

The NHS Outcomes Framework 2015/16

Title:

The NHS Outcomes Framework 2015/16

Author:

NHS Group, Department of Health FN-NHSG-NHSCPS-17185

Document Purpose:

Policy

Publication date:

December 2014

Contact details:

NHS Outcomes Framework, NHS Group, Department of Health Room 234, Richmond House 79 Whitehall London SW1A 2NS nhsoutcomesframework@dh.gsi.gov.uk

You may re-use the text of this document (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/

© Crown copyright

Published to gov.uk, in PDF format only.

www.gov.uk/dh

Contents

Introduction	2
Background	3
Assessing NHS England's progress using the NHS Outcomes Framework	
Clinical Commissioning Group Outcome Indicator Set	5
Reviewing the NHS Outcomes Framework	6
Review process for the NHS Outcomes Framework 2015/16	6
Next steps on the NHS Outcomes Framework	6
Changes across each domain	7
Domain 1	8
Domain 2	10
Domain 3	12
Domain 4	15
Domain 5	17
NHS Outcomes Framework – at a glance	19

Introduction

- 1. The NHS Outcomes Framework, alongside the Adult Social Care and Public Health Outcomes Frameworks, sits at the heart of the health and care system. The NHS Outcomes Framework:
- provides a national overview of how well the NHS is performing;
- is the primary accountability mechanism, in conjunction with the mandate, between the Secretary of State for Health and NHS England; and
- improves quality throughout the NHS by encouraging a change in culture and behaviour focused on health outcomes not process.
- 2. The NHS Outcomes Framework was developed in December 2010, following public consultation, and has been updated annually. Refreshing the NHS Outcomes Framework allows it to become a tool which reflects the current landscape of the health and care system, and to be better suited to approach the many challenges that the system faces.
- 3. This document sets out the updated NHS Outcomes Framework for 2015/16. It is being published alongside the mandate to NHS England for 2015/16. It is also accompanied by a Technical Appendix which provides detailed information about the indicators still being developed for the framework.

4. This year's refresh of the NHS Outcomes Framework is on a larger scale than previous years. As part of this review we engaged with stakeholders over the summer, and have published a summary of what we heard and the Department's response alongside this document.

Background

- 5. The NHS Outcomes Framework is a set of 68 indicators which measure performance in the health and care system at a national-level. It is not intended to be an exhaustive list of health indicators. Rather, it has been designed to be a set of outcomes that together form an overarching picture of the current state of health and care services in England. This also means that the NHS Outcomes Framework must remain parsimonious so as not to undermine the rationale for the framework, as a whole, in providing a focus for accountability and improvement.
- 6. Indicators in the NHS Outcomes Framework are grouped into five domains, which set out the high-level national
- outcomes that the NHS should be aiming to improve. For each domain, there are a small number of overarching indicators followed by a number of improvement areas. Overarching indicators are designed to cover the domain as broadly as possible. Improvement area indicators are included to target those groups not covered by the overarching indicators and/or where independent emphasis is merited.
- 7. These improvement areas include both sub-indicators (for outcomes already covered by the overarching indicators but meriting independent emphasis), and complementary indicators (extending the coverage of the domain). They focus on improving health and reducing health inequalities:

Domain 1	Preventing people from dying prematurely;
Domain 2	Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions;
Domain 3	Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury;
Domain 4	Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care; and
Domain 5	Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm.

- 8. Each year since the NHS Outcomes Framework was first published in 2010, the Department of Health has been improving the framework by refining existing indicators and developing new indicators. These changes have helped improve the scope and coverage of the NHS Outcomes Framework, alignment with the mandate to NHS England, and alignment with the two other outcomes frameworks the Public Health Outcomes Framework (PHOF)² and the Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework (ASCOF).³
- 9. The Public Health Outcomes Framework and the Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework reflect the different delivery systems and accountability models for public health and adult social care but have the same overarching aim of improving the outcomes that matter to people. The Department continues to work to align the frameworks to encourage collaboration and integration, both in terms of how shared and complementary indicators are presented across all three frameworks, and through an increased and more systematic use of shared and complementary indicators.
- 10. These three outcomes frameworks are supported by Education Outcomes, which set the education and training outcomes for the health and care system as a whole. Education Outcomes have an enabling role across the whole system and aim to measure progress in education, training and workforce development and the consequential impact on the quality and safety of services for patients and service users.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/ system/uploads/attachment_data/file/256406/ Mandate 14 15.pdf

