PROJECT TITLE	Governance and Transparency Fund					
IMPACT	**Indicator 1**	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	**Assumptions**
Governments are more capable, accountable and responsive to meet the needs of poor people	WB's "Government Effectiveness" index for selected countries[i]	Ghana 56.7 Kenya 31.0 Nepal 18.1 Nigeria 8.6 Peru 43.3 Sierra Leone 10.0 South Africa 67.6 Tanzania 39.0 Uganda 33.8 Zimbabwe 2.4	-	-	Evolution analysed at the end of the programme[ii].	
		Source				
		Worldwide Governance Indicators (World Bank)				
	Indicator 2	**Baseline 2008[iii]**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	IBP's "Open Budget Index" for selected countries[I]	Ghana 50% Kenya 58% Nepal 43% Nigeria 19% Peru 67% Sierra Leone NDA	-	-	Evolution analysed at the end of the programme[II].	

		South Africa 87% Tanzania 36% Uganda 51% Zimbabwe NDA				
		Source				
		Open Budget Index (International Budget Partnership)				
	Indicator 3	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	TI's "Corruption Perceptions Index" for selected countries[I]	Ghana 3.9 Kenya 2.2 Nepal 2.3 Nigeria 2.5 Peru 3.7 Sierra Leone 2.2 South Africa 4.7 Tanzania 2.6 Uganda 2.5 Zimbabwe 2.2	-	-	Evolution analysed at the end of the programme[II].	
		Source				
		Corruption Perceptions Index (Transparency International)				

OUTCOME	Indicator 1	Baseline 2009	Milestone 1 2011	Milestone 2 2012	Target 2013	Assumptions
Strengthened civil society to help citizens effectively represent their views and interests and hold governments to account for their actions at different levels in the governmental system	"Voice & Accountability" index in selected countries	Ghana 60.7 Kenya 37.4 Nepal 30.8 Nigeria 24.2 Peru 50.2 Sierra Leone 40.8 South Africa 66.4 Tanzania 43.6 Uganda 33.2 Zimbabwe 6.6	-	-	Evolution analysed at the end of the programme[II].	1. Civil society is allowed to operate without restrictions that limit their performance and potential impact 2. Politica stability prevails 3. Continued support of the international community to strengthen governance
		Source				
		Worldwide Governance Indicators (World Bank)				
	Indicator 2	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	Number of communities, CSOs and CS coalitions with significant and sustainable improvements in their capacity to demand improved	100	150	300	> 600	
		Source				
		Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports[III].				

	governance and transparency					
	Indicator 3	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	Number of case studies that demonstrate CS's contributions to sustainable improvements in key aspects of good governance	0	>25	>50	>100	
		Source				
		GTF stories of change (case studies) shared by Grant Holders.				
INPUTS (£)	**DFID (£)**	**Govt (£)**	**Other (£)**	**Total (£)**	**DFID SHARE (%)**	
	138 million	**-**	**-**	**138 million**	**100**	
INPUTS (HR)	**DFID (FTEs)**					
	-					

OUTPUT 1	Indicator 1	Baseline 2009	Milestone 1 2011	Milestone 2 2012	Target 2013	Assumptions
Capability Leaders and Governments are better able to perform such functions as providing stability and personal security, setting rules, putting policies into practice, delivering social services and controlling corruption	Budget allocated to public services and goods for vulnerable and excluded groups	-	2%	4%	6%	Representatives of governments are willing to engage with civil society actors
		Source				
		Numbers reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports				
	Indicator 2	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	Number of officials trained for better management and provision of services	0	6,000	8,000	10,000	
		Source				
		Numbers reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports.				
IMPACT WEIGHTING	**Indicator**	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
		Source				**RISK RATING**
INPUTS (£)	**DFID (£)**	**Govt (£)**	**Other (£)**	**Total (£)**	**DFID SHARE (%)**	
	-	-	-	-	-	
INPUTS (HR)	**DFID (FTEs)**					
	-					

OUTPUT 2	Indicator 1	Baseline 2009	Milestone 1 2011	Milestone 2 2012	Target 2013	Assumptions
Accountability Increased access by citizens to the decision making processes of government, parliaments or assemblies and increased impact of citizens on said processes	Number of key information documents available to the media and public in a timely manner throughout the budget/policy cycle	80	230	380	550	1. Representatives of governments are willing to engage with civil society actors 2. Issues of transparency and good governance are of high priority to a critical mass in society
		Source				
		Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports				
	Indicator 2	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	Number of women empowered through collective action in associations, self-help groups and increased access to knowledge, services, assets and choice	0	100,000	170,000	240,000	
IMPACT WEIGHTING		**Source**				**RISK RATING**
		Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports				
INPUTS (£)	**DFID (£)**	**Govt (£)**	**Other (£)**	**Total (£)**	**DFID SHARE (%)**	
	-	-	-	-	-	
INPUTS (HR)	**DFID (FTEs)**					
	-					

