

From: donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk <donotreply@uttlesford.gov.uk>
Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2023 3:46 PM
To: Planning <planning@uttlesford.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application UTT/23/0950/PINS

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 24/05/2023 3:45 PM from Mr ALAN PINNOCK.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Tilekiln Green Great Hallingbury
Proposal:	Consultation on S62A/2023/0017 - Development of the site to create an open logistics facility with associated new access and ancillary office and amenity facilities
Case Officer:	Madeleine Jones

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mr ALAN PINNOCK
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I strongly object to this planning application on the following grounds.

1, Traffic congestion. Junction 8 is already at maximum capacity at peak times. The motorway is at a standstill at least once per week. Many extra houses are being built back towards Dunmow which will use the B1256 as access to the motorway and to get to Bishops Stortford and beyond.

These factors will be exacerbated by the large lorries and employees vehicles using the site. It will encourage the lorries to use Church Rd. which is both unsuitable and illegal. The current proposed entrance is not big enough to take their double trailers if the gate is shut which will add to the problem.

2, This is a green field site which was designated as a buffer from airport expansion.

3, It will not create any extra jobs for the area as their current workforce will just be relocated about 1 mile from their current location.

4, They no longer have to move from their current site as it has ceased to be regarded as within the airport. Other sites would be available both in East Herts. and at junction 7a at Harlow.

5, Light pollution in what is a residential area would be unacceptable. The height of the lights especially at the top of the site would be like having a football stadium all night long.

6, Noise pollution on a 24/7 basis is also unacceptable. The airport has night time restrictions and if this goes through it should also be forced to shut down operations in a similar way.

7, Diesel and oil pollutants are likely to be washed into the stream.

8, There are very few safe pedestrian facilities in the area , accidents are more likely to occur if they start using Church Rd.

In conclusion, everyone locally knows this is a terrible idea in a terrible position brought about by uncaring big business .

Kind regards