

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

Guidance Note

JUNE 2023

Please delete the page break on the previous page if the report is for web only. Please don't delete the section break below.

Contents

Background	4
1. Understanding Intellectual Property	6
1.1. What is Intellectual Property?	6
1.2. What counts as Intellectual Property?	6
1.3. Owning Intellectual Property	7
1.4. Intellectual Property Terminology	7
1.5. Who can own Intellectual Property?	8
1.6. Licences	9
1.7. Why is Intellectual Property a Commercial issue?	10
1.8. IP as an influencer of supplier behaviour	10
2. Commercial Action to Take	12
2.1 Engaging the market	12
2.2 Developing a strategy for Intellectual Property ownership	13
2.3 Inviting Bids	16
2.4 Managing your contract	17
3. Model and Example Contract Clauses	20
3.1 Crown Ownership (options 1 and 2)	20
3.2 Supplier Ownership (options 3 and 4)	23
3.3 Other options and examples	24

Background

This guidance aims to build understanding of IPR issues and outlines a suite of options to consider when approaching IPR in our contracts. The key messages include:

- Considering IP ownership and rights on a case-by-case basis trying to apply a blanket solution does not account for the complexities of IP.
- Moving away from a binary interpretation around IP ownership: the right to use IP is
 often more important than ownership, and a party can have a licence to use IP even if
 they do not own it.
- Engaging the market on IP at pre-procurement stage to understand concerns and any assumptions.
- Working with the chosen supplier(s) at pre-award stage to establish an IP strategy
 upfront and ensure all parties are clear on the proposed contractual approach.
- Being mindful of competition rules and the creation of advantageous market positions.
 If International Trade is impacted, this could also breach Subsidy Rules.

This guidance has been developed in collaboration with the Department for Transport (DfT), the Department for Science Innovation and Technology (DSIT), HM Treasury (HMT) and the Intellectual Property Office. The policy position and ownership options within it are incorporated within the Cross-Government Mid-Tier and Model Services Contracts and the DSIT Knowledge Asset Guidance.

This guidance is arranged in **three** sections

- 1. <u>Understanding Intellectual Property</u>
 - What is Intellectual Property (IP)?
 - Types of Intellectual Property
 - Who can own Intellectual Property?
 - The use of Licences

- Why is IP a procurement issue?
- Why IP ownership can be complex

2. Commercial action to take

- Engaging the market
- Developing a Strategy for IP Ownership
- Inviting Bids
- Managing your Contract

3. Model and example clauses and guidance

- Crown ownership options
- Supplier ownership options
- Other options and examples

The aim of this guidance is to give you the tools and knowledge you need to make well-judged decisions about IP, taking into account the risks and benefits of different provisions and options.

1. Understanding Intellectual Property

About this section

The purpose of this section is to provide an overview of intellectual property and help you become familiar with the terminology, legal position and understand why IP needs to be a commercial consideration.

- 1.1. What is Intellectual Property?
 - 1.1.1. Having the right type of intellectual property protection can help ensure creators and inventors can benefit from the fruits of their labour and stop people stealing or copying:
 - the names of products or brands
 - inventions
 - the design or look of products
 - things they write, make or produce
 - 1.1.2. Copyright, patents, designs and trademarks are all <u>types of intellectual</u> <u>property protection</u>. You get some types of protection automatically, others you have to apply for.
- 1.2. What counts as Intellectual Property?
 - 1.2.1. Intellectual property is created using your mind for example, a report, an invention, an artistic work or a symbol.
 - a patent is for the way a thing works (and what it does)
 - a design is what it looks like
 - a trademark is its name and branding
 - copyright is for a record of something, whether written, audio, film or photographic.
 - 1.2.2. Copyright exists the moment something is created and fixed in material form. Other forms of IP have to be registered with the Intellectual Property Office before they can be protected.

1.2.3. Crown copyright exists in anything created by Crown servants, such as Civil Servants, or by someone else who then effectively assigns copyright to the Crown by signing ownership over to the Keeper of Public Records in writing, often by agreeing to a clause in conditions of contract. The law around Crown copyright is slightly different than for copyright owned by others, for example regarding the amount of time before ownership in it expires.