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ healthy-lives-healthy-people-improvingoutcomes-and-supporting-transparency

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ adult-social-care-outcomes-framework-2014to-2015

Assessing NHS England's progress using the NHS Outcomes Framework

- 11. The NHS Outcomes Framework forms an essential part of the way in which the Secretary of State for Health holds NHS England to account. It has been designed to be the primary assurance mechanism to assess the progress of NHS England at a national level, meaning that the limited number of indicators and the type of data collected by them must represent the NHS service users, and the challenges faced by the NHS, as effectively as possible.
- 12. As a part of this, the NHS Outcomes Framework plays a key role in assessing progress against the mandate to NHS England,⁴ a document published by the Department of Health which sets out the key objectives for NHS England.
- 13. The mandate is structured around the five domains of the NHS Outcomes Framework and as such, progress against objectives in the mandate is assessed using the NHS Outcomes Framework. Furthermore, there is an objective in the mandate for NHS England to demonstrate progress against the five domains and all of the indicators in the NHS Outcomes Framework including, where possible, by comparing our services and outcomes with the best in the world.
- 14. It is then for NHS England, working with Clinical Commissioning Groups and others, to determine how best to deliver improvements against the mandate and how they do this is set out in their annual business plan.⁵
- https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/ nhs-mandate-2014-to-2015
- The latest version of the business plan can be seen at: http://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/ppf-1314-1516.pdf

15. The Department of Health holds NHS England to account and is continually reviewing progress against the mandate objectives, and this is informed by data from the NHS Outcomes Framework. In assessing NHS England's progress, success will be measured not only by the average level of improvement but also by progress in reducing health inequalities and unjustified variation. Each year, the Secretary of State publishes an annual assessment of NHS England's progress.⁶

Clinical Commissioning Group Outcomes Indicator Set

16. NHS England has developed the Clinical Commissioning Group Outcomes Indicator Set (CCG OIS) to support the NHS Outcomes Framework. The CCG Outcomes Indicator Set comprises NHS Outcomes Framework indicators that can be measured at CCG level as well as additional indicators developed by NICE and HSCIC. These provide clear, comparative information to support CCGs, and Health and Wellbeing Boards identify local priorities and demonstrate progress on improving outcomes, as well as delivering public transparency about local health services.

The first annual assessment was published in July 2014 and can be seen here: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nhs-england-assessment-of-performance

Reviewing the NHS Outcomes Framework

Review process for the NHS Outcomes Framework 2015/16

- 17. The changes that we have made to the NHS Outcomes Framework this year (described in the subsequent chapter of this document) have been informed by advice received by the Outcomes Framework Technical Advisory Group (OFTAG) and engagement with stakeholders held in the summer. This stakeholder engagement was in the form of feedback from the events themselves, as well as written responses received to the document which set out our proposed changes, which was published online.⁷
- 18. To see how your feedback has shaped this year's refresh of the NHS Outcomes Framework, please see the accompanying document entitled NHS Outcomes Framework 2015-16: What we heard and the Government's response.

Next steps on the NHS Outcomes Framework

19. Within the Department of Health, work is underway to look at how the three outcomes frameworks (the Public Health, Adult Social Care and NHS Outcomes Frameworks) collectively provide an overview of the health

and care system, and how they could be improved. Feedback we received on the future role of outcomes frameworks will be used to inform this work, and in the future we would expect to carry out a larger refresh of all three frameworks.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/ uploads/attachment_data/file/341391/14-07-30_NHS_Outcomes_Framework_Stakeholder_ Engagement_Document.pdf

