclear transport opportunities where it supports the efficient delivery of the NDA core mission.

The strategy has the objective of minimising the environmental impact of transport through the optimisation and coordination of rail movements between nuclear facilities. DRS delivers additional value for money for the tax payer through nonnuclear rail transport services (e.g. commercial logistics contracts). In support of the NDA Transport and Logistics strategy, DRS seeks opportunities to provide rail transport solutions over road where practicable using existing routes and assets as a preference.

Capability and expertise in rail transport within the DRS organisation are key to deliver the long-term needs of the NDA mission.



Transport of Low Level Waste to the Repository - use of rail in preference to road is a key part of the NDA Transport and Logistics strategy.

D - Information on our Subsidiaries

International Nuclear Services Limited



International Nuclear Services Limited (INS) is a commercial management and nuclear transport company with extensive experience in contract management, international transport and packaging design and licensing.

INS manages the NDA's large portfolio of contracts for nuclear fuel management and nuclear transport services. In partnership with the Civil Nuclear Constabulary (CNC), INS also contributes to improving global nuclear security with its unique capability for high security nuclear shipments.

A newer portfolio of activities includes marketing the NDA's entire catalogue of intellectual property and lessons learned, as well as facilitating new relationships between UK firms and Japan's nuclear industry. INS is the majority shareholder and operator of Pacific Nuclear Transport Limited (PNTL).

Strategy

INS's 10-year strategy is to support the NDA mission while growing a successful and profitable nuclear transport business. This strategy specifically supports the NDA mission by:

- repatriating nuclear waste at Sellafield to its country of origin, thereby reducing the overseas radiological inventory in the UK
- efficiently managing the NDA's portfolio of contracts with utility companies
- maintaining its shipping skills by undertaking transports not related to NDA obligations

- using its long-standing relationships with the Japanese nuclear industry to create commercial opportunities for UK plc
- working together with UK Trade and Industry (UKTI) in helping to promote UK nuclear industry interests in overseas markets
- marketing the intellectual property of the NDA and others internationally.



Returning the products of reprocessing, in this case vitrified waste, to overseas customers.

NDA Archives Limited



The NDA owns all of the information, with a few minor exceptions, held within and generated by the subsidiaries and SLCs that comprise the NDA estate. The NDA is obliged by various statutes, regulatory and business-led requirements to manage, protect and make available these records to the standards required of a responsible public body. The need to actively manage many of these records will outlive the organisations that created them. This has resulted in the requirement for a centralised management solution and a compliant, secure and accurate system to ensure appropriate access to information to the next organisation responsible (e.g. waste records to an operator of a Geological Disposal Facility).

Strategy

Once operational, the NDA Archives Limited board will approve one and 5 year business plans submitted by the commercial partner, in accordance with the ongoing NDA Information Governance strategy and underpinning Information Governance, National Programme. These business plans will form the basis of the day-to-day activities for both core and noncore activities within the Archive. This will include the approval, or otherwise, of the commercial partner's plans to engage with other third party contracts. It will also include the management plan for the Highland Council's North Highland Archive collection which will be co-located within the facility.



The Archive sod cutting ceremony in August 2015.

D - Information on our Subsidiaries

NDA Properties Limited

NDA Properties Limited was created as a subsidiary in 2006 to manage NDA owned land, engage with the market and divest surplus land and property for commercial and socio-economic uses.

Currently the NDA owns 2800 hectares of real estate across the UK, a quarter of which is designated under the Energy Act (2004) *(ref 1)*. All the designated land is leased to our SLCs and contractors for nuclear use. The rest of our land and property ranges from off-site offices through to fields and woodland. The company manages these assets within the NDA estate and develops selective property projects to support the NDA's mission (e.g. Sellafield office accommodation at Albion Square in Whitehaven, Cumbria).





Albion Square, Whitehaven, Cumbria.

Strategy

NDA Properties Limited's strategy is to:

- manage and provide suitable land and property
- identify and deliver savings in expenditure on managing property assets
- continue the programme of surplus asset divestment
- develop selected sustainable assets according to best practice principles.