1.3. Owning Intellectual Property

- 1.3.1. You own intellectual property if you:
 - created it (and it meets the requirements for <u>copyright</u>, <u>a</u>
 patent or a design)
 - bought intellectual property rights from the creator or a previous owner
 - have a brand that could be a <u>trade mark</u>, for example, a well-known product name
- 1.3.2. As Civil Servants, any intellectual property created in the course of duty would be owned by the Government (The Crown).
- 1.3.3. Intellectual property can:
 - have more than one owner and/or more than one user
 - belong to people or businesses
 - be sold or transferred
 - allow the owner to exploit (make money from) the intellectual property they own.
- 1.4. Intellectual Property Terminology
 - 1.4.1. You may sometimes hear the terms, New, Existing, Background, Third Party, Foreground and Sideground IP. The table below explains what these are and uses the example of the creation of a transport model to demonstrate where they might apply.

Term What is it?	Example
Existing (or This is work created before	e or For instance, a DfT contract might be
Background) independently of a contract	t that reliant on the use of transport models. If
ip can be used as part of you	r either party to the contract (i.e. the
project.	contractor or the department) already
	owns the model in question then this
	would form Existing IP
Third Party If, however, Existing IP is	owned If the transport model has been
by another party who	is not developed by a third party, permission
involved with the contra-	ct, it is would need to be sought and obtained
referred to as 'Third Pa	rty IP', to ensure it can legally be used in the
and any potential user w	II need DfT contract.
to acquire relevant permis	ssion to
use it.	
New (or Also sometimes referred	to as If the purpose of the contract is the
Foreground) 'Project or Contract IP'	this is creation of a new transport model, then
IP the new IP created und	der the this would become New IP and future
contract. New IP might	include ownership of this would need to be
documents, reports, or inv	entions carefully considered.
gathered during the project	t.
Sideground This is sometimes incor	oorated If either the department or the contractor
within Existing IP and refe	rs to IP decides to create the transport model,
generated during the ter	m of a perhaps for its own purposes, during the
project, but outside it. Thi	s must term of the contract then, if this is used
however be created	without under the contract, it could become
funding under the	contract Sideground IP.
(otherwise it would be o	leemed
New IP).	

1.5. Who can own Intellectual Property?

- 1.5.1. In government contracts, **Existing IP** is usually owned by its creator.
- 1.5.2. **New IP** is normally owned by either the Supplier or by us, the Crown.
- 1.5.3. However outright ownership of IP is not the only option, it is also common to provide Licences for its use. Licensing involves the owner of the IP (the licensor) giving another party (the licensee) permission to use its IP,

sometimes subject to certain conditions, in exchange for payment or other returns.

1.6. Licences

- 1.6.1. Licensing can be as useful as ownership, since an appropriate arrangement can protect both parties' interests and even provide the rights needed to commercialise the IP. What the licence lets you do, will depend on the type of licence agreed with the licensor.
 - In an **exclusive licence** the parties agree that no other party can exploit the IP except the licensee i.e. not even the licensor (owner) can use it.
 - A sole licence is like an exclusive licence since it permits only one licensee, but the licensor (owner) still reserves the right to exploit their own IP.
 - A non-exclusive licence grants the licensee the right to use the IP, but on a non-exclusive basis. This means the licensor (owner) can still exploit the same IP by granting more licences.
- 1.6.2. IP contract clauses involving the issue of a licence will normally set out what rights a licensee has to transfer its rights to another party. Contract conditions may also allow a licensee to sub-license its **rights to a third party**.
- 1.6.3. A licence can be in force for any amount of time that is agreed by the relevant parties. Licence agreements should clearly specify circumstances when the IP owner holds the right to **revoke, cancel or terminate a licence.** Words to look out for include "**irrevocable**" and "**perpetual**", and you should carefully check what happens to the right to use the IP once the agreement ends for any reason. You may want to consider if a run-off period is necessary, for example, if a licence ends, but there is still some remaining stock to be sold, what happens to it?
- 1.6.4. You should also consider what territory or territories should be included within the licence, and whether there will be other licensees in other countries or parts of the world? What if the IP is not protected in other parts of the world? For example, someone may have a patent in the UK but not in the US.

