



# Radioactive Waste Management

## National Geological Screening

### Public consultation response

When complete, please email to [NGSconsultation@nda.gov.uk](mailto:NGSconsultation@nda.gov.uk) or send by post to: National Geological Screening Consultation, Radioactive Waste Management, Building 587, Curie Avenue, Harwell, Didcot OX11 0RH.

Name	Rebecca Chiazzese
Email address	[REDACTED]
Organisation	[REDACTED]

#### Question 1:

To what extent do you think our proposed approach to providing national-scale existing information about geology relevant to long-term safety is appropriate? Please give your reasons.

It seems appropriate to me. I am not a geologist.

#### Question 2:

To what extent do you think that the proposed national information sources are appropriate and sufficient for this exercise? Please give your reasons.

Yes, they seem appropriate to me but, as I say, I am not a Geologist.

#### Question 3:

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed form of the outputs from geological screening? What additional outputs would you find useful?

I think it is important that the way the maps are presented allows people living in the local area to easily identify the location of their community on the surface mapping layer. A 3D model (such as GB3D) that can be 'explored' and where metadata/text is viewable through clickable/hover-able links on the map would be useful I think. Large documents are not penetrable to most people and for this reason I think the output needs to be well presented in a digital web-base/app-based format, with versions for different ages perhaps, alongside other media and the main document. Face to

face is most valuable and I think RWM need a band of outreach communicators/messengers who speak in local community forums to present this information.

I think a video should be prepared to present the results. This could be put on an RWM YouTube Channel. Local Planning Authority websites could then provide the link on their websites and the GDF concept could be shared more widely. These highly visual elements need clear and careful communication to reach a wider audience. More importantly this would provide more accessible information to potential host community residents who would otherwise not discover it. This would need trusted non-Governmental presenters.

**Question 4:**

Do you have any other views on the matters presented in the draft Guidance?

I feel strongly about a wider remit of presentation rather than a large document and a series of maps. The output needs to be in tune with the way all people (different ages, different educational levels, etc) access information today.