Office for Budget Responsibility

Annual report and accounts 2012-13

£16.00

Office for Budget Responsibility: Annual report and accounts 2012-13

Annual report presented to Parliament pursuant to Paragraph 15, Schedule 1 of the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011

Accounts presented to Parliament pursuant to Paragraph 18, Schedule 1 of the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011

Ordered by the House of Commons to be printed on 5 June 2013

© Crown copyright 2013

You may re-use this information (excluding logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit http:// www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-governmentlicence/ or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at obrenquiries@obr.gsi.gov.uk.

You can download this publication from our website at http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk

ISBN: 9780102983272 PU1504

Printed in the UK by The Stationery Office Limited on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office.

Printed on paper containing 75% recycled fibre content minimum.

ID P002557438 06/13

Contents

Chapter 1	Chairman's message	1
Chapter 2	Non-executive members' assessment	3
Chapter 3	Management commentary	5
	Aims and objectives	5
	People and structure	6
	Achievements in 2012-13	10
	Operations	12
	Financial summary	14
Chapter 4	Remuneration report	17
Chapter 5	Statement of Accounting Officer's responsibilities	21
Chapter 6	Governance statement	23
	Governance structures	23
	Risk management	24
Chapter 7	Certificate and Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General to	
	the Houses of Parliament	29
Chapter 8	Financial statements	33
	Notes to the Accounts	37

1 Chairman's message

- 1.1 Welcome to the Annual Report of the Office for Budget Responsibility, which was established in 2010 to provide independent and authoritative analysis of the UK's public finances.
- 1.2 The Act states that "it shall be the duty of the Office to examine and report on the sustainability of the public finances". In practice we have four main tasks, each of which we have fulfilled over the past year:
 - to prepare and publish the official five-year forecasts for the economy and the public finances that accompany each Budget and Autumn Statement;
 - to assess whether the Government is on course to meet the fiscal targets that it has set itself, and what the risks to meeting those targets are;
 - to scrutinise and comment publicly on the Treasury's assessment of the amount that particular tax and spending measures will raise or cost; and
 - to analyse and report on the health of the public sector's balance sheet and the long-term sustainability of the public finances on existing policies.
- 1.3 In setting about these tasks, our guiding principle has been to undertake them in as transparent a way as possible not just in terms of the outputs we produce, but also in the way we engage with government departments and agencies in preparing them. People may agree or disagree with the analysis we present, but we want them to be as confident as possible that they are based on our best professional judgement and not on politically motivated wishful thinking. To that end we have also accompanied the flagship publications we are required to produce by the Act with descriptive materials that explain the methods and techniques that we use.
- 1.4 A second principle has been to recognise explicitly the enormous uncertainty that lies around all analysis of the public finances, both because of uncertainty regarding the path of the economy and uncertainty regarding how much the government will raise and spend in any given state of the economy. In our *Economic and fiscal outlook* publications, for example, we do not simply quantify the uncertainty around our central projections; we use sensitivity and scenario analysis to explain how different economic and fiscal judgements would affect the public finances.

1

- 1.5 In all the analysis we have undertaken, we have come under no pressure from ministers, political advisers or officials to change any of the analysis we have presented or the conclusions we have reached. We have surveyed a wide range of stakeholders on the quality of our work to date and I am pleased to report that they express considerable confidence in our independence and impartiality.
- 1.6 In undertaking all our work over the past year, my colleagues on the Budget Responsibility Committee and I would like to express our particular gratitude to the permanent staff of the OBR for all their hard work. We are also grateful to the many officials in government departments and agencies, and to our other outside stakeholders, for their time and patience in helping us fulfil our remit. Any suggestions as to how we might do so more effectively are always welcome.

Court Coto

Robert Chote, Chairman 21 May 2013

Annual report and accounts 2012-13 2

2 Non-executive members' assessment

- 2.1 Our statutory duties as non-executive members of the Office for Budget Responsibility are set out in the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011. This requires us to keep under review the way in which the OBR has performed its main duties. In the Annual Report, under Paragraph 15, Schedule 1 of the Act, we are required to assess the extent to which the OBR has been able to perform its main duties with complete discretion and in line with the principles of impartiality, objectivity and transparency.
- 2.2 To fulfil our roles, we have continued to monitor and assess the OBR's work and its operational and governance arrangements through the OBR's Oversight Board and Audit Committee. In the spring of 2013, we met with the staff at the OBR and with the individual members of the BRC to review the performance of the OBR over the past year. We have again taken note of the relevant reports of the House of Commons Treasury Committee. The OBR has readily provided us with all of the necessary information and assistance.
- 2.3 In terms of the OBR's core responsibilities, we note:
 - the OBR published the essential two economic and fiscal forecasts in the December 2012 and March 2013 Economic and fiscal outlooks;
 - in addition, the OBR published an analysis of the sustainability of the public finances in its July 2012 Fiscal sustainability report, and a thorough assessment of the accuracy of its previous forecasts in the October 2012 Forecast evaluation report; and
 - the OBR has also produced wider analysis relevant to its remit through the publication of working papers, briefing papers and a monthly analysis of the public finances.
- 2.4 All these outputs have generally been seen as robust, authoritative and objective, although inevitably outside commentators will disagree with some of the conclusions reached. Importantly the OBR continues to be seen as very transparent with regard to its analysis and projections.

- 2.5 Our interactions with the OBR have enabled us to remain confident that the OBR has been free to use its own discretion in taking judgements and reaching analytical conclusions. The structures and processes for working with Government have operated effectively again this year, and the OBR has been transparent about these interactions. The BRC have made clear that they have come under no pressure from Ministers, special advisers or officials to change the conclusions of their analysis. We note that the Chairman has demonstrated a willingness to clarify the position if there has been a risk of the OBR's work being misinterpreted in public debate.
- 2.6 We remain content that the OBR's internal management structures and working practices are effective, and that the OBR currently has the resources, skills and expertise that it needs to meet its objectives. We found good morale amongst staff and the BRC, that staff turnover had been handled successfully, and that working relationships within the OBR were positive and effective. Through the OBR's Oversight Board and Audit Committee we have also monitored the OBR's operational risks, such as the accidental loss or leak of confidential material or the loss of IT services and/or access to office space ahead of delivery of major reports. Over the past year we have asked the internal auditors to assess the OBR's information and records management and their IT systems and security. This has provided us with reassurance that effective systems are in place. The internal audit reviews have made some useful suggestions for process improvements, which we will ensure are implemented.
- 2.7 Our overall assessment therefore is that the OBR continues to deliver its remit in line with the principles of impartiality, objectivity and transparency and that the operational and governance arrangements are working well. A key issue is to ensure that it can maintain the right level and mix of skills and experience within the staff and members of the BRC. It is also vital that the forecasting infrastructure is kept fit for purpose. We were therefore pleased to note that the OBR has reached a Memorandum of Understanding with HM Treasury on the maintenance and development of the macroeconomic model.
- 2.8 We will continue to monitor the OBR and seek to provide guidance and challenge wherever necessary. Looking further ahead, we will commission a more detailed external review on the work of the OBR within the preceding five-year period before the end of 2015, as required by the Act.

