

From: Michele T [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 November 2022 22:02
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: S62A/2022/0012 Land East of Station Elsenham

Dear Sir /Madam

S62A/2022/0012 land east of Station Elsenham

I am writing to state my objections to the above planning application.

I believe that the existing application is already unsustainable and another 200 houses on this site would be a huge mistake. It's quite simply, a promiscuous development opportunity, on a site that is totally unsuitable. The location just doesn't have the infrastructure to support it.

The roads around Henham, Elsenham and Ugley, already struggle with the huge amounts of traffic, particularly at rush hour and during school drop off/pick ups. Public transport is practically non-existent so most families need cars to visit surrounding areas. A fact that has been completely ignored and LIED about by the developer. Any previous transport assessments should be binned, particularly if carried out during the height of a pandemic when most people were working from home. New assessments should be carried out at various times of the day Mon - Fri...paying close attention to busy times.

Both village schools cannot cope with the extra pupils.

Local facilities already struggle to cope with the amount of local residents. Parking around village shops is hideous.

A Secretary of state considered a proposal for 800 dwellings on land, included in the current application was not sustainable in 2016 and refused the application. The only thing that's changed since then, is that Henham and Elsenham has had to accept more houses being built. Where does it end before the local countryside is completely destroyed and we just become a massive town completely gridlocked by traffic, with schools that can't accept local children.

This is just a blatantly cynical attempt by the developer to squeeze as many applications in as possible, because they know that Uttlesford District Council is in special measures, due to their shocking ineptitude at producing a local plan. Pure greed and everyone involved should be ashamed of themselves.

I completely understand the need for more housing, but not in areas that simply do not have the infrastructure to support them.

Please pass these objections on to the relevant departments.

Many thanks

Michele Turner

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]