

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 November 2022 12:40
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: Ref S62A/2022/0012 Land East of Station Elsenham.

Emma Brustia
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Secretary of State and appointed representatives,

I would like to object to the planning applications above.

It is very clear to me that the land owners and developers plan all along was build as many houses as possible ignoring the Secretary of States refusal decision in 2016 based on the findings that the location is not sustainable.

Since 2016 the situation has only progressively and collectively got worse as more and more local sites have been built with the resulting numbers running to well over 1000 new dwellings.

We are not against building houses and totally understand that there is a real need but not when this is at the expense and destruction of the countryside. The land never to be reclaimed is Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. How can this now be considered sustainable when in fact absolutely nothing has changed to improve local or district infrastructure. Traffic, schools, doctors, and local amenities have not changed at all and are clearly not able to cope with the massive increase in both people and traffic. The only reason that this application is on the table has been the lack of a local plan for Uttlesford. This essentially has allowed a free for all developers to build with the only major argument being the need to meet the required number of housing for the district.

Housing should only be located where there is sufficient or planned development of sustainable infrastructure that does not do material harm to both the environment and local communities in which it is located.

May I kindly request that this application is refused.

Best regards

Emma Brustia