

Consultation on audit exemptions and change of accounting framework

Response form

The Department may, in accordance with the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information, make available, on public request, individual responses.

The closing date for this consultation is 29 December 2011.

Name **Tony Nabarro**

Organisation (if applicable) **Nabarro Poole Ltd**

Address **31 Church Road**

 Northenden

 Manchester

 M22 4NN

Please return completed forms to:

Rufus Rottenberg
Spur 2, 3rd Floor
BIS
1 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0ET

Telephone: 020 7215 0163

Fax: 020 7215 0235

email: audconsult@bis.gsi.gov.uk

Please state YES in the box from the list of options that best describes you as a respondent. This allows views to be presented by group type.

Preparer: Large business (over 250 staff)	
Preparer: Medium business (50 to 250 staff)	
Preparer: Small business (10 to 49 staff)	yes
Preparer: Micro business (up to 9 staff)	yes
Preparer representative body	
Accountants: over 500 UK Partners	
Accountants: 200 – 500 UK Partners	
Accountants: 100 – 199 UK Partners	
Accountants: 50 - 99 UK Partners	
Accountants: under 50 UK Partners	yes
Accounting bodies	yes

Legal representative or professional legal bodies	
User representative bodies	
Academics	
Regulators and Government bodies	
Individuals	
Other (please describe)	

Question 1 (para 25)

What are your views on the overall principle of reducing audit requirements for unlisted companies?

Comments: I am fully behind this principle

Question 2 (para 29)

A Do you agree with the underlying assumptions in our Impact Assessment that at least 60% of small companies now eligible will take up the audit exemption?

B Do you agree that the whole of the audit fee will be saved?

C Do you agree that there is no saving of management time for small companies taking up the audit exemption?

A Yes No Not sure
 B Yes No Not sure
 C Yes No Not sure

Comments:

As regards C we almost always have to answer auditors questions so that time will be saved. As regards A I cannot see how I can as an individual agree or disagree with this assumption

Question 3 (para 33)

Do you agree that the audit and accounting exemption for small companies should be aligned and a small company should be able to obtain the audit exemption if it meets two out of the three criteria?

Yes No Not sure

Comments: + My view is that the owners of small companies (say 100% of shareholders agree) should be able to decide whether their company is audited. This decision could be shown on the balance sheet.

Question 4 (para 36)

Do you agree with option B to exempt qualifying non-dormant subsidiaries from mandatory audit of their accounts?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 5 (para 36)

Under Option C, what would be the effect of exempting qualifying non-dormant subsidiaries from mandatory preparation of accounts, mandatory filing of accounts and mandatory audit of accounts?

Comments:None

Question 6 (para 38)

Do you agree that the Government should exempt qualifying dormant subsidiaries of whatever size from mandatory preparation, mandatory filing and mandatory audit of accounts? What difference would this make to your business and to the wider economy?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 7 (para 40)

A Do you agree that in addition to the Article 57 exemptions, in order to qualify, a subsidiary company should be unquoted, not involved in financial services or insurance and not fall into the category of certain other companies under industrial relations legislation, in line with the existing exclusions from the audit exemption in UK company law?

B Why? What difference would this make to your business and to the wider economy?

A Yes No Not sure

B Comments:None

Question 8 (para 40)

What would be the consequences (e.g. to investors, depositors or lenders or to the wider economy) of allowing financial services subsidiaries to take advantage of this exemption?

Comments:No comment

Question 9 (para 41)

Do you agree that the same rules on exemptions for qualifying subsidiaries should broadly apply to Limited Liability Partnerships and unregistered companies?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 10 (para 46)

Do you agree with our estimate of the savings of the cost of the audit as detailed in the impact assessment, and in particular the underlying assumptions:

A That the average cost of the audit is in the range of £8,000 to £83,000 per subsidiary?

B That 75% to 100% of qualifying subsidiaries will take up the exemption?

C That 10% to 25% of the audit cost of each qualifying subsidiary will be saved?

A Yes No Not sure
B Yes No Not sure
C Yes No Not sure

Comments: I don't know

Question 11 (para 46)

Do you agree with our estimate of the saving of management time interacting with the auditor and in particular, with our underlying assumptions that for subsidiary companies the saving will be 5 hours of senior management time, which gives rise to £60 to £273 saving per company, depending on size of company?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 12 (para 46)

Do you agree with our estimate of the saving of the cost of management time to prepare and file qualifying dormant subsidiary accounts and in particular the underlying assumption of the £280 per dormant subsidiary?