Kate Banka

Lord Burns Kate Barker Non-executive members of the Office for Budget Responsibility, 21 May 2013.

3 Management commentary

Aims and objectives

- 3.1 The Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) provides independent and authoritative analysis of the UK's public finances. We are a Non-Departmental Public Body and became a statutory body on 4 April 2011 following Royal Assent of the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011.¹ Prior to Royal Assent the OBR existed as a non-statutory body from 17 May 2010.
- 3.2 The Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011 requires the OBR to examine and report on the sustainability of the public finances. This is a broad remit which allows us to analyse the public finances from a multitude of angles. We have complete discretion to set our own work programme, subject to meeting the following core annual requirements and guidance set out in the Act and the accompanying Charter for Budget Responsibility:²
 - the production of at least two fiscal and economic forecasts, in each case accompanied by an assessment of the extent to which the Government's fiscal mandate has been, or is likely to be, achieved. The *Charter* sets out that the Government intends to adopt the OBR's forecasts as the official forecasts for the annual Budget;
 - an assessment of the accuracy of its previously prepared fiscal and economic forecasts; and
 - an analysis of the sustainability of the public finances.
- 3.3 The Charter sets out that the OBR's forecasts should be based on all Government policy decisions that have a material impact on the fiscal outlook and which can be quantified with reasonable accuracy. To this end, we also independently scrutinise and certify the Government's estimates of the cost of policy decisions. Importantly, the Act and Charter also specify that we should not consider the effect of alternative policies and should not provide normative commentary on the particular merits of government policy.

5

¹ http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/4/enacted

² http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/wordpress/docs/charter_budget_responsibility040411.pdf

3.4 Our independence is central to the effective delivery of our responsibilities, and to support this we are required by the Act to perform our duties objectively, transparently and impartially.

People and structure

- 3.5 The OBR is comprised of five members: the Budget Responsibility Committee (BRC) – Robert Chote (Chairman), Stephen Nickell and Graham Parker; and two non-executive members – Lord Burns and Kate Barker. Short biographies of the OBR members are provided below. The OBR currently employs a staff of 18 to provide analytical and corporate support, who were led by the Head of Staff – Tom Josephs. Tom has since left the OBR and we have appointed a new Head of Staff – Andy King.
- 3.6 The BRC is solely responsible for the delivery of the OBR's core responsibilities set out in paragraph 3.2. The non-executive members are required to keep the OBR's performance under review and, together with the BRC, form the OBR's Oversight Board. Further details on our governance structures are set out in Chapter 6.
- 3.7 Appointments to the BRC are made by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, with the agreement of the Treasury Select Committee. The Chair of the BRC is required to be consulted by the Chancellor of the Exchequer for appointments to the two other positions on the BRC. The non-executive members are nominated by the OBR and appointed by the Chancellor of the Exchequer. The initial appointments to the non-executive members' positions were made by the Chancellor, in consultation with the Chair of the BRC.

The Budget Responsibility Committee

Robert Chote, Chairman



Robert Chote has been Chairman of the Office for Budget Responsibility since October 2010. Previously Robert served as Director of the Institute for Fiscal Studies from 2002 to 2010, as an adviser to senior management at the International Monetary Fund from 1999 to 2002, as Economics Editor of the Financial Times from 1995 to 1999, and as an economics and business writer on the Independent and Independent on Sunday from 1990 to 1994.

He is a member of the Finance Committee of the University of Cambridge and a Governor of the National Institute for Economic and Social Research.

Professor Stephen Nickell CBE

Stephen Nickell has held Economics Professorships at both the London School of Economics and Oxford and was President of the Royal Economic Society from 2000 to 2003. He was a member of the Bank of England Monetary Policy Committee from 2000 to 2006.

He is a Fellow of the Econometric Society and the British Academy as well as being a foreign honorary member of the American Economic Association and the American Academy of Arts and Sciences.

Graham Parker CBE

Graham Parker worked in a variety of civil service roles from 1972, including seven years working on manpower planning, five years advising on economic statistics, 13 years providing analysis, forecasts and policy costings for the Inland Revenue and nine years as head of the Public Sector Finances team in the Treasury, forecasting the whole of public sector expenditure, receipts and advising on the fiscal position.



He was awarded a CBE in 2008 for his service to the Treasury and his work on the public finances. Following his retirement in January 2009, Graham was appointed to the IMF Fiscal Affairs Division's panel of technical experts in July 2009.

7

Non-executive members

Lord Burns GCB

Lord Burns is non-executive Chairman of Santander UK plc and a non-executive director of Banco Santander S.A. He is also Chairman of Channel Four Television Corporation. He is a former Chief Economic Adviser and Permanent Secretary to HM Treasury, Chairman of Marks and Spencer plc and Welsh Water, and Independent Adviser to the Secretary of State on the BBC Charter Review. Lord Burns is also Chairman of the Governing Body of the Royal Academy of Music.



Kate Barker CBE



Kate Barker is presently a Senior Adviser to Credit Suisse, and a nonexecutive director of Electra Private Equity plc, Taylor Wimpey plc and the Yorkshire Building Society. Previously, Kate Barker was a member of the Monetary Policy Committee of the Bank of England from 2001 until 2010. During this period, she also led two major policy reviews for the Government, on housing supply and on land use planning. Before joining the MPC she was Chief Economic Adviser at the CBI.

3.8 Appointments to the BRC will usually be for a period of five years and may be renewed once. Non-executive members' appointments will typically be for three years and may also be renewed once. Special arrangements are in place for the first appointed members of the OBR in order to ensure future appointments are staggered. The duration of contracts for members is set by the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011 and further detail is provided within the OBR and HMT Framework Document.³

Members' contract lengths and expiry dates

Member	Contract length	Contract expiry date
Robert Chote	5 years	3 October 2015
Stephen Nickell	3 years	25 October 2013
Graham Parker	4 years	25 October 2014
Lord Burns	4 years	20 June 2015
Kate Barker	3 years	20 June 2014

³ http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/pubs/obr framework040411.pdf

Staff

- 3.9 The OBR currently has 18 permanent staff members. Members of staff are all civil servants and are primarily permanent employees of the OBR rather than seconded from other departments. All staff members report to and are accountable to Robert Chote as Chairman of the BRC. When the OBR was first established a number of our staff were transferred from HM Treasury under Cabinet Office guidelines. Since then our staff have been recruited on open competition from the wider Civil Service and other organisations.
- 3.10 The OBR staff were led in 2012-13 by the Head of Staff Tom Josephs. Tom has since left the OBR and we have appointed a new Head of Staff – Andy King. There are currently five analysts working on the macroeconomic forecast, five working on the public finances forecast, and five working on policy costings, long-term fiscal sustainability and other analytical projects. Two members of staff work on the OBR's operations, finances, office management, website and the project management of the production of our reports and associated press events.

