

Dear Sir Howard,

5 Key Reports

I will if I may take the liberty of adding some further comments to my earlier communication.

Since 2011 5 key reports have come up relating closely to issues of air transport and airport expansion. Two of them came out before the closing date for comments on the original 50 proposals. The other three have appeared more or less simultaneously with your recent speech.

The first two-on Northolt and the state of affairs at H'row Ts 1 and 3- appear not to have received any close attention in your original consultation paper. This is unfortunate as the first (a Govt. Report) indicates clearly that Northolt is well capable-with some alterations-of taking domestic and short haul traffic and thus relieving the strain on H'row. The second (a Which report) indicates poor standards of customer satisfaction with H'row Ts 1 and 3- suggesting that in aiming to DOUBLE (SIC!) the size of its operation that airport is trying to run before it can walk.

The IPCC report of course indicates that the national pollution targets on which you in your speech appear to rely (along with the non existent 'mechanism') are too low. They will clearly need revision which could well quite rapidly render your comments obsolete and out of date.

The Noise and H'row report by London Universities speaks for itself with the close link being made between the noise that local folk here are subject to and the high rates of stroke and heart attack.

The recent WHO Cancer reports cites emissions caused by transportation as among the cause of cancer.

So with all respect I would suggest that you seek HMG's approval for a temporary moratorium on the short listing process while the original paper is rewritten (and reconsulted on) to take into account the first two reports to which I have referred-and that your speech is reconsidered and reissued to take into account the most recent three documents.

Yours very truly