Rutherford Indemnity Limited

Rutherford Indemnity Limited (Rutherford) is a regulated captive insurance company, licenced in Guernsey, and provides insurance to the NDA, NDA subsidiaries, SLCs and, in respect of certain risks, contractors and the Parent Body Organisations (PBOs). Its role is to assist in securing cost effective

Strategy

Rutherford participates in a number of the NDA's insurance programmes providing protection against a variety of losses, including (but not limited to) property, nuclear liability and general liability. The company retains a prudent proportion of the risks underwritten where it makes financial sense to do so and sources reinsurance protection from

Rutherford Indemnity Limited

insurance cover for the estate, while providing some insulation to the NDA budget from the immediate financial impact of retained risks.

organisations with approved security ratings for the more volatile risks. By demonstrating a significant financial commitment to the insurance markets, Rutherford is able to secure appropriate financial protection for the NDA estate on competitive terms.

137

Radioactive Waste Management Limited

Radioactive Waste Management

Radioactive Waste Management Limited (RWM) is responsible for implementing UK government policy on geological disposal of Higher Activity Waste (HAW), a position that is also supported by the Welsh government and Northern Ireland Executive. RWM is supporting the initial actions set out in the UK government 2014 white paper on Implementing Geological Disposal *(ref 34)* in preparation for engagement with communities through a voluntarism process to identify a host site for a Geological Disposal Facility (GDF). RWM will eventually develop, operate and close the GDF.

Through a programme of research and development RWM maintains the generic specification, designs and assessments for a GDF. This knowledge base is fundamental to demonstrating that HAW may be safely disposed in accordance with regulatory expectations, and underpins the process of community engagement. Research is expected to become more site-specific as the siting process develops.

RWM works closely with organisations managing HAW to ensure that waste is conditioned and managed in a manner that is consistent with a multibarrier approach to disposability and complies with regulatory guidance. Although Scottish government policy does not support geological disposal, RWM works with Scottish waste producers to help ensure waste is packaged so that it will remain in a suitable form for long-term management.

Strategy

See p58

RWM supports the NDA principles of Integrated Waste Management and management of Higher Activity Wastes. To support the NDA Integrated Waste Management objective "to ensure that wastes are managed in a manner that protects people and the environment, now and in the future and in ways that comply with government policies and provide value for money" the RWM's strategy is to:

- work with the NDA to deliver an optimised programme for the management of higher activity radioactive waste
- engage proactively at an early stage with waste producers to develop and deliver prioritised programmes of disposability assessments
- deliver a programme for implementation of geological disposal in the UK in line with the 2014 white paper and UK government policy
- continue to engage with the regulators to ensure the availability of necessary capability, organisation, resources and arrangements to apply for and hold permits and a site licence



RWM continue to engage and consult with stakeholders regarding the programme for establishing a GDF.

- have arrangements in place for regulatory scrutiny which enables the regulators to provide advice on organisational development, as well as current activities such as the provision of advice on the disposability of proposed waste packages
- develop and maintain RWM as a capable and competent organisation with the skills and expertise to deliver their programme
- engage with appropriate stakeholders to help create the conditions which could lead to identification of a community, or communities, willing to participate in the process for siting a GDF set out in the 2014 white paper
- develop and maintain the geological disposal concepts which underpin waste packaging advice and provide a basis for the siting and development of a GDF
- benefit from the exchange of knowledge and expertise through co-operation with overseas waste management agencies.

Glossary

As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA)

The ALARA principle is contained in the Euratom Basic Safety Standards Directive 96/29, which is transposed into UK law. Essentially, it means that all reasonable steps should be taken to protect people. In making this judgement, factors such as the costs involved in taking protection measures are weighed against benefits obtained, including the reduction in risks to people.