- 1.6.5. The Intellectual Property Office (IPO) can provide guidance and support around licensing read more here. Model agreements can also be found here, which may be a helpful starting point.
- 1.7. Why is Intellectual Property a Commercial issue?
 - 1.7.1. The supplier may bring **Existing IP** into use when fulfilling your requirement and you will need to ensure provision for this is set out in the contract, so that you can use it as necessary.
 - 1.7.2. When you contract with a supplier to provide goods or services, you will want to ensure you (and the supplier) can legally use any **Third Party IP** which the supplier may need to access in order to fulfil your requirement. This may involve making sure that they have the right to let you use the Third Party IP, or some kind of protection in the event that they haven't done so.
 - 1.7.3. Your contract will need to include contractual provisions setting out who will own any New IP arising from the contract, and what rights the parties will have to use that IP. If this is not done the Crown may find that it does not have the rights it needs to use the results of the contract to meet its future requirements. Conversely, if the contractor's rights are not clear, it will not be able to exploit the IP with other customers.
- 1.8. IP as an influencer of supplier behaviour
 - 1.8.1. Developing a strategy for IP can throw up a number of, often contradictory, commercial benefits, risks and unintended consequences.
 These can include the need to balance:
 - Contract Cost: If a supplier owns and can exclusively exploit its IP, they are clearly able to realise a benefit over and beyond our contract. This benefit would be removed or reduced if we impose contractual provisions such as the Crown ownership of any New IP, or require the issuing of exclusive licences. This may be reflected in the bid price.
 - Maintaining a competitive market: If the contractual position
 does not grant adequate ownership or licence rights to the Crown
 then we need to consider what happens when we need to
 re-compete the contract or otherwise use that IP. We would wish to
 avoid being placed in an ongoing single supplier position so will

- need to carefully consider the licensing and other contractual provisions we need to put in place to prevent that happening.
- Encouraging innovative solutions: If the Crown decides it
 wishes to retain ownership of any New IP or retain exclusive
 rights, buyers should consider how this encourages suppliers to
 provide us with their best ideas and solutions if they are unable to
 gain wider market benefit particularly if we might then
 sub-license their innovation to a competitor?

2. Commercial Action to Take

About this section

The purpose of this section is to take a more detailed look at the commercial risks and impacts of our IP strategies. It takes you through the commercial lifecycle, identifying the key areas where decisions should be made, or action taken, on Intellectual property.

2.1 Engaging the market

- 2.1.1 Early discussions with suppliers about different options, and what these would mean in terms of their involvement in the competition and the solutions they might provide, can help to shape your strategy. In doing so, you should not assume that our contractors all have a good understanding of IP issues, including how ownership restrictions may be required to ensure compliance with competition or **Subsidy** rules. Many of them, particularly SMEs, do not and this can lead to serious misunderstandings if care is not taken from the start. You will of course need to ensure information about IP is made equally available to all prospective bidders.
- 2.1.2 It is helpful to consider the issue from the supplier's point of view, acknowledging their concerns about potential competitor access to their ideas and innovations, and the often personal nature of their moral right to their own creation.
- Ownership of Intellectual Property can become a source of friction in supplier relationships. It does not have to be this way and an early and open discussion on the topic – prior to starting your competitive process can go a long way to preventing any tensions and correcting any misassumptions.
- 2.1.4 Early engagement with the market can help both parties better define the value of any intellectual property which may be created. From discussions, you may find that the supplier does not place so high a value on aspects of the IP which are quite valuable to the Department (and vice versa) and you may therefore be able to agree on a mutually beneficial way of breaking down the IP ownership and rights to suit both parties. Assumptions about the value of IP can produce tensions which may be

unwarranted. For instance, would the invention have a commercial value or use outside of your contract? Early discussions can build better relationships and improve buy-in longer term.

