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Foreword

The United Kingdom is the most successful political union the world has ever known. The decision made by the people of Scotland on 18 September 2014 to remain part of that union represented a unique opportunity to reshape and secure the future of the UK, and the Prime Minister made it clear that he wanted to see Wales at the heart of that debate. This paper fulfils that commitment and continues the existing process already underway in Wales. It sets out the path to a clear, robust and lasting devolution settlement for Wales.

This coalition Government has a strong record of taking forward devolution in Wales reflecting public opinion. In 2011, we delivered a referendum which saw the Assembly acquire full law making powers across its devolved policy areas. We also passed the Wales Act 2014, devolving a comprehensive package of tax and borrowing powers to the Welsh Government to allow it to grow the Welsh economy. That Act implemented almost all of the recommendations in the first report of the ‘Silk Commission’, the Commission on Devolution in Wales.

The second report from the Silk Commission, Silk II, considered the boundaries of the current Welsh devolution settlement; that report provides the foundation for the work that underpins this paper. In addition to the Silk II recommendations the paper considers what the new powers proposed for the Scottish Parliament by the Smith Commission might mean for Wales.

The final tranche of work outlined in this paper relates to fiscal devolution and funding in Wales, building on the Wales Act 2014 and the investments in the Welsh economy under this Government. In order to empower the Welsh Government to deliver for the people of Wales, we will introduce a floor in the level of relative funding provided to the Welsh Government with the expectation that the Welsh Government will call a referendum on income tax powers in the next Parliament.

I want to establish a clear devolution settlement for Wales which stands the test of time. I firmly believe that there should always be a clear purpose for devolving new powers to the Assembly, and that the Assembly and the Welsh Government should use any new tools and levers to put Wales in a stronger position to develop as a nation.

In order to achieve this I have led a cross-party process to secure political consensus on further devolution to Wales. I am grateful to the Westminster representatives of the Welsh political parties for the positive and constructive
way in which they approached our discussions; to the Welsh Assembly leaders; the Welsh Government; the Presiding Officer and to business and academic representatives for their participation. Discussions will continue as we move to implement this agreement, particularly with regards to developing the reserved powers model. I believe we now have a strong blueprint for a new Wales Bill in the next Parliament.

It is in the best interests of the people of Wales that we have a clear devolution settlement which gives them a stronger voice over their own affairs within a strong and successful United Kingdom. This paper sets out the path to achieving that.

The Rt Hon Stephen Crabb MP
Secretary of State for Wales
Executive Summary

This Command Paper sets out a blueprint for the future of devolution in Wales to make the Welsh settlement clearer, and more stable and long-lasting.

The paper is divided into three main chapters. Chapter 2 describes the UK Government’s response where there is political consensus to agree the recommendations made by the Commission on Devolution in Wales (the ‘Silk’ Commission) in its second report (“Silk II”). A summary of that consensus is at Annex A.

The key recommendation made in Silk II is that the model of Welsh devolution should change: Wales should adopt a reserved powers model, making clear the powers reserved to the UK Parliament. Anything not reserved would be devolved, and the National Assembly for Wales would be able to pass laws in those areas.

The UK Government is committed to implementing a reserved powers model for Wales, and has begun a programme of work to prepare the model. An illustrative list of the areas in which reservations would be needed is at Annex B, and examples of the reservations that would be included in the model are at Annex C. Annex D lists the issues that would need to be considered in moving to a reserved powers model.

The UK Government will implement many other Silk II recommendations, devolving a range of additional powers to the Assembly in areas such as energy, transport and the environment. The Assembly will also gain new powers to decide matters relating to its operation and organisation, including what it should call itself.

Chapter 3 confirms the non-fiscal recommendations for Scotland in the Smith Commission Agreement which the UK Government intends to consider and analyse further for Wales. The UK Government has decided to commit now to devolve to the National Assembly a similar package of constitutional powers as are being devolved to the Scottish Parliament. This includes Assembly elections, and the Assembly’s electoral structure. It has also decided to devolve the licensing of onshore oil and gas extraction underlying Wales. A number of other Smith recommendations will be examined in order to decide whether they should be implemented for Wales.

Chapter 4 examines fiscal devolution and funding in Wales. The UK Government has already delivered a significant programme of fiscal devolution in Wales and committed to big investments in the Welsh economy since coming to power in 2010.

Building on this, in order to empower the Welsh Government to deliver for the people of Wales, the UK Government will introduce a floor in the level of relative funding it provides to the Welsh Government, in the expectation that
the Welsh Government will call a referendum on income tax powers in the next Parliament.

Funding arrangements beyond the next Parliament will need to take full account of the Welsh Government’s new powers and responsibilities, given the significant impact that tax devolution could have on its funding. The UK Government will work with the Welsh Government to develop sustainable long term funding arrangements within a robust fiscal framework that reflects the changes made.

The UK Government will also enable the Welsh Government to issue bonds to borrow for capital expenditure. Additionally, it will consider the case and options for devolving further powers to the Assembly over Air Passenger Duty (APD), informed by a review of potential options to mitigate the impacts of APD devolution on regional airports.

The changes set out in this paper provide a solid foundation for legislation early in the next Parliament. There will be further work on how best to deliver this package, and in particular to seek agreement with interested parties, including the Welsh Government and the Assembly Commission, on a reserved powers model for Wales.
Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 In September 2014, the Prime Minister committed to Wales being at the heart of the debate on the future of devolution in the United Kingdom. Following the referendum on Scottish independence, the UK Government established a Cabinet Committee on devolution to look at devolved powers for Wales, alongside those for England and Northern Ireland, and new powers for Scotland.

1.2 The Secretary of State for Wales is a member of that Committee and under its auspices and oversight announced the Wales Devolution Programme on 17 November 2014, fulfilling the Prime Minister’s pledge. The Secretary of State committed to announcing the outcome of the Programme by St David’s Day 2015, and the Programme has since become known as the St David’s Day process.

1.3 This paper sets out that outcome, and the UK Government’s plans for a clearer and more stable and long-lasting devolution settlement for Wales.

1.4 The Secretary of State held discussions with the four main political parties in Wales, focusing on the recommendations made by the independent Commission on Devolution in Wales (the ‘Silk’ Commission) in its second report, published in March 2014. Known as “Silk II”, the Commission’s report made 61 recommendations on the powers of the National Assembly for Wales (“the Assembly”) and modifications to the devolution boundary. The outcome of those discussions is at Annex A.

1.5 The views of party leaders in the Assembly and of the Welsh Government fed into those discussions, as did those of other interested parties, including the Assembly’s Presiding Officer, Welsh business and civil society in Wales.

1.6 This paper describes how the UK Government intends to implement those Silk II recommendations on which there was consensus. It also sets out the non-fiscal Smith Commission recommendations for Scotland which the UK Government intends to analyse further for Wales, and looks at fiscal devolution and funding in Wales.

1.7 This is a strong package of new powers for Wales, founded on a new model of Welsh devolution. It devolves additional powers to the Assembly and the Welsh Government where there is a clear purpose for doing so. It also sets a clear course for legislation early in the next Parliament to implement a new and lasting devolution settlement for Wales.

1 Discussions were held with Westminster representatives of the political parties.
2 Empowerment and Responsibility: Legislative Powers to Strengthen Wales, Commission on Devolution in Wales, March 2014.
3 Including through business representatives on the Secretary of State’s Economic Advisory Board.
1.8 In working up the legislation, care must be taken to consult and engage with all interested parties in Wales, and in particular Welsh business.

1.9 The Equality Act 2010 sets out a duty for public authorities to consider how their policies or decisions affect people who are protected under the Act – the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED)\(^4\). The proposals in this paper will not cause any change in powers at this immediate point. Any subsequent legislation brought forward by the UK Government would not cause any equality change as the UK Government is transferring powers and functions to the Assembly and the Welsh Government intact.

1.10 In relation to this, the UK Government has also taken account of the following:

- at the point of devolution, the Welsh Government would be subject to the PSED in relation to new powers;
- the Welsh Government has consistently shown due regard for equality in its activity\(^5\); and
- the overall reservation on the Equality Act 2010 means that the Welsh Government would not be able to lower the protections found in the Equality Act.

---

\(^4\) [https://www.gov.uk/equality-act-2010-guidance](https://www.gov.uk/equality-act-2010-guidance)

Chapter 2: The Silk Commission’s Recommendations

2.0.1 In this chapter we set out the UK Government’s response on those Silk Commission recommendations where there is a cross-party consensus in favour of implementation.

2.0.2 We do not discuss those recommendations where there is no consensus. The outcome of the cross-party discussions is set out at Annex A.

2.1 The Model of Devolution for Wales

2.1.1 There was consensus to accept the following Silk recommendation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The existing conferred powers model should be replaced by a reserved powers model. The two Governments should agree a process and a timetable for developing and agreeing the new legislation setting out the powers reserved to Westminster.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Reserved Powers Model for Wales

2.1.2 The UK Government agrees that the devolution settlement for Wales should be based on a reserved powers model. This is the model that underpins the devolution settlement in Scotland. A reserved powers model would provide a more coherent, stable and better functioning devolution settlement for Wales: one that works in the interests of Wales and of the United Kingdom as a whole.

The Current Model of Devolution in Wales

2.1.3 Wales currently has a conferred model of devolution. The model lists the subjects devolved to the Assembly, with everything else the responsibility of the UK Parliament. It is given effect by Schedule 7 to the Government of Wales Act 2006 (GoWA), which lists the subjects devolved to the Assembly and any exceptions to the Assembly’s powers within those subjects for which the UK Parliament is responsible. For example, traffic management and regulation are devolved, but specific aspects of traffic management, such as road traffic offences, are not devolved.

2.1.4 In contrast, Scotland has a reserved powers model of devolution, which means that legislative competence is devolved to the Scottish Parliament in all areas except those specifically reserved to the UK Parliament in statute.
2.1.5 The initial model of Welsh devolution was set by statute in 1998. It shared some similarities with the models used in Scotland and Northern Ireland in establishing a devolved body responsible for functions devolved from the UK level. It also differed from those models in that it was a form of executive devolution, and conferred only those powers specifically described by the legislation itself. In practice, many of the Assembly’s executive powers were previously exercised by the Secretary of State for Wales.

2.1.6 The start of Welsh devolution was of course of great historic, constitutional and cultural importance, both for Wales and for the UK as a whole, but it did not have the same breadth or depth as devolution elsewhere in the UK.

2.1.7 Important changes were made in GoWA. These reconfigured the structures of Welsh devolution, and established a separate legislature (the Assembly) and executive (known then as the Welsh Assembly Government). Powers were conferred on the Assembly to make primary legislation for the first time. Those powers, however, were also limited in ways not known in the other devolution settlements, not least by the requirement to seek the approval of Parliament on a case-by-case basis for any extension of the Assembly’s powers.

2.1.7 This incremental approach to Welsh devolution has meant that the initial form of the Welsh settlement has not changed: although the Assembly was given broader, more significant legislative powers following the 2011 Assembly referendum, the foundations of the devolution model itself were unchanged.

2.1.8 The Assembly, with ever increasing powers, relied on a devolution model which had its basis in executive powers exercised pre-devolution. What was originally conceived as, and designed to be, a system for conferring limited executive powers was extended to apply to a fully fledged legislature. That resulted in the piecemeal conferral of particular powers, often described in general terms, instead of devolving everything not specifically reserved (the reserved powers model).

2.1.9 Following the 2011 referendum on Assembly powers the Assembly now exercises legislative competence in all twenty of the subjects devolved to Wales. But the conferred subjects remain general in their description, and Schedule 7 is silent on many policy areas which would ordinarily be considered not to be devolved. The exceptions were never designed to be a complete list of what is not devolved; they were only intended to clarify what

---

6. In the Government of Wales Act 1998. The first elections to the National Assembly for Wales were held in May 1999.
7. Most of these changes applied from the start of the Third Assembly in May 2007.
8. Legislative competence was conferred on the Assembly by Legislative Competence Orders (LCOs) and through so-called “framework” powers in Acts of Parliament.
might otherwise be interpreted as being included within the conferred subjects.

