

From: Jonathan Dixon [REDACTED] >
Sent: 05 June 2023 10:45
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Planning <planning@uttlesford.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: S62A/22/0007 - Land to the south of Henham Road, Elsenham, Essex / Conditions

Good morning Leanne,

Further to your queries below:

- Condition 12: Yes, there are a couple of words that have disappeared during the amendment process – the condition should read: “... *If a threshold level relaxation to 55 dBLAeq, 16hr for external private amenity areas is required, noise should be reduced as far as practicably possible and full justification and explanation has been provided. ...*”
- Condition 18: The condition and reason were suggested by ECC Place Services in its comments dated 27th October 2022.
- Condition 28: The condition was suggested by ECC Highways in its comments dated 17th March 2023. The wording of the condition was discussed by the Applicant, UDC and ECC Highway, but ECC Highways do not appear to have provided a reason.

I can confirm that there only appear to be 29 conditions, rather than 30.

Regards,

Jonathan.

From: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 May 2023 16:08
To: Jonathan Dixon [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>; Planning <planning@uttlesford.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: S62A/22/0007 - Land to the south of Henham Road, Elsenham, Essex / Conditions

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Be cautious when opening attachments or clicking links

Dear Jonathan

Further to below.

Condition 12, Noise External. The second sentence of the second paragraph starting ‘If a threshold level....’. Are there words missing?

Condition 18, Wildlife Sensitive Lighting. The reference to the GPDO in the second paragraph should specify which Schedule, Part and Class of the GPDO is being referred to.

Kind regards

Leanne

From: Section 62A Applications

Sent: 30 May 2023 14:11

To: Jonathan Dixon [REDACTED] >

Cc: [REDACTED]; Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: S62A/22/0007 - Land to the south of Henham Road, Elsenham, Essex / Conditions

Dear Jonathan

Thank you for your email.

For information, the conditions have been misnumbered and there are 29 suggested conditions rather than 30. Unless there is meant to be 30 conditions, there is no need for further action on this point.

Secondly, Condition 28 on the submitted list does not have a reason. Please can one to be supplied.

Kind regards

Leanne

From: Jonathan Dixon [REDACTED]

Sent: 26 May 2023 15:39

To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: S62A/22/0007 - Land to the south of Henham Road, Elsenham, Essex / Conditions

[FAO Mark Boulton](#)

Good afternoon Mark, Please find attached the comprehensive list of suggested conditions. This is in a simple Word format as requested.

Regards,

Jonathan.