As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP)

To satisfy this principle, measures necessary to reduce risk must be taken until the cost of these measures whether in money, time or trouble, is disproportionate to the reduction of risk. (Cm 2919) (Edwards v.National Coal Board [1949]).

Best Available Technique (BAT)

BAT is defined as the most effective and advanced stage in the development of activities and their methods of operation, which indicates the practical suitability of particular techniques for providing, in principle, the basis for emission limit values designed to prevent and, where that is not practicable, generally to reduce emissions and impact on the environment as a whole.

Best Practicable Means (BPM)

BPM is a term used by the Environment Agency (EA) and Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) in authorisations issued under the Radioactive Substances Act (1993) (ref 44). Essentially, it requires operators to take all reasonable practicable measures in the design and operational management of their facilities to minimise discharges and disposals of radioactive waste, so as to achieve a high standard of protection for the public and the environment. BPM is applied to such aspects as minimising waste creation, abating discharges, and monitoring plant, discharges and the environment. It takes account of such factors as the availability and cost of relevant measures, operator safety and the benefits of reduced discharges and disposals. If the operator is using BPM, radiation risks to the public and the environment will be ALARA.

Broadly Acceptable

Risks falling into this region are generally regarded as insignificant and adequately controlled. The level of risk below which, so long as precautions are maintained, it would not be reasonable to consider further improvements to standards if these involved a cost.

Business Case

Provides evidence and rationale to support decisionmaking, and gives assurance to stakeholders that the NDA has acted responsibly. The business case process involves close scrutiny of all relevant financial and non-financial aspects of a proposed project, ensuring an optimal solution is selected for a given set of circumstances and that the identified benefits can be realised.

Care and Maintenance

When a Magnox reactor site is kept in a state of Care and Maintenance, it is made safe for a planned period of quiescence, after which decommissioning activities will commence.

Continuous Decommissioning

Commences at the end of operations and continues until the end state is achieved.

Client Specification (CS)

The Client Specifications define the required scope of work within the contracts and service agreements issued to some of our SLCs and subsidiaries. Typically the Client Specifications describe a set of outcomes rather than detailed deliverables and they are based on the NDA Strategy and the Site Strategic Specification so that there is a clear link between NDA Strategy and what is delivered by the SLCs and subsidiaries.

Cogent

This is the Sector Skills Council for the nuclear industry - www.cogent-ssc.com

Decommissioning

Taking a facility permanently out of service once operations have finally ceased, including decontamination and full or partial dismantling of buildings and their contents.

Decay Storage

Storing radioactive materials to allow radioactive decay. After decay storage materials will be less radioactive and will fall into a lower activity classification (for example ILW will become LLW). Decay storage is only suitable for materials with short half-lives.

De-designation

This is a shortened expression which means a Revocation or Modification of a Designating Direction. Designations are made by the Secretary of State and for sites in Scotland by the Secretary of State in conjunction with the Scottish ministers and laid before the UK parliament and as appropriate in the Scottish parliament.

Deferred Decommissioning

Comprises one or more periods when the plant/ facility/installation is purposely kept in a state of quiescence as part of the programme for achieving the Site End State.

Designation/designated

All nuclear installations on land owned by the NDA are designated as such under the Energy Act (2004) (*ref 1*). A designation is a specific description which controls use as a nuclear asset. Designations are made by the Secretary of State and for sites in Scotland by the Secretary of State in conjunction with the Scottish ministers and laid before the UK parliament and as appropriate in the Scottish parliament.

Directive Waste

The phrase Directive Waste refers to European legislation called the Waste Framework Directive. This identifies the environmental protection principles behind waste regulation. It also identifies which wastes are covered by these principles and those which are not. It does not include radioactive waste, but does include the majority of non-radioactive wastes

139

Glossary

generated at NDA sites.

Disposition

Consignment of, or arrangements for the consignment of, material to some specified (interim or final) route or form.

Environmental Safety Case

A set of substantiated claims concerning the environmental safety of disposals of solid radioactive waste. It will be provided by the developer or operator of a disposal facility and should demonstrate that the health of members of the public and the integrity of the environment are adequately protected.