OUTPUT 3	Indicator 1	Baseline 2009	Milestone 1 2011	Milestone 2 2012	Target 2013	Assumptions
Accountability Increased respect for human rights, the rule of law and a free media by governments at different levels	No. of disadvantaged and vulnerable people with understanding of human rights and ability to claim rights	0	25,000	50,000	70,000	1. Absence of violent hostilities in target countries 2. Government, policy makers and other stakeholders are willing to enable particularly poor and marginalised people to exercise their right of participation, engagement, and influence in governance 3. Issues of transparency and good governance are of high priority to a critical mass in society
		Source				
		Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports.				
	Indicator 2	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	Media coverage of governance issues	0	500	1,000	2,500	
		Source				
		Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports				
	Indicator 3	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	Number of strengthened media organisations and trained journalists	0	1,100	1,600	2,000	
		Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports				
IMPACT WEIGHTING	**Indicator 4**	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	Number of human rights abuses addressed by	0	50	100	150	
		Source				**RISK RATING**

	authorities	Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports				
INPUTS (£)	**DFID (£)**	**Govt (£)**	**Other (£)**	**Total (£)**	**DFID SHARE (%)**	
	-	-	-	-	-	
INPUTS (HR)	**DFID (FTEs)**					
	-					

OUTPUT 4	**Indicator 1**	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	**Assumptions**
Accountability Strengthened CSO engagement in the fight against corruption	Number of corruption cases recorded by Advice and Legal Action Centres and other CSOs of particular relevance to poor people	500	1,000	2,000	4,000	Political will and government capacity to act on basis of evidence provided
IMPACT WEIGHTING		**Source**				**RISK RATING**
		Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports				
INPUTS (£)	**DFID (£)**	**Govt (£)**	**Other (£)**	**Total (£)**	**DFID SHARE (%)**	
	-	-	-	-	-	
INPUTS (HR)	**DFID (FTEs)**					
	-					

OUTPUT 5	Indicator 1	Baseline 2009	Milestone 1 2011	Milestone 2 2012	Target 2013	Assumptions
Responsiveness Increased opportunities for people to influence and determine policy and legislation	Evidence of the state's ratification of relevant International Conventions affecting human rights particularly those that affect poor people	0	4	8	13	Representatives of governments are willing to engage with civil society actors
		Source				
		Number reported by Grant Holders in Annual reports				
IMPACT WEIGHTING	**Indicator 2**	**Baseline 2009**	**Milestone 1 2011**	**Milestone 2 2012**	**Target 2013**	
	Number of legal or administrative pro-poor measures proposed and/or adopted in which CSOs have influenced content	30	350	500	>700	
		Source				**RISK RATING**
		Numbers reported by Grant Holders in Annual Reports				
INPUTS (£)	**DFID (£)**	**Govt (£)**	**Other (£)**	**Total (£)**	**DFID SHARE (%)**	
	-	-	-	-	-	
INPUTS (HR)	**DFID (FTEs)**					
	-					

OUTPUT 6	Indicator 1	Baseline 2009	Milestone 1 2011	Milestone 2 2012	Target 2013	Assumptions
Responsiveness- Improved implementation of the policies that are designed to meet the articulated needs and provision of services and public goods for vulnerable and excluded groups	Number of vulnerable and excluded people accessing more appropriate services and public goods: • increase in primary school enrolment (PSE); • improved access to water and sanitation (W/S) • improved HIV/AIDS, reproductive and general health services • improved judiciary system	Education: 31.5 million Wat/San: 0 HIV/AIDS: 2,15 million Health: 0 RHS: 0 Judiciary: 0	-	-	Education: 32.5 million Wat/San: 332,000 HIV/AIDS: 3,61 million Health: 12 million RHS: 33 million Judiciary: 150,000	Representatives of governments are willing to engage with civil society actors
IMPACT WEIGHTING		**Source**				**RISK RATING**
		Numbers reported by Grant Holders at the end of the programme				
INPUTS (£)	**DFID (£)**	**Govt (£)**	**Other (£)**	**Total (£)**	**DFID SHARE (%)**	
	-	-	-	-	-	
INPUTS (HR)	**DFID (FTEs)**					
	-					

[i] Criteria used for the selection of countries: (i) number of programmes >8; and (ii) funds assigned >500K. There are three exceptions (Peru, Sierra Leone and Nepal), which have been included according to thematic or geographic interests.

[ii] Attribution is very thin. There are many other elements that influence the evolution of the index. Therefore, it is difficult to define a priori a realistic target.

[iii] The Open Budget Survey is conducted biennially since 2006. Therefore, 2008 data will be used for the baseline