

[REDACTED]

The above description and current consultation does not convey to the general public (like me) the nature of what is being considered and consulted upon.

I am sure that if the consultation (one might say more honestly) stated that it was concerning choosing the location of a nuclear disposal / storage facility (with attendant transport implications) it would receive much more attention and (possibly unwelcome?) comment.

Sorry if this seems harsh but I think you'll agree "geological screening" will sound irrelevant to many who may in 10 – 20 years' time have a vast nuclear facility a few miles away and accessed via roads they use on a daily basis.

Yours sincerely

Clive Culling  
[REDACTED]