Advisory Panel

- 3.11 The OBR has established a seven-person panel of outside experts to give regular advice on its work programme and methods.
- 3.12 The members of the Advisory Panel are: Ben Broadbent, member of the Monetary Policy Committee at the Bank of England; Wendy Carlin, Professor of Economics at University College London; Carl Emmerson, Deputy Director at the Institute for Fiscal Studies; John Llewellyn, Principal at Llewellyn Consulting; Andrew Scott, Professor of Economics and Deputy Dean at the London Business School; Peter Spencer, Professor of Economics and Finance at the University of York; and Simon Wren-Lewis, Professor of Economics at Oxford University.
- 3.13 The Advisory Panel meets at least annually to discuss technical and methodological aspects of the OBR's work and is also consulted on an ad-hoc basis through the year. We will continue to review the frequency of these meetings as our work programme develops. Members of the panel are not involved in the production of the OBR's economic and fiscal forecasts. The members advise the OBR on a pro bono basis.

Achievements in 2012-13

Core responsibilities

- 3.14 In 2012-13⁴ we fulfilled our statutory core responsibilities through the publication of the following reports:
 - the December 2012 and March 2013 Economic and fiscal outlooks (EFO) set out our latest economic and fiscal forecasts and assessments of the likelihood that the Government will meet its fiscal mandate.⁵ In the December 2012 EFO we made a significant downgrade to our economic and fiscal forecast, reflecting the continued weakness of the economy. As a result the Government is not currently on track to meet its fiscal target to have debt falling in 2015-16. The Government remained on track to meet its target of achieving a cyclically-adjusted surplus on the current budget in five years time by pencilling in further reductions in expenditure in 2017-18. In the March 2013 EFO we revised down our short-term outlook for the economy, implying a weaker forecast for tax receipts. Alongside each EFO we also scrutinised and certified all of the Government's policy costings;
 - our second Fiscal sustainability report (FSR) was published in July 2012.⁶ In this we set out long-term projections of tax and expenditure under current policy settings, which suggested that the public finances are likely to come under pressure over the longer term primarily as a result of an ageing population. We produced further analysis of the pressures on health spending from demography and productivity. We also assessed the additional information on public sector balance sheets provided by the second publication by the Government of the 2010-11 Whole of Government Accounts; and
 - our Forecast evaluation report (FER) was published in October 2012. The report analysed why our GDP forecasts had been too optimistic in the period from June 2010 to the middle of 2012 but yet the public finances had come in broadly as forecast over the same period. On the basis of the analysis it set out a number of areas in which our forecasts could be improved.

⁴ Our Corporate and business plan 2013-14 to 2015-16, available on our website, sets out our business plan for 2013-14 and outlines our corporate objectives and anticipated outputs and structure up to 2015-16.

⁵ http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/economic-and-fiscal-outlook-december-2012/; http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/economic-and-fiscal-outlook-march-2013/

⁶ http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/fiscal-sustainability-report-july-2012/

3.15 Alongside our medium-term forecasts, in accordance with the Scotland Act 2012, we forecast Scottish receipts from a number of taxes – Scottish income tax, landfill tax, stamp duty land tax and aggregates levy – which the Government intends to devolve to the Scottish Parliament from April 2015 onwards.

Wider analysis

- 3.16 We supplement our core outputs with wider analysis which supports our key objective of examining and reporting on the sustainability of the public finances. In 2012-13 we published two working papers, one briefing paper and continued a monthly commentary on the ONS/HMT public sector finance release.⁷
- 3.17 Our briefing papers provide in-depth explanations on how we conduct our analysis.⁸ In June 2012 we published *Briefing paper No. 4 How we present uncertainty,* which explains how we produce the fan charts, sensitivity analysis and scenarios in our *EFOs.* Alongside this we published a database of historical official forecasts of GDP and fiscal aggregates.
- 3.18 Our working papers aim to inform discussion and take a detailed look into particular areas of interest which have important implications for our forecasts.⁹ We produced two working papers in 2012-13. Cyclically adjusting the public finances reassessed the size of the cyclical adjustment coefficients by revisiting previous Treasury analysis and by considering a range of other approaches. Alongside this we published a database of all the major tax policy decisions in Budgets since 1970. A small model of the UK economy presents a small calibrated New-Keynesian model of the UK economy, extended to take account of credit risk premia and unconventional monetary policy. It can be used to run simulations or provide alternative economic scenarios.

Communications and stakeholder engagement

3.19 The BRC and OBR staff have made numerous presentations to a wide range of external audiences through the year on the role of the OBR and on our analysis and forecasts. We have held press and analysts briefing sessions after the publication of each *EFO*, and the *FSR* and *FER*. Presentations have been given, among others, to: the Government Economics Service, Office for National Statistics, Norway Ministry of Finance, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, the Low Pay Commission, South Africa National

⁷ http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/category/topics/monthly-public-finance-data/

⁸ http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/category/publications/briefing-papers/

⁹ http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/category/publications/working-papers/

Treasury, Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs, University College London and the Local Government Association's annual conference.

- 3.20 We also engage widely with external analysts ahead of the production of our main reports in order to inform our internal work, though the judgements and conclusions of all our analysis are solely the responsibility of the BRC. As well as meetings with relevant analysts in government departments, this year we have engaged with external institutions including the Bank of England, the Financial Services Authority, the National Institute of Economic and Social Research, the Institute for Fiscal Studies, the Office for National Statistics and the National Audit Office. We have also held meetings with government economists in Wales and Scotland. We have discussed our analysis with experts from international organisations including the International Monetary Fund, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, and the European Commission.
- 3.21 We are accountable to Parliament primarily through appearances at the Treasury Select Committee which have been held after the publication of both of our *EFOs*, in December 2012 and March 2013. The transcripts can be found via our website.¹⁰ The Chairman has also appeared before the Scottish Parliament Finance Committee.

Operations

3.22 Our financial management and oversight arrangements were established in the *Framework document* drawn up by the Treasury and agreed with the OBR. Key elements of the arrangements are described in Chapter 6. Our sponsor department is HM Treasury and we are funded via a delegated budget from the Treasury. The Chairman of the OBR is designated as the Accounting Officer responsible for the effective management of public funds.