Geological disposal

A long-term management option involving the emplacement of radioactive waste in an engineered underground Geological Disposal Facility or repository, where the geology (rock structure) provides a barrier against the escape of radioactivity and there is no intention to retrieve the waste once the facility is closed.

Geological Disposal Facility (GDF)

A highly-engineered facility capable of isolating radioactive waste within multiple protective barriers, deep underground, to ensure that no harmful quantities of radioactivity ever reach the surface environment.

Hazard

Hazard is the potential for harm arising from an intrinsic property or ability of something to cause detriment.

Hazardous Waste

Hazardous waste is essentially waste that contains hazardous properties that may render it harmful to human health or the environment. The European Commission has issued a Directive on the controlled management of such waste (91/689/EEC) and hazardous waste is defined on the basis of a list drawn up under that Directive. Examples include asbestos, lead-acid batteries, oils and solvents.

Health Impact Assessment (HIA)

Assesses the potential effects of the NDA Strategy upon public health. HIA is undertaken as part of the Integrated Impact Assessment to understand the potential risks for health effects associated with implementation of NDA Strategy.

High Level Waste (HLW)

High Level Waste is heat generating waste that has accumulated since the early 1950s at Sellafield and Dounreay, primarily from the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel. The temperature in HLW may rise significantly; this factor has to be taken into account when designing storage or disposal facilities.

Higher Activity Waste (HAW)

Higher activity radioactive waste comprises a number of categories of radioactive waste – high level waste (HLW), intermediate level waste (ILW), and low level waste (LLW) that is not suitable for near-surface disposal in current facilities.

Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA)

The Integrated Impact Assessment of the NDA Strategy comprises the combined assessment results of a strategic environmental assessment (SEA), health impact assessment (HIA) and socio-economic impact assessment (SeIA).

Institutional Control

Institutional control is a legal or administrative tool or action taken to reduce the potential for exposure to hazardous substances. Institutional controls may include, but are not limited to, land use restrictions, environmental monitoring requirements, and site access and security measures.

Intermediate Level Waste (ILW)

Waste with radioactivity levels exceeding the upper boundaries for Low Level Waste (LLW), but which does not need heating to be taken into account in the design of storage or disposal facilities. ILW arises mainly from the reprocessing of spent fuel, and from general operations and maintenance of radioactive plant. The major components of ILW are metals and organic materials, with smaller quantities of cement, graphite, glass and ceramics.

Interim End State

An interim end state is a specific type of interim state. An interim end state marks the end of all physical works. No more active remediation will take place to achieve the site end state, i.e. further remediation will be passive for example as a consequence of radioactive decay or natural attenuation of contamination.

Interim State

An interim state describes the condition of a site or facility (including land) at specific points en route to the site end state. It is a natural milestone or decision point in the decommissioning and remediation programme that typically represents a significant reduction in risk or hazard. An interim state does not automatically infer a period of quiescence; it can be followed by continuous or deferred decommissioning.

Intolerable Risk

Above a certain level, a risk is regarded as intolerable and cannot be justified in any ordinary circumstance.

Irradiated Fuel

Fuel assemblies taken out of a nuclear reactor after a period of energy production.

Knowledge Hub

A secure electronic platform to allow collaboration and sharing of knowledge across the NDA estate and its supply chain.

Land Use Planning Regime

The responsibility for land use planning rests primarily with local planning authorities. The remedial measures required to allow site redevelopment and ensure a site is 'suitable for use' are agreed through the planning regime in consultation with other the environmental regulators as appropriate. The majority of remedial action undertaken on brownfield sites in the UK is through the planning regime. This approach is encouraged through the governments National Planning Policy Framework.

Letter of Compliance

A document prepared by RWM, that indicates to a waste packager that a proposed waste package is compliant with the published suite of RWM Packaging Specifications and with the documented geological disposal system concepts, and is therefore deemed to be compatible with the requirements for storage, transport, handling and disposal.

