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Introduction

The UK Government is determined to help end extreme poverty around the world. We believe that international development is not just the right thing to do, but the smart thing to do. Britain has never stood on the sidelines, and it is in all our interests for countries around the world to be stable and secure, to have educated and healthy populations and to have growing economies. DFID aims to end aid dependency through jobs – building the economies of developing countries so that they can stand on their own feet.

No country can develop with only half of the population involved, that is why DFID is scaling up its support for women and girls across all of our country programmes, including an increased emphasis on girls education and preventing violence against women and girls.

We are also focussing on what works, investing in research and taking advantage of new technology to ensure that UK development support has the greatest impact.

DFID is committed to being a global leader on transparency, and in 2012 was ranked the top aid organisation in the world for transparency. Transparency is fundamental to improving accountability both to UK citizens and to citizens in the countries where we work. Transparency also helps us achieve greater value for money and improves the effectiveness of aid. As part of our commitment to transparency we publish Operational Plans for each area of our work setting out what we will achieve and how we will achieve it. In June 2013 DFID launched a new online tool, Development Tracker, to provide an easy way to access information and data about DFID programmes.

With less than 1000 days to go, we will continue to focus our efforts on delivering the Millennium Development Goals, creating wealth in poor countries, strengthening their governance and security and tackling climate change. The prize, in doing so, is huge: a better life for millions of people, and a safer, more prosperous world.
1) Context

Yemen has the highest poverty levels in the Middle East, and faces a range of deep-seated development challenges including a poorly managed and weak economy characterised by: a dependency on declining oil reserves; endemic corruption; and lack of jobs. In addition, Yemen faces food and water insecurity, high population growth, and almost non-existent social service provision. It is unlikely to meet any of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). On-going conflict in the north and the south of the country has displaced thousands of civilians and put some areas of the country beyond effective state control. Poverty, inequality and patronage continue to threaten and undermine social cohesion. Many of these problems are rooted in the government’s lack of accountability to Yemeni citizens.

These development challenges were compounded by political crisis and an upsurge in conflict sparked by widespread demonstrations against the rule of former President Ali Abdullah Saleh in 2011. This resulted in a further deterioration of the economy and a humanitarian crisis, which is marked by high levels of malnutrition, high mortality, outbreaks of infectious diseases and widespread food insecurity made worse by extreme underlying vulnerability.

A political transition deal brokered by the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) saw the formation of an interim government and Abdo Rabbu Mansur Hadi elected as President in February 2012. The transition, though fragile and far from assured, is the best chance in a generation to make progress towards a more secure and prosperous future for Yemen. If the transition is successful, the development challenge will remain enormous. If it fails, Yemen could be facing any or all of state failure, large scale conflict and a humanitarian catastrophe.

The security situation is still serious in many parts of the country, with a number of ongoing conflicts. Al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP), which has a major presence in the South and East of Yemen, also represents a significant local, regional and international threat. The threat from terrorism and consequent limits on staff and partner access means that implementing and monitoring our programme in Yemen is extremely difficult.

The countries of the GCC play a critical role in supporting Yemen, and the World Bank, the United Nations (UN), the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the European Union (EU), the United States (US) and some other bilateral donors and humanitarian agencies are also important partners. DFID is one of the leading Western donors to Yemen and plays an important role in coordinating the support of the international community.

Some key statistics:
- Yemen’s Gross National Income (GNI) per capita is $1,070 (2011) World Development Indicators;
- 47% of people lived below the $2 a day poverty line in 2005 (the last year for which data is available), World Development Indicators
- Nearly half of all children under five years old in Yemen are chronically malnourished (47%) and 13% suffer from acute malnutrition, World Food Programme
- 44.5% of the population have limited or no access to sufficient, nutritious food, and have a poor or borderline diet according to agreed international standards, 2012 Comprehensive Food Security Survey, June 2012, World Food Programme
- Population growth in Yemen is 3% per annum (2010), World Bank Development Indicators
- Repeated cycles of internal conflict, protracted political unrest and insecurity have caused the displacement of over 460,000 civilians by February 2012 (UN OCHA, Humanitarian Bulletin Yemen, Issue 2 – 5 April 2012.)
2) Vision

