

Question 1: To what extent do you think our proposed approach to providing national-scale existing information about geology relevant to long-term safety is appropriate? Please give your reasons.

Gathering all the evidence is fine, but what are you actually going to do with it.

Question 2: To what extent do you think that the proposed national information sources are appropriate and sufficient for this exercise? Please give your reasons.

Non-technical stakeholders can't really comment on this, as BGS is still an expert organisation, used by geologists and other experts. However we are confident that the information provide is expert and correct

Question 3: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed form of the outputs from geological screening? What additional outputs would you find useful?

Fine as proposed, providing it is communicated properly and in full ie. face to face if necessary and with access to someone who can be seen as 'independent' (though no on is truly independent)

Question 4: Do you have any other views on the matters presented in the draft Guidance?

I attended a Workshop and as a non-technical attendee thought the analogies made on the size of waste accumulating and size of GDF ie. comparison with Wembley stadium etc/depth of Westminster tube station, were helpful in illustrating the scale of the situation.

Name: **Rebecca Gledhill**

Email address: [REDACTED]

Telephone number: [REDACTED]

Organisation/affiliation [REDACTED]

Do you agree to your responses to this consultation being published? Yes