2.1.10 The way in which devolution in Wales developed meant, in effect, more powers were added to old foundations which were simply not designed for them. Devolution was not rebuilt on updated foundations to reflect the Assembly’s new legislative powers. The stability and clarity of those foundations have, as a consequence, been subject to increasing debate and challenge. We believe that fundamental reform of the foundations of Welsh devolution is now needed.

The Structural Limitations of the Current Model

2.1.11 The existing conferred model of devolution has important structural limitations. The boundaries of the settlement are not always clearly identifiable, and it is not always clear what the Assembly and the UK Parliament are responsible for. This is because many policy areas are not listed in the conferred model. We believe this is unhelpful, and makes it more difficult to hold decision-makers to account.

2.1.12 No model of devolution is perfect. But it is our view that a reserved powers model would deliver more predictable results by making clear what is not devolved.

2.1.13 The implications of these structural limitations could not have been foreseen when devolution was established, but have become more visible and important over time. The particular problem arises where Assembly legislation relates both to a subject which is devolved and a subject on which Schedule 7 is silent.

Supreme Court Judgment on the Agricultural Sector (Wales) Bill

2.1.14 In July last year the Supreme Court decided, in a case relating to the regulation of agricultural wages, that legislation is within the Assembly’s competence if it fairly and realistically relates to a devolved subject, even if it also relates to one on which Schedule 7 is silent.

2.1.15 The Court was deciding the case before it, but its judgment applies more generally: to all Assembly legislation on a devolved subject which could also relate to a subject on which Schedule 7 is silent. The conferred powers model was not designed to deliver this level of uncertainty in the devolution boundary.

2.1.16 The judgment established where the power to pass one particular

---

10 These have been termed by some commentators as the “silent subjects”: subjects which are not expressly listed in Schedule 7 to GoWA as ones on which the Assembly has legislative competence or as exceptions to that competence.
piece of legislation sat: legislation on agricultural wages. It set out principles but it did not, and could not, provide an answer for all future cases.\footnote{The Supreme Court published its judgment on the Recovery of Medical Costs for Asbestos Diseases (Wales) Bill on 9 February 2015. The Bill was referred to Court by the Counsel General.}

**Box 1: The Supreme Court Judgment**

In July 2014, the Supreme Court handed down a judgment on the interpretation of the conferred powers model of devolution in Wales. The Court was asked to determine the subject matter of the Assembly's Agricultural Sector (Wales) Bill, which provided for an agricultural wages regime in Wales.

The Court recognised that the Bill was capable of relating to both “agriculture” (expressly devolved in Schedule 7 to GOWA) and “employment” (a 'silent subject'; not expressly devolved or excepted in Schedule 7).

However, the Court held that the Bill was within competence on the grounds that it “fairly and realistically satisfies” the test in GOWA by relating to a devolved subject and not falling within an exception. It did not matter that the Bill could also relate to a subject not mentioned in Schedule 7.

2.1.17 There are no easy answers to the issue of ‘silent subjects’ in the current model of Welsh devolution, but the ambiguity and uncertainty inherent in the current model is clear.

2.1.18 The UK Government believes therefore it is time to reset the devolution settlement for Wales. To develop a new way of thinking on devolution and a new model on the basis of reserved powers. This would bring more clarity and consistency to the Welsh settlement, make future referrals to the Supreme Court less likely and so help consolidate a more stable settlement for the longer-term.

**Preparing a Reserved Powers Model for Wales**

2.1.19 The UK Government is looking afresh at the current Welsh devolution settlement with a view to moving to a reserved powers model. Annex B sets out an illustrative list of the main areas where we expect that reservations will be needed.

2.1.20 Concurrent with the St David's Day process, we have been working to prepare a reserved powers model for Wales, based on the areas listed in Annex B.

2.1.21 This work is complex. Wales has a highly integrated border and a single legal jurisdiction with England. Wales’s history and geography mean that its institutions are more interwoven with that of its neighbour. This complexity is reflected in Wales's devolution settlement.
2.1.22 The new model will not, and could never be, simply a restatement of the current *conferrered* model in reserved powers terms because it will necessarily include the subjects on which the current model is silent (such as defence).

2.1.23 A great deal of work has been done to date to prepare the new model and there is more work to do. An area we have been looking at is set out at Annex C, providing an example of what reservations within a reserved powers model would look like.

2.1.24 Once this work is completed we will discuss the proposed model with interested parties, including the Welsh Government and the Assembly Commission. The issues that need to be considered in moving from a conferred to a reserved model are set out in a checklist at Annex D.

2.1.25 The UK Government’s aim is to secure broad agreement on a reserved powers model which delivers stability in the devolution settlement for Wales, and that would be ready to include in legislation early in the next Parliament.

2.1.26 Moving to a reserved powers model should in itself be cost neutral. If any cost of funding issues do arise, they will be resolved in line with paragraph 212.1 in this paper.

**Minister of the Crown Functions**

2.1.27 There was consensus that the UK Government should consider potential Minister of the Crown powers in Assembly Bills promptly, and with a presumption of consent. There was no consensus in favour of a general transfer of pre-devolution Minister of the Crown functions to Welsh Ministers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>There should be a general transfer of pre-devolution Minister of the Crown powers to Welsh Ministers, subject to any necessary exceptions. In the meantime, consideration of potential Minister of the Crown powers in National Assembly Bills should be done promptly by the UK Government and with a presumption of consent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.28 Minister of the Crown functions are functions exercised by UK Government Ministers conferred by Acts which extend to England and Wales. Under GoWA, some Minister of the Crown functions in the twenty areas devolved to the Assembly have been transferred to Welsh Ministers on a case-by-case basis with the consent of the Secretary of State. Otherwise, they remain non-devolved, and the responsibility of the UK Government.
2.1.29 Under current arrangements the Assembly and the Welsh Ministers cannot, without the consent of the Secretary of State, legislate to:

- remove or modify any *existing* “pre-commencement” function of a Minister of the Crown; or
- confer or impose any *new* function on a Minister of the Crown.

2.1.30 A “pre-commencement” function is a function exercisable by the Secretary of State before 5 May 2011 - the day on which the Assembly assumed its full law-making powers following the 2011 Assembly referendum. All UK legislation after 5 May 2011 reflects the current devolution settlement by conferring functions in devolved areas on the Secretary of State in relation to England and on Welsh Ministers (with their consent) in relation to Wales.

2.1.31 The UK Government agrees that there should be a presumption in favour of consent to a provision in an Assembly Bill that removes or modifies a pre-commencement Minister of the Crown function in a devolved area. We also agree that any such requests from the Welsh Government should be considered promptly, and to deadlines agreed between the two Governments. We will review the guidance for UK Government Departments\(^\text{12}\) to ensure that this is in place.

### 2.2 Constitutional Matters

2.2.1 There was consensus to accept the following Silk Commission recommendations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>The administration and conduct of local government elections should be devolved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>A range of options should be considered in the short term for increasing the capacity within the existing National Assembly, including greater flexibility on the number and size of committees, increased numbers of research staff and better use of Assembly Members’ time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>The size of the National Assembly should be increased so that it can perform its scrutiny role better. The practical implications, and those for the electoral system, will need further consideration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51a</td>
<td>The National Assembly and Secretary of State should agree appropriate engagement on the UK Government’s legislative programme, rather than one based on the legislative requirement for the Secretary of State’s appearance before the National</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^{12}\) Devolution Guidance Note 9, which can be found at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/devolution-guidance-notes
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>51b</strong></td>
<td>The unused right for the Secretary of State to participate in the proceedings of the National Assembly should be removed;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>51c</strong></td>
<td>The Secretary of State’s powers to prevent Assembly Bills proceeding for Royal Assent should be aligned with those in Scotland; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>52</strong></td>
<td>Obligations and restrictions on the National Assembly in the Government of Wales Act 2006 should be reviewed and amended or repealed where no longer appropriate. This should be done on the basis of the detailed memorandum provided to us by the Presiding Officer. In particular, there should be a presumption in favour of adopting changes that bring the National Assembly in line with the Scottish Parliament.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>53</strong></td>
<td>If the National Assembly wishes to change its name to the Welsh Parliament, this should be respected.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **54** | On the relationship between the National Assembly and UK Parliament, we recommend:  
   a. there should be improved inter-parliamentary cooperation to increase mutual understanding of the work of the National Assembly and both Houses of Parliament, especially in terms of committee-to-committee cooperation (including attendance by Ministers from each administration at Committees of the other legislature); information-sharing should be improved; Assembly Members should be given parliamentary passes; and the Legislative Consent Motion procedure should be formalised and apply as widely as the same procedure does in Scotland;  
   b. there should be a detailed statement published with every UK Government-proposed Parliamentary Bill on its implications for Wales; and there should be a similar practice in respect of Assembly Bills in relation to any implications for the wider United Kingdom. |
| **56** | It should be recognised that the National Assembly is permanent, so long as that is the will of the majority of the people of Wales. |

2.2.2 There was also consensus that, in light of the recommendations of the Smith Commission for Scotland, matters relating to the operation of the Assembly and the way in which the Assembly organises itself should be devolved to the Assembly.

2.2.3 The UK Government agrees that the Assembly should be able to decide how it operates. The Assembly is now some sixteen years old and, with full law-making powers since 2011, is a fully fledged legislature. It is trusted with passing laws that affect the lives of millions of people in Wales,
and it is right that it should be responsible for deciding how it conducts its own affairs and regulates its own proceedings.

**Permanence of the Assembly**

2.2.4 The UK Government agrees that the Assembly should be formally recognised as permanent and that the Assembly and Welsh Government are permanent parts of the United Kingdom’s constitutional arrangements. This should be enshrined in legislation.

**Operation of the Assembly and Welsh Government**

2.2.5 The UK Government shares the view that the legislative framework governing how the Assembly carries out its business should be streamlined. Many of the legislative provisions contained in GoWA restrict the Assembly’s ability to take its own decisions and reflect an earlier stage of devolution. These include restrictions governing the way in which the Assembly sets out its standing orders, the composition of Assembly committees and giving the Assembly more discretion to regulate its own standards of conduct. These restrictions can, and should, be removed.

2.2.6. The Assembly should also be responsible for deciding the internal processes and procedures it adopts in order to do its work, and matters relating to Assembly Members (including how those Members are elected). The Assembly should also be able to change its name if it so wishes.

2.2.7 Similarly, we agree that the direct involvement of the Secretary of State for Wales in Assembly proceedings is no longer necessary. Currently, GoWA requires the Secretary of State to attend the Assembly as part of the process of consultation on the UK Government’s legislative programme. The Secretary of State also has the right to participate in proceedings of the Assembly (but does not have the right to vote). This right has never been exercised.

2.2.8 These provisions were included in the 2006 Act when the Assembly was still finding its feet, and the distinction between the Assembly’s legislative role and the Welsh (Assembly) Government’s executive functions had yet to be implemented. The Assembly is now well established, with clear lines of engagement between it and the UK Government. These provisions are no longer needed in that context.

2.2.9 We will also provide that the Presiding Officer, rather than the Clerk of the Assembly, should submit Assembly legislation for Royal Assent. This reflects the process in the Scottish Parliament. As part of our work to prepare a reserved powers model we will need to consider any modifications which may be necessary to the Secretary of State’s powers to intervene in an Assembly Bill being submitted for Royal Assent.
Elections to the National Assembly for Wales

2.2.10 The Silk Commission considered the electoral arrangements of the Assembly to be outside its terms of reference, and made no recommendations relating to Assembly elections.

2.2.11 There was a strong consensus that the Assembly should, nevertheless, be responsible for Assembly elections, in line with the recommendations made in the Smith Commission Agreement for the Scottish Parliament to have all powers in relation to elections to the Scottish Parliament. The Assembly currently has no legislative competence in relation to Assembly elections. Welsh Ministers are responsible for funding Assembly elections and for developing the legislation on who is disqualified from election to the Assembly.

