

Date: 29 September 2022
Our ref: 406173
Your ref: S62A/22/0007



The Planning Inspectorate, Major Casework Team, Room 3J Kite Wing,
Temple Quay House,
2 The Square,
Bristol, BS1 6PN

Customer Services
Hornbeam House
Crewe Business Park
Electra Way
Crewe
Cheshire
CW1 6GJ

c/o section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

T 0300 060 3900

BY EMAIL ONLY

Dear Major Casework Team

Planning consultation: S62A/22/0007 Residential development comprising 130 dwellings, together with a new vehicular access from Henham Road, public open space, landscaping and associated highways, drainage and other infrastructure works (all matters reserved for subsequent approval apart from the primary means of access, on land to the south of Henham Road, Elsenham)

Location: Land to the south of Henham Road, Elsenham, Essex

Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 25 August 2022 which was received by Natural England on the same date.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

SUMMARY OF NATURAL ENGLAND'S ADVICE: NO OBJECTION - SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATE MITIGATION BEING SECURED

We consider that without appropriate mitigation the application has potential to damage or destroy the interest features for which Hatfield Forest Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and National Nature Reserve (NNR) has been notified.

Natural England is working alongside the National Trust in carrying out research into visitor patterns, impacts and mitigation measures to Hatfield Forest SSSI/NNR. To date, this work has included winter and summer visitor surveys and identified a Zone of Influence (Zol) of 10.4km which has been shared with Uttlesford District Council with the view of establishing a strategic solution for visitor impacts to the Forest.

On this basis, this application falls within the currently identified Zol for recreational impacts to Hatfield Forest SSSI, NNR, whereby new housing within this zone is predicted to generate impacts and therefore will be expected to contribute towards mitigation measures, such as a financial contribution.

Whilst we are working towards a strategic solution, Natural England advises that for the purposes of addressing the interim situation, a bespoke mitigation package should be sought for this application, which we suggest is designed in consultation with the National Trust as site managers.

In the absence of a strategic solution, Natural England would not want to see any permissions granted that would create a precedent of acceptability for additional housing developments close to Hatfield Forest SSSI, NNR. As these mitigation are in the process of being defined in a 'mitigation package', we cannot comment further at this stage of the particulars of a future mitigation strategy.

In order to mitigate these adverse effects and make the development acceptable, the following mitigation measures are required / or the following mitigation options should be secured.

Further advice on mitigation

Hatfield Forest is a National Nature Reserve (NNR). It is nationally designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and regarded to be of international importance for its ancient wood pasture-forest habitats. The interest features of these habitats are vulnerable to recreational impacts and within recent years there has been increasing concern regarding the number of visitors. It has been noted that there has been significant increases in visitor numbers, linked to nearby residential development. Both Natural England and the National Trust therefore have concerns regarding the impacts of increasing visitor pressure on the designated site and it is apparent that the current number of visitors is exceeding carrying capacity of some important SSSI habitats and features.

More recently, the National Trust has undertaken visitor surveys to establish a Zone of Influence (Zoi) for recreational impacts to Hatfield Forest SSSI, NNR. To date, the results of the winter and summer surveys indicated an initial zone of 14.6km radius from the site, which has subsequently been revised to a 10.4km zone. Natural England regards this information as material and therefore would anticipate that the application be assessed in the context of these issues and the developing strategic solution. Please note Natural England's Impact Risk Zones have since been updated to reflect this Zoi . New residential housing within this Zoi therefore is likely to damage the interest features of Hatfield Forest SSSI/NNR and consequently requires further assessment in the context of this development.

The evidence in relation to these joint concerns have been shared with Uttlesford District Council and we wrote to all Local Planning Authorities identified as falling within the Zoi to confirm Natural England's position via the letter dated 5th April 2019 and letter dated 24th September 2019 . More recently, a joint letter from Natural England and the National Trust (dated 28th June 2021) has been sent to Uttlesford District Council outlining the updated position and including the costed Mitigation Strategy prepared by the National Trust. We would direct you to these letters for further information on Natural England's recommended approach (copies can be provide on request). Whilst we are working towards a strategic solution with the relevant Local Planning Authorities Natural England advises that for the purposes of addressing the interim situation, a bespoke mitigation package should be sought for this application, which we suggest is designed in consultation with the National Trust as site managers. Where possible this should be designed in-line with the package of mitigation measures as drafted by the National Trust. It is of note that the Ecological Assessment submitted with the application acknowledges the need for a financial contribution to fund such off-site mitigation measures (reference 4.6 – 4.11). However, the submitted Planning Statement does not include any reference to such a financial contribution in the list of anticipated planning obligations as set out at paragraph 5.9.3 of the Statement. This matter should be clarified.