Service agreements

- 3.23 We share our workspace and accommodation with the Attorney General's Office (AGO) at 20 Victoria Street. As part of this arrangement, we also pay a share of the cost of the AGO's service contracts for security and facilities management. Our IT infrastructure and maintenance is also delivered via the AGO network. We work together with the AGO to ensure that both departments continue to achieve value-for-money in the procurement of shared services.
- 3.24 As a small organisation, to ensure value-for-money, we contract administrative human resources, finance, accounting, procurement and payroll services from

¹⁰ http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/transparency/evidence-to-parliament/

HM Treasury. These services are outlined within a Service Level Agreement (SLA) between HM Treasury and the OBR.

Finance performance measurables

3.25 As part of the Treasury Group the OBR is committed to the central government target of paying valid invoices within 5 days of receipt. In the reporting period 69 per cent of invoices (88 per cent in 2011-12) which the OBR have received have been paid within the target time of five days. The OBR's trade creditor days for the period, calculated as the proportion that is the aggregate amount owed to trade creditors at 31 March 2013 compared with the aggregate amount invoiced by suppliers during the year, expressed as a number of days when compared with the period of account, was 0 days (2011-12: 0 days).

Sickness absence

3.26 During the period ending 31 March 2013 the average number of working days lost due to sickness absence was 1.3 days per FTE (2011-12: 0.75 days per FTE).

Personal data incidents

3.27 There were no personal data related incidents for the period ending 31 March 2013.

Financial instruments

3.28 The OBR is not exposed to liquidity, interest rate or currency risk. Details of financial assets and liabilities are provided in the notes to the accounts.

Pension liabilities

3.29 Civil service employees of the OBR are covered by the provisions of the Principal Civil Service Pension Scheme (PCSPS). The details of this and pension liabilities are given in the accounting policy notes. Details of pension arrangements for office holders are contained in the remuneration report.

Register of interests

3.30 The Board members do not hold any directorships or have significant interests in organisations which may conflict with their management responsibilities.

Sustainability

3.31 The OBR works with the Attorney General's Office to agree priorities for ensuring that the environmental impact of our building and operations are minimised. The 20 Victoria Street Sustainability Group has agreed a number of initiatives, which we hope will improve the sustainability of both our operations as well as reducing the overall shared cost of accommodation.

Financial summary

- 3.32 The OBR is funded via Grant-in-Aid from HM Treasury's supply estimate, which is subject to Parliamentary control. The OBR is separately identified on the face of the Treasury estimate. The OBR agreed a four year, flat cash funding allocation of £1.75 million per year with the Treasury at the time of the 2010 Spending Review. The budget has been increased to £1.77 million to include the VAT on the rental payment to the AGO.
- 3.33 In 2012-13 staff costs accounted for £1.28 million of expenditure and non-pay costs amounted to £0.45 million. The OBR's net expenditure for the period totalled £1.72 million.
- 3.34 The vast majority of our non-pay expenditure funds our share of the cost-sharing agreement with the Attorney General's Office. The total cost to the OBR in 2012-13 under this agreement has been £358,000. This total includes our share of the building rent, service charges, council tax rates, utilities, security, maintenance, telephones, IT hardware and IT support.

Accounts direction

3.35 The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with a Direction issued by HM Treasury under Paragraph 18, Schedule 1 of the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011.

Going concern

3.36 The OBR is a statutory corporate body established under the *Budget Responsibility* and National Audit Act 2011 which entered into force on 4 April 2011 under SI 892 (2011). This legislation provides the basis for the creation and continued operation of the OBR. The OBR is funded annually by Parliament through Grantin-Aid financed from the HM Treasury supply estimate. The supply estimate for 2013-14 Supply and Appropriation (Main Estimates) Act 2013 indicates that the OBR will continue to receive funding support from HM Treasury. The OBR has concluded that it is a going concern.

Auditors information

3.37 The accounts of the OBR are audited by the Comptroller and Auditor General under Paragraph 18 (4), Schedule 1 of the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011. His certificate and report appear in Chapter 7. The audit fee charged was £20,000. The auditors received no fees for non-audit services. The auditors have been provided with all relevant audit information necessary to complete their audit and the Accounting Officer has taken all of the necessary steps to ensure that the auditors are aware of any relevant information.

Court Oto

Robert Chote, Chairman 21 May 2013

4 Remuneration report

Members' remuneration

- 4.1 The table below reports the remuneration of each member of the Budget Responsibility Committee. Members' remuneration is set by the Treasury on appointment. Current members' letters of appointment, which include detailed remuneration arrangements, were published on the OBR website in April 2011. As stipulated in the letters of appointment, the BRC are not entitled to any bonus payments.
- 4.2 The non-executive members of the OBR do not receive any fees.
- 4.3 All of the members of the BRC are subject to the deduction of appropriate taxes via the PAYE system.

Name	Full year salary equivalent (£000)	2012-13 Amount payable in reporting period (£000)	Benefits in kind (nearest £100)
Robert Chote Chairman	144	144	-
Stephen Nickell BRC member (0.6FTE)	70	70	
Graham Parker	70	70	-
BRC member (0.6FTE)	70	70	-

Fees and benefits of senior management (audited)

Name	Full year salary equivalent (£000)	2011-12 Amount payable in reporting period (£000)	Benefits in kind (nearest £100)
Robert Chote			
Chairman			
(04/04/11 onwards)	142	141	-
Stephen Nickell BRC member (0.6 FTE) (04/04/11 onwards)	69	68	-
Graham Parker			
BRC member (0.6 FTE)			
(04/04/11 onwards)	69	68	-

Pensions

- As part of his contractual terms, Robert Chote receives a payment equivalent to 20 per cent of his annual fee into his personal pension scheme, which amounts to £28,700 (£28,400 in 2011-12) on a full year equivalent basis.
- 4.5 The OBR does not pay into the Civil Service Pension Scheme on behalf of any of the members of the BRC.

Expenses

4.6 The OBR publishes a record of travel and subsistence expenses for BRC members on its website on a quarterly basis. The OBR has incurred a cost of £4635 (£834 in 2011-12) for Robert Chote's travel and subsistence expenses over the period. The OECD offset some of the cost at their request. These figures do not include expenses which have been claimed and subsequently recovered from a third party. No other member of the OBR made any claims for expenses.

Staff remuneration

4.7 The OBR's staff are civil servants and pay arrangements are governed by the policy framework set out by the Cabinet Office and HM Treasury. Total pay cost for OBR staff members in 2012-13 was £934,000 (£933,000 in 2011-12). This includes a pot of £17,000 paid in year for non-consolidated performance-related payments available to the OBR's non-senior civil service staff. The Oversight Board is responsible for overseeing the pay remit for OBR staff. As such the Oversight Board approves the Remuneration Report.