Yes

No

Not sure

Comments:

Question 13 (para 47)

Do you agree with our estimate of the cost of taking legal advice of £110 per subsidiary in the first year only, but that if the Government provided guidance on an acceptable form of the guarantee, this cost of legal advice would be zero?

Yes

No

Not sure

Comments:

Question 14 (para 49)

Have views of stakeholders expressed to the Company Law Review changed since 2000?

Yes

No

Not sure

Comments:

Question 15 (para 49)

Do you agree with the Government's conclusions on the likely impacts that would have been involved in exempting non-dormant qualifying subsidiaries from either preparation or filing of accounts and that the costs of such a proposal would likely exceed the benefits?

Yes

No

Not sure

Comments:

Question 16 (para 51)

Do you agree with the assumption that it is unlikely that the Government's proposals will have a significantly adverse impact on the number of small audit firms?

Yes

No

Not sure

Comments:

Question 17 (para 55)

Do you agree with the Government's assessment of the risks of the proposal?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 18 (para 59)

Do you agree that the guarantee should be irrevocable and in respect of all debts in respect of that financial year? Until an audited set of accounts for the subsidiary is filed it will also be in respect of future debts incurred by the subsidiary

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 19 (para 60)

Do you agree that the guarantee should cover the "debts" of the subsidiary and not extend to its "liabilities"?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 20 (para 63)

A Do you agree with the proposals for the Guarantee?

B Do you think the form of the proposed guarantee will encourage its take-up in line with our assumptions above (75-90%)? If not, why not?

C Do you have alternative proposals that would not gold plate the Directive, provide adequate protection for those to whom the subsidiary owes a debt, but do not make it unlikely that the parent would issue such a guarantee?

A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure
B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure
C	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure

Comments:

Question 21 (para 65)

Do you agree that no new penalties should be proposed in conjunction with the introduction of these proposals?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 22 (para 76)

Do you agree that the Government should impose restrictions on companies' ability to move from IFRS to UK GAAP?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 23 (para 76)

How frequently should a company be able to move from IFRS to UK GAAP, unless there is a relevant change in circumstances?

Every year Once every 3 years Once every 5 years Never
 Not sure

Comments:

Question 24 (para 78)

A Do you agree with the Government's estimate that 90% of eligible subsidiary companies will take up the option?

B Do you agree that the saving for each company will be £569?

A Yes No Not sure
B Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 25 (para 82)

Do you agree that the one-off cost per company will be £390?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 26 (para 86)

Do the proposed changes in any way increase the risk of financial irregularities? If so, what would you estimate the potential impact to be on investors?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 27 (para 27)

What is the risk that investors will be misled or confused by a company switching between accounting frameworks?

High risk Low risk Not sure

Comments:

Question 28 (para 86)

Do you agree with the Government's assessment of the risks of this proposal?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

Question 29 (para 87)

Do you agree that the proposals should apply to entities for financial years ending on or after 1 October 2012?

Yes No Not sure

Comments:

If that is the earliest possible date

Do you have any other comments that might aid the consultation process as a whole?

Please use this space for any general comments that you may have, comments on the layout of this consultation would also be welcomed.

Referring back to an earlier comment that suggested if 100% of shareholders decide an audit is unnecessary then so be it. If lenders require an audit for their peace of mind then they can make it a condition of the loan. I don't think an audit protects the ordinary trade creditor.

Thank you for taking the time to let us have your views. We do not intend to acknowledge receipt of individual responses unless you tick the box below.

Please acknowledge this reply

At BIS we carry out our research on many different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, could we contact you again from time to time either for research or to send through consultation documents?

Yes No

© Crown copyright 2011

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/> or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This publication is also available on our website at <http://www.bis.gov.uk>
Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to:

Department for Business, Innovation and Skills
1 Victoria Street
London SW1H 0ET
Tel: 020 7215 5000

If you require this publication in an alternative format, email enquiries@bis.gsi.gov.uk, or call 020 7215 5000.

URN 11/1196