



Ministry
of Defence

Acting Civilian Secretary
Army Personnel Centre
Mail Point 501
Kentigern House
65 Brown Street
GLASGOW G2 8EX

Ref:

Telephone:

Facsimile :

E-mail:

7 November 2014

Dear

Thank you for your email of 22 October requesting the following information:

- 1. The numbers of Infantry Majors who were promoted to Lt Col in the years 2012, 2013 and 2014.**
- 2. The numbers of Infantry Majors who were considered for promotion to Lt Col in the years 2012, 2013 and 2014.**
- 3. The percentage of Infantry Majors who were promoted to Lt Col in the years 2012, 2013 and 2014, as a percentage of those who were considered in those years.**
- 4. What are the chances of an Reg C Infantry Major becoming a Lt Col if he remains for a full career.**
- 5. The numbers of Infantry Lt Cols who were promoted to Colonel in the years 2012,2013 and 2014.**
- 6. The numbers of Infantry Lt Cols who were considered for promotion to Colonel in the years 2012,2013 and 2014.**
- 7. The percentage of Infantry Lt Cols who were promoted to Colonel in the years 2012,2013 and 2014, as a percentage of those who were considered in those years.**
- 8. What are the chances of an Reg C Infantry Lt Col becoming a Colonel if he remains for a full career.**
- 9. What numbers of Infantry officers applied to convert from IRC to Reg C in the years 2008, 2009 and 2010.**

10. What numbers of Infantry officers were granted a Reg C in the years 2008, 2009 and 2010.

11. What was the percentage of Infantry officers that were granted a Reg C as a percentage of those who applied.

12. What number of Infantry officers who applied for a conversion from IRC to Reg C in the in the years 2008, 2009 and 2010 were graded P3 MND or P7 MND, P3 MLD or P MLD at the time of their application?

13. Of the numbers in question 12, how many were granted a Reg C.

I am treating your correspondence as a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

A search for the information has now been completed within the Ministry of Defence, and I can confirm that some information in scope of your request is held as follows:

1. 2012 – 37
2013 – 41
2014 – 45
2. Please note that officers were graded the year before their substantive promotion took place.
2011 – 266
2012 -262
2013 – 199
3. 2012 - 13.9%
2013 - 15.6%
2014 - 22.6%
4. Information not held
5. 2012 – 25
2013 -20
2014 - 21
6. Please note that officers were graded the year before their substantive promotion took place.
2011 – 160
2012 – 144
2013 – 133
7. 2012 - 15.6%
2013 - 13.8%
2014 - 15.8%
8. Information not held
9. 2008 – 88
2009 – 64

2010 – 214

10. 2008 – 42
2009 – 30
2010 – 98
11. 2008 – 47.7%
2009 - 46.8%
2010 – 45.8%
12. 2008 – Information not held
2009 – Information not held
2010 – 5 or fewer¹
13. 2008 – Information not held
2009 – Information not held
2010 – 5 or fewer

If you are not satisfied with this response or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of your request, then you should contact me in the first instance. If informal resolution is not possible and you are still dissatisfied then you may apply for an independent internal review by contacting the Information Rights Compliance team, 1st Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB (e-mail CIO-FOI-IR@mod.uk). Please note that any request for an internal review must be made within 40 working days of the date on which the attempt to reach informal resolution has come to an end.

If you remain dissatisfied following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.ico.gov.uk>.

Yours sincerely

Acting Civilian Secretary

¹ The number is sufficiently small that National Statistics rounding conventions require it to be categorised as between one and five to prevent inadvertent identification of individuals.