We would take this opportunity to highlight the Local Planning Authority's duties under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), notably under section 28G with respect of the SSSI. Appropriate measures, such as the mitigation outlined above, should therefore be taken to ensure the conservation and enhancement of the SSSI. This is further reflected within paragraphs 174 and

180 of the NPPF, whereby authorities should seek to protect and enhance the natural environment, including sites of biodiversity value.

In terms of Local Policy, which in this case is the current adopted Uttlesford DC Local plan (2005), we note that policy ENV7 refers to the protection of the Natural Environment and designated sites, The policy states that “Development proposals that adversely affect areas of nationally important nature concerns, such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest and National Nature Reserves will not be permitted unless the need for development outweighs the particular importance of the nature conservation value of site or reserve...”.

On this basis, notwithstanding the current (draft) status of the developing Mitigation Strategy, Natural England would anticipate that mitigation measures, such as an appropriate financial contribution towards measures within Hatfield Forest SSSI/NNR are sought to ensure compliance with the above referenced local and national policies.

Please note that if the Planning Inspector is minded to grant planning permission contrary to the advice in this letter, you are required under Section 28I (6) of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) to notify Natural England of the permission, the terms on which it is proposed to grant permission and how, if at all, account has been taken of Natural England’s advice. You must also allow a further period of 21 days before the operation can commence.

SITE SPECIFIC ASSESSMENT

In this instance, due to the ‘outline’ nature of the application, Natural England would anticipate that an assessment is made as to the capacity of the site to provide adequate mitigation and that confirmation of these details is sought through the appropriate method, such as an appropriately worded planning condition or obligation.

For areas of green infrastructure, we would generally advise that these should include elements, such as the following:

- High-quality, informal, semi-natural areas
- Circular dog walking routes of >2.7 km and/or with links to surrounding public rights of way (PRoW)
- Dedicated ‘dogs-off-lead’ areas
- Signage/leaflets to householders to promote these areas for recreation
- Dog waste bins etc.

Notwithstanding this, the unique draw of the identified designated site means that even well-designed, ‘on-site’ provisions are unlikely to fully mitigate impacts. Natural England therefore agrees that it is appropriate to consider the agreement of ‘off-site’ mitigation measures (outside of the red line boundary). As stated, the development of a strategic solution is currently underway which will include a mitigation package, though this has not yet been developed. As per the ‘on-site’ measures, Natural England would therefore recommend in the interim period, until these strategic mitigation measures have been identified, that a suitably worded planning condition or obligation is attached to any planning permission. We would recommend discussion in correspondence with the National Trust as site managers to determine appropriate and proportionate mitigation for this application.

Natural England therefore advises that permission should not be granted until such time as these mitigation measures have been assessed and secured through the appropriate means. We would be happy to comment further as the need arises.

Natural England has not assessed this application for impacts on protected species. Natural England has published [Standing Advice](#) which you can use to assess impacts on protected species.

Other advice

Natural England offers the following additional advice, which would have been provided to the Local Planning Authority were it determining the application:

Landscape

Paragraph 174 of the [National Planning Policy Framework](#) (NPPF) highlights the need to protect and enhance valued landscapes through the planning system. This application may present opportunities to protect and enhance locally valued landscapes, including any local landscape designations. You may want to consider whether any local landscape features or characteristics (such as ponds, woodland, or dry-stone walls) could be incorporated into the development to respond to and enhance local landscape character and distinctiveness, in line with any local landscape character assessments. Where the impacts of development are likely to be significant, a Landscape & Visual Impact Assessment should be provided with the proposal to inform decision making. We refer you to the [Landscape Institute](#) Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment for further guidance.

Best and most versatile agricultural land and soils

Local planning authorities are responsible for ensuring that they have sufficient detailed agricultural land classification (ALC) information to apply NPPF policies (Paragraphs 174 and 175). This is the case regardless of whether the proposed development is sufficiently large to consult Natural England. Further information is contained in [GOV.UK guidance](#) Agricultural Land Classification information is available on the [Magic](#) website on the [Data.Gov.uk](#) website. If you consider the proposal has significant implications for further loss of 'best and most versatile' agricultural land, we would be pleased to discuss the matter further.

Guidance on soil protection is available in the Defra [Construction Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites](#), and we recommend its use in the design and construction of development, including any planning conditions. For mineral working and landfilling separate guidance on soil protection for site restoration and aftercare is available on [Gov.uk](#) website. Detailed guidance on soil handling for mineral sites is contained in the Institute of Quarrying [Good Practice Guide for Handling Soils in Mineral Workings](#).

Should the development proceed, we advise that the developer uses an appropriately experienced soil specialist to advise on, and supervise soil handling, including identifying when soils are dry enough to be handled and how to make the best use of soils on site.

