From: Sue L

Sent: 22 November 2022 21:44

To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: Fwd: Ref S62A/2022/0012 land east of station, Elsenham

Please see below. I forgot to add my address which is



----- Forwarded message -----

From: Sue L

Date: Tue, 22 Nov 2022 at 21:26 Subject: Ref S62A/2022/0012

To: <Section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Dear Sir/Madam

I wish to passionately object to this planning application.

I moved to Henham to enjoy the rural surrounds and peace and quiet and old fashioned way of life of a small village. I am distraught at the volume of estates being built in the local area and this application is yet another that will worsen even further the current problems which are:

- the traffic is appalling. I now go out of my way to avoid travelling through Stansted due to the congestion at Grove Hill and Chapel Hill but 5 years ago, this was a passable road. Me and others like me now interrupt the amenity of people living on single lane roads in Ugley Green and Patmore End. These roads are unlit, having no pavements and are simply not made to cope with the traffic they are getting.
- the condition of our rural roads being relied on are in a shocking state and are not being maintained by Essex CC, which is a policy decision by the council. They are in a state of 'planned decline' as I understand a recent review concluded.
- We have had major accidents in our local roads recently including two deaths, which is a new and unwelcome development.
- we are already seriously interrupted by constant traffic lights and traffic from development currently the 350 houses being built between Henham and Elsenham.
- we already don't have enought schools, dentists or doctors to provide for current inhabitants
- Elsenham train station, advertised prolifically as a commuter station, has no provision for parking save for a privately owned car park which has doubled its prices in 5 years and is apparently being sold so is likely to increase further. It is already full. Inhabitants of Elsenham complain understandably about commuters parking in their roads and, frankly, commuter cars get damaged.
- people typically drive everywhere because the buses are not regular or convenient or reliable and planning application present the opposite picture but it is not what we experience every day.
- A previous application, escalated to the Secretary of State, was held to be unsustainable for 800 houses and yet this application, together with other planned or completed developments would be over 1000 houses since that application was turned down. Developers are using piecemeal applications to build more than the Secretary of State already turned down.
- this application uses yet more high grade agricultural land which can't be sustainable

- people live here because they want to live in villages with lots of green open spaces. We have all already lost so much. Please don't make us lose even more and make us a town. We have all invested a lot in homes and friendships. Moving is not an easy or happy option.

Please please please do not just disregard this letter. Please come and let us show you if you are in doubt.

Thank you.

Kind regards

Sue Mulry-Lawson (nee Sue Lawson)