

From: David & Morna Limer [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 November 2022 16:38
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: S62A/2022/0012 Land East of Station, Elsenham

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to object to the above planning application, for the following reasons:

1. In 2016 The Secretary of State refused a proposal for 800 houses on this land as it was not sustainable. Just because the developer has put in two smaller applications with a time gap in between, does not make it any more sustainable.
2. The Secretary of State also refused the original application because of the traffic problems an application of this size would cause. All these new houses will bring a large increase in the volume of traffic to a village which is quite a distance from employment and services. There are already horrendous traffic delays at the traffic lights on Grove Hill in Stansted. The alternate route to Bishops Stortford goes over a railway crossing, through narrow lanes via Ugley and Ugley Green then to more traffic lights in Stansted which has also seen a huge number of new houses built recently.
3. The range of facilities in Elsenham is limited. For hospital appointments, dentists, leisure centres, supermarket shopping etc, residents have to drive to Bishops Stortford or Saffron Walden.
4. The Developer states that Elsenham has excellent public transport which is not true. Outside of rush hour, the trains are only hourly. The bus service is very limited and does not run in the evening or on Sundays.
5. Yet again, this development will be built on high grade agricultural land which we should not be losing if we wish to continue to feed ourselves as a country.
5. The surgery is struggling to cope with the number of patients it already has and Elsenham Primary school is already full.

I sincerely hope that you will refuse this application.

Yours faithfully

David Limer
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]