Check	klist:
	Make sure <u>all</u> parties have a <u>common</u> understanding of what IP is and to what extent it
	is likely to arise under the contract. Consider if the supplier should take expert advice.
	Seek to understand the likely value of any IP to the supplier - will it have any use
	outside of the contract? Could it be useful to one of their competitors, if so, how to
	reduce this risk?
	Explain any need you have identified for Crown ownership or licences and consider if
	mutually beneficial solutions can be reached.
	Encourage open discussion about expectations and concerns.

2.2 Developing a strategy for Intellectual Property ownership

- 2.2.1 Ownership of IP is often not a straightforward decision and you will need to carefully weigh up the pros and cons of each contractual approach. Your strategy for handling any Intellectual Property which may arise from a contract needs to be considered at an early stage, ideally as part of the business case for your project.
- 2.2.2 Essentially, the key decision to be made is who will have ownership and rights of use, of any New IP generated under the contract the Crown or the Supplier and then which rights to the IP the other party should have. The various options (along with pros and cons of each approach) are set out in Section 3, and the purpose of the strategy is to encourage wider thinking around the issue and establish what you are seeking to achieve from your contract.
- 2.2.3 Your market engagement activity should already have informed your thinking, but to help ensure we make sound decisions around IP, some additional research may be needed (depending on the extent to which this is likely to be a significant issue). This could include gaining a better understanding of the nature (and competitiveness) of the market, including both in the UK and in other territories; whereabouts in the

supply chain the innovation is likely to be generated; and what the nature of the innovation is.

2.2.4 One possible method for doing this is by breaking the strategy down into three sections:

1. Background

Set the scene to ensure you take a proportionate approach.

- How likely is your contract to generate New IP?
- How easily can any New IP be separated from Existing IP?
- Are ownership and rights of use over the IP likely to be a contentious issue or require a novel approach?

2. Desired Outcome

First and foremost in your thinking should be the needs of the department – what access and rights (thinking both short and long term) will we need to secure to any IP in order to deliver our objectives? In doing so, you might wish to consider:

- Is this contract a one-off or will it need to be re-competed at the end of its term?
- What access might your organisation need to the IP? Might any of the IP be business-critical longer-term? Will your organisation need to use or authorise third parties to use the IP in future? As a rule of thumb, the more likely you are to need it long term, the more important ownership (or a very robust licence) will be.
- Is your organisation funding the development of an innovative solution in some way? This can bring the creation of future advantageous market positions into the picture (which we may need to manage to avoid breaching competition law or **Subsidy** rules), and in any case may increase the desire for the department to gain some future financial benefit from its exploitation.
- Is your organisation seeking to achieve some wider industry or commercial aims through this contract? An example of this is the desire to make any IP generated "open source" so that everyone can use and build upon the innovation and it can be shared and deployed as widely as possible.
- Are you trying to stimulate the market? Seek innovative solutions to problems? Attract new entrants and/or smaller/niche suppliers to

whom the need to be able to financially benefit from their creation is likely to be a key to their participation?

3. Options and Recommended Approach

Section 3 of this guidance describes a number of the different types of contractual options outlined in the Playbook, for which there are model clauses available Your IP Strategy should consider the benefits and risks listed for each in order to recommend a preferred approach. If a bespoke clause is needed – seek legal advice at this stage.

- 2.2.5 In finalising your strategy and reaching a recommendation, you may also wish to consider these general points:
 - The cost of developing the IP will usually be borne by the supplier consider whether your preferred ownership option is providing the best incentive for them to do so and whether it is likely to encourage other contractors to do the same in the future. The greater the restriction over the IP for the supplier, the higher the cost of the contract will likely be. On the other hand, favourable ownership conditions may encourage the contractor to invest to develop the product further.
 - In an ideal world, and a healthy economy inventions would be used and capitalised upon to their fullest extent. This is often a helpful fall-back position to take when the optimal ownership solution is unclear. The question which should be asked is "who is best placed to exploit the benefits of this innovation?" If you decide on Crown ownership, will we actively use the intellectual property or just "file it away"?
- It's worth noting that <u>ownership</u> of IP is not always the issue it is the **right** to use it which is often the important factor, meaning that the solution is not necessarily a black and white Crown/Supplier ownership decision. For instance, you may find that one of the supplier ownership options set out at Section 3, gives your organisation perfectly adequate rights without the need to place restrictions on the supplier's use of its own IP. In fact, often the supplier's concern is not that the Department may need to retain ongoing rights or licences to the IP, but that that their competitors may have access to it.
- You will need to consider how to value any IP, particularly if you opt for a gain/share approach.
- IP doesn't need to be treated as a single entity within a contract
 but can be
 broken down and considered on a case by case basis, depending on which