Our vision is a more stable, secure and prosperous Yemen. The current political transition process, though fragile and far from assured, is the best chance in a generation of making progress towards this. The goal of our programme is to support the success of the transition directly and indirectly, deliver jobs and basic services which increase citizens’ confidence in government, and address immediate and underlying humanitarian needs. Inevitably, much of our work to support the transition will focus on the short term, but wherever possible, we will work in ways which deliver results whilst tackling Yemen’s underlying development challenges, weaving the golden thread of an open economy and society through the fabric of our programme. We will work within four programme pillars:

Humanitarian
- The focus will be on a multi-year response to humanitarian crises, providing indirect support to the transition by delivering assistance to chronically vulnerable people in urgent need, including those affected by conflict, refugees and migrants, building the resilience of affected communities and improving the capacity of agencies in Yemen to respond to emergencies.

Poverty, Hunger and Vulnerability
- The bulk of our assistance will be used to provide basic services in the short term to help meet the needs of Yemen's most vulnerable people and provide indirect support to the transition by reducing people’s sense of grievance around the lack of service delivery. We will work toward laying a foundation for a national social protection system that protects individuals from shocks, equips them to build a better life, and increases resilience to future humanitarian crises.
- We are implementing a programme to improve nutrition in the long term, while also tackling urgent food insecurity.

Economic Growth and Wealth Creation
- We will support the transitional Government directly by providing support to the Ministry of Finance and provide indirect support to the transition process by: helping Government to translate aid pledges into concrete pledges on the ground, benefitting Yemen’s people; and supporting the private sector to create jobs, and increasing investment in the economy.
- We will finance economic analysis and data collection to support better economic policy making in the long term.
- We will support economic policy reform and better financial management by the Government, including through improved tax collection and increased revenue.

Governance
- We will support the transition directly, and lay the groundwork for better governance in the long term, by funding elections, providing technical assistance to key arms of Government, supporting the National Dialogue, and by promoting citizen engagement and responsible media.
- We will pay particular attention to addressing the needs of girls and women across all four programme pillars. We also recognise the crucial role that Yemen’s regional neighbours and the broader international community have to play in supporting Yemen to address its political and development challenges, particularly through the Friends of Yemen process, co-chaired by the UK and the Kingdom.

Alignment to DFID and wider UK Government priorities
Our programme contributes directly to the UK Government’s strategic objective of a more stable and secure Yemen that is a reduced risk to UK interests at home and in the region. We will focus on supporting a successful transition through direct and indirect means as the best way of building a more stable, secure and prosperous Yemen by supporting the transitional government and providing jobs and basic services which reduce citizens’ sense of grievance and therefore the recruiting power of terrorist organisations. Our programme contributes to the Building Stability Overseas Strategy (BSOS) and the UK Humanitarian Policy.

What we will stop doing
The operational constraints of working in Yemen, and the urgency of supporting the transition mean that we have had to narrow our focus to programmes which are most critical to the achievement of our objectives. We have therefore decided to pull out of the health, education and justice and policing sectors, though we will continue to provide basic social services through our humanitarian and poverty, hunger and vulnerability work.
# 3) Results

## Headline results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pillar/ Strategic Priority</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Baseline (including year)</th>
<th>Expected Results (including year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humanitarian</td>
<td>Number of people receiving emergency food assistance (including emergency food aid and emergency livelihoods assistance) through DFID support</td>
<td>300,000 (2011/12)</td>
<td>300,000 in 2012/13 NEW TARGET 500,000 in 2013/14 and 2014/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of people receiving emergency and early recovery assistance through DFID support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty Hunger &amp; Vulnerability</td>
<td>Number of people receiving DFID-supported cash transfers (including public works employment)</td>
<td>84,000 (2011/12)</td>
<td>42,000 people directly benefitting from public works income each year, for four years, to 2015 (includes all members of the households that participate in the programme)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty Hunger &amp; Vulnerability</td>
<td>Number of women and children under 5 reached with an integrated package of malnutrition prevention and screening interventions through DFID support</td>
<td>0 (2011)</td>
<td>1.65 million women and children under 5 2012-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance &amp; Security</td>
<td>Number of people who vote in elections supported by DFID</td>
<td>Estimated 6.6 million voted in the 2012 presidential elections</td>
<td>These high levels of voter turnout are maintained for planned constitutional referendum in 2013 and the next round of presidential elections in 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth Creation</td>
<td>Number of people provided with new access to finance (deposit accounts) (and the % of these who are female) through DFID support</td>
<td>11,700(54% female) (2011)</td>
<td>44,700 (60% female) 2012-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth Creation</td>
<td>Number of jobs indirectly created through DFID support (based on IFC model of jobs indirectly produced through workstreams on access to finance and business training)</td>
<td>1,500 (2011)</td>
<td>2,700 (2012-2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3) Results (continued)