Pay relativity

- 4.8 Reporting bodies are required to disclose the relationship between the remuneration of the highest-paid director in their organisation and the median remuneration of the organisation's workforce.
- 4.9 The remuneration of the highest-paid director in the OBR in the year ended 31 March 2013 was £144,000 (£142,000 year ended 31 March 2012) on a full year equivalent basis. This was 3.5 times (2.9 times 2011-12) the median remuneration of the workforce, which was £41,000 (£49,000 in 2011-12). The median remuneration has fallen due to a higher proportion of lower grade staff in post. The median salary includes the full year equivalent salary of all staff in post as at 31 March 2013.
- 4.10 In 2011-12 and 2012-13, no employees received remuneration in excess of the highest-paid director. Remuneration ranged from £14,687 to £75,709 in 2012-13 (2011-12 £17,915 to £77,022).
- 4.11 Total remuneration includes salary, non-consolidated performance-related pay and benefits-in-kind. It does not include severance payments, employer pension contributions and the cash equivalent transfer value of pensions.

Court Coto

Robert Chote, Chairman 21 May 2013

5 Statement of Accounting Officer's responsibilities

- 5.1 Under Paragraph 18, Schedule 1 of the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011, HM Treasury has directed the Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) to prepare for each financial year a statement of accounts in the form and on the basis set out in the Accounts Direction.
- 5.2 The accounts are prepared on an accruals basis and must give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the OBR and of its income and expenditure, changes in taxpayers equity and cash flows for the financial year.
- 5.3 In preparing the accounts, the Accounting Officer is required to comply with the requirements of the Government Financial Reporting Manual and in particular to:
 - observe the Accounts Direction issued by HM Treasury, including the relevant accounting and disclosure requirements, and apply suitable accounting policies on a consistent basis;
 - make judgements and estimates on a reasonable basis;
 - state whether applicable accounting standards as set out in the Government Financial Reporting Manual have been followed, and disclose and explain any material departures in the accounts; and
 - prepare the accounts on a going concern basis.
- 5.4 The Accounting Officer of HM Treasury has designated the Chairman as Accounting Officer of the OBR.
- 5.5 The responsibilities of an Accounting Officer, including responsibility for the propriety and regularity of the public finances for which the Accounting Officer is answerable, for keeping proper records and for safeguarding the OBR's assets, are set out in *Managing Public Money* published by HM Treasury.

6 Governance Statement

6.1 As Accounting Officer, I have responsibility for reviewing the effectiveness of our governance arrangements and risk management. My review is informed by the work of the non-executive members of our Oversight Board, our internal and external auditors, and the staff within the organisation who have responsibility for the development and maintenance of internal processes.

Governance structures

- 6.2 Corporate governance structures within the OBR are framed by the requirements of the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011, which sets out the legal duties of the OBR and the functions and broad governance structure of the Office. In support of the primary legislation, the Office for Budget Responsibility and HM Treasury Framework Document, available on our website, describes how we are accountable to Parliament and the Chancellor, our governance structures, my responsibilities as Accounting Officer, our audit arrangements, and our management and budgeting processes.
- 6.3 Our governance structure has been designed, where relevant, to be consistent with the principles of the Corporate Governance Code of Good Practice for Central Government Departments, published by HM Treasury.¹ Smaller non-ministerial bodies, such as the OBR, are encouraged to adopt the practices set out in the Code, which is primarily aimed at ministerial departments. The Oversight Board has reviewed our governance arrangements and is satisfied that they are effective and that they comply with the principles and practices set out in the Code.

The Oversight Board

6.4 The Act requires the Office to appoint five members, the three executive members of the Budget Responsibility Committee (BRC) and the two non-executive members. The five members of the OBR have established the OBR's Oversight Board, as required by the OBR/HM Treasury Framework Document. Consistent with best practice the Oversight Board is chaired by one of the non-executive members, currently Lord Burns.

¹ http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/d/corporate_governance_good_practice_july2011.pdf

- 6.5 The Board's terms of reference are published on the OBR's website.² The Board is responsible for establishing and taking forward the strategic aims of the OBR and for ensuring that effective governance arrangements are in place. It also provides assurance on internal risk management and controls.
- 6.6 All members attended the scheduled meetings of the Oversight Board over 2012-13. Minutes of the meetings are published on the OBR website.

The Audit Committee

- 6.7 The Audit Committee is a sub-committee of the Oversight Board. The Audit Committee consists of the two non-executive members and is chaired by Kate Barker. The terms of reference for the Audit Committee are published on the OBR website. Its function is to provide advice to the Oversight Board and the Accounting Officer on the appropriateness and adequacy of risk management, internal controls and governance arrangements.
- 6.8 All members attended the scheduled meetings of the Audit Committee over 2012-13. Minutes of all meetings of the Audit Committee are also published on the OBR website.

Executive management

6.9 I lead the OBR's management group, which includes the OBR's Head of Staff and other members of staff as appropriate. This has responsibility for the overall management of the OBR. We are responsible for implementing strategic decisions taken by the Board, for making any necessary and appropriate decisions relating to the day-to-day performance of the OBR's business, and for the effective management of OBR staff.

Risk management

6.10 The main risks the OBR faces are around the successful delivery of our core responsibility to produce independent and authoritative analysis of the sustainability of the public finances. We produce high-profile outputs which are central to fiscal management in the UK and in particular to the delivery of the Government's annual Budget. The operational and financial risks that we face are less significant as the OBR is a small organisation with a small fixed budget which is primarily spent on staff and accommodation costs.

² http://budgetresponsibility.independent.gov.uk/transparency/governance/

- 6.11 Our risk management strategy involves all members of staff in the OBR and our Board. As we are a small organisation our approach to risk management is naturally very closely integrated with both the longer-term strategic planning and the day-to-day management of the organisation. All members of staff and all members of the Board are involved in the identification of risks. There is clear ownership and responsibilities for managing risks.
- 6.12 The Head of Staff is responsible for compiling and maintaining a register of the key risks facing the organisation. All members of staff and the BRC are consulted in identifying these risks. The register is discussed in detail at Board meetings and a mitigation strategy has been agreed for each risk. I am responsible with the Head of Staff for ensuring the mitigation strategies are implemented and reporting back to the Board. We also ensure that staff and the BRC are regularly consulted on any new risks.

Analytical risks

- 6.13 The key risks we face are related to the successful delivery of our core responsibility to produce independent and authoritative analysis of the sustainability of the public finances.
- 6.14 We are a small organisation and so it is important that we have appropriate skills and experience within the OBR staff and that we are able to draw on relevant external analysis, while ensuring the judgments we take are solely those of the BRC. I am assured that we currently have the resources we need to meet our objectives, and this is also the view of our non-executive members. The potential loss of experienced staff members, an increase in the demands placed on our staff and the effective maintenance and development of the forecasting infrastructure, such as the macroeconomic model, are risks that the Board and management of the OBR are focused on mitigating. To ensure we are open to external analysis we have set up an Advisory Panel and we consult widely with stakeholders and outside analysts, as set out in Chapter 3.
- 6.15 The disaggregated nature of the public finances forecast means we also require close and effective working with experts in a number of government departments. To mitigate the risks around this process we have agreed a Memorandum of Understanding with the key government departments involved in our work which sets out roles and responsibilities, coordination of the forecast process, and the process for information sharing. I chair a regular meeting of senior officials from the key departments to ensure effective working. If I have concerns around these working relationships I have recourse under the Memorandum of Understanding to raise issues with the relevant departmental Permanent Secretaries. I have not had any reason to raise any issues regarding these relationships under this mechanism so far.