- party is best able to use and exploit each aspect. Joint ownership of IP is however generally best avoided as it can add complexity and risk, as well as the potential for future disputes, without much in the way of benefits.
- The parties' respective responsibilities towards IP in the conditions of contract need to be reflected appropriately and proportionately in a contract management plan. The plan should set out responsibilities for remedying any foreseeable significant risks associated with IP, such as theft or infringements. There is more on this in Section 2.4.
- Finally, you should be mindful that your IP strategy doesn't inadvertently create
 advantageous market conditions for individual suppliers particularly where
 your organisation is funding development costs and/or the ownership option
 provides the supplier with exclusive rights to exploit. If you think this might be
 the case seek legal advice.

Summary/Checklist:

- □ Take a proportionate risk-based approach
- □ Remember that rights can be as important as ownership
- □ If the Crown owns it will we use it?
- □ Might your approach create a barrier to innovation or the entry of new suppliers?
- □ If gain/share is considered appropriate, how will you value the IP?
- □ IP ownership can be broken down with a contract to reach mutually beneficial outcome
- Plan to manage responsibilities for IP proportionately based on risk and by the appropriate Parties
- □ If we are funding the development of the IP or providing exclusive rights to the supplier to exploit, check that we are not creating an advantageous monopoly position for a particular supplier.

2.3 Inviting Bids

- 2.3.1 Section 3 of this guidance sets out a number of model and example clauses along with guidance explaining what they achieve and when they are most likely to be used. These clauses are also contained within the GCF Standard Contracts and can be inserted in place of the default clause.
- 2.3.2 It is however important to be clear about your IP position, rights and ownership by the start of your competition, including how far you wish to

(or are able) to retain flexibility to negotiate on this once your procurement is underway (and clearly care needs to be taken to ensure that we comply with the procurement regulations and do not give any supplier an unfair advantage or preferential terms). Lack of clarity on this, or an initial position which the market could perceive as heavy-handed could deter suppliers from bidding and adversely impact your competition. If you have deferred negotiations on IP, this must be settled before contract award.

2.3.3 If you have taken the decision to give suppliers ownership or rights to use IP, you might also wish to flag this point in adverts or the ITT itself, to further help drive the market outcomes you are seeking to achieve. For instance "In order to encourage bidders to provide their most innovative solutions, ownership of Intellectual Property will reside with the supplier for this contract (see clause xxxx)"

2.4 Managing your contract

2.4.1 Ensuring clear records

Once the contract has been awarded, it is important that the Contract Manager (and all parties who might use/have access to the IP) understand their respective rights and responsibilities. Where appropriate mark-up documents (or require your supplier to do so) in a consistent way so all parties share a common understanding.

- <u>Crown ownership</u>: If the Crown owns the New IP (and novel or innovative IP is created under the contract) you should consider whether it would be appropriate to protect it (for example by filing for a patent).
- Supplier ownership: If the Supplier owns the New IP you may wish to include an obligation in your contract for them to inform you of any patent or design applications they file for it, to enable you to record your licence rights at the relevant patent offices.
- 2.4.2 Where the IP in question requires registration, the date of registration, any caveats to the registration and any licences granted, should be clearly recorded and the dates for any re-registration noted.

2.4.3 Registering ownership

Under English law, certain types of IP need to be registered in order to be protected. Often this protection is time-limited and either has to be re-applied for at intervals, or else it lapses. Care should be taken where

any IP will be used in different jurisdictions as there may well be different rules regarding registration or even whether it needs to be registered at all. In these circumstances, some degree of due diligence should be carried out to ensure that there are no competing elements in such jurisdictions or indeed, in the UK.