Evidence supporting results

There is a critical lack of timely, comprehensive data in Yemen. No national household survey data has been collected since 2006 due to serious security, capacity and funding issues, exacerbated by the recent crisis. Despite the lack of up to date data at a national level, Yemen’s short term and underlying development challenges are well known, and the political imperative is to support the success of the transition through direct and indirect means as the best way of tackling these challenges.

There is overwhelming evidence from experts on the ground, from humanitarian reporting, and a range of data collection and analytical work such as the Joint Social and Economic Assessment of a serious deterioration of the situation throughout 2011 and acute need for assistance to the Yemeni population. High quality, timely data at a national level is needed to better prioritise, plan and monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of development interventions, including those supported by DFID; and to report on progress.

Projecting the level of results to be delivered in a fragile and changing context is difficult. The results we can deliver are highly dependent on the performance of the small range of partners with whom we can work in country. DFID and our partners need to be able to adapt to changing priorities on the ground. For example, the Social Fund for Development (SFD) shifted its priorities during 2011 from investment in infrastructure to schemes which bring immediate income to the villagers, as this is what communities required to get them through the crisis. The results targets published on slide four are therefore indicative and will be monitored closely and revised annually if necessary.

DFID response:

- We will develop a programme to build up statistical data in Yemen in 2012 in order to underpin our other interventions, and build the capacity of the Government of Yemen and donors in this area.
- We will monitor results frameworks closely, amending as necessary as the context changes.

Value for Money (VfM) rationale

There is a compelling value for money rationale for focussing our programme on achieving a successful transition as the best opportunity for making progress towards a more secure and prosperous Yemen, and prevent state failure. Studies suggest that conflict and social unrest can have devastating impacts on the economy. Failure of the transition in Yemen could result in state failure, major conflict, a deeper and more widespread humanitarian crisis and a further deterioration of the economy and poverty levels. All of these would require a significantly higher investment to address. Making upstream development investments to prevent further conflict is consistent with DFID’s Building Stability Overseas Strategy (BSOS), 2011.

1For example see Collier, The Bottom Billion, 2007, Oxford University Press
4) Delivery and Resources

Interventions and partnerships

Our choice of implementing partners is severely constrained. The security risks in Yemen mean that there are a limited number of partners for us to work with, which presents a potential risk to the delivery of our programme. The Government of Yemen (GoY) has weak institutional and public financial management capacity, and national and international civil society organisations have weak capacity and poor national reach.

For this reason, we will channel the majority of our support through trusted multilaterals such as the World Bank and United Nations, and international non-governmental organisations (NGOs). We will seek to spread the risk as much as possible, whilst ensuring that we use partners who have the institutional capacity to deliver results. Our choice of partners is also informed by the organisational assessments identified in the Multilateral Aid Review (MAR) and where organisational weaknesses are identified, we will seek to mitigate these through programme design, and influence our partners to address these weaknesses. We also provide significant support to the Social Fund for Development (SFD), a Yemeni organisation with a good track record of delivering basic services across Yemen. We have confirmed that the SFD has strong monitoring and financial management systems in place, and will continue to monitor its delivery of UK funds. We are at the forefront of effective partnership working in Yemen, as the first donor to provide mutli-year funding to humanitarian agencies and a leader in encouraging donors to pool support to the government through UN-administered funds. We are working with the World Bank and GoY to establish a government agency responsible for delivering aid-financed projects to support Yemen’s transition and long term development

DFID Yemen has published an anti-corruption strategy by setting out what we will do to minimise the risk of corruption on our programmes, and to tackle corruption within the Yemeni economy and society.

The interventions we support will be driven by the rationale outlined in our Vision, which is to support the transition through direct and indirect means as the best opportunity for progress towards a more stable, secure and prosperous Yemen. This rationale, and an assessment of our comparative advantage in different sectors, will inform our choice of interventions in 2012-15 under the four pillars outlined on slide three. We will retain flexibility in our programme to respond to unforeseen challenges such as humanitarian emergencies and changes in the operating environment.