2.2.12 The UK Government agrees that there is a strong case in favour of devolving full legislative and executive competence in relation to the conduct of Assembly elections to the Assembly and the Welsh Government. We believe that the devolution of Assembly elections fits well with the wider package of reforms to the operation of the Assembly set out earlier in this chapter.

2.2.13 We support therefore the devolution of powers relating to Assembly elections. This includes deciding the electoral system; the number of constituencies, their boundaries and the ratio of regional Assembly Members to constituency Assembly Members; the timing of elections and therefore election terms; matters relating to the requirements of candidates to stand for election and the conduct of the elections themselves; and the circumstances in which a sitting Assembly Member can be removed.

2.2.14 The Assembly should have control of campaign expenditure by political parties, controlled expenditure by third parties and party political broadcasts in relation to Assembly elections. The Assembly should not however be able to decide to hold Assembly elections on the same day as general elections to the UK Parliament, European Parliament or local government elections in Wales. The regulation of political parties, including donations to political parties, would remain reserved.

2.2.15 The UK Government agrees that the Assembly should decide the franchise for Assembly elections, including the ability to lower the voting age to 16 if it wishes. The Assembly already has the power to lower the voting age to 16 for a referendum on devolving income tax powers13.

2.2.16 The Electoral Commission should continue to operate on a UK-wide basis, with the Assembly having competence over the functions of the Electoral Commission in relation to Assembly elections and local government elections in Wales.

---

13 Section 13 of the Wales Act 2014.
2.2.17 The Boundary Commission for Wales should continue to operate as a UK public body. Powers in relation to Assembly constituency boundaries should be devolved to the Assembly. Detailed transitional arrangements would need to be discussed with the Assembly Commission and the Welsh Government.

Super-majority

2.2.18 Decisions taken by the Assembly on issues of fundamental constitutional importance should be supported by a broad consensus of the Assembly itself. The Silk Commission made no recommendations in this respect, but there is a strong precedent already established in the Assembly for a two-thirds majority on issues of constitutional importance. This also reflects the recommendation made by the Smith Commission in relation to Scotland.

2.2.19 To provide an adequate check on Assembly legislation proposing changes to the franchise for Assembly or local government elections in Wales, the electoral system or the ratio of constituency and regional Assembly members, the UK Government’s firm view is that such legislation should be passed by a two-thirds majority of all Assembly Members. We believe there would be broad support in Wales for these safeguards, and in the Assembly itself.

Local government elections in Wales

2.2.20 The UK Government agrees that the conduct and administration of local elections in Wales should be devolved. The Assembly currently has limited powers over Welsh local government elections, including being able to decide the number of councillors to be elected and the number and boundaries of electoral wards. Almost all other aspects of local government in Wales are however devolved.

2.2.21 The Assembly’s powers would include the ability to decide the franchise for local government elections in Wales.

Other elections

2.2.22 All aspects of elections to the House of Commons and the European Parliament, and the election of Police and Crime Commissioners in Wales will remain the responsibility of the UK Government and Parliament.

---

14 Under the GoWA, the Assembly vote which triggered the 2011 referendum on Assembly powers required a two-thirds majority of Assembly Members to vote in favour. The same majority is required to trigger an income tax referendum under the Wales Act 2014.
2.3 Intergovernmental Relations

2.3.1 The Silk Commission included a wide range of recommendations on improving intergovernmental relations between the UK Government and the Welsh Government. There was consensus on the need for solid and robust inter-governmental machinery to underpin the Welsh devolution settlement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The two Governments should identify and circulate guidance on good practice on intergovernmental relations and areas for development by drawing on examples provided to us. They should also review existing guidance notes and adherence to them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A statutory Code of Practice on intergovernmental relations should be provided for in a new Government of Wales Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>It would be helpful for the National Audit Office and the Wales Audit Office jointly to audit intergovernmental relations. This audit could be reported to the Welsh Affairs Committee and the corresponding National Assembly committee which could then, from time to time, jointly review intergovernmental communication and engagement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 6      | The Welsh and UK Governments should establish a Welsh Intergovernmental Committee, supported by separate sub-committees if needed. It should oversee the operation of the Welsh settlement by:  
  a. seeking to simplify the existing devolution model, and taking forward the process of moving to a reserved powers model;  
  b. considering detailed proposals for changes to devolved responsibilities raised in the future;  
  c. considering disagreements without invoking the full dispute resolution process;  
  d. monitoring EU developments impacting on Wales; and  
  e. resolving cross-border issues. |
| 7      | There should be an arbitration mechanism for resolving disagreements between the Welsh and UK Governments in relation to legislative competence of Bills passed by the National Assembly before a referral to the Supreme Court is contemplated. |
| 8      | To improve evidence-based outcomes, the two Governments should:  
  a. collaborate with the Scottish Government and the Northern Ireland Executive to publish more comprehensive and consistent comparative data and analysis on public service and economic |
outcomes across the countries and regions of the United Kingdom. This should be built on existing data sources as far as possible; and
b. identify and learn from each other what works well in policy and delivery to improve public services and the economy, especially building on the work of the Wales Audit Office and National Audit Office.

10 Given that the border is administrative not economic, and given their shared ambition for economic growth, the UK and Welsh Governments should take account of each other’s policies in a coherent way when developing their economic strategies for Wales. This would include a better-coordinated approach to business investment and economic development.

45 On higher education and research, there should be a formal intergovernmental committee to ensure a coherent approach to policy and to assess the impact of decisions taken at a United Kingdom level on Higher Education Institutions in Wales.

2.3.2 The Silk Commission placed great emphasis on the need for the two Governments in Wales to work together more effectively. The UK Government too believes that it is in the best interests not only of the people of Wales but of people across the UK that all the Devolved Administrations and UK Government work well together for the benefit of the whole of the UK. Changes to inter-governmental working therefore will be developed collaboratively by the UK Government and the three Devolved Administrations.

2.3.3 This work has already begun. The Joint Ministerial Committee met on 15 December 2014 and the Prime Minister, the First Ministers of Scotland and of Wales and the First Minister and the deputy First Minister of Northern Ireland agreed to commission work on a revised Memorandum of Understanding.

2.3.4 This work is complex and it is important that we get it right. Changes to the Joint Ministerial Committee structure, and governance arrangements between the UK Government and the Devolved Administrations, as well as Parliamentary oversight, will underpin future governmental working across the UK.

2.3.5 The recommendations made by the Silk Commission to improve the way the Welsh Government and the UK Government work together will be considered (without prejudice) as part of this process.

15 The Devolved Administrations are the Welsh Government, the Scottish Government and the Northern Ireland Executive.
2.3.6 Intrinsically linked to this work are the recommendations to improve the implementation of the current Concordat on European Union Policy Issues covering UK representation to the EU. Discussions on this will be taken forward as part of the wider work on revising inter-governmental relations and changes will be made in parallel. Together, this will lead to stronger and more collaborative governance of the UK, to the advantage of all citizens.

**Inter-parliamentary cooperation**

2.3.7 The Silk Commission recommended that there should be increased cooperation between the Assembly and the UK Parliament to encourage mutual understanding of the operation of both legislatures.

2.3.8 The UK Government\(^1\) welcomes close cooperation between the UK Parliament and the Devolved Legislatures, including the Assembly. However, these are largely matters on which the parliamentary institutions themselves take the lead. The Speakers and Presiding Officers of the four legislatures meet several times a year to discuss matters of common interest. The Welsh Affairs Committee of the House of Commons already has the power to meet jointly with a Committee of the Assembly, and other parliamentary Select Committees have visited and taken evidence in Wales\(^2\).

**Legislative Consent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>The Legislative Consent Procedure should be formalised and apply as widely as the same procedure does in Scotland.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.9 By convention, the UK Parliament will not normally legislate with regard to matters devolved to Wales without the consent of the Assembly. The Assembly grants consent through a Legislative Consent Motion (LCM), and the Silk Commission called for this procedure to be formalised.

2.3.10 We agree that the convention should be formalised, and placed on a statutory footing, in a substantively similar manner as the Government intends in regard to the Sewel Convention in Scotland.

---

\(^1\) The Secretary of State for Wales met the Presiding Officer of the Assembly, and corresponded with the Acting Clerk of the House of Commons, to confirm the nature of inter-parliamentary cooperation.

\(^2\) The most recent instance was the visit of the Political and Constitutional Reform Committee to the Assembly in December 2014 as part of its inquiry into *The Future of Devolution after the Referendum*. 
2.4 Natural Resources

2.4.1 There was consensus to agree the following Silk Commission’s recommendations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 15     | To encourage the development of energy projects in Wales, we recommend:  
         a. the responsibility for all energy planning development consents for projects up to 350MW onshore and in Welsh territorial waters should be devolved to the Welsh Government;  
         b. there should be a statutory obligation for the UK Government to consult the Welsh Government and take account of Welsh planning policies when granting consents for projects over 350MW;  
         c. associated development consents should be aligned with responsibility for the main project;  
         d. responsibility for issuing marine licences in Welsh offshore waters should be devolved; and  
         e. Wales should have parity with Scotland and Northern Ireland for the proposed Contracts for Difference (CfD) that will replace Renewables Obligations Certificates from 2017 as part of the wider Electricity Market Reform. |
| 16     | On water we recommend:  
         a. powers over sewerage should be devolved to the National Assembly for Wales;  
         b. the boundary for legislative competence for water should be aligned with the national border. We recognise the need for further consideration of the practical implications of alignment, with particular regard for the interests of consumers, and involving the regulator, consumer representatives, water companies and both Governments;  
         c. a formal intergovernmental protocol should be established in relation to cross-border issues; and  
         d. the Secretary of State’s existing legislative and executive powers of intervention in relation to water should be removed in favour of mechanisms under the inter-governmental protocol. |
| 18     | The existing executive responsibilities of Welsh Ministers for marine conservation and licensing in the Welsh inshore area should be extended to the Welsh offshore area. |
| 40     | Welsh Ministers should be able to make building regulations in respect of all buildings in Wales. |
Energy

2.4.2 The Silk Commission recommended that consenting responsibility for energy generation projects below 350MW should be devolved to Wales. This would replace the current fragmented regime for consenting to energy projects in Wales, which has different thresholds on land and at sea, with a single, common threshold that applies to all energy development. The UK Government also believes that consenting responsibility for all electricity generating stations below 350MW should be devolved.

2.4.3 The Commission further recommended that the body responsible for consenting to an energy project should also be responsible for any consents to associated development. Associated consents are currently decided by local planning authorities in Wales. The UK Government agrees that this would further streamline the current system. Associated consents for electricity generating stations below 350MW would continue to be decided in Wales.

2.4.4 The UK Government believes that setting a common consenting threshold of 350MW would provide the Welsh Government with important new tools to help deliver its energy policy and the transition to a low carbon economy. It would provide Welsh Ministers with the powers to more effectively deliver the Welsh Government’s renewable energy targets because most renewable energy generating schemes proposed in Wales would be decided in Wales.

2.4.5 Applications for electricity generating projects at 350MW and above would continue to be decided at the UK level. The Secretary of State would continue to decide on larger renewable and non-renewable energy generating schemes in Wales.

2.4.6 The Secretary of State is responsible for making sure the UK has secure supplies of energy and for the specific areas of policy which help ensure this - including the generation, supply, transmission and distribution of electricity. A threshold of 350MW makes clear the projects which would make a strategic contribution to the Britain’s energy needs, and ensure that major generation projects in Wales are decided from a UK-wide, strategic perspective.

2.4.7 For major projects in Wales that the UK Government remains responsible for there should be a statutory obligation to consult the Welsh Government, and to take into account planning policies in Wales, when consenting to the project.

2.4.8 The UK Government also agrees that responsibility for consents for marine licensing in Welsh offshore waters should also be devolved. The
Welsh Ministers already decide such consents for inshore waters\textsuperscript{19} around Wales.