2.4.4 It should also be noted that in certain circumstances, any licences should also be registered. This will require specialist advice.

2.4.5 Managing our obligations throughout the life of contract

IP management is a through-life task and not just something to consider at initial contract award. For example the inclusion of fresh existing or sideground IP mid-way through performance of the contract may prevent the Crown from using the end results as it intended to, and may rule out future competitive procurement. It is therefore important to consider any proposed changes against your overall IP Strategy. If in doubt, seek legal advice.

- 2.4.5.1 If the Crown has retained ownership of the IP (or retained rights to it), the Contract Manager will need to be prepared to:
 - exploit the value of IP as envisaged, taking opportunities and mitigating risks.
 - impose information security standards competently and effectively, so that risks are not incurred because copyright works or designs in the care of a third party are shared inappropriately and so on.
 - to be vigilant in looking for theft and infringements of IP by external people or organisations and take appropriate, risk-based action – from 'cease and desist' letters, through take-down notices through Internet Service Providers, up to legal proceedings.
- 2.4.5.2 Additionally, Contract Managers should be aware of their responsibilities to other parties in respect of their own IP. For instance:
 - not unduly obstructing other Parties in making the value of IP work for the contract strategy
 - complying with brand guidelines and proper use of other Parties' name and due protection of their reputation

- 2.4.5.3 If you have some kind of gainshare or levy arrangements to share in the revenue generated from the IP, you will need mechanisms in place to ensure that this is reported, monitored and collected.
- 2.4.5.4 It is often hard to value IP accurately at the outset, without the experience of actually running the contract for a while, especially when innovating. The value of IP can also change with market forces during the contract period, affecting the balance on which a contract was designed. It is sensible to agree to review the value of IP and risk assessment of IP matters in your contract management plan and to keep the door open to contract variations in the light of lessons learned. Otherwise suppliers could be deterred by the risk of unknowns.

Summary/Checklist:

- Design and agree your contract management plan for IP early
- Make sure ownership and rights are appropriately registered
- □ Keep a log of registrations, including review date
- □ Be clear on the responsibilities of the Parties mark up documents where appropriate so all parties are clear.
- □ Ensure that the IP position is considered and maintained whenever the contract or the deliverables are amended.

Keep the value of the IP under regular review.

3. Model and Example Contract Clauses

About this section

This section of the guidance provides guidance on using a range of model clauses. It also summarises when option each would normally be used and the benefits and risks of doing so. The links provided are to the GCF Mid-Tier contract clauses.

OPTION 1: Crown owns contract-specific IP with limited Supplier rights

OPTION 2: Crown owns contract specific IP with non-exclusive Supplier rights

OPTION 3: Supplier owns contract-specific IP with Crown rights for the current contract only

OPTION 4: Supplier owns contract-specific IP with Crown rights for both the current contract and broader public sector functions

OPTION 5: Options 2, 3, or 4, plus Crown rights to a gain/profit share

3.1 Crown Ownership (options 1 and 2)

3.1.1 When Crown Ownership should be used

- Where the IP produced is likely to be high risk or business critical to your organisation and you wish to manage closely how it is used and deployed
- Where you want to retain use of IP for a wider benefit (for instance standardisation leading to enhanced value for money or where software code will be made open source).
- Where the Crown provides a lot of existing IP it can create a muddled position if further developments of that IP are owned by the supplier. It is

- usually better to leave the ownership of all the IP in one place so that it can be exploited or licensed as a whole.
- Where the IP services more than one contract for instance if we want to use it across other solutions, or if we need it for other contracts which have yet to be awarded.
- Where the control of certain IPR is in our interest, for example where ownership of detailed drawings could pose a national security threat.

