



Amendments to research report: “Systematic review of models of analysing significant harm”

<https://www.education.gov.uk/publications/RSG/AllPublications/Page1/DFE-RR199>

P59 Table – 7: NCFAS – top two crosses should be ticks (i.e. for Validity and Reliability).

p60 2nd paragraph last sentence beginning ‘Evaluation of the North Carolina...’ Should be deleted and replaced with the following:

However, assessment of the North Carolina Family Assessment Scale found good internal reliability and concurrent validity (Reed-Ashcraft, Kirk, Fraser 2001), and also good predictive validity (Kirk, Kim and Griffith 2005).

P85 - One reference to be deleted:

Lee, B. R. & Lindsey, M. A. (2010) North Carolina Family Assessment Scale: measurement properties for youth mental health services. *Research on Social Work Practice* 20 (2): 202-11.

Two references: To be added:

Reed-Ashcraft, K., Kirk, R. S., & Fraser, M. W. (2001). The reliability and validity of the North Carolina Family Assessment Scale. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 11(4), 503-520.

Kirk, R. S., Kim, M. M., & Griffith, D. P. (2005). Advances in the reliability and validity of the North Carolina Family Assessment Scale. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*, 11(3/4), 157-176.