



## Phase 2b Western Leg Information Paper

### E16: Land drainage

This paper describes the approach taken to land drainage issues during the preliminary design of the Proposed Scheme and the further measures to be taken during detailed design, construction and operation of the Proposed Scheme.

It will be of particular interest to those potentially affected by the Government's proposals for high speed rail.

This paper was prepared in relation to the promotion of the High Speed Rail (Crewe - Manchester) Bill. Content will be maintained and updated as considered appropriate during the passage of the Bill.

If you have any queries about this paper or about how it might apply to you, please contact the HS2 Helpdesk in the first instance.

#### **The Helpdesk can be contacted:**

**by email:** [HS2enquiries@hs2.org.uk](mailto:HS2enquiries@hs2.org.uk)

**by phone (24hrs):** 08081 434 434  
08081 456 472 (minicom)

**or by post:** High Speed Two (HS2) Limited  
2 Snowhill, Queensway  
Birmingham  
B4 6GA

## 1 Introduction

- 1.1 High Speed Two (HS2) is the Government's scheme for a new, high speed north-south railway, which is being taken forward in a number of phases. Phase One will connect London with Birmingham and the West Midlands. Phase 2a will extend the route from the West Midlands to Crewe. The Phase 2b Western Leg will connect Crewe to Manchester. As set out in the Integrated Rail Plan, published in November 2021, HS2 East is proposed to deliver a new high speed line from the West Midlands to East Midlands Parkway.
- 1.2 HS2 Ltd is the non-departmental public body responsible for developing and promoting these proposals. The company works under the terms of a Development Agreement entered into with the Secretary of State for Transport.
- 1.3 The construction and operation of Phase One of HS2 is authorised by the High Speed Rail (London – West Midlands) Act 2017 and Phase 2a by the High Speed Rail (West Midlands – Crewe) Act 2021.
- 1.4 In January 2022, the Government introduced a hybrid Bill to Parliament (hereafter referred to as 'the Bill'), to seek powers for the construction and operation of the Phase 2b Western Leg (the Proposed Scheme), which is called the High Speed Rail (Crewe – Manchester) Bill. The Proposed Scheme comprises the Phase 2b Western Leg from Crewe to Manchester and several off-route works. It also facilitates the delivery of Northern Powerhouse Rail by providing the Crewe Northern Connection and junctions and other infrastructure to be used in future schemes.
- 1.5 The work to produce the Bill includes an Equalities Impact Assessment and an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), the results of which are reported in an Environmental Statement (ES) submitted alongside the Bill. The Secretary of State has also published draft Environmental Minimum Requirements (EMRs), which set out the environmental and sustainability commitments that will be observed in the construction of the Proposed

Scheme. For more information on the EMRs please see Information Paper E1: Control of environmental impacts.

1.6 The Secretary of State for Transport is the Promoter of the Bill through Parliament. The Promoter will also appoint a body responsible for delivering the Proposed Scheme under the powers granted by the Bill. This body is known as the 'nominated undertaker'. There may be more than one nominated undertaker. However, any and all nominated undertakers will be bound by the obligations contained in the Bill, the policies established in the EMRs and any commitments provided in the information papers.

1.7 These information papers have been produced to explain the commitments made in the Bill and the EMRs and how they will be applied to the design and construction of the Proposed Scheme. They also provide information about the Proposed Scheme itself, the powers contained in the Bill and how particular decisions about the Proposed Scheme have been reached.

## **2 Overview**

2.1 This paper describes the approach taken to land drainage issues during the preliminary design of the Proposed Scheme and the further measures to be taken during detailed design, construction and operation of the Proposed Scheme.

## **3 Background**

3.1 The Proposed Scheme includes several types of earthworks which could affect land drainage. These include:

- structural earthworks (i.e. cuttings and embankments) which are constructed as part of the Proposed Scheme;
- a wide variety of landscape earthworks to help mitigate the visual impacts of the Proposed Scheme that would otherwise arise during and/or after the construction; and

- borrow pits (for more information, please see Information Paper D12: Borrow pits).
- 3.2 These are shown on the CT-06 series drawings in the map books produced for each Community Area (CA) in Volume 2 of the ES. A link to these can be found in the reference section at the end of this paper.
- 3.3 In some locations, structural and landscape earthworks will affect existing land drainage of agricultural and other land adjacent to the Proposed Scheme. Elsewhere, they will alter the existing overland flow routes helping to drain surface water run-off from fields and areas of woodland, or change the slope of the land.
- 3.4 Additionally, the excavated material placed in landscape earthwork areas and borrow pits may have different water infiltration characteristics from those of the underlying geology (although the planned agricultural restoration measures will, so far as is reasonably practicable, seek to keep any differences to a minimum). Such water infiltration alterations may increase or decrease the rate of surface-water run-off from the land, so the Proposed Scheme includes a range of measures to manage surface water on agricultural land affected by construction and operation of the Proposed Scheme and associated mitigation works. In addition to new or altered ditches, 'land drainage areas' (i.e. informal balancing ponds, as described in Information Paper E21: Balancing ponds and replacement floodplain storage areas) are included to control the flow of surface water between source and point of discharge, and thus help mitigate the impact of flood risk in the surrounding area.
- 3.5 An extensive programme of ground investigation and testing will be undertaken before the detailed design of the Proposed Scheme is completed. The results from the ground investigations will be used to validate the water infiltration assumptions used in the preliminary design, undertaken before the Bill was submitted.

