

From: Planning <planning@uttlesford.gov.uk>
Sent: 31 August 2022 03:31
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: [External] Section 62A planning application: S62A/22/0006, Berden Hall Farm, Ginns Road, Berden

-----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]

Sent: 30 August 2022 19:06
To: Planning <planning@uttlesford.gov.uk>
Subject: [External] Section 62A planning application: S62A/22/0006, Berden Hall Farm, Ginns Road, Berden

Berden Hall Solar Farm

I object to this application.

This proposal should not be seen in isolation; Stocking Pelham and its surrounds are potentially going to be besieged with solar panels and battery storage . Applications and planning proposals are in for similar developments at Wickham Hall, Berden, Crabbs Green, Battles Farm and others still awaiting decision . There is already a battery storage facility adjacent to the Grid that not even the Essex Fire Services knew about. This is industrialisation of the countryside, an inefficient way to capture energy, (only 11% efficiency) and of absolutely no benefit to local residents. This proposal will cause disruption, noise, congestion, obstruction, an eyesore, a loss of good quality farming land, destruction to ecosystems, wildlife and fauna . It is a health and safety threat, roads are unsuitable with up to 35 HGV movements going through slightly more than single track village roads, past a nursery school, and, if other proposals are approved, there will be an increase x3 plus added plus workers' vans . It is a total abuse of countryside, a total disregard for its residents and is of negligible use. Solar only works in sunlight, not night, not in dark winter days, not so efficiently in cloudy days, so is it worth losing so much for comparatively poor return?

For these reasons I object to this application.

Tony Southam