Benefits

- Maintaining competitive tension and the ability to switch suppliers at the end of a contract (note however that you can also obtain similar provisions under supplier ownership)
- Manages any risk attached to our ongoing ability to buy/compete for high risk requirements,
- Allows maximum control/sharing of IP and source coding by your organisation

Risks

- May act as a disincentive to innovation if suppliers fear their creation could be shared with competitors and/or they have restricted rights to exploit it.
- If you do not incorporate supplier rights (see options below), inability to exploit the IP may be reflected in the contract price
- It may deter new entrants into the market, especially small niche businesses reliant on maximising return on their innovation or using projects to further develop their existing IP
- It will be inconsistent with software-as-a-service or cloud-based offerings where New IP cannot be separated from Existing IP.
- Your organisation will need to manage and log the IP, and any costs of registering the IP, including any patent costs
- Your organisation may not use the IP and opportunity to use the innovation more widely is lost
- 3.1.2 **OPTION 1:** The Crown has sole ownership of any innovation created in a project and the supplier will have <u>no right</u> to use or exploit the IP, other than for use in the contract.

- 3.1.3 **OPTION 2: Providing the supplier with a licence**. Option 1 does not give any rights to the supplier to use or exploit the IP, thereby potentially giving rise to some of the risks noted above. If you think it is important for the Crown to retain ownership, you could manage some of these risks by adapting the clause to provide the contractor with greater ability to benefit from the IP, by giving them a non-exclusive licence. A non-exclusive licence allows the Supplier to exploit the IP but retains the right for the Crown to also exploit the IP or to authorise others to do so.
- 3.1.4 **Exclusivity of supplier licence.** Please note that Option 2 provides for a non-exclusive licence only. Whilst an exclusive licence may be seen as more beneficial from a supplier's point of view there are risks attached to it. However, there may be certain circumstances where you want (with legal advice) to reconsider this position. The table below sets out the risks and benefits of offering an exclusive licence which you may find helpful under these circumstances.
- 3.1.5 The use of this type of licence is not recommended due to the balance of risks and benefits outlined below:

Benefits

- Can help to managing concerns about bringing innovation to the table
- Can help small or start-up businesses getting a foothold in the market.
- Can enable the use of software-as-a-service offerings where project-specific developments cannot be separated from broader developments.
- There is also the possibility the supplier could go on to further develop the IP to mutual benefit.

Risks

- Potential creation of monopolistic single supplier
- The Crown may have no rights to use the IP for the duration of the period of exclusivity
- Exclusive licences may be subject to the provisions of the Re-use of Public Sector Information Regulations, which would require the arrangements to be published and reviewed every 3 years.
- Crown copyright restrictions may require you to get their permission before granting exclusive licences,
- Ongoing impact on competition and value for money.

3.2 Supplier Ownership (options 3 and 4)

3.2.1 When Supplier Ownership should be used:

Supplier ownership should be the starting position when there is no clear benefit in the Crown owning the IP. This is because, in the absence of Crown interest in using the outcomes, the supplier is normally best placed to use and exploit the innovation.

It should also be the preferred option where the creation of any New IP created cannot easily be separated from the (Supplier's) Existing IP. For example, where suppliers provide software as a service solutions (SaaS), New IP (principally code) cannot be separated from the supplier's Existing IP because it all resides as a single entity on a remote server.

Benefits

- From a market perspective, supplier ownership is preferable to Crown ownership (even with extensive supplier rights) as the latter will inevitably have restrictions attached, thus more likely to encourage:
 - innovation
 - new entrants, small and niche suppliers

If your organisation does not intend to use, share or exploit the IP, wider benefits to the economy are most likely to be realised by this approach

Risks

- Unless the licence position is properly considered, supplier ownership can lock the Crown into a single supplier position, and encourage the supplier to shore up its own position.
- If the licence is too narrowly defined, this may prevent your organisation making full use of the IP across a range of scenarios and in the future.
- If the intention is to make the IP freely available (e.g. open source), Crown ownership may be better, as otherwise the Contractor may prefer to keep the IP for its own commercial advantage.
- 3.2.2 It is not recommended policy to provide for supplier ownership of IP without also building in Crown rights. The following options therefore retain ownership of any new IP by the supplier, (meaning they can benefit from their IP by commercially exploiting it elsewhere) but, to protect the

interests of the Department, ensure the Crown would still be able to legally use the IP within the terms of the licensing agreement.