DFID Yemen is located in the British Embassy in Sana’a and in DFID’s London office. We work closely with colleagues across the UK Government including the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) and the Ministry of Defence (MOD) to deliver the UK strategy in Yemen, which has development and diplomacy at its heart.

The UK is a co-chair of the Friends of Yemen process to galvanise international support to Yemen and was key to generating $7.8 billion of aid pledges to Yemen at the donor conference in September 2012. We are now working closely with donors and the Government to translate those commitments into concrete projects which benefit Yemen's people. We are engaging closely with the transitional Government of Yemen to support them in delivering a successful transition and to ensure that our programme is consistent with Yemen’s priorities. We will continue to work with our partners in the region, and in particular Gulf donors, to influence them to coordinate their support to Yemen with the international community and to focus on Yemen’s short and long term development challenges.
### Planned Programme Expenditure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wealth Creation</td>
<td>3,778</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,822</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,822</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance and Security</td>
<td>1,952</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,639</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,291</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>3,375</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive, Maternal and Newborn Health</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Health</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water and Sanitation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty, Hunger and Vulnerability</td>
<td>30,732</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20,468</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30,700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95,168</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanitarian</td>
<td>7,429</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21,562</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32,184</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>116,746</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other MDG’s</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Partnerships</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>47,266</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31,714</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59,113</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68,700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>231,027</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures for 2010-11 and 2011-12 are actual outturn; 2012-13 are provisional outturn; 2013-14 and 2014-15 are planned budgets.
### Planned Operating Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010/11 £'000</th>
<th>2011/12 £'000</th>
<th>2012/13 £'000</th>
<th>2013/14 £'000</th>
<th>2014/15 £'000</th>
<th>Total 2011-15 £'000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontline staff costs - Pay</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>2539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontline staff costs - Non Pay</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Costs - Pay</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>1561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Costs - Non Pay</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1245</strong></td>
<td><strong>1229</strong></td>
<td><strong>1340</strong></td>
<td><strong>1431</strong></td>
<td><strong>1564</strong></td>
<td><strong>5564</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures for 2010-11 and 2011-12 are actual outturn; 2012-13 are provisional outturn; 2013-14 and 2014-15 are planned budgets.
Planned Efficiency savings

Delivering Programme Efficiencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>2011/12</th>
<th>2012/13</th>
<th>2013/14</th>
<th>2014/15</th>
<th>Residual cost in the SR period £’000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Reprioritisation</td>
<td>We have decided to discontinue direct support to the health and education sectors. Having already exited the maternal and neonatal health programme, we will also withdraw our support from two education programmes: Basic Education Development Programme - £15 million; and Secondary Education Development and Girls Access Programme - £20 million.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further examples of Programme efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administrative Cost Savings Initiative</th>
<th>2011/12</th>
<th>2012/13</th>
<th>2013/14</th>
<th>2014/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in Consultancy Payments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in Travel</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in Training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in Estates &amp; Property Costs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in costs as a result of Office Restructuring</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Reductions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5) Delivering Value for Money

The Yemen team is dedicated to delivering Value for Money (VfM) in all aspects of its work. We will maximise the impact of our programming while minimising the financial cost to the UK taxpayer. By the end of the CSR period we aim to be a model DFID programme in demonstrating and delivering VfM in a fragile state context.

To date, DFID Yemen has demonstrated its ability to deliver good VfM. This has been achieved through careful selection of partners and by considering VfM in all decisions. The security situation and the limited presence of DFID staff in Yemen means that monitoring and evaluation of our programme is challenging. To ensure VfM is maintained, we have focussed on projects which can be most effectively monitored remotely or by using partners with robust monitoring systems with a record of strong delivery in Yemen. Our decision to withdraw from the health and education sectors was based in part on VfM considerations.

The security situation in Yemen has inevitably led to an increase in some operating costs, for example staff allowances, travel costs, security costs (e.g. additional cars and drivers) are higher than they would otherwise be. However, the cost of operating in Yemen compares favourably with other fragile environments, for example Afghanistan, where the large security footprint entails significantly higher operating costs.