Lifetime Plan (LTP)

The Lifetime Plan is produced by the site contractor to meet a contractual requirement of the NDA, and is revised annually. It gives details of the planned activities and costs of the work required to fully decommission the site to an agreed end state. The combination of all Lifetime Plans across the NDA estate yields the total cost of dealing with the NDA's liabilities.

Low Level Waste (LLW)

Low Level Waste which includes metals, soil, building rubble and organic materials, arising principally as lightly contaminated miscellaneous scrap. Wastes other than those suitable for disposal with ordinary refuse, but not exceeding 4 GBq/te (gigabecquerels) of alpha or 12 GBq/te of beta/gamma activity. Metals are mostly in the form of redundant equipment. Organic materials are mainly in the form of paper towels, clothing and laboratory equipment that have been used in areas where radioactive materials are used – such as hospitals, research establishments and industry. The National Repository for LLW is near Drigg, Cumbria.

Market Enhanced Model

A subsidiary model where the SLC will engage with the private sector to acquire support at a strategic level to assist in the effective delivery of its programme.

Mixed Load

Mixed load refers to safely transporting 2 or more packages of different radioactive materials to and from sites.

Monitored Natural Attenuation

Monitors the effects of naturally occurring physical, chemical, and biological processes or any combination of these processes to reduce the load, concentration, flux or toxicity of polluting substances in ground or groundwater in order to obtain a sustainable remediation objective.

Near-Surface Disposal Facilities

Facilities located at the surface of the ground or at depths down to several tens of metres below the surface. Near-surface facilities may use the geology (rock structure) to provide an environmental safety function, but some may rely solely on engineered barriers. They could include facilities constructed under the seabed but accessed from land. Nearsurface disposal facilities may use existing structures if an acceptable environmental safety case can be made.

Non-Radioactive Waste

We use the term non-radioactive waste to describe those wastes generated at our sites that are not radioactive waste. It includes both hazardous and non-hazardous waste.

Nuclear Site Licence

A formal notification of the authorised body which can operate a nuclear operation under the Nuclear Installations Act (1965) *(ref 60)*.

OSPAR

Oslo-Paris Convention which established requirements on the level of nuclear and non-nuclear discharges to the marine environment of the North East Atlantic, the North Sea and the Irish Sea.

Out of Scope

Out of scope wastes contain levels of radioactivity that are below specified clearance levels and not subject to regulatory control. Effectively 'out of scope' equates to 'not radioactive'.

Parent Body Organisation (PBO)

Entities, competitively selected by the NDA, that own the SLCs for the duration of their PBO contract, responsible for bringing improvement in SLC performance.

Place of Deposit

A place of deposit is a facility, which has been approved by the Lord Chancellor, as being a suitable place for the storage and management of public records (under s4(1) of the Public Record Act).

Post Operational Clean Out (POCO)

An important part of the transition from operations to decommissioning involving hazard reduction activities (e.g. removing fuel) that are undertaken immediately after cessation of operations. POCO minimises future radiological and chemotoxic challenges during decommissioning.

Quiescence

A period of reduced activity for sites and facilities with appropriate management arrangements including those required for site security, monitoring, maintenance and records management. At our Magnox reactor sites this period is known as Care and Maintenance.

Repatriation

The process of returning material/waste to the place of origin.

Research Board

Focused on decommissioning and clean-up in the UK, set up by NDA to look at strategic coordination of R&D issues. Current members of the Board include government representatives, regulators, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) and the NDA.

Glossary

Risk

Risk is the chance that someone, or something that is valued, will be adversely affected by the hazard.

Safeguards

Nuclear Safeguards ensure the peaceful use of nuclear materials by a system of nuclear material accountancy implemented by our SLCs.

Safety Case

A safety case is the written documentation demonstrating that risks associated with a site, a plant, part of a plant or a plant modification are ALARP and that the relevant standards have been met. Safety cases for licensable activities at nuclear sites are required as licence conditioned under the Nuclear Installations Act and regulated by the Office for Nuclear Regulations.