- 6.16 It is central to our objective that our analysis and judgements are produced independently from Ministers and perceived to be so by the public. The *Memorandum of Understanding* provides a framework within which we can work with Government officials while retaining our independence. To mitigate risks around the perception of independence we set out transparently our process for working with Government in each of our major reports and publish a log of contact with Ministers, special advisers and their private office officials. To date we have come under no pressure from Ministers, advisers or officials to change any of our conclusions.
- 6.17 This year we published a *Memorandum of Understanding* with HM Treasury covering the shared ownership of the macroeconomic model. This sets out governance arrangements for shared ownership, a process for agreeing and implementing a rolling model development plan, and commits both parties to providing sufficient resource to meet these requirements. This helps address a key risk around the effective maintenance and development of our forecasting infrastructure.
- 6.18 Our budget is small at £1.77 million and is primarily spent on staff and accommodation costs. The financial risks we face are therefore relatively low. Nevertheless as Accounting Officer I am responsible for safeguarding public funds for which I have charge, and I have ensured we have robust processes in place to do so that are proportionate to our size and the level of financial risks we face.
- 6.19 Our financial management services are provided by HM Treasury. I have received assurance from the Treasury Group Director of Finance that she is content that the processes which are in place under the shared agreement are sound from their perspective and that we can be assured that they know of nothing which might be compromising our management of public funds.
- 6.20 We have appointed a qualified financial adviser who attends our Board and Audit Committee meetings. Our current financial adviser is also the Deputy Director of Finance at HM Treasury. In her capacity as our financial adviser she is accountable to me. This arrangement is operationally efficient and represents value-for-money given that the OBR contracts administrative finance services from HM Treasury. The Board considers an update report on our finances at each meeting. We have implemented the recommendation of last year's Internal Audit assessment of our internal procedures for processing financial transactions and monitoring the management accounts.
- 6.21 The key operational risks we face are the accidental loss or leak of confidential material, or the loss of IT services and/or access to our office space, ahead of delivery of our major reports. We have developed a business continuity plan and

subscribe to back-up IT facilities and office space. We have implemented the recommendations of last year's Internal Audit assessment of our business continuity planning. We have a robust security policy to mitigate the risks of accidental loss or leak of confidential material. This year we asked Internal Audit to review our knowledge management systems and our IT service contracts. They found that we generally have good record management systems and made some recommendations for further improvements which we will take forward. They found that our IT service contracts were adequate for our business needs, including sufficient security and IT support.

Opinion on effectiveness of governance arrangements

6.22 My review of the effectiveness of the system of internal control is informed by the work of the internal auditors and the executive managers within the OBR, who have been delegated responsibility for the development and maintenance of the internal control framework, and comments made by the external auditors in their management letter and other reports.

Courts Coto

Robert Chote, Chairman 21 May 2013

7 Certificate and Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General to the Houses of Parliament

7.1 I certify that I have audited the financial statements of the Office for Budget Responsibility for the period ended 31 March 2013 under the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011. The financial statements comprise: the Statements of Comprehensive Net Expenditure, Financial Position, Cash Flows, Changes in Taxpayers' Equity; and the related notes. These financial statements have been prepared under the accounting policies set out within them. I have also audited the information in the Remuneration Report that is described in that report as having been audited.

Respective responsibilities of the Budget Responsibility, Accounting Officer and auditor

7.2 As explained more fully in the Statement of Accounting Officer's Responsibilities, the Office for Budget Responsibility and the Accounting Officer are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view. My responsibility is to audit, certify and report on the financial statements in accordance with the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011. I conducted my audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland). Those standards require me and my staff to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

Scope of the audit of the financial statements

7.3 An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes an assessment of: whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the Office for Budget Responsibility's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed; the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by Office for Budget Responsibility; and the overall presentation of the financial statements. In addition I read all the

Certificate and Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General to the Houses of Parliament

> financial and non-financial information in the Annual Report to identify material inconsistencies with the audited financial statements. If I become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies I consider the implications for my certificate. I am required to obtain evidence sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the expenditure and income recorded in the financial statements have been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions recorded in the financial statements conform to the authorities which govern them.

Opinion on regularity

7.4 In my opinion, in all material respects the expenditure and income recorded in the financial statements have been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions recorded in the financial statements conform to the authorities which govern them.

Opinion on financial statements

- 7.5 In my opinion:
 - the financial statements give a true and fair view of the state of the Office for Budget Responsibility's affairs as at 31 March 2013 and of the net expenditure for the period then ended; and
 - the financial statements have been properly prepared in accordance with the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011 and HM Treasury directions issued thereunder.

Opinion on other matters

- 7.6 In my opinion:
 - the part of the Remuneration Report to be audited has been properly prepared in accordance with HM Treasury directions made under the Budget Responsibility and National Audit Act 2011; and
 - the information given in the Management Commentary for the financial period for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

Matters on which I report by exception

- 7.7 I have nothing to report in respect of the following matters which I report to you if, in my opinion:
 - adequate accounting records have not been kept or returns adequate for my audit have not been received from branches not visited by my staff; or
 - the financial statements and the part of the Remuneration Report to be audited are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns; or
 - I have not received all of the information and explanations I require for my audit; or
 - the Governance Statement does not reflect compliance with HM Treasury's guidance.

Report

7.8 I have no observations to make on these financial statements.

Amyas C E Morse

Comptroller and Auditor General

24 May 2013

National Audit Office

157-197 Buckingham Palace Road

Victoria

London SW1W 9SP

8 Financial statements

01 April 2012 – 31 March 2013

Statement of Comprehensive Net Expenditure

for the year ended 31 March 2013

		2012-13	2011-12
	Note	(£000)	(£000)
Expenditure			
Staff costs	3.1	1,280	1,245
Other expenditure	4	452	460
		1,732	1,705
Income			
Other income	5	(7)	(1)
		(7)	(1)
Net expenditure	-	1,725	1,704

There were no items of other comprehensive expenditure.

Statement of Financial Position

as at 31 March 2013

	Note	2012-13 (£000)	2011-12 (£000)
Current assets	14016	(2000)	(2000)
Receivables due within one year	7	12	31
Cash and cash equivalents	8	259	362
Total current assets		271	393
Current liabilities			
Payables due within one year	9	(240)	(252)
Total current liabilities		(240)	(252)
Net current assets		31	141
Assets less liabilities		31	141
Taxpayers' equity General fund		31	141
Total taxpayers' equity		31	141

The financial statements were approved on 21 May 2013.