2.4.9 The Silk Commission recommended that Wales should have parity with Scotland and Northern Ireland for the Contracts for Difference.

\textbf{Box 2: Contracts for Difference}

The Government's Electricity Market Reform (EMR) will deliver the greener energy and reliable supplies that the country needs, at the lowest possible cost. It will transform the UK electricity sector to one where low-carbon can compete with conventional, fossil-fuel generation.

A key aspect of EMR is the transition to Contracts for Difference (CfD), the new support mechanism for low-carbon electricity generation, including renewables, nuclear, and Carbon Capture and Storage. CfDs opened to applicants in mid-2014. From mid-2014 to 31 March 2017 the Renewables Obligation (RO) - the existing support mechanism - and CfD will both be open for applications from new renewable generating capacity. The RO will close to new generating capacity in March 2017.

2.4.10 The UK Government is responsible for CfD policy in Great Britain. CfDs are not devolved to either Scotland or Wales. There is therefore parity in approach between the two nations. CfD policy is however devolved in Northern Ireland. Wales also has parity with mainland Scotland in relation to the strike price for CfD schemes.

2.4.11 The UK Government agrees that Welsh Ministers should be able to make building regulations in respect of all buildings in Wales. Currently Welsh Ministers have the power to make building regulations in relation to most buildings in Wales, but do not have powers to make building regulations in respect of energy infrastructure (so called “excepted energy buildings”\textsuperscript{20}).

Water

2.4.12 The Silk Commission highlighted that water and sewerage issues in England and Wales are complex, particularly in relation to the devolution boundary and cross-border matters. The appointment areas of water and sewerage undertakers do not follow the Wales England border, but instead reflect the river catchments and the existence of water pipes and sewers owned by the undertakers which relate to those catchments.

2.4.13 The Assembly can legislate on some aspects of water and flood defence. It has competence for appointing and regulating water undertakers (water companies) in Wales, but not for those whose area is partly in Wales

\textsuperscript{19} Welsh inshore waters include the sea adjacent to Wales out as far as the seaward boundary of the territorial sea (12 nautical miles).

\textsuperscript{20} As defined in the Welsh Ministers (Transfer of Functions) (No.2) Order 2009.
but mainly in England. It may also legislate to regulate licensed water suppliers operating in those undertakers’ areas on the same basis, but does not have competence for licensing any of the licensees. In contrast, most executive functions are devolved, and are exercised by Welsh Ministers on a “wholly or mainly” basis.

2.4.14 The Silk Commission concluded that the current devolution boundary had anomalous effects: Parliament has legislative authority over water undertakers in some parts of Wales but not others, and Welsh Ministers have executive competence over some areas of England served by water undertakers mainly in Wales. Customers of these undertakers in England have no representative voice in the Assembly or the Welsh Government.

2.4.15 The Silk Commission recommended aligning the boundary for legislative competence for water with the national border. In practice, this would mean removing the powers of Parliament in respect of Severn Trent Water’s area in Wales. The Commission recognised that this would be complex and noted the need for further work on the practical implications.

2.4.16 The UK Government is clear that any change to the current devolution boundary for water should be with the interests of consumers of water and sewerage services on both sides of the border in mind. We have decided therefore to establish a Joint Governments Review Programme to examine the implications of aligning the legislative competence for water with the national border, with a view to implementing the recommendation if it is reasonably achievable to do so.

2.4.17 The Programme will be chaired and managed jointly by the UK Government and the Welsh Government, who will seek the involvement of representatives of the water and sewerage industries and their regulators (including OFWAT, the Environment Agency, Natural Resources Wales and the Chief Inspector of Drinking Water), consumer representatives and any other relevant stakeholders. The Programme remit will be to investigate the likely effects that implementing the recommendation would have on the efficient delivery of water and sewerage services, consumers and the water undertakers themselves.

2.4.18 We aim to establish the Programme as soon as possible, with a meeting planned in March to agree terms of reference. Further detailed planning will then determine the timeframe for reporting its findings.

2.4.19 The UK Government agrees with the consensus reached that powers over sewerage should be devolved. This is on the basis of the current “wholly or mainly” devolution boundary pending the outcome of the Programme’s work.

---

21 The catchment of Severn Trent Water in mid Wales.
22 Those areas of England served by Dwr Cymru / Welsh Water and Dee Valley Water.
2.4.20 The Silk Commission’s recommendations for a formal inter-governmental protocol on water in relation to cross-border issues, and to remove the Secretary of State’s powers of intervention in favour of mechanisms under the protocol, should be considered in taking forward the findings of the Programme.

Marine Conservation

2.4.21 The UK Government agrees that Welsh Ministers’ existing responsibilities for marine conservation and licensing in the Welsh inshore area should be extended to the offshore area. Welsh Ministers are the marine planning authority for both the Welsh inshore and offshore areas and this change would ensure that they are responsible for marine planning, marine conservation and fisheries in the same maritime area.

2.5 Transport

2.5.1 There was consensus to accept the Silk Commission’s recommendations set out below. There was no consensus to take forward the recommendations to devolve the funding of Network Rail in relation to the Wales network (recommendation 12c) or to devolve drink drive limits (a further aspect of recommendation 12d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>The following should be devolved:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. port development, including harbour orders and the oversight of Trust ports;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. the Wales and Border rail franchise;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. speed limits;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. bus regulation, including the relevant functions of the Traffic Commissioner; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. taxi regulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>While responsibility for inter-city cross-border rail franchises (Great Western, CrossCountry and Virgin Trains) should remain non-devolved, the Welsh Government should have a greater role in the consultation process for appointing a new franchise operator for these routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>There should be close coordination between the two Governments to ensure good quality cross-border routes. Matters to be considered should include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. improvement of the Trans-European Network along the M4 and the A55 corridors;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. the future of the Severn Crossings tolls; and;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. roads that straddle the border, including a formal process for decisions on proposed route improvements on either side of the border that takes full account of the strategic importance of the route for Wales.

Ports

2.5.2 In terms of port development, the Government agrees that the devolution of ports policy fits well with the existing responsibilities of the Assembly and the Welsh Government in areas such as economic development, transport and tourism. Ports policy is currently not devolved, except for small harbours used mainly for the fishing industry and for leisure.

2.5.3 The devolution of ports policy would enable the Welsh Government to consider the development of Welsh ports as part of its wider strategies for economic growth and ensure port development was fully integrated into plans to improve Wales’s transport infrastructure.

2.5.4 Trust ports are independent statutory bodies, each governed by their own unique statutes and controlled by a local independent board. There are no shareholders or owners and any surplus is reinvested into each port for the benefit of its stakeholders. The Secretary of State for Transport appoints the chairs, and in some cases non-executive members, to the boards of 5 trust ports considered to be nationally significant, including Milford Haven in Wales.

2.5.5 The UK Government is currently carrying out a study of trust ports, focussing on the largest trust ports in England and Wales. The study is examining the effectiveness of the current trust port model, and will produce options for change if needed. The devolution of trust ports in Wales would be taken forward in light of the study’s recommendations.

Rail

2.5.6 The Silk Commission recommended that the Welsh Ministers should become the franchising authority in respect of the Wales and the Borders rail franchise. The Government is already implementing this recommendation.

2.5.7 The Prime Minister announced on 21 November 2014 that the UK Government had reached an agreement with the Welsh Government to ensure both the Valley Lines Electrification and Great Western Mainline Electrification schemes can proceed. As part of this announcement he also confirmed that the UK Government will devolve the Wales and Borders franchise.

23 The Trust ports in Wales are Caernarfon, Milford Haven, Neath, Newport (Usk) and Saundersfoot. The remaining ports in Wales are privately run, principally by Associated British Ports and Stena Line or owned by local authorities.
2.5.8 The Welsh Government will have full responsibility for specifying and procuring a rail franchise to deliver services after the existing Wales and Borders franchise expires in 2018. The Department for Transport (DfT) is already working closely with the Welsh Government to ensure that Welsh Ministers are solely responsible for letting and managing the new franchise (see Box 3).

2.5.9 The UK Government agrees that the Welsh Government should be fully consulted on the specification of inter-city franchises to and from Wales. Train services which start, end or stop at stations in Wales, are designated ‘Welsh Services’ and the Secretary of State for Transport has a duty to consult the Welsh Government on them. There is regular and ongoing engagement between the Government and the Welsh Government, and as much information as possible is shared.

2.5.10 The Government will review how it consults on these services to determine whether there is a need to strengthen the arrangements for engaging with the Welsh Government.

**Box 3: Devolution of the Wales and Borders Franchise**

The UK Government is devolving executive franchising functions to the Welsh Government, to enable them to lead on the procurement and management of the next Wales and Borders franchise.

The Welsh Government will therefore make a final decision on arrangements for the next franchise. We continue to work with the Welsh Government on the details of the devolved franchise, including how cross-border routes are procured and managed. Cross-border routes are vital for passengers on both sides of the border and having sufficient safeguards in place to protect all cross-border rail users is extremely important. In order for the Secretary of State for Transport to ensure proper accountability, it is likely that services primarily serving English markets will be placed into other franchises for which the Secretary of State for Transport is the franchising authority.

**Road Transport**

2.5.11 The UK Government agrees that speed limits should be devolved to Wales, but existing powers to designate the vehicle purposes able to exceed speed limits (such as emergency vehicles attending incidents) would remain reserved.

2.5.12 The UK Government also agrees that the power to regulate buses (in respect to bus service registration) and taxis in Wales should be devolved. Both can be considered to be local services, and enabling the Assembly to decide the regulatory framework in Wales would complement existing devolved powers over transport policies.
2.5.13 The legislative framework for registering local bus services is not currently devolved, but other aspects of bus policy are, including the regulation of concessionary fares and the ability to provide subsidies.

2.5.14 The Traffic Commissioner for Wales is currently responsible for overseeing the registration of local bus services in Wales. Devolving bus service registration would allow the Assembly to legislate to determine how this function should be carried out in future.

2.5.15 The Commissioner would continue to undertake their remaining reserved functions in relation to Wales (including licensing the operators of buses). The UK Government also undertakes to consult the Welsh Government in respect of future appointments to the post of Traffic Commissioner for Wales.

2.5.16 The UK Government also agrees with the consensus that the registration of taxis and private hire vehicles in Wales should be devolved. Currently, the Welsh Government is responsible for confirming byelaws applying to taxis and private hire vehicles made by Welsh local authorities. Those authorities set their own policies and standards for licensing taxis and private hire vehicles, informed by best practice guidance published by the DfT.

2.5.17 The UK Government agrees that there should be close coordination to ensure good quality cross-border routes. In addition to the two Trans-European Network (TEN-T) roads in Wales (the M4 and A55), there are four routes on the Strategic Road Network that cross the border between England and Wales.

2.5.18 The Highways Agency has completed a series of route strategies to inform the Investment Plan included in the Government’s first Road Investment Strategy, which was published in December 2014. In developing the route strategies, the Highways Agency sought input from the Welsh Government on its transport priorities and took account of other factors such as access to strategic ports and major development sites.

2.5.19 The UK Government works closely with the Welsh Government to ensure that it is aware of any opportunities to bid for funds from the TEN-T programme. Cross-border roads are also the subject of regular discussions between transport ministers in both Governments.

2.5.20 The Severn Crossings are used by more than 25 million vehicles each year and are critically important transport arteries for Wales. The level of tolls was set by the Severn Bridges Act 1992, which provides for tolling to continue until the concessionaire has recovered its costs. It also provides for the recovery of additional costs including Government debt and maintenance costs through tolls.

---

24 The Traffic Commissioner for Wales is also the Traffic Commissioner for the West Midlands.
25 These are the A40, A458, A483 and the A5.
2.5.21 Based on current predictions, the Severn Crossings will return to public ownership in 2018, and the Government committed in its Road Investment Strategy (RIS) to work with the Welsh Government and others to determine the long-term future of the Crossings. Any future plans should both ensure the long-term maintenance of the bridges and provide the best support to the local economies in Wales and England.