Changes across each domain

- 20. This section of the document describes the changes being made to the NHS Outcomes Framework for 2015/16. Changes are shown in green in the table for each domain, and are explained in the text below each table. The table also indicates which indicators are shared with the Public Health Outcomes Framework (PHOF) or the Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework (ASCOF).
- 21. We intend to identify key health inequalities measures, based on NHS Outcomes Framework indicators, following the stakeholder engagement exercise over the summer. These will be integral to assessing NHS England's progress using the NHS Outcomes Framework. We are progressing work on this set with a view to publishing the outcome before the NHS Outcomes Framework takes effect in April 2015.
- 22. A small number of new indicators have been added, but overall changes have been kept to a minimum, in line with the stable mandate to NHS England for 2015/16. The accompanying Technical Appendix provides further details on all changes to the framework.
- 23. There are no longer any placeholder indicators in the NHS Outcomes Framework all indicators are either live or in development. Indicators in development are shown in italics.

1. Preventing people from dying prematurely

Overarching indicators

- 1a Potential years of life lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to healthcare
 - i Adults ii Children and young people
- 1b Life expectancy at 75
 - i Males ii Females
- 1c Neonatal mortality and stillbirths

Improvement areas

Reducing premature mortality from the major causes of death

- **1.1** Under 75 mortality rate from cardiovascular disease (PHOF 4.4*)
- **1.2** Under 75 mortality rate from respiratory disease (PHOF 4.7*)
- 1.3 Under 75 mortality rate from liver disease (PHOF 4.6*)
- **1.4** Under 75 mortality rate from cancer (PHOF 4.5*)
 - i One- and ii Five-year survival from all cancers
 - iii One- and iv Five-year survival from breast, lung and colorectal cancer
 - v One- and vi Five-year survival from cancers diagnosed at stage 1&2 (PHOF 2.19**)

Reducing premature death in people with mental illness

- 1.5 i Excess under 75 mortality rate in adults with serious mental illness (PHOF 4.9*)
 - ii Excess under 75 mortality rate in adults with common mental illness
 - iii Suicide and mortality from injury of undetermined intent among people with recent contact from NHS services (PHOF 4.10**)

Reducing deaths in babies and young children

- 1.6 i Infant mortality (PHOF 4.1*)
 - ii (previously 1.6.iii) Five-year survival from all cancers in children

Reducing premature death in people with a learning disability

1.7 Excess under 60 mortality rate in adults with a learning disability

Change made: Move indicator 1.6.ii 'Neonatal mortality and stillbirths' to become overarching indicator 1c.

Why we made this change: Neonatal mortality is not covered by the existing overarching indicators 1a, 'Potential years of life lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to healthcare', or 1b, 'Life expectancy at 75'. It has therefore been moved to become an overarching indicator for the domain to reflect this.

Cancer survival

Change made: Add two new in development indicators for one- and five-year survival from cancers diagnosed at stages 1 and 2 (1.4.v and 1.4.vi).

Why we made this change: Indicators 1.4.i 'One' and ii. 'Five-year survival from breast, lung and colorectal cancer' are likely to suffer from lead time bias (the measure of survival can be improved by diagnosing earlier without postponing mortality) and length bias (the measure of survival can be improved by diagnosing a higher proportion of less aggressive cancers rather than postponing mortality) – the Technical Appendix to this document provides a more detailed explanation. Measuring survival at stages 1 and 2 provides us with more complete information to facilitate an assessment of cancer survival outcomes.

Mental health

Change made: Add a new in development indicator 1.5.ii for 'excess under 75 mortality rate in adults with common mental illness'.

Why we made this change: It is estimated that 6 million people in the UK have a common mental illness.8 In addition, people with common mental illness have a mortality rate over 1.7 times that of the general population. The Government's mandate to NHS England has an objective for NHS England to work towards parity of esteem for physical and mental health, and this indicator would sit alongside the existing indicator for excess mortality among patients with serious mental illness to measure progress towards this goal. This indicator is part of a commitment to improving the coverage of mental health indicators which has formed a key part of this review.

Change Made: Add a new in development indicator 1.5.iii for 'suicide and mortality from injury of undetermined intent among people with recent contact from NHS services'.