Court Coto

Robert Chote, Chairman 21 May 2013

Statement of Cash Flows

for the year ended 31 March 2013

	Note	2012-13 (£000)	2011-12 (£000)
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net operating cost		1,725	1,704
Adjustment for non-cash transactions		-	-
Changes in working capital other than cash		(7)	(221)
Net cash outflow from operating activities		1,718	1,483
Cash flows from investing activities		-	-
Cash flows from financing activities		(1, (15)	(1.0.45)
Grant-in-Aid from HM Treasury		(1,615)	(1,845)
Net financing		(1,615)	(1,845)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents in the period	8	(103)	362
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	_	362	
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period	8	259	362

Statement of Changes in Taxpayers' Equity

for the year ended 31 March 2013

	General Reserve (£000)
Balance at 1 April 2012	141
Grant-in-Aid from HM Treasury	1,615
Comprehensive expenditure for the year	(1,725)
Balance at 31 March 2013	31

for the period ended 31 March 2012

	General Reserve (£000)
Balance at 4 April 2011	-
Grant-in-Aid from HM Treasury	1,845
Comprehensive Expenditure for the year	(1,704)
Balance at 31 March	141

Notes to the Resource Accounts

No significant accounting estimates or judgements were made in the preparation of these accounts.

1. Statement of accounting policies

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the 2012-13 Government Financial Reporting Manual (FReM)¹. The accounting policies contained in the FReM apply International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adapted or interpreted for the public sector context.

Where the FReM permits a choice of accounting policy, the accounting policy which is judged to be most appropriate to the particular circumstances of the Office for Budget Responsibility for the purpose of giving a true and fair view has been selected. The particular policies adopted by the Office for Budget Responsibility are described below. They have been applied consistently in dealing with items that are considered material to the accounts.

IFRSs in issue but not yet effective

As per the FReM, these accounts apply EU adopted IFRS and Interpretations in place as at 1 January 2012, that have an effective date of application of 1 April 2013, or earlier. The Office for Budget Responsibility has reviewed the effects that new accounting standards are expected to have.

IAS 19 Post-Employment Benefits (effective from 1 January 2013) has been endorsed by the EU and will apply to the Office for Budget Responsibility's 2013-14 resource accounts. The main changes are to eliminate the option to defer the recognition of gains and losses resulting from defined benefit plans (the 'corridor approach') and to improve the presentation and disclosures relating to defined benefit plans. The Office for Budget Responsibility participates in a defined benefit scheme which is treated as a defined contribution scheme and will therefore not be impacted by the changes.

1.1 Accounting convention

These accounts have been prepared on an accruals basis under the historical cost convention. Prior year comparative figures relate to the period 4 April 2011 to 31 March 2012.

¹www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/frem_index.htm

1.2 Property, plant and equipment and intangible non-current assets

Would the Office for Budget Responsibility to have any fixed assets, property, plant and equipment and intangible non-current assets they would initially be recognised at cost. The threshold for capitalising non-current assets is £5,000.

Subsequently, assets are valued at historical cost less accumulated depreciation. This is a suitable proxy for fair value and is allowable per the FReM for those assets with short useful lives or low values. This includes assets held as fixtures and fittings, IT equipment and intangible non-current assets.

1.3 Depreciation

Would the Office for Budget Responsibility to have any fixed assets, the charge for depreciation would be calculated to write down the cost or valuation of fixed assets to their estimated residual values by equal instalments over their estimated useful lives, which are as follows:

Furniture, fixtures and fittings	Lesser of 5 to 10 years or outstanding lease term
Office and other non-IT equipment	3 to 5 years
Leasehold improvements	Over the lease term
Computer and telecom hardware, software and licences	3 to 10 years
Other plant and machinery	5 to 15 years

1.4 Financing

The Office for Budget Responsibility is financed via a Grant-in-Aid from HM Treasury. The Grant-in-Aid is credited to the general fund in the year in which it is received. The total Grant-in-Aid received by the Office for Budget Responsibility from HM Treasury in the financial year 2012-13 was £1,615,000. This total represents the cash requirement within the reporting period and the carryover of a cash balance into the following financial year.

1.5 Pensions

The provisions of the Principal Civil Service Pension Scheme (PCSPS), which are described in note 3.2, cover the majority of past and present employees. The defined benefit scheme within the PCSPS is unfunded and is contributory. The Office for Budget Responsibility recognises the expected cost of future pension liabilities in a systematic and rational basis over the period during which it benefits from employees' services, by payment to the PCSPS of amounts calculated on an accruing basis. Liability for payment of future benefits is a charge on the scheme.

1.6 Employee benefits

The Office for Budget Responsibility has provided for the cost of accumulating compensated absences. This is accounted for when an employee renders services that increase their entitlement to future compensated absences. It is calculated based on pay and Employers' National Insurance Contributions.

1.7 Financial instruments

Trade receivables are recognised initially at fair value and subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method. Appropriate allowances for estimated irrecoverable amounts are recognised in the Statement of Comprehensive Net Expenditure when there is objective evidence that the asset is impaired. The allowance recognised is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying value and the estimated future cash-flows deriving from the continued use of that asset, discounted if the effect is material.

Trade payables are recognised initially at fair value.

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash in hand, demand deposits and other short term liquid investments that are readily convertible to a known amount of cash and are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value.

1.8 Leases

Leases are classified as either finance or operating leases in accordance with IAS 17 Leases. The distinction depends on whether the lease transfers substantially all of the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of the leased asset from the lessor to the lessee. Leases in which a significant proportion of the risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the lessor are classified as finance leases, other leases are classified as operating leases. The Office for Budget Responsibility has not entered into any finance lease arrangements. Operating leases are charged to the SCNE on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. In disclosure of future commitments, no account is taken of inflationary effects until these are informed to the Office for Budget Responsibility by the provider.

1.9 Provisions, contingent liabilities and contingent assets

Would the Office for Budget Responsibility to have any provisions, contingent liabilities or contingent assets, IAS 37 would apply. A provision is recognised when the following three criteria are met, in line with the requirements in IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets:

• there is a present obligation (either legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;

- it is probable that a transfer of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation; and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

The amount recognised as a provision is the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date.

A contingent liability is a possible obligation arising from past events whose existence will be confirmed only by uncertain future events, or it is a present obligation arising from past events that are not recognised because either an outflow of economic benefit is not probable or the amount of the obligation cannot be reliably estimated.

A contingent asset is a possible asset whose existence will be confirmed only by the occurrence or non-occurrence of one or more uncertain future events not wholly within the control of the Office for Budget Responsibility.

2. Statement of operating costs by operating segment

The operating segment analysis is reported in a manner consistent with the internal reporting provided to the Office for Budget Responsibility Board. The Board has been identified as the chief operating decision maker, in accordance with accounting standards. As at 31 March 2013, the Office for Budget Responsibility was reported internally as one segment.