2.6 Health

2.6.1 There was consensus to accept the Silk Commission’s recommendations on health. On recommendation 37b, in relation to individual health protocols, NHS bodies along the border can already agree arrangements amongst themselves where they wish to do so.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>There should be no change to the devolution settlement in relation to health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>There should be equitable cross-border access for patients and a strategic approach to joint delivery of health services. This should be delivered through:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. regular and frequent review by the Welsh Intergovernmental Committee of the UK Government and Welsh Government protocol on cross-border healthcare;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. individual protocols developed between each border Local Health Board in Wales and neighbouring NHS Trusts in England; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. a cooperative and coherent approach to joint delivery of health services, particularly highly specialist facilities, and joint efficiency savings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6.2 The UK Government considers equitable cross-border access to healthcare essential. To a large extent, Wales and England meet their own healthcare needs but from time to time their residents need to access services in the other country and the terms on which that happens need to be clearly understood.

2.6.3 The protocol on cross-border healthcare deals, for the most part, with the specific topic of which body should commission secondary care for residents of one country registered with a GP practice in the other country\(^{26}\). The UK Government has put on record its concern that the arrangements currently made by the protocol do not respect the facts that English NHS bodies are responsible for commissioning secondary care for

---

\(^{26}\) Around 15,000 Welsh residents and 20,000 English residents are affected.
English residents and that English residents have certain rights under the NHS Constitution in relation to secondary care (such as the right to choice of provider).

2.6.4 The Department of Health, NHS England and the Welsh Government are reviewing the protocol to ensure that future arrangements meet the needs of people and health services on both sides of the border. These future arrangements will not necessarily take the form of a protocol, but the UK Government is clear that they must ensure that the rights of English residents are respected.

2.6.5 More broadly, the Silk Commission noted the importance of a cooperative and coherent approach to the joint delivery of health services, and the UK Government commits to continuing the cooperative approach that ensures that the NHS in Wales and the NHS in England can work together on specific national initiatives or more locally.

2.6.6 It is important that bodies on both sides of the border are committed to this approach. Changes to services on one side of the border, whether due to the temporary disruption to services\textsuperscript{27} or longer-term service configuration, can have an important impact on the other side of the border. Both are issues that local bodies need to address together.

2.6.7 The UK Government will also consider any recommendations made by the Welsh Affairs Select Committee following its inquiry into cross-border healthcare.

2.7 Employment and Skills

2.7.1 There was consensus to accept the Silk Commission recommendations 9 and 38. In reaching consensus on Recommendation 9, it was recognised that the recommendation is already being taken forward by the inter-governmental Access to Employment Working Group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission's Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The UK and Welsh Governments should provide a clearer and better-coordinated approach to employment and training policies. This should include considerations of the role of the Welsh Government in the administration of Department for Work and Pensions employment programmes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>The social security system in Wales should remain non-devolved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{27} For example, a hospital not accepting A & E patients.
2.7.2 Employment and training is a vitally important area where the boundary between devolved and non-devolved services needs to be managed effectively.

2.7.3 Employment matters in Wales are not devolved, and are the responsibility of the UK Government. Helping people to find, and stay in, work is a key part of our long-term economic plan. Jobcentre Plus, the Work Programme and the effective operation of the UK benefit system have an important role to play in ensuring high levels of employment in Wales.

2.7.4 The Welsh Government is responsible for developing skills in Wales, and Welsh Ministers have powers (concurrently with UK Ministers) to organise training in Wales with the aim of supporting particular groups. This includes programmes to help people without jobs to develop their skills in order to find work.

2.7.5 The Silk Commission highlighted the need for better co-ordination between the two Governments on employment programmes in order to manage the boundary between devolved and non-devolved services effectively. In particular, there should be close coordination between the UK Government’s Department for Work and Pensions and Jobcentre Plus, and the Welsh Government, to ensure employment programmes are delivering the right opportunities for people in Wales.

2.7.6 The UK Government agrees fully with the consensus reached. We established the Access to Employment Working Group in February 2013, bringing together the two Governments and their representative bodies to ensure employment and training programmes in Wales are effectively co-ordinated. The Group has developed a better mutual understanding of the specific support offered by the DWP Work Programme in Wales and programmes of support offered to job seekers by the Welsh Government, funded by European structural funds.

2.7.7 The extent to which structural funds provide funding in Wales is very different to England. There has therefore been regular engagement between the two Governments and the Welsh European Funding Office to explore how a more comprehensive service can be offered to job seekers on the Work Programme in Wales, taking into account differences in provision.

2.7.8 The Working Group is preparing its final recommendations, and it is anticipated that these will focus on ways in which closer coordination between the two Governments can continue to improve access to employment and skills provision for job seekers in Wales.
2.8 Broadcasting

2.8.1 There was consensus to accept the Silk Commission recommendations set out below. There was no consensus to accept recommendation 21 on S4C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>The regulation of broadcasting should remain the responsibility of the UK Government.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 20     | On the BBC, we recommend:  
|        | a. the creation of a devolved governance body within the UK Trust framework with powers to provide oversight and scrutiny of BBC outputs in Wales;  
|        | b. the appointment of the representative of Wales to overall BBC governance body should be by formal agreement between the Welsh and UK Governments. |
| 22     | The interests of Wales should be represented on the Ofcom board through a board member with specific responsibility for representing Wales. |
| 23     | Public service broadcasters of specific content to Wales should provide an annual report on performance to the National Assembly for Wales, including more transparent data on trends in Welsh broadcasting output. |

2.8.2 The Silk Commission concluded that there was no case to devolve the regulation of broadcasting given the UK-wide nature of the broadcasting market. The Commission considered however that the Assembly and the Welsh Government should have an enhanced role to improve the accountability of broadcasters in Wales.

2.8.3 The UK Government agrees that broadcasting should be regulated at the UK-level given the important role broadcasters play in the cultural life of the United Kingdom and the scale at which the sector operates in the digital age. But we also recognise the need for broadcasting to reflect the different national and regional identities within the UK, including in Wales, the key role that Welsh language broadcasting plays in sustaining and developing the use of the language.

2.8.4 The UK Government welcomes the consensus relating to BBC governance, but notes that any decisions on changes to the governance structures of the BBC should be considered as part of the forthcoming review of the BBC Charter. The Government has said the Charter Review will not start until after the 2015 General Election.

28 The BBC is established by Royal Charter. The Charter sets out how the BBC is constituted, its purposes and the respective roles of the BBC Trust and the Executive Board. The current Royal Charter expires on 31 December 2016.
2.8.5 Ofcom is the UK-wide independent communications regulator overseeing television, radio, telecoms, mobiles, postal services and the airwaves. It has an office in Wales and an Advisory Committee for Wales to take account of views from Wales in developing its policies and carrying out its work.

2.8.6 The UK Government agrees that the interests of Wales in developing Ofcom policies could be strengthened further. This should be done by conferring a power on Welsh Ministers to appoint one member of the Ofcom board who is capable of representing the interests of Wales. The Welsh Ministers would be required to consult the Secretary of State before making the appointment. This would help ensure the board continues to function effectively as a whole.

2.8.7 The appointment would be subject to the existing regulatory framework for appointments to the boards of public bodies, which is set out in the Code of Practice issued by the Commissioner for Public Appointments. The appointee would have the same UK-wide responsibilities as other non-executive members of Ofcom.

2.8.8 In view of Welsh Ministers' new role, Ofcom should be required to send a copy of its annual report to them (to be laid in the Assembly) and the Comptroller and Auditor General would be required to send a copy of Ofcom’s statement of accounts to Welsh Ministers (again, to be laid in the Assembly). These arrangements mirror those that will be made in Scotland in relation to the Ofcom board as a result of the Smith Commission Agreement.

2.8.9 There was consensus to accept the principle of Silk Commission Recommendation 23 - that public service broadcasters of specific content to Wales should provide an annual report on performance to the Assembly - but there was also a concern that accepting the recommendation would place an additional and onerous burden on broadcasters to produce a specific annual report for the Assembly.

2.8.10 The UK Government shares that concern, but we recognise the legitimate interest of the Assembly in the Welsh content of public service broadcasters. We would therefore seek a commitment from the BBC and S4C that they should send their annual reports and accounts for laying in the Assembly.
2.9 Justice

2.9.1 Consensus was reached on the following Silk Commission recommendations on justice:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission's Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 27     | There should be further administrative devolution in the court system, including by the following means:  
         | a. the various divisions of the High Court should sit in Wales on a regular basis to hear cases that arise in Wales, other than highly specialist cases;  
         | c. the divisions of the Appeal Court should continue to sit in Wales on a regular basis to hear cases that arise in Wales; and  
         | d. High Court and Appeal Court judges should be allocated to sit in Wales only if they satisfy the Lord Chief Justice that they understand the distinct requirements of Wales. |
| 29     | There should be at least one judge on the United Kingdom Supreme Court with particular knowledge and understanding of the distinct requirements of Wales. |
| 30     | Welsh Ministers should continue to have competence on tribunals in devolved areas of policy; there should be clarity and coherence in the relationship between devolved and non-devolved tribunals; the process of appointment, training and terms and conditions of employment should be consistent; and tribunals should be seen to be independent of government. |
| 31     | Until and unless legal aid is devolved, the UK Government should fully consult the Welsh Government and other key stakeholders to ensure that the operation of the legal aid system reflects Welsh circumstances. |
| 35     | A Welsh Criminal Justice Board, bringing together both Governments and their relevant agencies, should be created. |
| 44     | The two Governments should work together to reduce the complexity of the present family welfare system. This should be based on the principle that the National Assembly should be able to legislate in relation to the powers and responsibilities of public authorities in connection with vulnerable adults and children. |

2.9.2 Justice is not a devolved subject, but the Welsh Government plays an important role in supporting the delivery of justice services in Wales. There is a good deal of cooperation and good practice between devolved and non-devolved bodies in Wales to ensure that those using the justice system encounter a seamless process.

---

29 Some tribunals within the wider justice system are devolved.
2.9.3 The family welfare system is a part of the justice system where the responsibilities of the two Governments interact closely, given the Assembly’s legislative competence for social welfare (including vulnerable adults and children). The UK Government agrees that this system should operate in a way that minimises complexity. We will continue to work with the Welsh Government to ensure this is the case.

2.9.4 We agree that effective consultation is an important part of the two Governments working together effectively on justice matters. In particular, we are committed to engaging with the Welsh Government at official and, where appropriate, Ministerial level, when bringing forward proposals on any legal aid matters with a particular Welsh dimension.

2.9.5 Clear definition of the roles of devolved and non-devolved tribunals is also important. We will explore with the Welsh Government whether there are any areas in which the roles of the two Governments could be made clearer.

2.9.6 The All Wales Criminal Justice Board was established in 2011. It brings together those UK Government departments and agencies involved in justice delivery in Wales30, and the Welsh Government, to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of criminal justice services in Wales. The UK Government will continue to liaise with the Welsh Government on the effective operation of the Board.

2.9.7 Wales benefits from the large pool of legal expertise and experience which being part of a single England and Wales legal jurisdiction brings. Sittings of all divisions of the high court and the Court of Appeal take place regularly in Wales. There is also a clear understanding and agreement that Welsh Administrative Court cases will be heard in Wales whenever possible. We agree that this should continue.

2.9.8 Turning to the Commission’s recommendation about representation on the UK Supreme Court, the bulk of the law which applies to Wales is law which applies to both England and Wales. As a body of distinct Welsh law develops, and vacancies arise at the Court, thought will be given to how best to ensure that Supreme Court Justices continue to have knowledge of, and experience of practice in, the law of each part of the United Kingdom.

2.9.9 The allocation of judges to Supreme Court cases is a matter for the judiciary. The President of the Court has said that where a case involves an appeal relating to Welsh devolved issues, the Supreme Court will, if possible, include a judge who has specifically Welsh experience and knowledge. Accordingly the Lord Chief Justice has been invited to sit as an Acting Judge on the last two references about legislation passed by the Assembly.