Why we made this change: The

Department believes that the NHS has a role in preventing suicide and increasing access to mental health services for those at risk. There is evidence that the NHS can influence outcomes in suicide when they have had contact with people beforehand. For example, effective care planning prior to discharge from hospital, early follow-up appointments and health professionals ensuring the adverse events that preceded the admission have been addressed could all reduce suicides. Currently the NHS Outcomes Framework does not capture suicides, apart from those in contact with secondary mental health services (indicator 1.5.i).

IAPT three-year report: the first million patients (2012) p.12 http://www.iapt.nhs.uk/silo/files/iapt-3-year-report.pdf

2. Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions

Overarching indicators

2 Health-related quality of life for people with long-term conditions (ASCOF 1A**)

Improvement areas

Ensuring people feel supported to manage their condition

2.1 Proportion of people feeling supported to manage their condition

Improving functional ability in people with long-term conditions

2.2 Employment of people with long-term conditions (ASCOF 1E** & PHOF 1.8*)

Reducing time spent in hospital by people with long-term conditions

- 2.3 i Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions
 - ii Unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in under 19s

Enhancing quality of life for carers

2.4 Health-related quality of life for carers (ASCOF 1D**)

Enhancing quality of life for people with mental illness

- 2.5 i Employment of people with mental illness (ASCOF 1F** & PHOF 1.8**)
 - ii Health-related quality of life for people with mental illness (ASCOF 1A** & PHOF 1.6**)

Enhancing quality of life for people with dementia

- **2.6** i Estimated diagnosis rate for people with dementia (PHOF 4.16*)
 - ii A measure of the effectiveness of post-diagnosis care in sustaining independence and improving quality of life (ASCOF 2F**)

Improving quality of life for people with multiple long-term conditions

2.7 Health-related quality of life for people with three or more long-term conditions (ASCOF 1A**)

Mental health

Change made: Add new in development indicator 2.5.ii for 'health-related quality of life for people with mental health illness'.

Why we made this change: This indicator provides a more comprehensive measure of health-related quality of life for people with mental illness which goes beyond what is shown by the existing indicator 2.5.i 'employment of people with mental illness'. Alongside the new indicator for excess mortality in people with common mental illness in Domain 1, this change is part of our commitment to improve the coverage of mental health indicators in the NHS Outcomes Framework.

People with multiple long-term conditions

Change made: Add a new improvement indicator 2.7 (health-related quality of life for people with three or more long-term conditions).

Why we made this change: Specialisation of medical care is important in providing world standard care to patients. However, it is also important that patients are treated as a whole person. 30% of people over 75 are living with more than one health condition, and amongst the general population people that have 2 or more conditions outweigh those who only have one. Including an indicator to measure the health related quality of life for people with multiple long term conditions was welcomed by stakeholders as an important addition to the NHS Outcomes Framework.

3. Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury

Overarching indicators

- **3a** Emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission
- 3b Emergency readmissions within 30 days of discharge from hospital (PHOF 4.11*)

Improvement areas

Improving outcomes from planned treatments

- **3.1** Total health gain as assessed by patients for elective procedures
 - i Physical health-related procedures
 - ii Psychological therapies
 - iii Recovery in quality of life for patients with mental illness

Preventing lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) in children from becoming serious

3.2 Emergency admissions for children with LRTI

Improving recovery from injuries and trauma

3.3 Survival from major trauma

Improving recovery from stroke

3.4 Proportion of stroke patients reporting an improvement in activity/lifestyle on the Modified Rankin Scale at 6 months

Improving recovery from fragility fractures

3.5 Proportion of patients with hip fractures recovering to their previous levels of mobility/ walking ability at i 30 and ii 120 days

Helping older people to recover their independence after illness or injury

- 3.6 i Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation service (ASCOF 2B[1]*)
 - ii Proportion offered rehabilitation following discharge from acute or community hospital (ASCOF 2B[2]*)

Dental health

- **3.7 i** Decaying teeth (PHOF 4.02**)
 - ii Tooth extractions in secondary care for children under 10

Mental health

Change made: Consolidate the existing Patient Reported Outcomes Measures (PROMs) indicators 3.1.i-v from five into two: physical and mental health related procedures.