For each new project business case we undertake rigorous VfM analysis to examine the VfM offered by the proposed intervention compared to alternative options. Through this process, VfM is placed at the heart of any funding decision. All business cases are available on the DFID website.

As the amount of resources dedicated to Yemen grows, we will continue to work to ensure value for money is maximised. We will achieve this by:

• Developing a VfM strategy to be implemented over 2012-15. This will include actions to enhance VfM on operating costs and ensure VfM is actively considered throughout the programme management cycle
• Ensuring all new business cases for DFID Yemen programmes have undergone a VfM appraisal in line with corporate guidance
• Establishing a virtual VfM team of the Economic and Evaluation Advisers to peer review all new business cases, and provide guidance and advice where required on VfM analysis and assessment
• Ensuring all DFID Yemen staff understand the latest corporate guidance on VfM, including through conducting in-house VfM training
• Improving risk management of financial performance and increased quality of forecasting and variance analysis through improved usage of systems; increasing analysis and challenge of financial performance
• Investing in statistical capacity building in Yemen to provide better baseline data for assessing the VfM of new and existing projects
• Continuing to work with partners to maximise VfM, especially where this has been identified as an organisational priority by the MAR.
6) Monitoring and Evaluation

Monitoring
The security threat in Yemen means it is virtually impossible for DFID to monitor directly any programme delivery outside Sana’a. We are developing a 3rd party monitoring programme so we can outsource monitoring to an independent organisation, while ensuring the most rigorous possible monitoring in the meantime.
How: each programme will have an M&E framework with robust quantifiable indicators and targets which are sex-disaggregated where appropriate and monitored annually. Due to the high risk context there will also be quarterly monitoring of both country and specific programme level risks. In addition, all programmes scoring a C in their annual review, or which cause concern for other reasons, will have a detailed Performance Improvement Plan.
Who: primary monitoring responsibility will fall to programme partners. Where possible, we will undertake joint monitoring with government and other donors to ensure harmonisation and to reduce workloads. The evaluation adviser will quality assure M&E arrangements and logical frameworks for all new projects, and spot check annual reviews to ensure accuracy and consistency.
When: programme level monitoring will be continuous with formal reporting through Annual Reviews. Risks, results and performance across the programme will be monitored quarterly.
What: we will use a range of methodologies to monitor results depending on the programme. The detailed results framework has been compiled from individual logical frameworks and provides an overarching framework for the programme. The information will be used to both measure the impact of programmes and also to determine the future direction of work.

Evaluation
We will evaluate programmes which are high spending, innovative or where there is limited robust evidence that a particular intervention works. In 2012 projects independently evaluated will include: support to the interim presidential elections and the humanitarian assistance delivered through an International NGO consortium. Yemen may also be chosen as a case study in a DFID-wide study on cash transfer programme beneficiary perceptions. Plans for a large scale impact evaluation for the Social Fund for Development (SFD) Phase IV will also be developed further in 2012.

Building capacity of partners
There is limited reliable data for Yemen with the country scoring just 43/100 in the World Bank Statistics Capacity Building Indicator survey compared with an average score of 58/100 for the Middle East and North Africa. This reflects both the poor availability of data, and the limited use of internationally-agreed methodologies. Poor availability of data affects DFID’s ability to plan, monitor and evaluate our projects and programmes.

DFID is supporting the Yemeni Central Statistical Office (CSO) to improve its capacity through a regional programme with the Islamic Development Bank. We will also work up a further programme in 2012 to improve the availability of statistical data. DFID will use its evaluation work in Yemen to share learning with partners with an interest in understanding DFID’s approach to evaluation, for example the United Arab Emirates, who have signed an agreement with DFID committing us to work together on evaluation, in order to jointly build up the evidence base in the countries in which we both work.

Due to travel restrictions, DFID and other donors rely heavily on local partners for monitoring and evaluating programmes and projects. This includes local M&E consultancy firms and NGOs. By commissioning local partners to undertake this work, and by pairing them with international organisations to train and mentor the local implementers, we will build up national capacity in M&E.
Transparency is one of the top priorities for the UK Government. We will ensure that we continue to meet our commitments under the UK Aid Transparency Guarantee including publishing detailed information about DFID projects, including programme documents and we will provide opportunities for those directly affected by our projects to provide feedback.

