From: pushpa mistry Sent: 21 November 2022 19:31 To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk> Subject: S62A20220012 Land east of Station, Elsenham

Pushpa Mistry

Ref: S62A/2022/0012 Land east of Station, Elsenham

Dear Sir,

I write to question the logic in the planned development referenced above.

Henham is an area of conservation. Any changes made to our homes need to have planning application approval. Yet application for massive housing developments, slyly getting approval by submissions being made piecemeal is beyond belief. Also, considering that the government is pushing for more affordable housing being made available, I question how the big houses on these developments are "affordable".

The green areas in the surrounding locality is being destroyed; along with the wildlife within them. More and more we are seeing deers in the roads during the nights and days as they have been disturbed from their natural habitat. Not only is the putting the wildlife at risk, but also the drivers, as there is no lighting through these windy, narrow rural lanes.

The rate at which new houses are popping up is very worrying. Henham and Elsenham do not have the amenities to accommodate the population growth in the area.

The schools do not have the capacity to take any more children, and with rising costs schools are considering laying of staff to cover the costs of bills, making staff: pupil ratios unsustainable

The GP surgeries are unable to cope with the demands of the population as it is. Recently the surgery in Elsenham has had to merge with Stansted surgery in order to cope with workload and lack of resources.

The small village shop in Henham and the small Tesco store is Elsenham does not stock all items required on a weekly basis. Public transport is very limited so residents need to drive out of the area

for their weekly shop to Bishop's Stortford or Saffron Walden. This will further add to the difficult road situation. There is narrowed access onto Grove Hill at the junction from Stansted Mountfitchet where there is frequently a blockage due to drivers of large vehicles ignoring the notice that it is not suitable for them. The road into Elsenham and Henham via Ugley , and the low level bridge with the tight underpass via Patmore End is not strong enough to take more traffic.

This development is not suitable in this location as there is inadequate infrastructure to accommodate it.

I would like my objection to this proposal to be noted.

Kind Regards,

Dr. (Mrs.) Pushpa Mistry