

From: Nicky Brogan [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 November 2022 20:30
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: Ref: s62a/2022/0012 land east of station, Elsenham

Please, spare a few minute to respond by 22/11/22. It is very important that residents express their objection to the proposed planning application. Thank you

HENHAM PARISH COUNCIL

I am writing to firmly oppose the application for planning for all the reasons stated below:

A Secretary of State considered a proposal for 800 dwellings on land included in the current application was not sustainable in 2016 and refused planning permission.

The Secretary of State also agreed with his Inspector on traffic issues. The Inspector considered that 800 dwellings would bring significant volumes of additional traffic to a village at a significant distance from employment and services.

How is it possible that 800 dwellings are not sustainable, but, that piecemeal developments already allowed, or applied for, of potentially over 1000 dwellings is? Piecemeal development has been allowed and considered to be sustainable. If this development is allowed the total will exceed the number that the Secretary of State considered unsustainable.

Local people daily experience a totally different situation on the roads around Elsenham and Stansted than that modelled in the Transport assessments. The situation at Grove Hill, Lower street and Chapel Hill is already completely unacceptable with extensive queues and delays. The rural roads through Ugley, Ugley Green suffer from poor highway alignment, have no footpaths or street lighting and cannot satisfactorily accommodate further growth.

The range of facilities in Elsenham is limited and insufficient to meet the daily needs of the proposed development and beyond walking distance for most residents. Residents of Elsenham and Henham tend to travel to Bishop Stortford and Saffron Walden to shop.

The Developer states that Elsenham has excellent public transport facilities. The buses are limited and residents need to use a car for most activities.

The site is Grade 1 and Grade 2 agriculture land. How is it sustainable to lose such high grade productive agriculture land.

The primary school in Elsenham is already full.

Please send an email to Section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk quoting your name and address and ref S62A/2022/0012 Land east of Station, Elsenham.

Please don't quote just our points above, tell it as you see it, a completely unacceptable promiscuous development opportunity in a unsuitable location with already severe traffic problems.

You really do need to consider this - our country side is being ruined!!!!