Why we made this change: Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) are a way of measuring recovery as reported by patients themselves. We have reduced the existing PROMs measures from five to two for two reasons: firstly, to ensure that the framework remains as succinct as possible, and secondly, to align further with the Government's agenda to put mental health on par with physical health. Therefore, rather than there being four indicators for physical health and one for mental health, there is one for each.

Change made: Add new in development indicator 3.1.iii 'recovery in quality of life for patients with mental health problems'.

Why we made this change: The current indicator 3.1 relates to IAPT services, a primary care intervention. This new proposed indicator will measure recovery for people receiving secondary mental health services.

Fragility fractures

Change made: Change the wording of existing indicator 3.5 to specify that the indicator measures 'proportion of patients with hip fractures recovering to their previous levels of mobility/walking ability at 30/130 days'.

Why we made this change: Currently, the indicator is described as referring to patients recovering from fragility fractures, but it is compiled only from hip fracture data. The wording has been changed to more accurately reflect this. No other changes have been made to this indicator.

Dental health

Including indicators for dental health fills a significant gap in coverage of the NHS Outcomes Framework, with 29.9 million unique visits to NHS commissioned dentistry services in the 24 months prior to August 2014.9

Change made: Add new in development indicator 3.7.i for 'decaying teeth'.

http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB11625

Why we made this change: This indicator is designed to measure an improvement of quality of life for people with dental disease, comparing improvement in oral health over long periods of time for patients who regularly visit the dentist.

Change made: Add new in development indicator 3.7.ii 'Tooth extractions in secondary care for children under 10'.

Why we made this change: Where the above indicator 3.7.i would measure outcomes for people regularly visiting the dentist, this indicator provides a proxy measure for the health outcomes of children who do not regularly visit the dentist. Avoidable tooth extracts are often the consequence of the failure to provide preventative interventions such as brushing advice and fluoride varnishes, or early-stage restorative interventions such as fillings. With the right interventions, children should almost never need to undergo dental care procedures under general anaesthetic.

4. Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care

Overarching indicators

- 4a Patient experience of primary care
 - i GP services
 - ii GP out-of-hours services
 - iii NHS dental services
- 4b Patient experience of hospital care
- 4c Friends and family test
- 4d Patient experience characterised as poor or worse
 - i Primary care
 - ii Hospital care

Improvement areas

Improving people's experience of outpatient care

4.1 Patient experience of outpatient services

Improving hospitals' responsiveness to personal needs

4.2 Responsiveness to in-patients' personal needs

Improving people's experience of accident and emergency services

4.3 Patient experience of A&E services

Improving access to primary care services

4.4 Access to i GP services and ii NHS dental services

Improving women and their families' experience of maternity services

4.5 Women's experience of maternity services

Improving the experience of care for people at the end of their lives

4.6 Bereaved carers' views on the quality of care in the last 3 months of life

Improving experience of healthcare for people with mental illness

4.7 Patient experience of community mental health services

Improving children and young people's experience of healthcare

4.8 Children and young people's experience of inpatient services

Improving people's experience of integrated care

4.9 People's experience of integrated care (ASCOF 3E**)

Poor patient experience

Change made: Add new in development overarching indicator 4d 'patient experience characterised as poor or worse'.

Why we made this change: It is important that the NHS does all it can to address failures of care. This indicator has been included to provide another dimension to existing patient experience indicators, being the only indicator to measure only poor patient experience.

Carer experience

Change made: Make new sub-analysis for carer experience available for indicators 4a and 4di.

Why we made this change: Carers are an important group whose experience matters to the NHS. Responding to feedback from stakeholders, we want to ensure the NHS Outcomes Framework draws out carers' experience as part of the indicator on patient experience.

Children and young people

Change made: Change indicator 4.8 from experience of *outpatient* to *inpatient* services.

Why we made this change: Following recent patient survey developments, we have identified that an indicator measuring children and young people's experience of inpatient care could be developed more quickly than the previous proposal to measure their experience of outpatient care. We have, therefore, changed the specification of this indicator in order to be able to measure children and young people's experience of care more quickly. We expect this indicator to be developed within a year. Over time we intend to develop indicators to measure children and young people's experience in other settings.

5. Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm

Overarching indicators

5a (previously 5c) Deaths attributable to problems in healthcare

5b Severe harm attributable to problems in healthcare

Improvement areas

Reducing the incidence of avoidable harm

- **5.1** Deaths from venous thromboembolism (VTE) related events
- **5.2** Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI)
 - i MRSA
 - ii C. difficile
- 5.3 Proportion of patients with category 2, 3 and 4 pressure ulcers
- 5.4 Hip fractures from falls during hospital care

Improving the safety of maternity services

5.5 Admission of full-term babies to neonatal care (definition and quality statement amended)

Improving the culture of safety reporting

5.6 Patient safety incidents reported

Children and young people

Change made: Remove existing indicator 5.6 incidence of harm to children due to 'failure to monitor'.

Why we made this change: This year, a piece of research was done on this indicator which sampled 1/6 of reported cases of failure to monitor (i.e. a patient's condition has deteriorated and clinicians have not intervened) over a 6 month period. The results showed that only 3% of the reported cases were of the type of harm that was

intended to be measured. This indicator, therefore, had the potential to be seriously misleading, as the numbers reported would give no indication of the true figures.

The Department is committed to the measurement of patient safety for children and young people and the inclusion of such indicators in the NHS Outcomes Framework. The Department is now working to find an alternative measure, as a priority, as soon as possible.

Overarching indicators

Change made: Combine the National Learning and Reporting System (NRLS) based indicators into a single improvement area indicator for patient safety incidents reported.

Move previous overarching indicator 5c (Hospital deaths attributable to problems in care) to overarching indicator 5a.

Introduce a new overarching indicator 5b (Severe harm attributable to problems in care).

Why we made this change: Combining the NRLS-based indicators in to a single improvement area indicator for patient safety incidents reported reflects the fact that patient safety incident reporting is a measure of both patient safety and patient safety reporting culture. Therefore, the introduction of a new improvement area recognises that the NRLS indicators are not purely a measure of patient safety.

Hip fractures

Change made: Add new indicator 5.4 'Hip fractures from falls during hospital care'.

Why we made this change: 2,500 cases of hip fractures from falls during hospital care were recorded in 2012/13. Patients who are already ill have very poor outcomes and greatly extended lengths of stay.

Improving the safety of maternity services

Change made: Amend definition of admission to neonatal care to include only higher levels (1-3) of specialist neonatal care.

Why we made this change: Admission of a full term baby to a neonatal unit is used as proxy indicator for significant harm. The indicator currently includes all levels of specialist neonatal care (1 to 3) as well as low levels such as outreach visits by neonatal unit staff to babies managed in post-natal wards. These low levels of specialist care are provided differently in different trusts and inconsistently recorded in the Neonatal Research Database; while levels 1 to 3 are unambiguously defined as they require physical admission to a neonatal unit.

Change made: Amend the quality statement of indicator 5.5, 'admission of full-term babies to neonatal care' to reflect issues regarding the quality of the indicator. The quality statement will be available from the HSCIC indicator portal.¹⁰

Why we made this change: OFTAG have raised concerns regarding the quality of this indicator as a measure of patient safety outcomes. For example, it takes no account of several important confounders e.g. changes in the supply of neonatal care units (an increase in supply is likely to lead to an increase in the value of the indicator that is not a reflection of worse outcomes) or the total number of births (affecting the demand for neonatal services).

1a Potential years of life lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to Adults || Children and young people 1c Neonatal mortality and stillbirths 1b Life expectancy at 75 Males || Females

|| One- and | Iv Five-year survival from breast, lung and colorectal cancer | | One- and | V Five-year survival from cancers diagnosed at stage 1&2 1.1 Under 75 mortality rate from cardiovascular disease (PHOF 4.7*) 1.2 Under 75 mortality rate from respiratory disease (PHOF 4.7*) 1.3 Under 75 mortality rate from liver disease (PHOF 4.6*) Reducing premature mortality from the major causes of death One- and || Five-year survival from all cancers 1.4 Under 75 mortality rate from cancer (F

