

**This document was archived on 24 February 2015 and is now out of date. A current version can be found within the Acquisition Safety and Environmental Management System (ASEMS) held on the Acquisition System Guidance (ASG, formerly the AOF). For access to ASEMS via the ASG please register at [www.defencegateway.mod.uk](http://www.defencegateway.mod.uk)**

|                               |                       |                         |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>MOD</b>                    | <b>SMS Procedures</b> | Procedure<br>SMP09/G/01 |
| <b>SMP09: Risk Acceptance</b> |                       | Page<br>1               |

| <b>Form SMP09/G/01 Management Level for Acceptance of Risk</b> |  |   |   |
|--|--|---|---|
| Level of Risk  | Have the risks been shown to be ALARP ?  |   | Management Level for Acceptance   |
|  | Yes  | No  |   |
| Unacceptable   | Unacceptable – unless there are exceptional reasons for the activity to take place | Unacceptable  | Through explicit agreement at 2* level between Project’s TLB and Equipment User.<br><br>Agreement in writing must be referenced in the Hazard Log and included in Safety Case Report, defining the circumstances under which risk exposure is considered acceptable and explaining why. |
| Tolerable  | Acceptable   | Unacceptable  | Project Safety Committee<br><br>(justification must be recorded in Hazard Log)  |
| Broadly Acceptable   | Acceptable   | Acceptable – but risk should be reduced wherever reasonably practicable | Normal project reviews<br><br>(justification must be recorded in Hazard Log, Safety Committee must review Risk estimation and agree that it is broadly acceptable)  |

The above Table identifies the management level which can accept Risks of different levels.

In some cases, the “Tolerable” band may be split into a region identifying higher risk and one relating to lower risk. Gross disproportion (eg a factor of 10) must be shown between the cost of a risk reduction measure and its expected Safety benefits, before it can be claimed to be unreasonable to adopt this measure.

If the “Tolerable” band is split in this way, the IPT Leader may decide that risks in the upper part of the band can be accepted only after review at a management level above the Project Safety Committee (eg: an IPT Safety Committee). The Project Safety Committee would still review the risk and its associated control measures, and give the higher management level the benefit of their Stakeholder and Subject Matter Expert knowledge.

|                                   |                         |              |               |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Issue                             | Authorised by CESO DE&S | ISSUE LEVEL: | Release V2.2s |
| Approval                          | Authorised by DG S&E    | DATE:        | November 2007 |
| DOCUMENT IS UNCONTROLLED IN PRINT |                         |              |               |