Publication of information
We will meet the commitments made by DFID in the UK Aid Transparency Guarantee by publishing detailed information of all new programmes on the DFID website, as well as all procurement over £500. In addition:
- We will ensure that all project performance reviews and evaluations are published on the DFID website
- We will ensure that all information in the public domain is comprehensive, accessible, accurate, timely and written in plain English
- We will publish a summary of this Operational Plan in English and Arabic on the DFID website
- We will publish summaries of all new projects in Arabic

Supporting transparency in our work
We will explore options to increase opportunities for those directly affected by our projects to provide feedback on project performance.

Supporting transparency in others
We will work to meet the standards set out in the International Aid Transparency Initiative (IATI), and encourage our partners in civil society, multilateral organisations and other donors to do the same (some of our implementing partners are signatories to IATI e.g. UNICEF). For example, we will encourage SFD to use innovative methods for communicating their work.

We will use our influence to encourage the transitional Government of Yemen to become more transparent to its own citizens about its budgets and the aid which it receives, for example though improved and more transparent public financial management. The Government of Yemen has demonstrated good progress in the past, with their bi-annual Open Budget Initiative score increasing from 10 out of 100 in 2008, to 26 out of 100 in 20101. However, given the large scale disruption to government in 2011, it is likely that this progress has reversed. This survey evaluates whether governments give the public access to budget information and opportunities to participate in the budget process at the national level.

1 International Budget Partnership, Open Budget Survey 2010
8) UK Human Rights Assessment

The UK recognises that the realisation of all human rights underpins sustainable development. Through its development programmes, DFID aims to support civil society and governments to build open economies and open societies in which citizens have freedom, dignity, choice and control over their lives, and institutions are accountable, inclusive and responsive.

Human rights context
Yemen’s first new head of state in over 33 years, President Abd Rabbo Mansour Hadi, was elected in an interim presidential election in February 2011 and was given the mandate to lead Yemen through the next two years of transition. Yemen anticipates a full presidential election and parliamentary elections in early 2014, its first since 2006 and 2003, respectively. In 2011, over 200 civilians were reportedly killed, largely at the hands of security forces and armed supporters of former President Saleh. New Ministers for Interior and Human Rights have been appointed and there are renewed commitments to implementing human rights laws and treaties, establishing press freedom and releasing detainees.

- **Economic and social rights**: Yemen is ranked 160th out of 187 countries in the Human Development Index (2012) and its relative ranking has steadily declined since 2010. Yemen has the second highest rate of stunting in the world and malnutrition is the single most important underlying cause of child mortality. The recruitment of child soldiers is still a concern and alleged minors continue to be executed (December 2012 and March 2013).
- **Non-discrimination**: Yemen has demonstrated slight improvements on the Gender Inequality Index over the last decade (0.747 in 2012 compared with 0.813 in 2000) but still ranks last out of 148 countries included in the index. While declining steadily over the last 10 years, maternal mortality remains high for the Middle East, at 200 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births. Yemen has one of the world’s worst ratios of female to male parliamentarians (1 woman in a parliament of 301 members) as well as female to male secondary school completion rates (3 women for every 10 men). Over 1,000 cases of gender-based violence were reported in 2012 in camps for displaced people and rates of early marriage and female genital cutting are among the highest in the region.
- **Civil and political rights**: Yemen scores a 6 on Freedom House’s civil and political liberties scale. Article 103 of the Press and Publications Law bans direct personal criticism of the head of state and publication of material that “might spread a spirit of dissent and division among the people.” Of the 142 recommendations made through the last Universal Periodic Review (UPR) in 2009, the government accepted 125 and declined 14; 3 are still pending. Implementation of recommendations will be reviewed at the next UPR process in 2013.

Direction of travel
Yemen is party to eight of the nine core international human rights treaties, however progress on meeting these commitments is slow. Given the current state of the political transition, it is too early to determine the overall trajectory of progress on human rights.

- **Progress on social and economic rights has been stagnant**, and rates of poverty, hunger and child mortality remain high. Yemen remains seriously off-track in achieving these four MDGs.
- **Inequalities persist** and result in uneven progress across rural-urban, regional, ethnic and social lines. This is particularly evident for women and girls’ rights.
- **Linked to unrest and popular uprisings, civil and political rights have worsened along with the freedom of the press**. However, there are some recent signs of improvement, including the establishment of the UN Office of the High Commission for Human Rights (OHCHR) in Yemen.