---

30 These include representatives from the Police, Her Majesty’s Court and Tribunals Service (HMCTS), Youth Justice Board, Crown Prosecution Service, National Probation Service, National Offender Management Service (NOMS) and Legal Aid Agency.
2.9.10 The Law Commission is separately considering how access to the law in devolved areas can be improved as the Silk Commission recommended, and the UK Government supports this aim.

2.9.11 There was consensus around the Commission’s recommendation that the High Court and Appeal Court judges should be allowed to sit in Wales only if they satisfy the Lord Chief Justice that they understand the distinct requirements of Wales. We support the principle of this, and will continue to discuss with the Lord Chief Justice how it could be implemented.

2.9.12 Following discussion with the Lord Chief Justice, the Judicial Appointments Commission (JAC) included an understanding of the specific needs of Wales as a criterion in a recent selection exercise for judicial appointments. The Lord Chief Justice is exploring with the JAC and the Ministry of Justice how a similar requirement might be implemented and made compulsory for future appointments to the judiciary in Wales, where it is appropriate.

2.9.13 We will also continue to work with the judiciary and delivery arms of the justice system in Wales to ensure that it is properly equipped to deal with the integration of Assembly legislation, including through judicial training and advice for court staff.
2.10 Welsh Language

2.10.1 There was consensus to accept the following Silk Commission recommendation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>The UK Government and Welsh Government should systematically assess and keep under review the way in which the Welsh language is used across government, in particular with a view to amending any United Kingdom legislation that does not give equal status to the Welsh language in Wales.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.10.2 The UK Government is fully committed to the Welsh language and to providing Government services in the Welsh language. We believe that it is vitally important to deliver our services in the Welsh language where there is demand for them. Welsh speakers can already access a number of UK Government services through the medium of Welsh, including registering to vote, applying for carer’s allowance and booking a practical driving test.

2.10.3 The Welsh Language Act 1993\(^{31}\) established the principle that the Welsh and English languages should be treated on the basis of equality, as far as is appropriate and reasonably practicable, within the conduct of public business and the administration of justice in Wales.

2.10.4 Welsh language schemes are the basis for UK Government Departments’ delivery of Welsh language services. The adoption of schemes by Government departments has done a great deal to expand the use of Welsh in the provision of UK Government services, and has greatly increased opportunities to transact with the UK Government and to access key services through the medium of Welsh.

2.10.5 The UK Government is committed to developing and enhancing its Welsh language provision by ensuring a more rigorous application of Welsh language schemes under the 1993 Act.

2.10.6 The Wales Office is currently undertaking a review of Government services provided in Welsh to determine how they can better meet the needs of Welsh speakers. The findings of this review will help inform how the future provision of Welsh language services can be improved.

2.10.7 The UK Government further accepts the principle that legislation which does not give equal status to the Welsh language in Wales should be amended. We agree that enabling the public to use their preferred language, be that English or Welsh, is a matter of good practice.

---

\(^{31}\) Welsh language is now a devolved subject, and the Assembly’s Welsh Language (Wales) Measure 2011 makes provision about promoting and facilitating the use of the Welsh language, and for treating the Welsh language no less favourably than the English language.
2.10.8 Concerns have been expressed about the registration in Welsh of births, marriages and deaths. The UK Government is committed to a programme of civil registration reform in the next Parliament. As part of this wider reform we will seek to ensure that forms relating to important life-events and civic duties can be completed in Welsh.

2.10.9 The Welsh language is a devolved subject, but the Wales Office leads on Welsh language matters within the UK Government. It will continue to support other Departments in delivering their Welsh language services, and proactively seek opportunities to raise the profile of the Welsh language across Government.

2.11 Other Recommendations

2.11.1 There was consensus to accept the following Silk Commission recommendations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Silk Commission’s Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>The two Governments should improve the collection of Welsh economic data and economic modelling capacity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 17c & d| On the Crown Estate we recommend:  
|       | c. the existing memorandum between the Crown Estate and Welsh Government should be published and regularly updated; and  
|       | d. emphasis should be given by the Crown Estate to the Welsh supply chain, especially in developing offshore energy in Wales. |
| R. 41  | The two Governments should ensure that there is a clear understanding of their respective roles in relation to civil contingencies and emergencies. There should be an agreed transfer of executive powers if that is necessary to ensure resilience. |
| R.43   | On equal opportunities, we recommend that legislative competence should be devolved in respect of specific equality duties for the Welsh devolved public sector. |
| R.48   | The First Minister should be able to make a recommendation for a Lord Lieutenancy directly to the Prime Minister. |
| R. 57  | The Welsh Government should continue to be supported by civil servants who are members of the Home Civil Service; secondments should be encouraged and facilitated; and there should be increased flexibility for the Welsh Government to manage staff. |
| R. 58  | The two Governments should seek to develop the capacity of the Welsh public sector (both devolved and non-devolved) to deliver |
more efficient and better-integrated public services and economic growth.

R. 59 The capacity of Whitehall Departments for dealing with Welsh matters should be strengthened, and Departments should be clearer about the extent of their responsibilities for the different parts of the United Kingdom; and devolution coordinators’ and champions’ roles and contact details should be publicly available.

Economic Data and Modelling Capacity

2.11.2 Building on the UK Government’s response to the Silk Commission’s first report, the Office for National Statistics is already leading a working group across all four administrations to consider what economic data is needed both to comply with statutory reporting and to support further devolution. It is for the Welsh Government to consider its economic modelling capacity, in particular whether this will need to increase with the devolution of further tax powers.

Crown Estate

2.11.3 The Crown Estate is a commercial organisation, tasked by Parliament with enhancing the value of the £9.4 billion property portfolio it manages and generating a profit for the benefit of the nation.

2.11.4 In 2013/14, the Crown Estate in Wales generated a gross surplus revenue of £9.4 million, and the Crown Estate regularly invests and reinvests into assets in Wales.

2.11.5 The Crown Estate works closely with devolved services in Wales. It has agreed Memorandums of Understanding with the Welsh Government and Natural Resources Wales. The UK Government has discussed the recommendations with the Crown Estate and agrees that its memorandum with the Welsh Government should be published and updated annually.

2.11.6 The Crown Estate will continue to support the Welsh supply chain, to the extent consistent with applicable laws, as part of its work in helping to ensure the UK remains one of the most attractive markets for investment in offshore renewables and that the UK benefits from the opportunities created by a thriving low carbon economy.

Civil Contingencies and Emergencies

2.11.7 The complex nature of civil contingencies and emergencies, and their potentially unpredictable and wide-ranging consequences, mean that the UK Government and non-devolved bodies, such as the police or the armed forces, must work closely with the Welsh Government and devolved bodies, such as the health service, to ensure an effective response.
2.11.8 The nature of this cooperation clearly depends on the nature of the incident but, in general, if an emergency occurs in a devolved area then it is for the relevant responder, with the Welsh Government, to manage.

2.11.9 A number of Welsh bodies support the response to incidents in Wales, including the Wales Civil Contingencies Committee and the Emergency Co-ordination Centre (Wales) - a facility established by the Welsh Government to gather and disseminate information in Wales on developing emergencies.

2.11.10 There was consensus to accept the Silk Commission recommendation that there be a clear understanding of respective roles in relation to civil contingencies and emergencies. The UK Government agrees with this and will work with the Welsh Government to ensure clarification as part of the move to a reserved powers model.

**Equalities**

2.11.11 The Assembly already has powers over equal opportunities in relation to a wide range of devolved public bodies. Wales is also represented by its own statutory committee within the Equality and Human Rights Commission, chaired by a designated Commissioner. This Committee has powers to advise the Welsh Government about the effect of legislation or changes to legislation on matters that affect Wales.

2.11.12 The UK Government agrees that legislative competence should be devolved to the Assembly in respect of the socio-economic duty, in Part 1 of the Equality Act 2010, for the devolved public sector in Wales. This excludes cross-border public bodies.

**Higher Education and Research**

2.11.13 The Silk Commission recommended that the Research Councils and Technology Strategy Board (now Innovate UK) should ensure that they are aware of Welsh needs, especially in relation to economic development, and designate a council member with relevant expertise to represent the interests of Wales and be a conduit for the exchange of information (Recommendation 46). The Commission noted that Wales has consistently received considerably less funding from Research Councils than its relative population share of the UK.

2.11.14 There is clearly a need for the Research Councils and Innovate UK to ensure that they are aware of the needs of Wales, and indeed of all parts of the UK. But as the Commission itself pointed out, they should continue to allocate funding on the basis of competitive excellence.

2.11.15 There was consensus that the issue needed to be addressed though there was no consensus to accept the recommendation. The UK
Government also does not believe that designating members of these bodies to represent the interests of Wales provides the right solution to the issue. Higher education is a devolved subject, and we invite the Welsh Government to consider how higher education institutions in Wales can gain a greater proportion of UK-wide research funding through ensuring excellence in the proposals they put forward.

**Lord Lieutenancies**

2.11.16 The UK Government agrees that it is sensible for the First Minister to recommend the appointment of Lord Lieutenants in Wales directly to the Prime Minister (who in turn makes a recommendation to Her Majesty the Queen). Currently, the First Minister informs the Secretary of State for Wales of the name of an individual for appointment as a Lord Lieutenant for recommendation by the Secretary of State to the Prime Minister. This change aligns the process for appointing Lord Lieutenants in Wales with that for Scotland.

**Government Capability and Efficiency**

2.11.17 The UK Government agrees that the Welsh Government should continue to be supported by staff who are members of the Home Civil Service. There are significant benefits in Welsh Government staff sharing the same ethos, values and apolitical status of the Home Civil Service. It also helps in the secondment of staff between the two governments, and further interchange should be encouraged.

2.11.18 The UK Government is committed to delivering non-devolved public services as efficiently as possible in Wales and across the UK. We will also continue to work closely with the Welsh Government and its devolved public services in the many areas where non-devolved and devolved public services in Wales need to work together seamlessly.

2.11.19 The Cabinet Office leads on raising awareness of devolution within the UK Government. It is currently reviewing how awareness raising takes place in UK Government Departments, with a view to improving the understanding of devolution across Whitehall. This review will continue to consider how the capacity of Departments for dealing with Welsh matters could be strengthened.

**2.12 Costs**

2.12.1 Prior to any devolution of functions, the funding to be transferred to the Welsh Government from existing UK Government departmental budgets will need to be agreed, in line with established Machinery of Government principles. HM Treasury’s Statement of Funding Policy sets out the approach for dealing with additional costs.
Chapter 3: The Smith Commission Agreement

An Enduring Settlement for Wales

3.1 This Command Paper takes forward most of the recommendations in the Silk Commission’s second report. It will mean a stronger, clearer, more robust and lasting devolution settlement for Wales based on a reserved powers model. These changes, and the significant fiscal powers that are being devolved to Wales, will result in an Assembly with increased powers, based on solid foundations, and being more accountable to the electorate in Wales.

3.2 The Wales Act 2014 will devolve a significant package of tax and borrowing powers to the Assembly and Welsh Ministers, implementing almost all the recommendations in the Silk Commission’s first report. It enables the Assembly to trigger a referendum on the devolution to Wales of an element of income tax, and devolves landfill tax and stamp duty land tax. This is a package tailored for Wales and the specific circumstances of Welsh devolution. It is the UK Government’s view that the Welsh Government should entrench these advantages by calling an early referendum on the devolution of income tax powers.\(^{32}\)

3.3 Alongside this, people in Wales continue to benefit from being part of a strong United Kingdom with, for example, our single currency, single market and unified pensions system.

3.4 Taken together, these changes are a step-change in Welsh devolution. But there may be scope for further changes, arising from the Smith Commission Agreement on further devolution to Scotland. The UK Government believes that the Smith Commission Agreement can help inform, but not determine, the debate in Wales. We therefore committed, as part of the St David’s Day process, to examine the Smith Commission’s recommendations and decide which warrant consideration and analysis for Wales.