Protected Species

Natural England has produced [standing advice](#)¹ to help planning authorities understand the impact of particular developments on protected species. We advise you to refer to this advice. Natural England will only provide bespoke advice on protected species where they form part of a Site of Special Scientific Interest or in exceptional circumstances.

Local sites and priority habitats and species

You should consider the impacts of the proposed development on any local wildlife or geodiversity sites, in line with paragraphs 175 and 179 of the NPPF and any relevant development plan policy. There may also be opportunities to enhance local sites and improve their connectivity. Natural England does not hold locally specific information on local sites and recommends further information is obtained from appropriate bodies such as the local records centre, wildlife trust, geo-conservation groups or recording societies.

Priority habitats and Species are of particular importance for nature conservation and included in the England Biodiversity List published under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. Most priority habitats will be mapped either as Sites of Special Scientific

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/protected-species-and-sites-how-to-review-planning-proposals>

Interest, on the Magic website or as Local Wildlife Sites. List of priority habitats and species can be found [here](#)². Natural England does not routinely hold species data, such data should be collected when impacts on priority habitats or species are considered likely. Consideration should also be given to the potential environmental value of brownfield sites, often found in urban areas and former industrial land, further information including links to the open mosaic habitats inventory can be found [here](#).

Ancient woodland, ancient and veteran trees

You should consider any impacts on ancient woodland and ancient and veteran trees in line with paragraph 180 of the NPPF. Natural England maintains the Ancient Woodland [Inventory](#) which can help identify ancient woodland. Natural England and the Forestry Commission have produced [standing advice](#) for planning authorities in relation to ancient woodland and ancient and veteran trees. It should be taken into account by planning authorities when determining relevant planning applications. Natural England will only provide bespoke advice on ancient woodland, ancient and veteran trees where they form part of a Site of Special Scientific Interest or in exceptional circumstances.

Environmental gains

Development should provide net gains for biodiversity in line with the NPPF paragraphs 174(d), 179 and 180. Development also provides opportunities to secure wider environmental gains, as outlined in the NPPF (paragraphs 8, 73, 104, 120, 174, 175 and 180). We advise you to follow the mitigation hierarchy as set out in paragraph 180 of the NPPF and firstly consider what existing environmental features on and around the site can be retained or enhanced or what new features could be incorporated into the development proposal. Where onsite measures are not possible, you should consider off site measures. Opportunities for enhancement might include:

- Providing a new footpath through the new development to link into existing rights of way.
- Restoring a neglected hedgerow.
- Creating a new pond as an attractive feature on the site.
- Planting trees characteristic to the local area to make a positive contribution to the local landscape.
- Using native plants in landscaping schemes for better nectar and seed sources for bees and birds.
- Incorporating swift boxes or bat boxes into the design of new buildings.
- Designing lighting to encourage wildlife.
- Adding a green roof to new buildings.

Natural England's [Biodiversity Metric 3.1](#) may be used to calculate biodiversity losses and gains for terrestrial and intertidal habitats and can be used to inform any development project. For small development sites the [Small Sites Metric](#) may be used. This is a simplified version of [Biodiversity Metric 3.1](#) and is designed for use where certain criteria are met. It is available as a beta test version.

You could also consider how the proposed development can contribute to the wider environment and help implement elements of any Landscape, Green Infrastructure or Biodiversity Strategy in place in your area. For example:

- Links to existing greenspace and/or opportunities to enhance and improve access.
- Identifying opportunities for new greenspace and managing existing (and new) public spaces to be more wildlife friendly (e.g. by sowing wild flower strips)
- Planting additional street trees.
- Identifying any improvements to the existing public right of way network or using the opportunity of new development to extend the network to create missing links.
- Restoring neglected environmental features (e.g. coppicing a prominent hedge that is in poor condition or clearing away an eyesore).

²<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140711133551/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiversity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx>

Natural England's [Environmental Benefits from Nature tool](#) may be used to identify opportunities to enhance wider benefits from nature and to avoid and minimise any negative impacts. It is designed to work alongside [Biodiversity Metric 3.1](#) and is available as a beta test version.

Access and Recreation

Natural England encourages any proposal to incorporate measures to help improve people's access to the natural environment. Measures such as reinstating existing footpaths together with the creation of new footpaths and bridleways should be considered. Links to other green networks and, where appropriate, urban fringe areas should also be explored to help promote the creation of wider green infrastructure. Relevant aspects of local authority green infrastructure strategies should be delivered where appropriate.

Biodiversity duty

Your authority has a [duty](#) to have regard to conserving biodiversity as part of your decision making. Conserving biodiversity can also include restoration or enhancement to a population or habitat. Further information is available [here](#).

This concludes Natural England's advice at this stage which we hope you will find helpful.

If you have any queries relating to the advice in this letter please contact me at



Yours sincerely

Tessa Lambert
Lead Advisor, Sustainable Development West Anglia Team