3. Staff numbers and related costs

	2012-13	2011-12
	Permanent	Permanent
	staff	staff
	£000	£000
Wages and salaries	1,012	991
Social Security costs	104	91
Other pension costs	164	163
Total costs	1,280	1,245

3.1 Analysis of total staff costs

3.2 Pension schemes

The Principal Civil Service Pension Scheme (PCSPS) is an unfunded multiemployer defined benefit scheme in which the Office for Budget Responsibility is unable to identify its share of the underlying assets and liabilities. The Scheme Actuary valued the scheme as at 31 March 2007. Details can be found in the Resource Accounts of the Cabinet Office: Civil Superannuation (www.civilservice.gov.uk/pensions). For 2012-13, employers' contributions of £135,000 (2011-12: £134,000) were payable to the PCSPS at one of four rates in the range 16.7 to 24.3 per cent of pensionable pay, based on salary bands. The Scheme Actuary reviews employer contributions every four years following a full scheme valuation. The contribution rates are set to meet the cost of the benefits accruing during 2012-13 to be paid when the member retires, and not the benefits paid during this period to existing pensioners.

Employees can opt to open a partnership pension account, a stakeholder pension with an employer contribution. Employers' contributions of nil (2011-12: £1,000) were paid to one or more of a panel of three appointed stakeholder pension providers. Employer contributions are age-related and range from 3.0 to 12.5 per cent of pensionable pay. Employers also match employee contributions up to 3 per cent of pensionable pay.

Contributions due to the partnership pension providers at the balance sheet date were nil (2011-12: nil). Contributions prepaid at that date were nil (2011-12: nil).

During the year 2012-13, payments towards the pension costs of the Chairman were £28,700 (2011-12: £28,400). The nature of these payments is disclosed in the remuneration report on page 17.

3.3 Average number of persons employed

	2012-13	2011-12
	Number	Number
	Permanent	Permanent
	staff	staff
Directly employed	17.1	17.5
Other	<u> </u>	1
Total	17.1	18.5

The average number of full-time equivalent persons employed during the year was as follows:

4. Other expenditure

	2012-13 £000	2011-12 £000
Accommodation costs	230	209
Printing and office services	83	86
IT costs	79	74
Contracted out services	25	34
External auditor's remuneration	20	22
Staff Support and Staff-related costs, including training and travel	15	18
Analytical consultancy provided by the Government Actuary's Department for input into the Fiscal sustainability report	-	17
Total	452	460

No payments were made to the external auditors in respect of non-audit services.

5. Income

The Office for Budget Responsibility has received income totalling \pounds 6,900 (2011-12: \pounds 1,300) within the reporting period. This income relates to the sale of the *Economic and fiscal outlook* publications to external parties. It also relates to the reimbursement of travel and accommodation costs for employees of the Office for Budget Responsibility attending meetings on behalf of the OBR at the European Commission and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD).

6. Financial instruments

As the cash requirements of the Office for Budget Responsibility are met through Grant-in-Aid provided by HM Treasury, financial instruments play a more limited role in creating and managing risk than would apply to a non-public sector body. The majority of financial instruments relate to contracts to buy non-financial items in line with the Office for Budget Responsibility's expected purchase and usage requirements and the Office for Budget Responsibility is therefore exposed to little credit, liquidity or market risk.

7. Receivables

	2012-13	2011-12
Amounts falling due within one year	£000	£000
Taxation and social security	7	20
Deposits and advances	2	1
Prepayments and accrued income	3	10
Sub-total: Passing through the SCNE	12	31
Total due within one year	12	31
Total due after more than one year	-	
Total receivables	12	31
Of which:		
Balances with other central government bodies	7	30
Balances with bodies external to government	5	1

8. Cash and cash equivalents

	2012-13 £000	2011-12 £000
Balance at 1 April	362	-
Net change in cash balances – inflow / (outflow)	(103)	362
Balance at 31 March 2013	259	362
The following balances were held at 31 March:		
Government Banking Service	259	362
Balance at 31 March 2013	259	362

9. Payables and other current liabilities

	2012-13	2011-12
Amounts falling due within one year	£000	£000
Taxation and social security	32	30
Trade & other payables	18	16
Accruals	190	206
Total falling due within one year	240	252
Of which:		
Balances with other central government bodies	139	141
Balances with bodies external to government	101	111

10. Commitments and Leases

The Office for Budget Responsibility has neither entered into any capital commitments nor any non-cancellable contracts.

The Office for Budget Responsibility leases its office space from the Attorney General's Office (AGO) by means of an agreement as set out within a Memorandum of Terms of Occupation. This covers both the provision of accommodation and office services. The agreement runs for a period of 6 years from 13 December 2010.

Obligations over the lease period comprise:

	2012-13 £000	2011-12 £000
Accommodation		
Not later than one year	220	214
Later than one year and not later than five years	598	795
Later than five years	-	-
	818	1,009
Office services		
Not later than one year	115	120
Later than one year and not later than five years	312	446
Later than five years	-	-
	427	566

These figures do not take account of any future increases in the underlying costs which would be passed on to the Office for Budget Responsibility.

11. Related party transactions

The sponsoring department of the Office for Budget Responsibility is HM Treasury. HM Treasury is regarded as a related party with which the Office for Budget Responsibility has had various material transactions during the year, including the provision of HR and financial services to the Office for Budget Responsibility.

The Office for Budget Responsibility has ongoing transactions with the Attorney General's Office (AGO) relating to an arrangement through which AGO recharges the Office for Budget Responsibility in respect of costs for accommodation and IT services.

No Board member, key manager or other related party has undertaken any material transactions with the Office for Budget Responsibility. For details of board member and key manager benefits, please see the remuneration report in chapter 4.

12. Events after the reporting period

There were no significant events after the reporting period.

13. Date authorised for issue

The financial statements were authorised for issue by the accounting officer on 24 May 2013.

There were no changes to the accounts between the date when the Accounting Officer signed the accounts and the date they were authorised for issue. INTERPO

Published by TSO (The Stationery Office) and available from:

Online www.tsoshop.co.uk

Mail, telephone, fax and e-mail

TSO PO Box 29, Norwich, NR3 1GN Telephone orders/general enquiries 0870 600 5522 Order through the Parliamentary Hotline Lo-Call 0845 7 023474 Fax orders: 0870 600 5533 E-mail: customer.services@tso.co.uk Textphone: 0870 240 3701

The Houses of Parliament Shop

12 Bridge Street, Parliament Square London SW1A 2JX Telephone orders/general enquiries: 020 7219 3890 Fax orders: 020 7219 3866 Email: shop@parliament.uk Internet: http://www.shop.parliament.uk

TSO@Blackwell and other accredited agents

