

## GD1 Close Matching Guidance

Condition	Considerations	Examples
<p>Close match on names</p>	<p>The following define a range of 'close name match' guidelines (note: do not combine elements of aliases when considering matches).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A difference between the name on an application and a name on police records which could be a simple spelling mistake (i.e. the pronunciation could be identical, similar or a typing error may have occurred during entry on police systems).</li>   <li>2. The surname on the application is a close or exact match to the surname on the local information.</li>   <li>3. At least one of the forenames on the application (there may only be one) is a close or exact match to one of the forenames.</li>   <li>4. The name is <b>Non-Anglicised</b> and the forenames and surnames could have been interchanged.</li>   <li>5. In some cultures, forenames and surnames may be adopted or discarded over time. Therefore, <b>Non- Anglicised</b> names, where some of the name elements are the same, can be considered a close match.</li>   <li>6. The name is <b>Anglicised</b> and the forenames and surnames could have been interchanged.</li> </ol>	<p>Paul Lucas and Paul Lukas            John Osbourne and John Osbourn            John Lucas and Jon Lukas            Roger Nowell and Roger Knowell</p> <p>John Paul Lucas and Jon Lukas            Ken Wright and Kenneth Fred Write            John Gary Lucas and John Fred Lucas</p> <p>Ian Atkinson and Ian Paul Atkinson            Peter John Stewart and Peter Stewart</p> <p>Mohamed Ali and Ali Mohamed            István Fityó and Fityó István</p> <p>Mohammed Abdul Ali and Mohammed Abdul            Abdul Saraj Ali and Abdul Saraj            Mohammed Ali and Mohammed Ali Saraj</p> <p>Scott Ryan and Ryan Scott            Paul James and James Paul            Winston Granville and Granville Wintson</p>

## GD1 Close Matching Guidance

	<p>7. If you have reason to believe that the information <b>may</b> relate to the Applicant and / or Third Party, even though the names do not meet the close matching guidelines, it should be treated as if it is a close match and processed using the relevant Method Product until you reach an outcome of 'Further Consideration' or 'Not Relevant'.</p> <p>Where the above scenario applies, and it has been categorised as 'Further Consideration' a brief note explaining why you recorded it as a close match should be included in the 'Notes' section on the AT2 in line with the corresponding 'Hit Reference'.</p>	
Close DOB	<p>1. Within the DOB guidelines a close match is defined where any two out of the three date components are exactly the same.</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p>2. The day and month components may be reversed.</p> <p><b>OR</b></p> <p>3. If you have reason to believe that the information <b>may</b> relate to the Applicant and / or Third Party, even though the DOB does not meet the close matching guidelines, it should be treated as if it is a close match and processed using the relevant Method Product until you reach an outcome of 'Further Consideration' or 'Not Relevant'.</p> <p>Where the above scenario applies, and it has been categorised as 'Further Consideration' a brief note explaining why you recorded it as a close match should be included in the 'Notes' section on the AT2 in line with the corresponding 'Hit Reference'.</p>	<p>12/01/54 and 17/01/54 12/01/54 and 12/11/54</p> <p>12/01/54 and 01/12/54</p>

