From: Glenn Brennan

**Sent:** 16 November 2022 18:22

To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: Fwd: S62A/2022/0012 - Land east of Station, Elsenham

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Glenn Brennan** 

Date: Wed, 16 Nov 2022 at 17:56

Subject: S62A/2022/0012 - Land east of Station, Elsenham

To: <section62@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>



Re S62A/2022/0012 - Land east of Station, Elsenham

## Dear Sir

I wish to object most strongly to the above planning application. A previous application that requested permission

for 800 houses was rightly rejected on traffic grounds. Yet this application, if granted, will take the total recently granted to over 1000 -

how can this make sense? When permission for one large estate was requested there would have been at least grounds to demand

extra facilities and infrastructure, such as schools, shops and health centres. In this piecemeal development there are even more houses

and no extra facilities. Note that

- Elsenham school is already full.
- The existing limited shopping facilities are on a roundabout with very limited parking
- The traffic situation to get out of the village is already terrible; on this point note particularly:there are (realistically) 3 routes out of the

village ALL of which have problems:-

- a) To the M11 and London. This route basically goes through Stansted Airport which is obviously a bottleneck at busy times.
- b) Stansted Mountfichet and Bishops Stortford. This route is used by shoppers and schools traffic as well as commuters; Chapel Hill -

and the single lane traffic signals at Grove Hill are notorious bottlenecks already.

b) Cambridge and north; this route has been shut for 2 years - and in any case funnels through Newport where parked cars effectively

cause single line traffic.

- Additionally the M11 itself is becoming overloaded; one must only listen to the traffic news on the radio to hear the M!! being mentioned

more and more often.

Finally, aside from these practical issues making the development inappropriate, the land itself is clearly valuable agricultural land primarily used

for cereal crops. Recent events in Ukraine should be a warning that we need this land to feed the country. Please refuse this application.