

From: Em Baldwin [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 May 2023 13:12
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: B1256 Wren OBJECTION!

To whom it may concern,

The B1256 is already a busy road with its close proximity to the M11, Stansted Airport and the A120; of course not forgetting also serving the surrounding villages of The Hallingburys and Takeley.

There are already frequent blockages and accidents caused at Start Hill and M11 roundabout due to the very high volume of traffic; particularly at peak times.

The local roads and infrastructure are simply not designed for the current amount of traffic; especially with the ridiculous number of houses been added in Great Hallingbury, (we have no shop, post office, school or even a sustainable bus service). How on earth are we then meant to cope with the inevitable increase this development proposal would create, as this is a residential and agricultural area. You just have to look at the state of the direct surrounding roads to know this have no benefit to anyone other than the company in question.

Any surveys carried out during Covid 19 restrictions are NOT a true reflection of "normal" traffic conditions.

The increase in noise this proposal would create would be unacceptable to the immediate residents adjacent and opposite the proposed site and again due to the increase in traffic moving through the village.

The speed limits are largely ignored as there are so many commercial vehicles trying to make their way around an already congested junction.

As a frequent walker, runner and cyclist I feel very vulnerable going in either direction to the Flich Way or to Hatfield Forest as there are no footpaths to provide some form of safe haven from the speeding traffic and large number of commercial vehicles that pose a great threat to everyone; including other drivers. Does an accident have to happen before any measures are taken?

The area of the proposed development was cleared BEFORE the original ecological survey was carried out. Underhand at best. Therefore, how can any survey submitted by WREN representatives be in any way a true reflection of the ecology of this land?

There is already a general problem with surrounding land being tarmacked and the subsequent flooding risk....rainwater has to go somewhere if the land is unable to soak it up. What provisions have been made for this? None.

It is a development more suited to an industrial area such as the company's present location on the airport.

I couldn't object anymore to this proposal by Wren Kitchens in a conservation area within a small linear village already bombarded by unnecessary through traffic with not a single traffic calming measure in place.

As a young resident, I am constantly riddled with concern for my safety and for the safety of others that live within the surrounding areas and this development will have a greatly negative impact on many lives. Honestly though, how does this benefit any of those living and working within the local area? It does not.

It is a development more suited to an industrial area such as the companys present location on the airport and with the expansion of the industrial area at the airport, this is even more reason for this development to be put to bed.

Kind Regards

Emma Baldwin