## **4 Preliminary design approach**

- 4.1 The approach taken in the preliminary design was based on the principle that the rate of surface water run-off from the deposited material (e.g. embankments or environmental mitigation earthworks) or borrow pits should be as close as possible to that from the existing ground over which the material has been placed. The rate of surface-water run-off from an area of ground is relative to the water infiltration rate of that ground. In simple terms, the lower the water infiltration rate of the ground, the greater the rate of surface-water run-off during rainfall and snow-melt events. The surface water run-off rate from the existing ground is referred to as the 'greenfield run-off rate'.
- 4.2 Where necessary, land drainage areas and ditches have been designed to intercept run-off water and control the discharge rate to any receiving watercourse. The controlled discharge rate is usually equivalent to the greenfield run-off rate from the existing land, with the aim of not increasing flood risk (as defined in Information Paper E15: Water resources, flood risk and authorisation of related works).
- 4.3 In locations where the deposited material may have a lower water infiltration rate than the existing ground, a precautionary approach has been taken to managing post-construction surface water run-off. This approach ensures that the storage volumes for land drainage areas and ditches are sufficient to accommodate surface water run-off and enable it to be discharged at the greenfield run-off rate.
- 4.4 In certain areas, where the shape of the earthworks and the track drainage system effectively remove the operational railway land from the land drainage catchment, specific measures to control surface water run-off are not required. This is because existing overland flows across adjacent land during periods of wet weather will already be reduced by the construction of the Proposed Scheme.

## **5 Potential refinements during detailed design and construction**

- 5.1 As the ground investigation results become available, it is possible that the water infiltration rate of the deposited material may actually be greater than the relatively conservative assumptions used in the preliminary design or that it could be increased as part of the construction process in some locations. The effect of increasing the deposited material's water infiltration rate - so that it is close to, or the same as, that of the existing ground - would be to reduce storage volumes or remove land drainage areas and associated drainage ditches completely.
- 5.2 Methods to increase the water infiltration rate could include scarifying (breaking up) the deposited material before topsoil is placed or mixing the excavated material with another material with greater water infiltration rate.
- 5.3 Where the geology is suitable and the limits of land acquired for the Proposed Scheme permit, it may be possible during detailed design to look at substituting certain planned ditches with shallow depressions or 'swales'. This will allow water to infiltrate into the ground whilst enabling agricultural activities to continue in all but the severest weather. Elsewhere, it may be possible for planned ditches to be substituted with a network of conventional sub-surface drains.
- 5.4 Where this is not reasonably practicable, ditches will be provided with crossing points by culverts at appropriate locations and where shared use of a culvert can meet both current and reasonably foreseeable land drainage needs of the existing farming business the nominated undertaker will use reasonable endeavours to incorporate the requirements for such a culvert in finalising the detailed design for the scheme provided that the farming business concerned engages in a timely way with the nominated undertaker that is consistent with the timely, economic and efficient delivery of the HS2 works.
- 5.5 If alternative land drainage arrangements are feasible, these will be discussed with the landowner if they express an interest in reassessing the arrangements provided for in the Bill and shown on the ES drawings.

5.6 This will be done by the nominated undertaker in accordance with the following terms:

- The nominated undertaker will identify with the landowner existing drainage arrangements on their land holding. This will include the carrying out, where reasonable, of inspections of the site and of any existing plans.
- The location of drains cut or disturbed by the construction works will be recorded by the nominated undertaker.
- The nominated undertaker will utilise appropriate drainage consultants to advise on drainage works and will engage with the landowner in respect of the pre and post drainage schemes that are required. The nominated undertaker will use reasonable endeavours to engage drainage consultants with working knowledge of the local conditions.
- Prior to the commencement of significant works to construct the Proposed Scheme, land drains affected by the works will, where reasonably practicable, be intercepted in a manner which maintains their efficiency. Work will be carried out to an appropriate specification after discussion with the landowner, which may include the design (e.g. layout, falls, pipe sizes and types, outfall arrangements) and timing of any land drainage works required.
- As-built plans of modifications to existing land drainage and of any new drainage works will be provided to the landowner as appropriate by the nominated undertaker.
- Where natural drainage patterns are adversely affected by the works to construct the Proposed Scheme, the provision of supplementary drainage or irrigation works will be considered having regard to an assessment of compensation and the commercial justification by the landowner.

## **6 Operation and maintenance**

- 6.1 After construction of the Proposed Scheme is complete, much of the land acquired to construct the landscape earthworks will be offered back to landowners for return to agricultural use as part of land compensation discussions. Except where the nominated undertaker needs to retain full control of the land drainage arrangements (e.g. to protect the new railway from flooding), these will be transferred to the landowner as part of the package of land to be offered back.
- 6.2 Once returned, such land drainage arrangements will become the sole responsibility of the landowner. Maintenance requirements will generally be consistent with normal farming practice, with any operations capable of being carried out by hand or using standard agricultural machinery. However, where appropriate, indicative maintenance plans will be provided by the nominated undertaker to landowners for guidance purposes.
- 6.3 Should a landowner decide at some stage in the future to alter the land drainage arrangements constructed by the nominated undertaker, they will be responsible for any loss of agricultural productivity, any increased risk of flooding to their own land or premises, and any impacts to neighbours or other third parties.

## **7 More information**

- 7.1 More detail on the Bill and related documents can be found at [www.gov.uk/hs2-phase2b-crewe-manchester](http://www.gov.uk/hs2-phase2b-crewe-manchester).

## References

Community Area Map Books:

[www.gov.uk/hs2-phase2b-crewe-manchester](http://www.gov.uk/hs2-phase2b-crewe-manchester)