UK Approach
- The UK will work closely with bilateral as well as multilateral partners to monitor progress on implementation of human rights commitments, including the release of political detainees, protection of civilians from armed conflict, gender equality and justice and national reconciliation.
- We will continue to raise concerns about civil and political rights with senior members of the Yemeni government, particularly to prevent the execution of minors.
- We will support the political transition and seek to boost the voice and inclusion of marginalised groups, particularly women and youth, and will step up work to support women and girls in Yemen.
- We will strongly support positive trends on social and economic rights, particularly malnutrition and poverty reduction through our development programmes.

---

1 FCO 2012 Annual Human Rights Report; 2 The Yemeni Minister for Human Rights has drawn up a list of citizens illegally detained during 2011 and is seeking their release; 3 OCHA, Yemen Humanitarian Bulletin, Issue 13; 4 Freedom House.
No major revisions have been made to the context or strategic aim of the DFID Yemen operational plan. Some small comments have been made throughout to ensure that the text is up to date, but none of these changes are substantive. The main changes are:

- The results to date annex has been added, as has the human rights assessment.
- The results target for wealth creation (number of jobs indirectly created through DFID support) has been increased to 5,000 over 3 years.
- The humanitarian targets in the original operational plan were only one year targets. We have now replaced these with targets for the final two years, of 500,000 people receiving emergency and early recovery assistance through DFID support in each of the two years.

All Operational Plans are required to publish an assessment of human rights. Since the last operational plan, the human rights indicators have been updated with more recent statistics and a subsequent review of the direction of travel has been undertaken.
# Annex B: Results Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pillar</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Progress towards results</th>
<th>Expected Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Humanitarian**                    | Number of people receiving emergency food assistance (incl. food aid and emergency livelihoods assistance,) through DFID support | 300,000  
(2011/12) | **ON TRACK:**
We do not have final figures. In 9 months of FY 12/13 220,000 people had been provided with food assistance.                                                                                                      | 300,000 in FY 2012/13  
**NB new target added for FY 2013/14 and 2014/15**                                         |
| **Humanitarian**                    | Number of people provided with essential health services through DFID support | 111,000  
(2011/12) | **OFF TRACK:**
40,000 people directly received healthcare in the first 9 months of 2012/13. A much larger group gained access to health care through training, equipment and medicines provided. The data is not strong enough to give more detail. | 170,000 in 2012/13 ** |
| **Poverty, Hunger and Vulnerability** | Number of people receiving DFID-supported cash transfers (incl. public works employment) - all members of the households | 87,000  
(2011/12) | **OFF TRACK**
20,000 delivered in 2012. There were delays in start up of new labour intensive work programs. SFD anticipate reaching their targets in 2013 and 2014.                                                                 | 42,000 people directly benefitting from public works income each year, for 4 yrs, to 2015 |
| **Poverty, Hunger and Vulnerability** | Number of women and children reached with an integrated package of malnutrition prevention and screening interventions through DFID support | 0 (2011) | **ON TRACK**
10,000 women and children were reached in the first quarter of this project (Q4 2012) including more than 9,000 with Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM) who were treated due to DFID support.                                                                 | 1.65 million (2012-2015) ** |
| **Governance and Security**         | Number of people who vote in elections supported by DFID                     | 6.6 million voted in 2012 elections | **NO UPDATE**
No elections were planned during FY 2012/13, support to constitutional referendum 2013 and presidential and parliamentary elections in 2014 process is on track.                                                                 | High levels maintained for constitutional referendum 2013 and presidential elections 2014 |
| **Wealth Creation**                 | Number of people provided with new access to finance (deposit accounts) (and the % female) through DFID support | 11,700  
(54% female) (2011) | **ON TRACK**
20,000 (31% female) achieved in 2012. On track but more work needed to target females.                                                                                                                                 | 44,700 (60% female) (2012-2015) ** |
| **Wealth Creation**                 | Number of jobs indirectly created through DFID support (anticipated by IFC through micro loans and business training) | 1,500 (2011) | **EXCEEDED TARGET**
3,000 in 2012 – the result has already exceeded target.                                                                                                                                                       | 2,700 (2012-2015) ** |

* These results may not be directly aggregated with other country results due to different measurement methodologies