Site Licence Company (SLC)

The term applied to operators of nuclear installations where NDA has been designated as having responsibility for decommissioning and has tasked the operator with carrying out the required decommissioning.

Small and Medium-sized Enterprise (SME)

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are defined in the EU recommendation 2003/361 (ref 61).

Site Stakeholder Group (SSG)

The SSG is a standing forum for communications between the NDA, site operators and the local community. It has the overarching aim of ensuring that decisions taken by the NDA or operators that affect NDA sites are informed by the local community's views.

Site Strategic Specification (SSS)

Site Strategic Specifications define the required high level outcomes based on the NDA Strategy so that there is a clear link between NDA Strategy and what is delivered by the SLCs.

Socio-economic Impact Assessment (SeIA)

Assesses the potential socio-economic effects of NDA Strategy. SelA is undertaken as part of the Integrated Impact Assessment to understand the socioeconomic effects associated with implementation of NDA Strategy.

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

SEA refers to the type of environmental assessment legally required by the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (SI 2004/1633) *(ref 62)* and the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act *(ref 63)*. SEA for NDA Strategy is undertaken as part of the Integrated Impact Assessment to understand the significant environmental effects of implementing NDA Strategy.

Strategy Management System (SMS)

The SMS is a management tool used to develop, control and communicate our Strategy for decommissioning and cleaning up the UK's civil public sector nuclear sites. It also provides the basis for the periodic review of our Strategy which summarises the current strategy at the time that it is published.

Thermal Treatment

Any waste treatment technology that involves high temperatures in processing the feedstock and is normally deployed to enable the volume of radioactive waste for storage or disposal to be reduced. All thermal treatment technologies require an off-gas system to capture any gaseous radioactive waste produced during treatment and give the ability to manage the concentrated radioactive waste product that is produced as a result of the process.

Tolerable Risk

Tolerability does not mean 'acceptability'. It refers to a willingness to live with a risk so as to secure certain benefits and in the confidence that it is being properly controlled. To tolerate a risk means we do not regard it as negligible or something we might ignore, but rather as something we need to keep under review and reduce still further if and as we can.

Transport System

The regulated route and all the resources (e.g. people, assets and infrastructure) required to undertake the transport.

Value Framework

The Value Framework comprises factors that describe what the NDA values, recognising that value comes in many forms. These factors are considered when assessing options in order to identify which option offers the greatest value. The Value Framework incorporates the requirements of Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), and therefore sustainability and environmental considerations underpin our strategy development and decisionmaking.

Waste Hierarchy

A hierarchical approach to minimise the amounts of waste requiring disposal. The hierarchy consists of non-creation where practicable; minimisation of arisings where the creation of waste is unavoidable; recycling and reuse; and, only then, disposal.