Reducing premature mortality in people with mental illness (PHOF 4.9°) 1.5 i Excess under 75 mortality rate in adults with serious mental illness (PHOF 4.9°) il Excess under 75 mortality rate in adults with common mental illness Suicide and mortality from injury of undetermined intent among people with recent contact from NHS services (PHOF $4.10^{**})$

Reducing mortality in children

Il Five year survival from all cancers in children

Reducing premature death in people with a learning disability 1.7 Excess under 60 mortality rate in adults with a learning disability

Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions

2 Health-related quality of life for people with long-term conditions (ASCOF 1A**)

Ensuring people feel supported to manage their condition 2.1 Proportion of people feeling supported to manage their condition

F 1E** & PHOF 1.8*) Improving functional ability in people with long-term conditions Employment of people with long-term conditions (A)

Reducing time spent in hospital by people with long-term conditions

I Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions II Unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in under 19s

Enhancing quality of life for carers 2.4 Health-related quality of life for carers (ASCOF 1D**)

Enhancing quality of life for people with mental illness (ASODE 1F** & PHOF 1.8**)

2.5 I Employment of people with mental illness (ASODE 1F** & PHOF 1.8**)

Il Health-related quality of life for people with mental illness (ASODE 1A** &

Enhancing quality of life for people with dementia (PHOF 4.16*)

A measure of the effectiveness of post-diagnosis care in sustaining independence and improving quality of life (ASCOF 2F**)

Improving quality of life for people with multiple long-term conditions

Health-related quality of life for people with three or more long-term conditions $SCOE(14^{**})$

Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury

3b Emergency readmissions within 30 days of discharge from hospital (PHOF 4.11*) 3a Emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission

Improvement Areas

Improving outcomes from planned treatments 3.1 Total health gain as assessed by patients for elective procedures

Physical health-related procedures

Psychological therapies

Recovery in quality of life for patients with mental illness

Preventing lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) in children from becoming serious 3.2 Emergency admissions for children with LRTI

Improving recovery from injuries and trauma 3.3 Survival from major trauma

Improving recovery from stroke

3.4 Proportion of stroke patients reporting an improvement in activity/lifestyle on the Modified Rankin Scale at 6 months

Improving recovery from fragility fractures

Proportion of patients with hip fractures recovering to their previous levels of mobility/walking ability at i 30 and ii 120 days

Helping older people to recover their independence after illness or injury 3.6 i Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days

after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation service

Proportion offered rehabilitation following discharge from acute or community hospital (AS

Improving Dental Health

Decaying teeth (PHOF 4.02^{**}) Tooth extractions in secondary care for children under 10

NHS Outcomes Framework 2015/16

Alignment with Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework (ASCOF) and/or Public Health Outcomes Framework (PHOF)

Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care

4

Overarching indicators

Patient experience of primary care GP services

GP Out-of-hours services NHS dental services

Patient experience of hospital care

4d Patient experience characterised as poor or worse to Friends and family test

Hospital care Primary care

Improvement areas

Improving people's experience of outpatient care 4.1 Patient experience of outpatient services Improving hospitals' responsiveness to personal needs Responsiveness to in-patients' personal needs Improving people's experience of accident and emergency services 4.3 Patient experience of A&E services

Improving access to primary care services
4.4 Access to I GP services and II NHS dental services

Improving women and their families' experience of maternity services 4.5 Women's experience of maternity services

... Bereaved carers' views on the quality of care in the last 3 months of life improving the experience of care for people at the end of their lives

Improving experience of healthcare for people with mental illness 4.7 Patient experience of community mental health services

Improving children and young people's experience of healthcare 4.8 Children and young people's experience of inpatient services

Improving people's experience of integrated care 4.9 People's experience of integrated care (ASCO

Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm 2

Overarching indicators

5a Deaths attributable to problems in healthcare 5b Severe harm attributable to problems in healthcare

at a glance

Reducing the incidence of avoidable harm 5.1 Deaths from venous thromboembolism (VTE) related events 5.2 Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI)

5.3 Proportion of patients with category 2, 3 and 4 pressure ulcers 5.4 Hip fractures from falls during hospital care C. difficile

Improving the safety of maternity services 5.5 Admission of full-term babies to neonatal care

Improving the culture of safety reporting 5.6 Patient safety incidents reported