3.5 We have borne in mind the recent developments in devolution in Wales in looking at the Smith Commission Agreement, in particular the fiscal devolution provided for in the Wales Act 2014. Like the provisions for Wales in that Act, the Smith package similarly has been tailored to reflect the particular circumstances in Scotland.

The Smith Commission

3.6 Following the Scottish Independence Referendum on 18 September 2014, the Prime Minister asked Lord Smith of Kelvin to convene all five of Scotland’s main political parties in discussions aimed at reaching an

---

\(^{32}\) Section 13 of the Wales Act 2014 enables the Assembly to trigger a referendum on the devolution of some income tax.
agreement on further devolution to Scotland. The Smith Commission Agreement was published on 27 November 2014, and provides a significant and cohesive package of new powers for the Scottish Parliament.

3.7 The UK Government published a Command Paper, including draft clauses responding to the Agreement, on 22 January. These clauses make it possible for the Agreement to be translated quickly into law at the beginning of the next Parliament.

**Smith Commission Agreement and Wales**

3.8 Careful thought will need to be given before a decision is reached on which non-fiscal elements of the Smith Commission Agreement might be appropriate for Wales. The fiscal elements of the Government’s package for Wales are discussed in the next chapter. What is right for further devolution to Scotland is not necessarily right for further devolution to Wales. Indeed, all three devolved nations - Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland - have different devolved settlements, reflecting the different history, geography, culture and institutional arrangements between them.

3.9 There was consensus, however, that Wales would benefit from a similar devolution of powers to those recommended by the Smith Commission in relation to the operation of the Scottish Parliament and Scottish Government, including the devolution of elections to the Scottish Parliament. The UK Government agrees that these proposals should be implemented for the Assembly, as set out in Chapter 2.

3.10 The Smith Agreement included a recommendation to devolve to the Scottish Parliament the licensing of onshore oil and gas extraction underlying Scotland. The Government published a draft clause to this effect in its response to the Agreement. The clause will devolve to Scottish Ministers the current regime for the licensing of exploration and extraction of oil and gas. The licensing of offshore oil and gas extraction, and all aspects of the taxation of oil and gas receipts, will remain reserved.

3.11 The political discussions demonstrated strong consensus for the same powers to be devolved to Wales. The UK Government agrees with the consensus that the licensing of onshore oil and gas extraction in Wales should be devolved. These powers would complement the Assembly’s existing planning powers, and would allow the Welsh Ministers to take strategic view of future licensing in Wales.

3.12 Further work will be required to determine whether implementing the other non-fiscal parts of the Smith Agreement for Wales would be in the best

---

interests of Wales and of the United Kingdom as a whole. There would need to be clarity about the purpose for which a power was being devolved. Consideration and analysis of relevant Smith recommendations in the Welsh context should be undertaken over the coming months to enable decisions to be taken early in the next Parliament on which might be implemented for Wales.

3.13 Some Smith recommendations will not be considered: for example those which have already been examined as part of the Silk II process (such as speed limits and The Crown Estate) and those where differences between the Welsh and Scottish devolution settlements would make implementation impractical (such as devolving the management and operation of reserved tribunals).
Chapter 4: Funding and Fiscal Devolution in Wales

4.1 In addition to a reserved powers framework, the Silk II recommendations, and Smith’s non-fiscal recommendations for Scotland, the UK Government has also considered:

- which of Smith’s recommendations for fiscal devolution in Scotland\(^{35}\) might warrant further analysis and consideration in the Welsh context; and
- funding arrangements in Wales.

4.2 It is worth noting that this Government has already delivered a significant programme of fiscal devolution in Wales and committed to big investments in the Welsh economy since coming to power in 2010. In doing so, the Government has shown that when further fiscal devolution is the right thing to do for Wales, within a strong UK, it will deliver.

4.3 The Wales Act 2014 gained Royal Assent last December. Amongst a range of other non-fiscal measures, it provides that a referendum can be held to give Welsh Ministers the power to vary income tax, and gives the Welsh Government control of stamp duty land tax and landfill tax, plus new borrowing powers. In advance of those new powers coming online, the Welsh Government will also have early access to existing limited borrowing powers to use for M4 improvements.

4.4 Alongside this legislation, the UK and Welsh Governments recently agreed the arrangements to implement the full devolution of business rates from April 2015. While the Welsh Government already has legislative competence in relation to business rates in Wales\(^{36}\), the revenue generated does not directly affect the level of funding available to the Welsh Government. Instead, the Welsh Government’s budget is currently set with reference to spending funded by business rates in England (via the Barnett Formula). Fully devolving business rates, as recommended by the Silk Commission, means that the Welsh Government’s budget will be directly affected by the amount of business rates revenues generated in Wales.

4.5 As a result, the Welsh Government will have another direct lever to support and encourage growth in the Welsh economy and to reap the rewards in doing so. The Assembly will be responsible for 10% of all taxes collected in Wales ahead of a referendum on income tax. The introduction of Welsh Rates of Income Tax would make it responsible for twice as much or approximately

---

\(^{35}\) ‘Fiscal’ in this context includes Smith’s tax and welfare recommendations.

\(^{36}\) Heading 12 in Part 1 of Schedule 7 to GoWA includes “local government finance” in the list of subjects on which the Assembly can legislate. This includes non-domestic (business) rates and council tax.
another £2bn in revenue. The Assembly also controls over half the spending in Wales.\(^3^7\)

4.6 This programme of fiscal reform has been designed for a purpose: to empower the Welsh Government with the tools and levers it needs to deliver more growth and be more accountable to the people of Wales by raising more of the money they spend.

4.7 Alongside this programme, the UK Government has consistently backed the Welsh economy to build a stronger Wales. Since 2010, the UK Government has granted over £1.3bn additional spending power to the Welsh Government. Commitments such as funding the upgrade of the Swansea-London mainline and paying almost half of an estimated £500m to electrify the Valley Lines have also reinforced the UK Government’s support for improving infrastructure in Wales. A new prison will be built in Wrexham, a £212 million pound investment which will support over one thousand jobs, bringing £23 million into the local economy each year. As part of our £1.7 billion package to bring superfast broadband to 95% of homes and businesses across the UK, the UK Government has provided nearly £70 million to the Welsh Government for Superfast Cymru.

4.8 This Government wants to empower the Welsh Government to deliver for the people of Wales. It is time to move the debate forward and encourage the Welsh Government to use the powers it already has, and the powers it is now gaining, to grow its economy.

**Funding**

4.9 The UK Government has engaged with the Welsh Government to discuss options to achieve this outcome. To this end, the UK Government has agreed to introduce a floor in the level of relative funding it provides to the Welsh Government. The precise level of the floor, and the mechanism to deliver it, will be agreed alongside the next Spending Review.

4.10 The UK Government has legislated in response to the recommendations of Silk I to allow for the holding of a referendum on income tax varying powers in Wales, and by ensuring there is no lockstep provision has met the concerns previously expressed by the Welsh Government. In order to bring long term certainty to future funding for Wales, the UK Government believes it is important that the people of Wales have their say. This agreement is therefore in the expectation that the Welsh Government will call a referendum on income tax powers in the next Parliament.

4.11 Funding arrangements beyond the next Parliament will need to take full account of the Welsh Government’s new powers and responsibilities, given

---

\(^3^7\) Figures are for 2013-14 and derived from Public Expenditure Statistical Analyses (PESA), Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs’ publications on the disaggregation of tax receipts, and Welsh Government Statistics. Spending classed as “non-identifiable” in PESA, such as defence, has been allocated using a population share.
the significant impact that tax devolution could have on its funding. The implementation of Welsh rates of income tax, for example, would double the amount of tax revenue controlled by the Assembly. The UK Government will work with the Welsh Government to develop sustainable long-term funding arrangements within a robust fiscal framework that reflects the changes made.

**Bonds**

4.12 The new borrowing powers for the Welsh Government, set out in the Wales Act 2014, will be extended to enable the Welsh Government to issue bonds to borrow for capital expenditure.

**Air Passenger Duty (APD)**

4.13 In the next Parliament, the UK Government will consider the case and options for devolving further powers to the Assembly over APD. In advance of this, there will be a review of potential options to mitigate the impacts of APD devolution on regional airports. As part of this review, a discussion paper will be published by the summer examining the devolution and variation of APD rates within England, and the provision of aid for regional airports including Bristol Airport.
Chapter 5: Looking Ahead

5.1 The outcomes of the St David’s Day process set out in this document provide a blueprint for a clearer and more stable devolution settlement for Wales. The UK Government hopes that their implementation would help bring stability to the devolution settlement in Wales, and enable the devolved institutions in Wales to focus their efforts on delivering economic growth, jobs and more efficient public services.

5.2 The commitments to further devolution in this paper are based on the outcomes of cross-party discussions. This consensus provides a firm foundation for moving forward early in the next Parliament to legislate for a new devolution settlement for Wales, whichever party forms the Government after the General Election on 7 May.

5.3 There is further work to do following publication of this document. The UK Government is working to prepare a reserved powers model based on the illustrative list at Annex B. We intend to discuss the list and, when drafted, the model, with the Welsh Government, the Assembly Commission and other interested parties to seek agreement for implementation early in the next Parliament.

5.4 We also intend to further consider and analyse the recommendations made by the Smith Commission in relation to Scotland to establish whether there is a strong case for implementing any of them for Wales.

5.5 We are aware that the Welsh Government favours devolution in some other areas which were not considered by the Silk Commission. We will give careful consideration to any case made by the Welsh Government for further devolution following the St David’s Day process.

