

From: Lynda Brustia [REDACTED]  
Sent: 15 November 2022 16:08  
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
Subject: Ref: S62A/2022/0012 Land east of Station Elsenham

Sir/Madam

I am writing to object to the additional 200 homes seeking permission to build in addition to the 350 houses. In 2016 The Secretary of State refused the Application on the grounds that it was not sustainable and agreed with his inspector on traffic issues which have been getting gradually worse due to the increase in building works. Facilities are almost non existent consisting of one shop which residents use for emergency shopping most going by car to Bishops Stortford or Saffron Walden to shop. Residents also use their cars to take their children to Secondary Schools in Bishops Stortford and Saffron Walden.

There are insufficient facilities to cater for these additional homes. Schools are full, Doctors surgeries can't cope. How can the developer say that there are excellent public transport facilities. Most residents do not use the bus services and most use their cars to take family members to the train station.

Flooding is also an issue with most roads flooding on a regular basis. It's a complete nightmare! The situation at Grove Hill, Chapel Hill and Lower Road with traffic jams, and craters in the road.

I moved here after living in urban London for many years and I thought at last I would have some peace and I thought I had found it here but I have seen in the last few years beautiful fields and scenery destroyed by housing estates going up. These are villages and it is a completely unacceptable promiscuous development opportunity in an unsuitable location already with severe traffic problems.

Yours sincerely

Lynda Brustia

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]