3.2.3 The option you select will depend upon what we want to do with the IP:

OPTION 3: Licence for the contracted service only (and its future competition)

This is intended for situations where your organisation simply wishes to retain its ability to maintain and re-compete the existing service, meaning that it retains the right to share the IP with an incoming supplier.

It is recommended that this option only be used when your organisation is very confident that the IP will not be needed for other purposes.

OPTION 4: Wider application of the IP

This is intended for situations where the IP services more than one contract – for instance if you want to use it across other solutions, or if you need it for contracts which have yet to be awarded.

3.3 Other options and examples

- 3.3.1 The following two clauses are likely to most helpful when you are developing and/or buying innovative solutions
- 3.3.2 Option 5: Gainshare
 - 3.3.2.1 This is most likely to be used where the Department has invested significant resources or funding in the development of the project and intends to seek a return on that investment. It can also be a means of avoiding competition or **subsidy control issues** by removing the means by which a supplier gains exclusive benefit from that funding.
 - 3.3.2.2 The value of the levy will need to be considered on a case by case basis and a decision on fair price reached (for instance this could reflect market value, or the degree of Crown investment). Guidance on placing a value on IP can be found here. The Gain-Share option can be used alongside Options 2-4.
- 3.3.3 Sub-Licensing at 'market rates'
- 3.3.3.1 This model is most likely to be appropriate alongside innovative procurement practices where we want to maximise innovation and exploitation potential (so would instinctively lean towards supplier ownership), but where through funding we have enabled the innovation and therefore risk breaching competition or **subsidy control**

- rules if we then give the supplier exclusive right to exploit it. We may therefore need to find a solution that balances the risk of breaching rules on subsidies against stifling the very innovation we set out to create.
- 3.3.3.2 The clause below is an example of one such clause, used by Innovate UK for one of their SBRI (Small Business Research Initiative) competitions (classed as pre-commercial procurement) and provides suppliers with the ability to commercialise their innovation and sell it on the open market, by granting them IP ownership. However the contracting authority is also granted a royalty-free licence and option to claw back the IP in the case of non-use of IPRs by the supplier.
- 3.3.3.3 Neither party has exclusive rights, meaning third parties are able to purchase a licence under market conditions. This is to ensure that there is maximum opportunity for the innovation to be commercialised by other parties, thereby mitigating the risk of falling foul of competition rules.
- 3.3.3.4 In summary, the provisions are meant to provide us with:
 - licence free rights to use the developed solutions
 - access to Existing (background) IP under fair and reasonable conditions – this is unlikely to be invoked, but adds a safeguard which allows the authority to use Existing IP where necessary for usage of the New (foreground) IP, in case the supplier doesn't want to go on to produce the innovation.
 - the right to require licensing of the New IP to third parties at fair and reasonable conditions
 - a call-back option which ensures that IPR ownership rights return back to the procurer in case of non-use of IPRs by the companies
 - The right to publish key results of the SBRI process.
- 3.3.3.5 Along with the IP ownership rights, the risks/costs related to filing, maintenance and litigation of IP are also assigned to the supplier.
- 3.3.3.6 You should seek legal advice before using or adapting this clause for your contracts

SBRI clause (example)

- 1. The Intellectual Property rights arising out of the Project ("New IP") shall belong to the Contractor. The Contractor hereby grants to the Authority a UK wide irrevocable, royalty-free non-exclusive licence to use the New IP, together with any Existing IP supplied by the Contractor during the Project that is required to use the New IP at a fair and reasonable market price. The Authority shall have the right to grant a non-exclusive non-sub-licensable UK wide sub-licence to use the New IP to any third party within the UK and EU at a fair and reasonable market price.
- 2. Notwithstanding its ownership of the Foreground IP, the Contractor shall not assign or transfer the Foreground IP to any third party without the prior written approval of the Authority, not to be unreasonably delayed or withheld.
- 3. If within [three] years of its creation, any Intellectual Property in the Results or Foreground IP has not been commercially exploited by the Contractor, and the Contractor is not using its best endeavours to do so, the Contractor shall on written request by the Authority promptly assign the Intellectual Property Rights in the Results or Foreground IP to the Authority. Each party shall bear its own costs in such assignment.

OGL

© Crown copyright 202

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.