5.6 The UK Government hopes and expects the new devolution settlement for Wales to be in place as early as possible in the new Parliament.
## Silk Recommendations Discussed by Political Parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Accept recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Implement Reserved Powers Model.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Transfer of pre-devolution Minister of the Crown powers to Welsh Ministers.</td>
<td>No consensus on general transfer. Consensus on presumption of consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presumption of consent to Assembly requests in the interim.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Two Governments to identify guidance on good practice on intergovernmental</td>
<td>Recommendations being considered as part of the review of intergovernmental machinery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>relations and areas for development.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Statutory Code of Practice on intergovernmental relations should be provided for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in a new GOWA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>National Audit Office and the Wales Audit Office jointly to audit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intergovernmental relations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Welsh and UK Governments should establish a Welsh Intergovernmental Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Arbitration mechanism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Collaboration between the Devolved Administrations and UKG on comparable</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>economic data and sharing best practice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Clearer and better-coordinated approach to employment and training policies.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>UK and Welsh Governments to take account of each other’s economic policies.</td>
<td>Recommendation being considered as part of the review of intergovernmental machinery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Two Governments to improve collection of Welsh economic data and economic</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>modelling capacity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>Devolve port development.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12c</td>
<td>Devolve funding of Network Rail in relation to the Wales network</td>
<td>No consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12d</td>
<td>Devolve speed limits</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12d</td>
<td>Devolve drink drive limits</td>
<td>No consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12e</td>
<td>Devolve bus regulation, including functions of the Traffic Commissioner</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12f</td>
<td>Devolve taxi regulation.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Welsh Government to have greater role in consultation process for cross-border</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>franchises.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Close coordination between the two Governments on cross-border routes.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>Devolve the responsibility for all energy planning development consents for</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>projects up to 350MW onshore and in Welsh territorial waters.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b</td>
<td>Statutory obligation for UKG to consult WG and take account of Welsh planning</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>policies when granting consents for projects over 350MW.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15c</td>
<td>Associated development consents should be aligned with responsibility for the</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>main project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15d</td>
<td>Responsibility for issuing marine licences in Welsh offshore waters should be</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>devolved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15e</td>
<td>Wales should have parity with Scotland and Northern Ireland for proposed</td>
<td>Consensus (on the basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16a</td>
<td>Powers over sewerage should be devolved to the National Assembly for Wales.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16b</td>
<td>The boundary for legislative competence for water should be aligned with the national border.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus in principle (noting the need for further work on practical implications)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16c</td>
<td>A formal intergovernmental protocol should be established in relation to cross-border water issues.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To be considered as part of further work on R.16b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16d</td>
<td>Remove SoS’s existing legislative and executive intervention powers in relation to water, in favour of intergovernmental mechanisms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17a</td>
<td>Welsh Crown Estate Commissioner, to be appointed in consultation with the Welsh Government.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17b</td>
<td>Crown Estate office should be established in Wales.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17c</td>
<td>Existing memorandum between Crown Estate and WG should be published and regularly updated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17d</td>
<td>Emphasis given by the Crown Estate to the Welsh supply chain, especially in developing offshore energy in Wales.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Extend responsibilities of Welsh Ministers for marine conservation and licensing to Welsh offshore area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>The regulation of broadcasting should remain the responsibility of the UK Government.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20a</td>
<td>Creation of a devolved governance body within the UK Trust framework of BBC with powers to provide oversight and scrutiny of BBC outputs in Wales.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus in principle, subject to BBC Charter Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20b</td>
<td>Appointment of representative of Wales to overall BBC governance body by formal agreement between the Welsh and UK Governments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21a</td>
<td>Devolve responsibility for funding public expenditure element of S4C to Assembly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21b</td>
<td>Appointment of S4C authority members should be agreed with Welsh Government.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Interests of Wales to be represented by member on Ofcom board through a board member with specific responsibility for representing Wales.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Public service broadcasters of specific content to Wales should provide an annual report on performance to the National Assembly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensus in relation to existing annual reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24a</td>
<td>Devolve policing and related areas of community safety and crime prevention.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus to devolve policing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24b</td>
<td>Maintain existing levels of cross-border police cooperation once devolved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24c</td>
<td>Powers in respect of arrest, interrogation and charging of suspects should not be devolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24d</td>
<td>The National Crime Agency should not be devolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24e</td>
<td>Devolution of police pay (but not pensions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24f</td>
<td>Agree charging systems and terms of service provision for the Police College, IPCC and HM Inspectorate of Constabulary, and common services, once policing devolved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Devolution of youth justice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26a</td>
<td>Devolve prison and probation after feasibility study.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No consensus to devolve criminal justice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26b</td>
<td>Formal mechanism for Welsh Ministers to contribute to policy on adult offender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27a</td>
<td>High Court should sit in Wales on regular basis.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27b</td>
<td>High Court office to be established in Wales.</td>
<td>No consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27c</td>
<td>Divisions of Appeal Court to continue to sit in Wales on a regular basis.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27d</td>
<td>High Court and Appeal Court judges to sit in Wales only if the Lord Chief Justice is satisfied they understand requirements of Wales.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Review within ten years of case for devolving court service, sentencing, legal aid, CPS and judiciary</td>
<td>No consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>There should be at least one Judge on Supreme Court with particular knowledge and understanding of Wales.</td>
<td>Consensus (existing legislation can provide for this)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Clarify relationship between devolved and non-devolved tribunals;</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Consult with WG to ensure the operation of the legal aid system reflects Welsh circumstances</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Periodic reports on access to justice, and regular dialogue between the Lord Chief Justice and Welsh Ministers on the administration of justice.</td>
<td>No consensus on periodic reports Consensus on regular dialogue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Create Welsh Criminal Justice Board</td>
<td>Already in operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>No change to devolution settlement relating to health</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37a</td>
<td>Regular review of cross-border health protocol.</td>
<td>Consensus, noting the current review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37b</td>
<td>Individual protocols between each border Local Health Board in Wales and neighbouring NHS Trusts.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37c</td>
<td>Cooperative approach to joint delivery of health services.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Social security system to remain non-devolved.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Two Governments to review the way in which Welsh language used across government, in particular with a view to amending any UK legislation not giving equal status to the Welsh language in Wales.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Welsh Ministers to make building regulations for all buildings in Wales.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Two Governments to ensure clear understanding of civil contingency roles</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Devolution of local government elections</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43a</td>
<td>Devolve competence over equality duties for devolved public sector.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43b</td>
<td>Devolve competence on accountability of Equality and Human Rights Commission in devolved areas.</td>
<td>No consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Two Governments to work together to reduce complexity in the family welfare system</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Formal intergovernmental committee on Higher Education and research.</td>
<td>To be considered as part of the review of intergovernmental machinery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Member with relevant expertise on Research Councils and Technology Strategy Board.</td>
<td>Consensus that the issue needed to be addressed but not to accept the recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Devolution of teachers’ pay and conditions. Responsibility for pensions should remain with the UK Government</td>
<td>No consensus on pay Consensus on pensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>First Minister to make recommendations for Lord Lieutenancies.</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Reason not Considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12b</td>
<td>Devolve the Wales and Border rail franchise.</td>
<td>Agreement to devolve announced 21 November 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Welsh Ministers able to propose projects to Law Commission on a similar basis to UK Government Ministers.</td>
<td>Implemented in Wales Act 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Improved access to legislation in devolved areas through publication of a consolidated body of Welsh primary and secondary legislation.</td>
<td>For the National Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Consider options for increasing capacity in Assembly in short term.</td>
<td>For the National Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51d</td>
<td>Annual financial statement to Assembly should be presented by the Welsh Government not Secretary of State.</td>
<td>Implemented in Wales Act 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54a</td>
<td>Improved cooperation between Assembly and Parliament.</td>
<td>For Assembly and Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54c</td>
<td>Border MPs who wish to raise cross-border issues should be accorded the same courtesies by Welsh Ministers as Assembly Members receive.</td>
<td>For the Welsh Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>House of Lords to ensure adequate consideration of Welsh matters.</td>
<td>For Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Recommendations implemented in a ten year programme of reform</td>
<td>For the next parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61a</td>
<td>Transfers of powers should be accompanied by transfers of funding which have been agreed by the two Governments in each case, and by agreed changes to the Barnett formula comparability factors.</td>
<td>Subject to established machinery of government principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61b</td>
<td>Any additional costs to Welsh Government should be minimised or, if problematic, discussed by two Governments before devolution is agreed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61c</td>
<td>Welsh Government to use any opportunities to increase efficiency which devolution might bring.</td>
<td>For the Welsh Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61d</td>
<td>Stronger focus by two Governments on reducing spending through more efficient public service delivery.</td>
<td>Taken forward in R.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61e</td>
<td>Effect on third parties should be subject to careful impact assessments to ensure costs are minimised during implementation.</td>
<td>Matter for implementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex B

The Areas Where Reservations Would Be Needed: An Illustrative List

This illustrative list sets out the UK Government’s thinking of the main areas in which reservations would be needed in a reserved powers model. Reservations would be needed for areas in which the UK Parliament exercises legislative competence. The list reflects the current devolution settlement, and not any proposals for further devolution set out in this paper. The Assembly has legislative competence over aspects of policy in some of these areas, and in those cases specific exceptions to the reservations would be needed. The list is not exhaustive, and reservations would also be needed in other areas. The areas are not listed in any order of priority.

The areas are:

The Constitution
The Civil Service
Political Parties
Elections and Referendums
Civil Law and Procedure
Criminal Law and Procedure
Foreign Affairs
Defence
Fiscal, Economic and Monetary Policy
The Currency
Financial Services
Financial Markets
Nationality and immigration
Registration of births, marriages, civil partnerships and deaths
Extradition
Firearms
Regulation of scientific procedures on live animals
National security, interception of communications, official secrets and terrorism
Emergency powers
Public order
Policing (including police forces and Police and Crime Commissioners)
Prevention and detection of crime and powers of arrest and detention in connection with crime or criminal proceedings
Criminal records
Money Laundering
Private security industry
Riot damages
Anti-social behaviour
Regulation of CCTV and other surveillance camera technology
Modern slavery
Licensing of the sale and supply of alcohol
Provision of entertainment and late night refreshment
Misuse of and dealing in drugs
Broadcasting and other media
Classification of film and video
Recordings
Betting, gaming and lotteries
Public Lending Right
Government Indemnity Scheme
Property accepted in satisfaction of tax
Safety at sports grounds
Control of dangerous dogs and hunting with dogs
Business Associations
Insolvency and winding up
Competition
Intellectual Property
Regulation of Imports and Exports
Protection of Trading and Economic Interests
The movement of food, animals and plants within the UK
Consumer Protection
Product Standards, Safety and Liability
Weights and Measures
Time
Telecommunications and wireless telegraphy, internet services and electronic encryption
Postal Services
Research Councils
Designation of Assisted Areas
Water, sewerage and marine matters
Non-Energy Minerals
Electricity
Oil and Gas
Coal
Nuclear Energy
Energy Conservation
Transport and transport security
Social Security Schemes
Child Support
Occupational and Personal Pensions (including public service pensions)
Armed Forces Compensation
Regulation of the Professions
Employment and Industrial Relations
Job search and support
Teachers Pay
Sale of Student Loans
Equal Opportunities
Abortion
Xenotransplantation
Embryology, Surrogacy and Genetics
Medicines, Medical Supplies and Poisons, including veterinary products
Welfare Foods
Health and Safety
Development of Land including national infrastructure projects
Land Registration
Land Charges
Ordnance Survey
Lieutenancies
Regulation of the legal profession and legal services
Regulation of claims management
Administration of Justice
Offender Management
Legal Aid
Mental Capacity
Information Rights
Family Law
Inter-Country Adoption
Annex C

An Example of Reservations Under a Reserved Powers Model

This example reflects the current devolution boundary.

1. **Road transport**
   1.1 Registration of local bus services, and the application and enforcement of traffic regulation conditions in relation to those services.
   1.2 Road freight transport services in the United Kingdom (including goods vehicles operator licensing).
   1.3 Regulation of the construction and equipment of motor vehicles and trailers, and regulation of the use of motor vehicles and trailers on roads.
   1.4 Road traffic offences.
   1.5 Driver licensing (including training, testing and certification).
   1.6 Driving instruction.
   1.7 Insurance of motor vehicles.
   1.8 Drivers hours.
   1.9 Traffic regulation on special roads.
   1.10 Pedestrian crossings.
   1.11 Traffic signs.
   1.12 Speed limits.
   1.13 International road transport services for passengers or goods.
   1.14 Public service vehicle operator licensing.
   1.15 Documents relating to vehicles and drivers for the purposes of travel abroad and vehicles brought temporarily into Wales by persons resident outside the United Kingdom.
   1.16 Vehicle excise duty and vehicle registration.
   1.17 Taxi and private hire vehicle licensing.
   1.18 Private hire vehicle operator licensing.
   1.19 The traffic commissioners.
Annex D

Issues to be Considered in moving from a Conferred to a Reserved Powers Model

This checklist sets out what needs to be considered in preparing a reserved powers model for Wales. This is not an exhaustive list.

- What subjects need to be delivered on a UK-wide basis to ensure that citizens throughout the UK are subject to the same obligations and receive the same rights and protections?

- What subjects are listed as conferred in Schedule 7 to GoWA? What are the exceptions to those subjects reserved to the UK Parliament? Does the drafting of the reservation need to take account of developments in these areas since they were first drafted?

- What other subjects are considered to be the sole responsibility of the UK Parliament, even if not listed as an exception in GoWA? (the “silent” subjects)

- What exceptions are needed within the reservations to reflect any overlap between the Assembly’s legislative competence set out in Schedule 7 and subjects which are otherwise reserved?

- Are any “carve-outs” needed from those exceptions to accurately reflect the scope of the reservation?

- What are the Minister of the Crown functions in devolved areas which have not been transferred to Welsh Ministers? Should these be set out in a reservation?

- What statutory evidence is there (in parliamentary and Assembly Acts, and Assembly Measures) to support a subject being reserved or devolved?

- What other evidence is there from each legislature in support of a subject being reserved or devolved?

- How does the interpretation of legal judgements have an impact on the boundary of the devolution settlement?

- How will the interactions between devolved and reserved functions work in reality? Is the boundary coherent and workable?

- Is there reasonable alignment between legislative competence and executive competence in any subject area?

- What are the views of interested parties (including the UK Government, the Welsh Government and the Assembly Commission) about whether a subject should be devolved or reserved?