Abbreviations

AGR	Advanced Gas-Cooled Reactor	ILW	Intermediate Level Waste
ALARA	As Low As (is) Reasonably Achievable	INS	International Nuclear Services Limited
ALARP	As Low As Reasonably Practicable	LETP	Liquid Effluent Treatment Plant
AMRC	Advanced Manufacturing Research	LLW	Low Level Waste
	Centre	LLWR	Low Level Waste Repository
BAI	Best Available Technique	LP&S	Legacy Ponds and Silos
BNFL	British Nuclear Fuels Limited	LTP	Lifetime Plan
BPM	Best Practicable Means	MDU	Magnox Depleted Uranium
CCFE	Culham Centre for Fusion Energy	MOD	Ministry of Defence
CDP	Cavendish Dounreay Partnership Limited	MOP	Magnox Operating Programme
CFP	Cavendish Fluor Partnership	MOX	Mixed Oxide Fuel
CNC	Civil Nuclear Constabulary	MPA	Major Projects Authority
CPNI	Centre for the Protection of National	MSSS	Magnox Swarf Storage Silo
	Infrastructure	MTIP	Magnox Throughput Improvement Plan
CS	Client Specification	MTR	Materials Test Reactor
CSISP	Cyber Security Information Sharing	NDA	Nuclear Decommissioning Authority
	Department of Energy and Climate	NDPB	Non-Departmental Public Body
DLOO		NEA	Nuclear Energy Agency
	Onange Doubroov East Pagatar	NESA	Nuclear Energy Skills Alliance
DRS	Direct Bail Services Limited	NGO	Non-Government Organisation
	Deversory Site Posteration Limited	NIC	Nuclear Industry Council
	Decommissioning and Waste	NIGLQ	Nuclear Industry Group for Land Quality
	Management Plans	NIRAB	Nuclear Innovation and Research
FΔ			Advisory Board
EC	European Commission	NLF	Nuclear Liabilities Fund
ECITR		NNL	National Nuclear Laboratory
LOND	Training Board	NPL	National Physical Laboratory
EDFE	EDF Energy	NPV	Net Present Value
EDRAM	Environmentally Safe Disposal of	NSAN	National Skills Academy for Nuclear
	Radioactive Material	NSSG	Nuclear Skills Strategy Group
EPSRC	Engineering and Physical Sciences	NuLeAF	Nuclear Legacy Advisory Forum
	Research Council	NWDRF	Nuclear Waste and Decommissioning
ETEC	Engineering, Technology and Energy		Research Forum
FGMSD	First Constantion Magnov Storage Pond	ONR	Office for Nuclear Regulation
GDE	Geological Disposal Facility	OSPAR	Oslo and Paris Conventions to protect
HAI	Highly Active Liquor		the marine environment of the North-
HASTS	High Active Storage Tanks		East Atlantic
	Higher Activity Waste	RSS	Radioactive Substances Strategy
HEU	High Enriched Uranium	PAS-55	Publicly Available Specification - 55
Hey	Liranium Hexafluoride Tails	PBO	Parent Body Organisation
ΗΙΔ	Health Impact Assessment	PFR	Prototype Fast Reactor
	High Level Waste	PFSP	Pile Fuel Storage Pond
HSSSEO	Health Safety Security Safeguards	PNTL	Pacific Nuclear Transport Limited
HOOOLQ	Environment & Quality	POCO	Post Operational Clean Out
	International Atomic Energy Agency	R&D	Research and Development
IIA	Integrated Impact Assessment	RSRL	Research Sites Restoration Limited
ICT	Information and Communication	RSS	Radioactive Substances Strategy
	Technology	RWM	Radioactive Waste Management Ltd

143

Abbreviations

SCAN	Supply Chain Apprenticeships for Nuclear
SCCORS	Scottish Councils Committee on Radioactive Substances
SDI	Scottish Development International
SDR	Site Decommissioning and Remediation
SEPA	Scottish Environment Protection Agency
SelA	Socio-Economic Impact Assessment
SEA	Strategic Environmental Assessment
SGHWR	Steam Generating Heavy Water Reactor
SLC	Site Licence Company
SME	Small and Medium-sized Enterprises
SMP	Sellafield MOX Plant
SMS	Strategy Management System
NS4P	Skills and Competency Management System
SPRS	Sellafield Product and Residue Store
SSA	Shared Services Alliance
SSG	Site Stakeholder Group
SSS	Site Strategic Specification
STEM	Science Technology Engineering and Maths
T&LWG	Transport and Logistics Working Group
THORP	Thermal Oxide Reprocessing Plant
TMF	Tails Management Facility
TPU	THORP Product Uranium
TRS	Talent Retention Solution
UKAEA	United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority
UKTI	UK Trade and Investment
VF	Value Framework
VLLW	Very Low Level Waste
WAGR	Windscale Advanced Gas- Cooled Reactor
WRACS	Waste Receipt Assay Characterisation and Supercompaction
NDA Headquarters Herdus House Westlakes Science & Technology Park Moor Row Cumbria CA24 3HU

+44 (0)1925 802001 www.nda.gov.uk

